

Submission in support of rejection of Application No SSD10395

Statement 1- SAMPLE SIZE OF PUBLIC

I note that in their paperwork relating to seeking public acceptance or rejection of their project their sample size was 2285 persons of which 1200 were based in Greater Sydney Area whilst in the affected area (Blacktown) only 415 persons were sought?

It astounds me that two such large organisations did not appreciate that their project would or could be subjected to a wider sample size and correctly gain the relative huge populations support or otherwise? It is suspicious that this project has the support of these two large organisations who are no strangers to seeking popular support for the success of their projects both in the long and short terms?!

I note that if these two organisations were forced to seek support from affected communities adjacent to and affected by resultant pollutions to their suburb's relative clean and fresh air a huge response would be garnered against such a project being placed in a built up area full of family homes!

Statement 2 – IMPACT ON ENVIRONMENT FROM CURRENT RECYCLING PLANT AT EASTERN CREEK

It is commonly accepted that the current recycling plant at Eastern Creek has continually failed to reduce the smell associated with its recycling activities at Eastern Creek.

Regular travellers along both sides of M7 recognise that they have arrived at Eastern Creek vicinity due to the overwhelming smell being produced at this establishment? One can only wonder if another operation was established in this area would compliment or add to the smell pollution experienced by residents across the M7 and in industrial areas nearby.

It would be such a travesty to our environment in this location to add to current 'air pollution' With an incinerator adding another layer of damaged air?

One could only imagine what was claimed by this business when it first established its business on their site as to no smell pollution being emitted from their operations back when?

Statement 3 – FAILURE OF CLEANAWAY TO OPERATE THEIR CURRENT BUSINESS OF RETURN&EARN

I have noted in a number of Cleanaway sites that they care less about the environments surrounding their RE sites!?

It is a common occurrence that whilst these sites are regularly cleaned they do not provide adequate industrial bins to accept rubbish which fails to enter the small domestic size bins which they commonly use.

The resultant mess of cardboard boxes and plastic bags used by their customers is simply left to blow around neighbourhood of RE sites?!

For such a large and no doubt profitable operation it is interesting to note that their proposed incinerator would also experience similar attitudes towards our environment both as to cleanliness and their alleged control of pollutants?

I might be timid enough to suggest that a more proper location more central and away from Western Sydney Parklands location of their incinerator should be incorporated in the site planning of our new Western Sydney Airport?

CLOSING COMMENT

I note that recent restriction on recycling of green wastes has been introduced because of the presence of heavy metals in materials formerly available for general use which has forced councils to divert to landfill? One wonders as to why the industry creating this material should not be required to determine which input should be removed and allow the continued recycling as in the past.

I cannot accept that this incinerator will not eventually lead to a pollution of my family's fresh air a quality which has been improving given the great work of Blacktown Council in greening our LGA and our precious Western Sydney Parklands

