| EIS<br>REFERENCE | Торіс        | REF #  | Statement  | Concerns and Comments  |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| VOL 5 App H-I    | Biodiversity | 2.1.5 State or<br>Regionally<br>Significant<br>Biodiversity<br>Links Table 2.1 | Pine Brush Creek not<br>considered (as not considered a<br>significant biodiv link owing to<br>presence pf hwy).   | PB creek bridge provides good fauna<br>crossing due to its height & adjacent<br>vegetation. Landcare group has been<br>restoring upstream of bridge for 17<br>years Office of water guidelines for<br>riparian buffers are not being referred to<br>& smaller buffer reference used??  |
|                  |              | Figure 3.1:<br>Landscape<br>features   |  | Lindsay's cutting fauna underpass not shown? Koala corridor??  |
|                  | Biodiversity | 2.2.2<br>Connectivity<br>value   |  | Concerned not all corridors referenced<br>eg regional corridor thru Kororo<br>NR/Pinebrush creek   |
|                  |              | 3.2<br>Threatened<br>ecological<br>communities                                 | Although EIS deems Lowland<br>subtropical not to be congruent<br>with 'condition class or key<br>diagnostic species requirements<br>of the listed community.<br>Therefore, they were not<br>considered to be the<br>community as listed under the<br>EPBC Act' | Most areas of rainforest within Coffs &<br>Korora Basin are limited to very small &<br>isolated pockets of large mature pre<br>European specimens. These are highly<br>significant for our local area in terms of<br>sources of seed for natural & human<br>distribution, especially for all associated<br>restoration projects occurring within<br>Coffs area. Also, invaluable source of<br>supplementary food for bird & bat<br>species in times of limited resources Eg<br>drought ie Now<br>It is also obvious that these remnants as |

| VOL 5 AppH-I | 3.3<br>Groundwater<br>dependent                   |  | small areas will have a high edge to area<br>ratio & therefore will be subject to weed<br>invasion. Most bushland remnants suffer<br>this fate in these human disturbed<br>landscapes. This should not distract<br>from the fact that they are important<br>sources of local genetic material <b>and</b><br><b>with restoration they would fit the</b><br><b>EPBC criteria.</b> I also highly doubt that<br>there are <b>20 woody weed species</b> on<br>these sites. I would like to see the<br><b>species list record that justifies this</b><br><b>statement</b> |
|--------------|---|--|---|
|              | ecosystems<br>4.2.3<br>Limitations<br>p.84        | Accurate surveys? ' <u>A significant</u><br><u>amount of rain</u><br><u>occurred during spotlighting on</u><br><u>the 16 March 2016, this</u><br><u>prevented effective spotlight</u><br><u>for mammals and</u><br><u>reptiles.'</u> | Accurate surveys?<br>Surprised no Powerful Owl or Barking<br>Owl as we hear them regularly in<br>Korora Basin (& a Sooty 2x) & Have<br>observed powerful owl on tree fern<br>Creek. Rose crowned Fruit Doves also<br>sighted on regular basis   |
| VOL 5 AppH-I | 4.2.4<br>Threatened<br>species results<br>p.94-95 | there are 3 references to Koala<br>2 are 'near east of the Pacific<br>Highway and south of Stadium<br>Drive (Tallowwood  | These 2 presumably relate to the<br>Lindsays cutting crossing is a well-<br>recognized crossing area for Koala.<br>There is a fauna underpass here NOT  |

|              |              | p.98   | Eucalyptus microcorys).' And<br>'within vegetation to the east of<br>the Pacific Highway at the<br>southern end of the alignment.'<br>No large forest owls or gliders<br>were recorded during field<br>survey.  | <ul> <li>shown on maps and then these 2 vague references to the area. Also, the development to the east is planting Koala habitat corridor as part of their staged development- how will this be affected by the removal of the large amount of vegetation to the east of the current highway bordering 'Elements'' development? Why is this vegetation being removed?</li> <li>Very surprising Powerful Owl or Barking Owl as we hear them regularly in Korora Basin (&amp; a Sooty 2x) &amp; Have observed powerful owl on tree fern Creek. No Wompoo Fruit Dove listed eithercommon in Basin area.</li> </ul> |
|--------------|--------------|--|---|--|
| VOL 5 AppH-I | Biodiversity | 4.3 Aquatic<br>habitat and<br>threatened<br>species P134 | Newports Creek,<br>downstream of the study area,<br>and Pine Brush Creek<br>representing the highest<br>condition waterways due<br>to the relatively limited<br>influence of impacts, degree of<br>intact riparian vegetation and<br>availability of<br>structurally diverse habitat. | Benefits of restoration works on<br>Pinebrush creek over 17 years by<br>landcare group increasing riparian<br>health. With restoration work all our<br>coastal creek & river systems can also<br>become healthy and diverse  |
|              |              | 4.3 Aquatic habitat and                                  | Testing done in Oct & May   | Likely reason for dry Oct reading is we have a dry spring here in Coffs region-  |

