

In objecting to these modifications, I submit that according to your report transcript at;

[file:///C:/Users/gches/Downloads/M4-M5%20Link%20MOD%202%20Modification%20Report Chapter%209 Small%20file%20.pdf](file:///C:/Users/gches/Downloads/M4-M5%20Link%20MOD%202%20Modification%20Report%20Chapter%209%20Small%20file%20.pdf)

under heading 9.1 Need for the modification, the stated outcomes the modification would achieve relate solely to considerations of cost, expediency and traffic movement. I submit these are short term views based on expediency not liveability and ignore that history shall judge the building of such an overpass on waterfront land in a residential area to be beyond defence.

There can be no justification for this vandalism of the natural beauty of this rare waterfront site and thus an alternative must be found. This proposed ramp on the waterfront is in complete opposition to the Multi-million dollar developments of the fish market and super yacht marina in this precinct and will be an embarrassing eyesore for our city. I respectfully submit a complete rework of the proposal is necessary to ensure history like the Cahill expressway is not repeated.