RE: Alterations and Additions to President Private Hospital. SSD-10320

We **OBJECT** the prosed development.

We have made NO political donations within the last two years.

To the NSW Dept of Planning Industry & Environment.

Dear Reviewer.

We write to express our concerns, disgust and **OBJECTION** of the planned development known as the 'President Private Hospital' SSD 10320.

In the first instance, our local council "Sutherland Shire Council' reviewed these plans twice and listened to the concerns of all the local residence and community, and **REJETED** the development. Why is this now being reconsidered again?

The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!

The development proposal includes the demolition of the Important Heritage listed and protected centry old HOTHATHAM HOUSE, which is a landmark of our local area, our heritage of Kirrawee from first times as a rural area. Why is this being allowed? **The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!**

The development will increase the risk of additional accidents and injuries with increased vehicle movements where driveways will be on both Hotham Road and President Avenue, which is already overly congested. How long will it be before they turn Bidurgal Avenue also into a driveway? *The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!*

The area has lots of young children that transvers this busy intersection to attend schools. The increased traffic and driveways will increase the risk of accidents and injury, where there have already been many close calls. When will our children's safety be considered in the development of this commercial business in a **RESIDENTIAL AREA?**The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!

The current hospital has already caused many issues of staff and visitors parking in either areas not designated for parking or filling all parking spots at corners thus causing blind spots (I have personally had many close calls of vehicle accidents from this and expressed this issue to the local council). The hospitals current staff and visitors park in local RESIDENTIAL streets, affecting us local residences where the surrounding streets are narrow and not designed for this increase. With the proposed development, the staff and visitor numbers will greatly increase, where the hospital has vastly insufficient on-site parking to cater for the additional numbers. Why should the local residence have to suffer while the Private Hospital benefits from the impact they will directly cause us?

The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!

You also need to consider the amount the young children that live on Bidurgal Avenue and Hotham Road, which is in excess of 20 under the age of 16. Why is the impact of this commercial development considered more important than our young children's safety? *The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!*

The total construction process will also cause a massive impact to us, the local residence.

- Noise from the construction of the development,
- The creation of dust and dirtying our properties,
- The construction workers parking in our already congested street,
- The increase risk of accidents in injuries,
- The rodents (mice and rats) being displaced from the demolition process (Yes they do have a problem, as our cat used to bring one home at least once a week!),
- The risk of additional THIEFT from construction workers being able to look into our properties and back yards, where they can monitor our movements and what we have.
- The additional mess left on our streets from trades people,

The Development of such a large commercial development in a **RESIDENTAL AREA** should not be allowed!

The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!

Why is our personal privacy not important and the profits of the Hospital more important? The three-level development will not only be imposing over our small homes in comparison, the management, staff, hospital residence and visitors will be able look straight into out properties! This will take away from our personal space and privacy (family and children), increase the risk of theft from thieves watching our movements and personal property, hinder us to live as we please in our own back yards and more than likely later prevent us as RESIDENCE to have parties that will somehow impact the hospital. Why is this commercial hospital development more important than our own privacy in a RESIDENTIAL area.

The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!

The dramatic increase in cars and foot traffic, and strangers around the immediate quite and private streets will impact our wellbeing, personal and property security, safety of our children and elderly, changing our proud clean and tidy street with the rubbish discarded by strangers. This long term impact is not **acceptable**!

The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!

The increase in noise and traffic within this quite and private RESIDENTIAL area from the proposed development is not acceptable, where a commercial business intends to profit at the expense of the RESIDENTS. All residents are proactive for improvements to our local area, however when this impacts in such a negative and destructive way, to our lives, neighbours, community and the local area, it is clear that such a development needs to be STOPPED. The Hospital should in fact relocate to a more suitable area within a commercial development area. Make no mistake, the hospital does NOT give back to the community, does NOT engage with the residence, does NOT provide a service to the local community, only to the profit of a quick and fast dollar for day surgery. The greed of the hospital slowly planning the development by purchasing residential property to convert to grouse and large commercial property, by steam rolling residents, is very underhanded, un communal and un

Australian. If the local council understood this and rejected the development, why would you, as the *NSW Dept of Planning Industry & Environment* go against the local residence and councils needs.

The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!

The planned proposal will also greatly impact our now predominantly young family orientated residents and in turn our residential property values downward, due to the impact of this oversized monolithic commercial hospital development. The current area is lucky in that with the local flora and environment providing natural fauna to be present in birds, blue tonged lizards, possums and the ability for our residents to have pets such cats, dogs and even chickens, with enchanted gardens and vegetable patch's. Why is the commercial greed more important than our home and the Australian dream?

The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!

The environmental impact of this development is also in question. While the architects and engineers may elude or state that the development poses no impact to the environment and residence, we rebuff this as it stands in a RESIDENTAL area. The light pollution from the massive frontage on President Avenue and Hotham road will DEFINATLY have an impact directly and indirectly. This also extends to the surrounding residents on Bidurgal Avenue, where no amount of deceptive modelling can hide this visual fact. The noise generated from mechanical plants, pumps and when activated, electrical generators, together with patrons will defiantly have an impact on the noise levels of the area, where we currently have pleasant silence. This will not only affect the wellbeing of all residence during the day and night, but also animals in cats, dogs and chickens. The vast size of the development will also affect our visual site lines of the residential urban area by looking into an ugly development, where it will also affect our southerly winds that we have come to enjoy as part of the local environmental appeal. While the development facade and shape may be suitable in a commercial area, it is by no means synergistic of the local residential area.

The development is deemed not appropriate and should be rejected!

We await your review and response to our concerns of this development, with a returned letter.