|   |              | threatened<br>species P127   |  | probably unknown by Sydney based<br>consultants (well when the weather<br>followed a pattern)<br>Consideration given to high number of<br>streams originate as groundwater<br>springs on the lower & mid slopes of the<br>ridgeline bordering Bruxner   |
|---|--------------|--|--|---|
| Vol 5 Matters<br>of National<br>Environmental<br>Significance |              |  |  |   |
| VOL 5<br>MNES within<br>study area                            | Biodiversity | 5 5.1 page140  | The Commonwealth Solitary<br>Islands Marine Park (SIMP) is<br>located more than 50km<br>offshore and is not expected to<br>be affected by the Project.   | Ensure all sediment & erosion controls<br>are in place to prevent degradation of<br>waterways that flow into SIMP.<br>Furthermore use this Hwy bypass as an<br>opportunity to improve waterway health<br>by increasing areas of riparian<br>vegetation on areas adjacent to the<br>highway footprint. |
|   |              | Table 8.11<br>Summary of<br>Significant<br>Impact<br>Criteria<br>assessments | Lowland Rainforest of<br>Subtropical Australia<br>(Critically Endangered)<br>Does not occur within the<br>study area. None of the<br>four separate patches of<br>rainforest vegetation<br>occurring within the study<br>area are considered to<br>conform to the EPBC Act<br>listing criteria for this | Would dispute this as partially based on<br>presence of 20 WOODY WEED SPECIES-<br>impossible scenario in this area and<br>loosely defined/ described. This needs<br>to be substantiated   |

|                | community.                   |  |
|----------------|------------------------------|--|
| 8.4.3          |                              | Use the project as an opportunity to       |
| Fragmentation  |                              | repair and improve habitat connectivity    |
| of identified  |                              | and riparian health of the Boambee         |
| biodiversity   |                              | Coffs and Korora basins and that of the    |
| links and      |                              | SIMP. With the revegetation of riparian    |
| habitat        |                              | buffers/ corridors in areas either side of |
| corridors      |                              | the highway eg Newports creek, Coffs       |
|                |                              | Creek, Tree Fern Creek, Jordans Creek,     |
|                |                              | Pinebrush creek. Participate in assisting  |
|                |                              | CHCC with the ongoing projects to repair   |
|                |                              | and expand habitat corridors & riparian    |
|                |                              | corridors in the Coffs Basin               |
| 8.4.3          |                              | No mention of effect at southern           |
| Fragmentation  |                              | approach- loss of koala habitat loss of    |
| of identified  |                              | corridor. no mention Lindsays cutting or   |
| biodiversity   |                              | underpass that's needs upgrading           |
| links and      |                              |  |
| habitat        |                              |  |
| corridors      |                              |  |
| <br>p.183      |                              |  |
| 8.4.5 Injury   |                              | Need to consider future risks too fauna    |
| and mortality  |                              | by planting of fauna food species          |
| of fauna       |                              | immediately adjacent to hwy edge eg        |
| P184           |                              | Fruit bat/nectar feeing birds killed on    |
|                |                              | Sapphire to Woolgoolga                     |
| 8.4.6 Invasion | However, strict hygiene      | RMS urgently needs to review this policy   |
| and spread of  | measures will be             | The previous upgrade from Sapphire to      |
| weeds          | implemented to prevent and   | Woolgoolga (& north to corindi) has        |
| P184-5         | mitigate the spread of weeds | resulted in the spread of some high        |

|                             |              |  | and reduce the potential of<br>negative impacts<br>to threatened species habitats.<br>A Flora and Fauna Management<br>Plan will include measures to<br>ensure appropriate biosecurity<br>management is undertaken<br>during construction. This is<br>standard procedure for<br>Roads and Maritime projects. | priority weed species through<br>movement of soil & mulch (weed list can<br>be provided) as well as some non-local<br>native species. there has been planting<br>of non-local native species or exotic<br>species that have recognized potential to<br>spread onto local bushland (list can be<br>provided) . landscaping of these areas<br>has created weedy maintenance<br>nightmares specifically at gaudrons rd<br>interchange. Terrible planning,<br>landscaper and landscape designer<br>should not be hired for this project.<br>Created a high maintenance area |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--|---|---|
| VOL 5. 8.4<br>other impacts | Biodiversity | 8.4.9<br>page 185  | about noise light and vibration,<br>important for Coramba Rd<br>residents to: re interchange,<br>noise, vibration and light spill   | CBAG would like to see the Coramba Rd<br>interchange redesigned to move the<br>necessary infrastructure away from<br>houses impacted badly in Roselands<br>Estate. A single donut design similar to<br>that built recently at Port Macquarie.<br>Such as design would meet the Strategy<br>vision & objective 4 "value the<br>communities and towns along the road<br>(page44) It's disappointing there's<br>nothing about minimizing the impact on<br>neighbors, in 4.3 Interchanges Approach.   |
| VOL 5 9<br>mitigation       |              | 9.1 Mitigation<br>of impacts to<br>biodiversity<br>Table 9.1 | Native vegetation will be re-<br>established via implementation<br>of an Urban Design<br>Management Plan prepared in  | These guidelines need urgent review,<br>considering the issues/failures that<br>have occurred in the Sapphire to<br>Woolgoolga Hwy upgrade. Especially  |

