



CITY OF PARRAMATTA

Naomi Moss
Department of Planning and Environment
320 Pitt Street
Sydney 2000

via email: naomi.moss@planning.nsw.gov.au

Your Reference	SSI 8285
Our Reference	F2016/02180
Contact	Paula Tomkins
Telephone	9806 5796
Email	ptomkins@cityofparramatta.nsw.gov.au

23 November 2018

Dear Naomi,

Administrative Modification for Parramatta Light Rail Stage 1 (SSI 8285)

Thank you for referring the Administrative Modification for Parramatta Light Rail Stage 1 (SSI 8285) to Council for comment.

Council staff have reviewed the modification and generally have no concerns in relation to the minor editorial changes. In relation to the other changes, comments are set out in the table at Attachment 1. I note that where a proposed change is not addressed in the attached table, Council has no comment to make.

Should you have any queries in relation to Council's comments please contact Paula Tomkins on 9806 5796.

Yours Sincerely,

Mark Leotta
A/Director Strategic Outcomes and Development

Contact us:

council@cityofparramatta.nsw.gov.au | 02 9806 5050
@cityofparramatta | PO Box 32, Parramatta, NSW 2124
ABN 49 907 174 773 | cityofparramatta.nsw.gov.au

Attachment 1

Contact us:

council@cityofparramatta.nsw.gov.au | 02 9806 5050
@cityofparramatta | PO Box 32, Parramatta, NSW 2124
ABN 49 907 174 773 | cityofparramatta.nsw.gov.au

Condition	Council Comment	Council Recommendation
A23	TfNSW are seeking greater flexibility for the Environmental Representative to assess and approve ancillary facilities if the ER determines that it would have a minor environmental impact. The change proposed would result in giving greater responsibility for the ER. Based on the definition of 'ancillary' facility' in the Infrastructure Approval, Council would prefer that the change to the condition not be made. If the condition is changed, a definition of 'minor ancillary facility' should be provided and the ER only given power to approve facilities within the definition.	Inclusion of a definition for minor ancillary facility
C8	Council does not object to the proposed change but suggests some additional wording.	'Construction must not commence until the CEMP and any CEMP Sub-plan specified in Condition C3 have been submitted to, or, <u>where required</u> , approved by the Secretary. The CEMP and CEMP Sub-plans as submitted to, or, <u>where required</u> , approved by the Secretary, including any minor amendments approved by the ER must be implemented for the duration of construction. Where construction of the CSSI is staged, construction of a stage must not commence until the CEMP and Sub-plans for that stage have been submitted to, or, <u>where required</u> , approved by the Secretary'
E6	TfNSW are requesting to change the requirement for condition reports to only where the physical condition is likely to be adversely affected during works. Council is concerned that TfNSW or their contractors may not always be able to assess prior to works commencing whether an asset may be adversely affected. As such, Council would prefer that the condition remain unchanged. It is noted that the nature of a condition report should reflect the type of works to be undertaken. Therefore, should minor works be proposed, the relevant condition report would not be of such a detailed nature, ensuring that this requirement is not overly onerous for TfNSW.	No change to condition

E11	TfNSW are proposing to limit the need for a Parking Management Strategy to spaces lost on a permanent or long term (greater than 3 months) basis. Parking is a key issue for the Parramatta community therefore Council would prefer that the condition remains unchanged. If DPE support a change, Council would prefer that the timeframe for long term parking loss be reduced as much as possible from the proposed 3 months.	No change to condition
E27	Proposed changes will permit highly noise intensive works outside the regular permitted hours through the out-of-hours work protocol. Council's concern is that the possible long term presence of out of hour works may disrupt sensitive receivers and special institutions named under condition E31. It is our preference that the management of highly noise intensive works be undertaken in collaboration with the Environment Protection Authority. Condition E28, which sets the requirements for the Out-Of-Hours Work Protocol does not require consultation with the EPA, therefore Council would prefer that the condition is not changed.	No change to condition. Should DPE support proposed change, ensure that conditions E31, E32 and E37 still apply to highly noise intensive works occurring out-of-hours.
E28	Concern that the vague wording of the new paragraph will allow for unintended consequences; in particular, normal noise intensive works which may also utilise the out-of-hours protocol.	Amend the new 'Note' paragraph to refer specifically to E25 (b)
E62, E64, E67, E70, E71	Council is generally satisfied with the changes proposed subject to endorsement by the Office of Environment and Heritage. TfNSW are seeking to change the wording of the condition to allow for sub-surface artefacts to be salvaged following demolition. It is suggested that, rather than change the wording as proposed, it may be preferable to provide an exception for sub-surface artefacts. Council has some reservations in response to the changes proposed for condition E78 but will be guided by Office of Environment and Heritage in relation to the changes proposed to historical archaeology conditions.	Suggested amendment as follows: Following archival recording as required by Condition E70, and before demolition (except for sub-surface artefacts), the Proponent must salvage...
E72, E78		