

16 November 2019

RE: OBJECTION TO REDEVELOPMENT OF GREENWICH HOSPITAL

I am writing in regards to the above development application as the owner and resident of Gore Street, Greenwich. My property adjoins and shares a common boundary with the hospital site, and has a two storey residential detached dwelling.

My principal concerns with the proposed development are

- A. The significant proportion of residential apartments are changing this site from a principal use hospital to a principal use residential thus this application does not meet permissible use test required for State Significant Development. And furthermore also result in inability to meet SP2 Infrastructure health services facility zone.
- B. Failure to justify why so many residential apartments are being proposed on hospital zoned land.
- C. Any attempt to use the Seniors Living SEPP, requires a degree of compatibility with the surrounding land use. I do not believe sufficient weight nor consideration has been given to the low density detached dwellings and treed surrounding of Greenwich Hospital.
- D. Scale of the proposed hospital development is at least 2 or 3 storeys too high. It is not consistent with the 2-story detached dwellings and bushland surrounds
- E. Destruction of the heritage significance of the heritage item by proposed development of Pallister House curtilage for building a new respite building, is in direct contravention to NSW Department of Environment and Heritage 2019 advice to refrain from building on Greenwich Hospital heritage land;
- F. Destruction of too many trees and misrepresentation of how many mature trees will die in the medium and long term as a result of underground services works to new buildings.
- G. Increased traffic onto and off the site; and lack of public transport
- H. Change to the existing character of this precinct and to adjoining properties