| Mitigation<br>measures<br>P193                  | accordance with Guide 3: Re-<br>establishment of<br>native vegetation of the<br>Biodiversity Guidelines:<br>Protecting and managing<br>biodiversity on RTA projects<br>(RTA 2011). Re-established<br>vegetation will comprise<br>indigenous vegetation<br>communities that occur within<br>the study area. | <ul> <li>Use of exotic invasive plants in<br/>landscaping</li> <li>Use invasive non local native<br/>species in landscaping</li> <li>Planting of native species<br/>adjacent to hwy that attract bats<br/>&amp; birds increasing faunal<br/>mortality especially at time food<br/>resources low (eg drought ie<br/>NOW)</li> <li>Spread od invasive species over a<br/>30km stretch of highway!</li> <li>These need to be reviewed by persons<br/>from AABR (Australian Association of<br/>Bush Regenerators) e.g. local assessors</li> </ul> |
|---|--|---|
| 9.1 Mitigation<br>of impacts to<br>biodiversity | Native vegetation and fauna<br>habitat removal would be<br>minimised through detailed  | Ancillary sites could be altered slightly in<br>their footprint to avoid removal of<br>native vegetation including hollow   |
| Table 9.1                                       | design where reasonable and  | bearing trees & threatened species and  |
| Mitigation                                      | feasible. Particular focus would   | avoid situating on/over any riparian  |
| measures  | be given to  | areas.  |
| P193  | avoiding and minimising the<br>removal of:   | Large areas of Koala habitat removed on the southern portion adjacent to  |
|   | Hollow bearing trees   | Lindsays cutting  |
|   | <ul> <li>Native vegetation in riparian<br/>zones</li> </ul>  |   |
|   | Native vegetation from   |   |
|   | known fauna connectivity   |   |
|   | corridors and near   |   |
|   | proposed fauna crossing  |   |

|  | structures.  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 9.1 Mitigation<br>of impacts to<br>biodiversity<br>Table 9.1<br>Mitigation<br>measures<br>P194<br>9.1 Mitigation<br>of impacts to<br>biodiversity<br>Table 9.1<br>Mitigation<br>measures<br>P194 | Protection and enhancement of<br>vegetated riparian zones would<br>be undertaken to<br>improve opportunities for fauna<br>movement (including Spotted-<br>tailed Quoll and<br>Pale-vented Bush Hen).<br>The Flora and Fauna<br>Management Plan (FFMP)<br>would be prepared in<br>accordance with Biodiversity<br>Guidelines: Protecting and<br>managing biodiversity on<br>RTA projects (RTA 2011) and<br>implemented a part of the<br>CEMP. The FFMP would<br>build upon the strategies<br>outlined in the TSMP and<br>identify detailed site-specific<br>and species-specific mitigation<br>measures and management<br>protocols to be<br>implemented before, during<br>and after all construction | <ul> <li>How will this be maintained post<br/>construction period? Allowing for<br/>maintenance of sites in long term is<br/>required.</li> <li>Concerns again about continued<br/>vegetation management post<br/>construction phase</li> <li>Introduction of invasive species<br/>through inappropriate planting</li> <li>Putting threatened species at risk<br/>by unsuitable plantings directly<br/>adjacent to Hwy</li> </ul> |
| 9.1 Mitigation of impacts to   | activities to further avoid or<br>reduce impacts on threatened<br>biodiversity.<br>Mitigate against the loss of<br>Rusty Plum individuals from the   | Translocation of mature rusty Plum in other recent hwy upgrades in area has   |

