

- Wind Energy Visual Assessment Bulletin was written in December 2016, out of date now with the latest turbines proposed to be 250-300 metres in height.  
<https://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/-/media/Files/DPE/Bulletins-and-Community-Updates/wind-energy-visual-assessment-bulletin-2016-12.pdf>
- Wind Energy: Noise Assessment Bulletin written in December 2016 - out of date - turbines much bigger than anticipated in 2016.  
<https://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/-/media/Files/DPE/Bulletins-and-Community-Updates/wind-energy-noise-assessment-bulletin-2016-12.pdf>
- Neighbouring agricultural airstrip on Turee, adjacent to the wind farm boundary, not mentioned in the EIS. This is an excellent airstrip with a large water supply that is used for agricultural aerial applications. This airstrip is one of few in the district that can accommodate the largest ag aircraft, the 802 Air Tractor. This airstrip has access to 200,000 litres of water.
- During the Sir Ivan Fire in 2017 large aircraft were used, flying at low levels, to bomb the fire front. The body of fuel in the community now is much greater than prior to the Sir Ivan Fire. With more than 370 wind turbines in excess of 250 metres high together with transmission lines the large firebombing aircraft will be severely restricted. Will the Department of Planning and Environment and UPC/AC take full responsibility for adverse outcomes resulting from restricting the community's access to fire management resources?
- Falsehood in EIS, page 66 of the EIS (figure 2.4) states that the Eastern Cluster was removed to reduce cumulative impact. This reported cluster included all of Turee and Old Turee. At no stage were Turee or Old Turee (or likely anyone else in the Eastern Cluster) ever a part of the wind farm project. This is a deliberately misleading statement and attempts to foster the belief that UPC/AC have taken into account the cumulative impact of the Tilt - Liverpool Range wind farm project.
- Lack of consultation: Most people finding out on publication of EIS how they will be impacted. UPC/AC established a shop front this week (final week of EIS submission). By day 2 the posters had all fallen down. There hasn't been any approach to show how badly affected the Turee creek valley will be. Consultation has been tokenistic at best.
- Combined effects of 2 huge wind farms / 370+ 250m turbines on one rural community is too much (projects in other communities vary from 30 to 120).
- The EIS for the proposed project does not detail how the project will connect into the transmission grid.
- The UPC/AC Valley of the Winds project will have a transformational negative impact on the Turee Creek Valley due to:
  - their visual impact on property and particularly dwellings given the turbines will be seen from primary living spaces;

- the noise impact - given the properties are located within the 25dB noise contour. Currently there is very little to no noise but there will be turbine noise, particularly at night;
- negative impact to the scenic value of our properties.

The above will result in the devaluation of all properties along Tongy Lane. For these reasons all landholders unanimously object to the Valley of the Winds project.