Dear Mr Constance,

I write in connection with the Visy Dry Recyclables Facility (SSD-10364) application. I have examined the plans and I know the site well. I wish to **OBJECT STRONGLY** to the development of this facility in this location.

In addition, I'm providing **a SUGGESTION**, which might alleviate some of my concerns for your consideration.

Reasons for the strong objection

- 1. The proposed facility will produce an incremental increase in some **already high level of pollutants** in the area. The Air Quality Impact Assessment indicates that
 - the predicted total annual average PM2.5 concentrations are **at or exceeding** the impact assessment criterion (Table 7-1).
 - the predicted ground-level concentrations of Formaldehyde (VOC) are **significantly exceeding** the impact assessment criterion (Table 7-3).
- 2. The future traffic levels on Euston Road/Sydney Park Road intersection in conjunction with the New M5 predicted levels, as documented in Table 6 of the Traffic Impact Assessment, are indicating operation of **LoS category F or at best D level** in 2023/2033.

These levels correspond to "Unsatisfactory and require additional capacity" or "Operating near capacity" respectively.

- It is hard to believe in the accuracy of the following predicted road traffic noise generation associated with the operation of the facility (page 24 Noise & Vibration Impact Assessment, as they show similar noise increase values for two vastly different source sizes. In particular:
 - Additional **37** heavy vehicle movements on 105-155 Euston Road allegedly will contribute **0.3dB** increase in road traffic noise during the day and **0.4dB** at night.
 - Additional **185** heavy vehicle movements on Sydney Park road allegedly will contribute **0.3dB** increase in road traffic noise during the day and **0.5dB** at night.

A noise of **220 heavy vehicles during the day**, decelerating to stop and accelerating from the stationary position on the traffic lights at Sydney Park Road/Euston road intersection, in the **perception of a reasonable person**, **must be significantly larger** than predicted increases.

Suggestion

Some of the concerns can be effectively addressed by changing development plans in such a manner that access to/from the planned facility is relocated from Burrows Road to Euston Road (with traffic light control to facilitate entry/exit from the facility).

This would enable routing of the heavy vehicle traffic from/towards Princes Hwy (as expected by the plan) through non-residential areas of Euston Road (south) and Campbell Street, avoiding overbearing traffic in residential areas in the Euston Road (north) and creating traffic gridlock at the Burrows Road and Huntly Street intersections.

Thank you for taking my objections and suggestions into account.

Sincerely,

Boris Pavic