| biodiversity<br>Table 9.1<br>Mitigation<br>measures<br>Removal of<br>threatened<br>flora<br>P195<br>9.1 Mitigation | local population by<br>translocation of individuals to<br>be impacted and where feasible<br>seed collection and<br>propagation.<br>A Salvage and Re-establishment<br>Plan for Southern Swamp<br>Orchid individual(s)<br>and Rusty Plum would be<br>prepared prior to construction,<br>outlining detailed<br>procedures for the preparation<br>of the re-establishment and<br>receiving sites, plant<br>movement, pre- and post- care<br>of target individuals as well as<br>detailing the<br>objectives, monitoring<br>procedures and contingency<br>measures.<br>Implement the Coffs Harbour<br>Bypass Threatened Species<br>Management Plan<br>(Arup 2019c) which will include<br>detailed and additional<br>adaptive mitigation,<br>management and monitoring<br>actions.<br>Realignment of | <ul> <li>been undertaken at huge expense with minimal positive outcomes</li> <li>Poor health &amp; vigor of some transplanted mature specimens</li> <li>Damage to bushland areas where translocated plants are established machinery required to move them damaging &amp; removing existing native vegetation &amp; encouraging dispersal of invasive species such as Broadleaf Paspalum</li> <li>Improved results were by the planting of Rusty Plum raised from seed or dispersal of seed</li> <li>REGULAR Maintenance of these areas is required</li> <li>Resources better spent rehabilitating existing native vegetation (riparian &amp; habitat corridors) or design so Rusty Plum is not disturbed</li> <li>This policy needs reviewing re above points</li> </ul> |
|--|--|---|
| 9.1 IVIILIBALION   | πεαιιγπητετίε Ο  | No reference to DPI Office of Water   |

| of impacts to<br>biodiversity<br>Table 9.1<br>Mitigation<br>measures<br>P196                   | watercourses<br>(Newports Creek and<br>tributaries, Coffs<br>Creek, Treefern<br>Creek, tributary of<br>Pine Bush Creek)   | Guidelines for Riparian buffers??? Eg<br>required buffers for stream grades 1-5 ?   |
|--|---|---|
| 9.1 Mitigation<br>of impacts to<br>biodiversity<br>Table 9.1<br>Mitigation<br>measures<br>P196 | Aquatic habitat would be<br>protected in accordance with<br>Guide 10: Aquatic habitats<br>and riparian zones of the<br>Biodiversity Guidelines:<br>Protecting and managing<br>biodiversity on RTA projects<br>(RTA 2011) and Section 3.3.2<br>Standard precautions<br>and mitigation measures of the<br>Policy and Guidelines for Fish<br>Habitat<br>Conservation and Management<br>Update 2013 (DPI 2013) and<br>with reference to<br>DPI Office of Water guidelines<br>for controlled activities on<br>waterfront land. | Again, no reference to DPI Riparian<br>buffer guidelines as per Office Water  |
|  | Any machinery used during<br>instream works should be<br>verified as clean and free of  | This should also apply to terrestrial also<br>eg Singapore Daisy spread through<br>entire sapphire to corindi area by hwy |

|  | potential weeds and pathogens to avoid biosecurity risk.  | machinery & earthmoving procedures!   |
|--|---|---|
| 9.1 Mitigation<br>of impacts to<br>biodiversity<br>Table 9.1<br>Mitigation<br>measures<br>P197<br>Bulk<br>earthworks<br>altering<br>floodplain<br>topography | The project would be designed<br>and constructed to maintain<br>hydrologic and<br>geomorphic characteristics of<br>the floodplain where<br>reasonable and feasible. | Concerned effects areas of native<br>vegetation off site may be affected by<br>changes to floodplain hydrology. Die<br>back has occurred in previous upgrade<br>areas where either inundation or<br>restricted water flow.<br>Has consideration been given to how<br>many of these streams in the Shepherds'<br>land through to Korora originate from<br>springs in the mid & lower slopes of the<br>ridgeline? |
| 9.1 Mitigation<br>of impacts to<br>biodiversity<br>Table 9.1<br>Mitigation<br>measures<br>P197<br>Increased<br>stormwater<br>run off                         | Detention basins to be included<br>in designs, with the aim of<br>reducing the volume<br>and velocity of water entering<br>waterways.                               | Previous hwy upgrades have left these<br>areas in a weedy state. How will these<br>RT basin areas be maintained in long<br>term? Funding? Management Plan for<br>future?  |
| 9.1 Mitigation<br>of impacts to<br>biodiversity<br>Table 9.1<br>Mitigation   | Connectivity measures will be<br>implemented in accordance<br>with Table 9.2 of this<br>assessment and on design<br>principles outlined in Section 9.2              | Unsatisfied this address some areas of<br>high Koala movement eg south<br>alignment Lindsays cutting, Highlander<br>estate reserved vegetation. Comments<br>re this below in Habitat Connectivity   |

| measures<br>P199<br>Fragmentation<br>of<br>identified<br>biodiversity<br>links and<br>habitat | and finalised during<br>detailed design to minimise<br>impacts to fauna movement.<br>Eight of the sixteen<br>fauna connectivity features are<br>located on mapped Koala<br>movement corridors | Measures 9.2  |
|---|---|---|
| corridors   | Bridges would be installed in<br>areas of known Giant Barred<br>Frog habitat (ie<br>Newports Creek and Pine Brush<br>Creek).  | Korora Basin Landcare group has<br>undertaken restoration of Pine Brush for<br>over 17 years this area if effected please<br>retain mature rainforest remnant trees<br>and consult  |
| Injury and<br>mortality of<br>Fauna p. 200  | Ongoing road kill monitoring for<br>adaptive management of<br>fencing and crossing<br>structures.   | This needs URGENT review. Planting of<br>non-suitable species has resulted in the<br>death of approximately 50 threatened<br>species (fruit bats) in the past month on<br>the Sapphire to Woolgoolga -Corindi<br>upgrade. URGENT REVIEW REQUIRED,<br>involve local WIRES<br>Fencing issues on the Ballina upgrade<br>resulted in Koal death due to poor |
| Invasion and<br>spread<br>of weeds,<br>pathogens<br>and disease,                              | Biosecurity risk and weed<br>species would be managed in<br>accordance with Guide<br>6: Weed management of the<br>Biodiversity Guidelines:  | <ul> <li>structure design (unable to access exit )</li> <li>URGENT review required of this policy<br/>due to:         <ul> <li>Cease planting invasive non local<br/>native species eg Queensland<br/>Elaeocarpus (Sapphire</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |

| and pest<br>animals. P.200              | Protecting and managing<br>biodiversity on RTA projects<br>(RTA 2011) and Guide 7:<br>Pathogen Management<br>(RTA 2011). Specific protocols<br>would be prepared and<br>implemented to manage,<br>Chytrid fungus, Phytophthora<br>and Myrtle Rust. | <ul> <li>Woolgoolga) Queensland<br/>Paperbark (Bonville -Bello<br/>section)- these are creating weed<br/>issues in area!</li> <li>Cease planting of exotics that<br/>have invasive potential eg Giant<br/>Strelitzia already weedy in this<br/>area</li> <li>Spread of weed propagules via<br/>machinery &amp; earthworks &amp;<br/>movement of soil matter eg<br/>Madeira Vine ,Singapore daisy<br/>etc</li> <li>Manage area to prevent weed<br/>invasion eg look at weed volumes<br/>along hwy to Bellingen turnoff<br/>(numerous camphor germinated<br/>since upgrade= NO management<br/>of vegetation occurring spreading<br/>weeds into local area)</li> </ul> |
|---|--|---|
| 9.2 Habitat<br>connectivity<br>measures | Table 9.2 Fauna connectivity<br>structures   | Cannot locate map that displays the<br>reference points given in this table<br>difficult to assess without<br>Suggestions for additional fauna crossing<br>and revegetation areas to develop<br>corridors & riparian buffers (refer<br>attached maps)   |
| 9.2.1<br>Connectivity<br>measures       |  |   |

|              | design<br>principles  |  |   |
|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| Biodiversity | 9.2.1<br>page 203     | reinforces tunnel option                                 | Tunnel option superior method of fauna<br>connectivity. Great concept Fully<br>support. Grateful that the tunnels option<br>will be supported as have been working<br>on five restoration habitat corridors over<br>several years (eg Roberts' Hill) and<br>without the tunnels those corridors<br>would not be viable. But also would like<br>to suggest a range of changes/ additions<br>to other fauna crossing structures (refer<br>maps) to improve connectivity in specific<br>areas  |
| Biodiversity | table 9.3<br>page 206 | Fauna connectivity structure<br>design principles.       | Culverts need regular maintenance<br>current practice of doing nothing<br>presents a risk to fauna. Weed infested<br>entrances impede access and cover for<br>feral predator species. Lindsays cutting<br>fauna underpass has been in a degraded<br>state for over 10 years. These areas need<br>a maintenance plan and funding<br>allocated to carry out regular<br>maintenance. Under bridge culverts<br>need to be supplemented by rope &<br>pole for arboreal mammals (refer maps<br>attached)If inadequate crossing structures are |
|              |                       | Biodiversity 9.2.1<br>page 203<br>Biodiversity table 9.3 | Biodiversity       9.2.1       reinforces tunnel option         page 203       Page 203       Page 203         Biodiversity       Lable 9.3       Fauna connectivity structure  |

|                    | 10 Offsetting<br>required    | used poor ecological outcomes will<br>result eg Kangaroo movement impeded<br>near Emerald Beach causing LAMN<br>headland to experience degradation<br>   |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Offset<br>Strategy | 2.1 Offset<br>identification | <ul> <li>Work with CHCC &amp; utilize CHCC reserve habitat corridors NRM strategy for west Coffs Tributaries to further develop habitat corridors within Coffs &amp; north Boambee Valley.</li> <li>Acquire adjacent riparian areas privately owned and create riparian buffers to link habitat currently isolated/fragmented (refer attached maps)</li> </ul> |

|                     |              |                            |  | <ul> <li>Restoration of lower reaches<br/>Pinebrush creek (upper areas<br/>restored over past 17 years) area<br/>to east of current Highway is all<br/>council managed lands to the<br/>entrance of Pinebrush creek<br/>onto the beach entering SIMP.<br/>This entire riparian buffer could<br/>be a most suitable offset</li> <li>Acquire land adjacent to<br/>Coramba NR OR Kororo NR to<br/>undertake planting of cleared<br/>areas &amp; expansion of this EEC<br/>vegetation types/Koala habitat</li> <li>There are numerous sites in the<br/>Coffs North Boambee and Korora<br/>Basins where restoration works<br/>can be undertaken to improve<br/>LOCAL biodiversity outcomes or<br/>local riparian systems of<br/>Newports, Coffs, Tree Fern<br/>Jordons &amp; Pine Brush Creeks ACT<br/>LOCAL (refer attached maps)</li> </ul> |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| VOL 6A<br>Executive | Urban Design | 1.5 Guidance<br>and policy | Biodiversity Guidelines:<br>Protecting and | Considering failures on the Sapphire<br>to Woolgoolga upgrade & other  |
| Summary             |              | page 16                    | managing biodiversity on RTA               | areas suggest these documents need   |
| Summary             |              | puge 10                    | projects,                                  | revision to consider previously  |
|                     |              |                            | September 2011                             | discussed design & implementation  |
|                     | 1            | 1                          |  | alseassea aesign & implementation  |
|                     |              |                            |  | failures.  |

| Design<br>guideline to improve the<br>quality,<br>safety and cost effectiveness of<br>green<br>infrastructure in road corridors,<br>December 2018 | Planting of unsuitable species and<br>accidental introduction of invasive<br>species and almost no consideration<br>of future maintenance requirements<br>that design would require. This has<br>left portions of the hwy upgrades in<br>a weed dominated & degraded state  |
|---|---|
|   | <ul> <li>Death of numerous fruit bats<br/>in early sept 2019 as feeding<br/>on Callistemon (Bottlebrush)<br/>cultivar planted directly<br/>adjacent to highway edge. No<br/>planting of fauna attracting<br/>plants alongside main road<br/>edges or in centre of dual<br/>lanes.</li> <li>Gaudrons Road interchange<br/>sapphire- The manner of this<br/>landscaping is uncreative,<br/>unmaintainable &amp; hideous! -<br/>introduced Elaeocarpus from<br/>Queensland &amp; Strelitiza both<br/>with known weed potential-<br/>now dominated by exotic<br/>grasses and weedy juvenile<br/>pinus sp-recently<br/>powersprayed which resulted<br/>in many Strelitiza now<br/>yellowing, not the best look.</li> </ul> |

|  | PLEASE STOP PLANTING THIS                               |
|--|---|
|  | PLANT TAKE IT OFF YOUR                                  |
|  | LISTS as is <b>Pink Euodia</b>                          |
|  | ( <i>Melicope elleryana</i> ) its                       |
|  | southern limit is Iluka and it a                        |
|  | weed of coffs wetlands                                  |
|  | introduced by the nursery                               |
|  | indusrty  |
|  | Betwn Mailmans Track &                                  |
|  |   |
|  | Bellingen turn off-area                                 |
|  | planted approx. 20 years ago-                           |
|  | unsuitable species used have<br>now caused issues & the |
|  |   |
|  | whole area is becoming                                  |
|  | degraded & weed   |
|  | dominated-Introduced                                    |
|  | Queensland Melaleuca-                                   |
|  | Camphor laurel beginning to                             |
|  | dominate and no   |
|  | maintenance apart from                                  |
|  | occasional powerspraying                                |
|  | (which only creates more                                |
|  | weed issues in long                                     |
|  | term)integrated pest                                    |
|  | management required                                     |
|  |   |
|  | There are great examples of creative                    |
|  | highway landscaping projects I have                     |
|  | seen in Australia & overseas surely, we                 |
|  | can do better in NSW the biodiversity                   |

|                     |              |                            |   | state   |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---|---|
|                     |              |                            |   | Maintenance requirements will always<br>be greater in areas of high light levels eg<br>edge area, revegetation areas, garden-<br>landscape areas. This is exacerbated on<br>the north coast with high rainfall & good<br>soil fertility resulting in periods of rapid<br>plant growth (incl weeds) within the<br>'wet season' especially. Plant growth<br>patterns here are vastly different to<br>areas further south. |
|                     |              |                            |   | These requirements can be mitigated<br>somewhat with good planning and. RMS<br>needs to urgently review policies<br>regarding vegetation management and<br>local councils should not have to foot<br>the bill for bad initial planning of<br>Landscaped areas.  |
|                     |              |                            |   | Input from accredited AABR<br>representatives (Australian Association<br>of Bushland Regeneration) and local<br>knowledge (eg CHCC Bush Regen Team)<br>would be greatly beneficial  |
| VOL 6A<br>Executive | Urban Design | 1.5 Guidance<br>and policy | Of relevance to the UDLCVIA is                | Why are areas of Lowland<br>Subtropical rainforest being  |
| Summary             |              | page 17                    | Goal 1 which<br>relates to "the most stunning | cleared?? A highly threatened<br>ecosystem -listed EEC  |

|                                  |                | environment<br>in NSW" outlines applicable key<br>directions<br>and actions:<br>• Direction 2: Enhance<br>biodiversity,<br>coastal and aquatic habitats,<br>and water<br>catchments<br>- Actions - Focus development<br>to<br>areas of least biodiversity<br>sensitivity<br>in the region and implement the<br>'avoid, minimise, offset'<br>hierarchy to<br>biodiversity, including areas of<br>high<br>environmental value. | Removal of Koala habitat in major<br>corridor area (Lindsays cutting)  |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Concept<br>Analysis<br>Chapter 3 |                |  |  |
|                                  | 3.3 Vegetation | <i>Opportunities<br/>Develop species mixes to reflect<br/>and<br/>enhance the local natural<br/>species</i>  | Support all the Opportunities listed on<br>page<br>However, the selection of species<br>needs to be undertaken in<br>conjunction with local knowledge<br>e.g. CHCC Bush Regeneration to<br>avoid introduction of unsuitable<br>species as on Sapphire to |

|  |  |  | Woologoola upgrade<br>There is also an opportunity to<br>create a low maintenance landscape<br>that serves aesthetic, screening and<br>biological functions whilst not<br>requiring high resources for<br>maintenance.   |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | 3.7 Fauna<br>connectivity                        | opportunities  | Additional opportunity to contribute<br>to the riparian restoration programs<br>that are being undertaken in CHCC<br>Reserves adjacent to all major<br>creeklines in the project apart from<br>Jordans Creek to increase local<br>biodiversity outcomes and assist in<br>the restoration of all habitat linkages<br>in the Coffs and North Boambee<br>Basins.<br><b>Fauna crossings need to be</b> |
|  |  |  | maintained to provide for good<br>biodiversity outcomes  |
|  | 3.9 Transport                                    | opportunities  | Potential for cycleway so no biking<br>on edge of highway is necessary to<br>get into town   |
|  | 3.12 Cultural<br>and Urban<br>Landscapes<br>p.42 | <b>Biological significance</b><br>The vegetation in the park<br>provides habitat<br>for a range of threatened and<br>significant<br>species and populations, | Incorrect species name- correct name is<br>Zieria prostrata  |

|   | including the only<br>population of the endangered<br>low-growing<br>form of the small shrub <b>Zieria</b><br><b>smithii</b>   |   |
|---|--|---|
| 4.1 The<br>strategy<br>Vision and<br>Objectives<br>p.44 | 2. Provide a well<br>vegetated, natural<br>road reserve<br>Considering the wider<br>landscape and remnant<br>native forests looking for<br>opportunities to create<br>ecological connections<br>along the highway corridor<br>while enhancing the visual<br>integration of the highway<br>into the landscape with<br>revegetation responding to<br>adjacent plant communities. | Also consult with CHCC re<br>restoration works in CHCC Reserve<br>complex on habitat corridors within<br>the North Boambee Coffs & Korora<br>Basins. Incorporate into Strategy<br>and support current restoration<br>projects within the area that are<br>dissected by the hwy footprint.<br>Incorporate local information and<br>input in any decisions on plant<br>species selection to avoid<br>inappropriate species choices. CHCC<br>Bush Regeneration or CHCC weed<br>services, local ecologists and ABBR<br>(Australian Association of Bush<br>Regenerators) accredited<br>consultants.<br>Species selection should reflect<br>existing vegetation types. Species<br>should be <b>local indigenous species</b><br>only within the scope of |
|   |  | Revegetation works (note endemic is defined as a species that is ONLY   |

|   |   | known from that region eg Moonee<br>Quassia, indigenous species are all<br>species that naturally occur within a<br>given region). Landscape plantings<br>should not contain known weed<br>species or species that have the<br>ability to spread into native bushland<br>eg Deities, Giant Bird of Paradise,<br>Pink Euodia, Acacia sp. Again, local<br>consultation required eg CHCC                            |
|---|---|--|
|   |   | Consideration should be given to<br>incorporating native indigenous<br>rainforest species within the<br>restoration areas reflecting that the<br>hwy passes through areas that once<br>would have been spectacular<br>Lowland Rainforest especially on the<br>south facing slops near Mackays &<br>Gatelys rd and along Pinebrush,<br>Newports, Tree Fern and Coffs Creek<br>Non fauna attracting species should |
|   |   | be planted within close proximity to<br>the road corridor eg Callistemon<br>cultivar causing Fruit Bat fatalities  |
| 4.2 Landscape<br>design<br>Approach<br>P.46 | Consider how maintenance and<br>irrigation can be kept to a<br>minimum with<br>the use of native species in | As discussed under 1.5 above<br>Considering failures on the Sapphire<br>to Woolgoolga upgrade & other<br>areas suggest these documents need  |

| 'natural'                      | revision to consider previously              |
|--------------------------------|--|
| informal planting arrangements | discussed design & implementation            |
| as the                         | failures.                                    |
| predominant plant matrix       |  |
|                                | Planting of unsuitable species and           |
|                                | accidental introduction of invasive          |
|                                | species and almost no consideration          |
|                                | of future maintenance requirements           |
|                                | that design would require. This has          |
|                                | left portions of the hwy upgrades in         |
|                                | a weed dominated & degraded state.           |
|                                | Maintenance requirements will always         |
|                                | be greater in areas of high light levels eg  |
|                                | edge area, revegetation areas, garden-       |
|                                | landscape areas. This is exacerbated on      |
|                                | the north coast with high rainfall & good    |
|                                | soil fertility resulting in periods of rapid |
|                                | plant growth (incl weeds) within the         |
|                                | 'wet season' especially. Plant growth        |
|                                | patterns here are vastly different to        |
|                                | areas further south.                         |
|                                |  |
|                                | These requirements can be mitigated          |
|                                | somewhat with good planning and. RMS         |
|                                | needs to urgently review policies            |
|                                | regarding vegetation management and          |
|                                | local councils should not have to foot       |
|                                | the bill for bad initial planning of         |
|                                | Landscaped areas.                            |

| 4.2 Landscape<br>design<br>Approach<br>Design criteria<br>P.47 | Existing watercourses<br><b>3</b> Opportunities to enhance<br>watercourses through<br>riparian planting and shading of<br>water courses<br><b>4</b> Revegetated and protected<br>watercourses provide | As per above 3.7 Fauna connectivity<br>Additional opportunity to contribute<br>to the riparian restoration programs<br>that are being undertaken in CHCC<br>Reserves adjacent to all major creek<br>lines in the project apart from<br>Jordans Creek to increase local<br>biodiversity outcomes and assist in<br>the restoration of all habitat linkages<br>in the Coffs and North Boambee<br>Basins. |
|--|---|---|
|  | fauna pathways under the<br>highway   | Fauna crossings need to be<br>maintained to provide for good<br>biodiversity outcomes. Many are in a<br>degraded state eg Lindsays cutting<br>weed growth inhibiting entry. This<br>issue of maintenance needs urgent<br>attention by the RMS.  |
| 4.2 Landscape<br>design<br>Approach<br>Design criteria<br>P.47 | Main alignment treatment<br><b>2</b> Retain significant large trees<br>and existing patches of<br>native vegetation where<br>possible   | Concerned where Old growth<br>remnant rainforest will be cleared at<br>Korora interchange. This small area<br>has trees of significant age and<br>deserves protection as the last<br>remnant trees of this type within<br>Korora. Please work to protect these,   |

| <b>3</b> Vegetated median planted<br>with frangible species to<br>match existing environment  | as remnant riparian rainforest<br>vegetation on Pinebrush and<br>Newports Creeks<br>'Frangible' ??? Just as long as they're<br>not invasive in local area and NOT<br>with fruit or flowers that attract<br>native animals. Planning here will<br>mitigate future costly maintenance<br>issues |
|---|---|
| Raised highway<br><b>3</b> Large rock fill and cut batters<br>will comprise mostly<br>grasses and small shrubs. In<br>some areas where it is<br>not feasible to revegetate rock<br>batters these could<br>be used as feature element of<br>the project with local<br>native tree plantings at base of<br>large rock fill batters<br>to soften visual impact | Idea of "small shrubs & grasses"<br>sound like a maintenance nightmare  |
| <b>5</b> Integration of vegetated<br>mounding to address<br>potential noise issues<br>associated with project   | Depending on aspect and height of<br>mound this idea too has many issues<br>re successful plant establishment &<br>weed growth. High maintenance<br>over long term  |