

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....12/11.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: CHERYL COLLINS Suburb: ERSKINEVILLE Postcode: 2043
Street Address: 53 ASHMORE ST Email Address: cheryla@cherylcollinsdesign.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- II. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- III. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- IV. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- V. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- VI. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- VII. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- VIII. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- IX. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- X. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- XI. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- XII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- XIII. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- XIV. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- XV. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- XVI. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- XVII. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: S. Holmes Suburb: Stannmore Postcode: 2048
Street Address: 94 Cambridge St Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Brian Nilson Suburb: Bexley Postcode: 2207
Street: 9/2 Monomeek St
Address: _____ Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- b. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- c. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- d. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- e. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- f. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- g. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- h. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- i. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- j. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- k. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- l. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- m. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- o. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- p. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- q. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

.....,,,,,
Name: Sarah Patterson Suburb: Camperdown Postcode: 2050
Street
Address: 10/47 Briggs St. Camperdown Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....16/11.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- II. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- III. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- IV. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- V. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- VI. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- VII. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- VIII. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- IX. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- X. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- XI. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- XII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- XIII. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- XIV. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- XV. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- XVI. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- XVII. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Penny Downy Suburb: Camperdown Postcode: 2050
Street
Address: 16/1 Libbens St Email Address: pjdowny@optusnet.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date..... 6/11

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
2. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
3. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
4. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
5. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
6. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
7. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
8. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
9. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
10. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
11. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
12. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
13. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
15. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
16. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
17. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Paul Repetto Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 14 Wicks St Email Address: Paul.Repetto@epw.nsw.gov.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date..... 16/11.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- B. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- C. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- D. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- E. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- F. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- G. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- H. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- I. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- J. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- K. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- L. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- M. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- O. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- P. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- Q. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Angela Rattus Suburb: CAMBRIDGE GONS Postcode: 2747
Street
Address: 4 CITESERTON CRT Email Address: achayse770@gmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- II. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- III. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- IV. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- V. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- VI. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- VII. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- VIII. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- IX. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- X. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- XI. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- XII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- XIII. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- XIV. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- XV. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- XVI. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- XVII. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

.....",
Name: Valma Wright Suburb: Campanvale Postcode: 2050
Street
Address: 56 Danisow Strat Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....16/11.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

01. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
02. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
03. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
04. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
05. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
06. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
07. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
08. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
09. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
10. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
11. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
12. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
13. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
15. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
16. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
17. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Marvin Owen Suburb: Mosman Postcode: 2088
Street
Address: 4176 Muston Street Email Address: marvinowen2802@outlook.com


Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date... 17 JUN 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ◆ No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- ◆ The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- ◆ Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- ◆ The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- ◆ Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- ◆ Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- ◆ The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- ◆ Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- ◆ The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- ◆ The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- ◆ The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- ◆ No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- ◆ The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- ◆ Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- ◆ I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- ◆ The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.


 Name: PETER CHEN Suburb: Camperdown Postcode: 2050
 Street: 104 Denison Email Address: pkchen@yahoo.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- b. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- c. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- d. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- e. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- f. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- g. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- h. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- i. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- j. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- k. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- l. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- m. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- o. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- p. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- q. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Angela Roche Suburb: Leichhardt Postcode: 2040
Street: Foster St Email Address: roche36@hotmail

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....16/11.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- First. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- Second. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- Third. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- Fourth. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- Fifth. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- Sixth. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- Seventh. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- Eighth. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- Ninth. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- Tenth. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- Eleventh. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- Twelfth. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- Thirteenth. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- Fourteenth. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- Fifteenth. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- Sixteenth. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- Seventeenth. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: A. Solomon Suburb: Croydon Postcode: 2136
Street Address: 62 Liverpool Rd Croydon Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ◆ No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- ◆ The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- ◆ Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- ◆ The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- ◆ Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- ◆ Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- ◆ The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- ◆ Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- ◆ The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- ◆ The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- ◆ The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- ◆ No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- ◆ The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- ◆ Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- ◆ I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- ◆ The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- ◆ Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Brian Jones Suburb: Kogarah Postcode: 2217
Street Address: 6/6 Sider St Email Address: bey62@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
2. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
3. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
4. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
5. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
6. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
7. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
8. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
9. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
10. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
11. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
12. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
13. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
15. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
16. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
17. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Anne Skilton Suburb: Camperdown Postcode: 2050
Street
Address: 71 Denison St, Camperdown Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 16/11/11

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ◆ No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- ◆ The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- ◆ Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- ◆ The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- ◆ Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- ◆ Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- ◆ The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- ◆ Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- ◆ The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- ◆ The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- ◆ The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order to justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- ◆ No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- ◆ The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- ◆ Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- ◆ I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- ◆ The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.

Name: A. MacIntyre Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 210A Denison St Newtown Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 16/11

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- b. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- c. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- d. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- e. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- f. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- g. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- h. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- i. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- j. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- k. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- l. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- m. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- o. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- p. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- q. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: D. SHAZAN Suburb: ENMORE Postcode: 2002
Street
Address: 44 Jubilee St Enmore Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....16/11

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- ii. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- iii. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- iv. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- v. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- vi. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- vii. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- viii. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- ix. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- x. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- xi. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- xii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- xv. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- xvi. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- xvii. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: IAN KENNEDY Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 77 BURDEN ST Email Address: leebeamber@bigpond.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 16/11/11

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- II. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- III. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- IV. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- V. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- VI. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- VII. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- VIII. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- IX. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- X. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- XI. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- XII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- XIII. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- XIV. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- XV. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- XVI. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- XVII. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Rachel Deacon Suburb: Enmore Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 9/7 Tupper St Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Glen Sinner Suburb: MURRUMBidge PARK Postcode: 2143
Street
Address: 31 DUNDASGONNIBS ST Email Address: avkgs5@bigpond.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....16/11

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
2. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
3. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
4. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
5. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
6. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
7. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
8. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
9. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
10. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
11. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
12. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
13. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
15. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
16. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
17. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

Name: Panayiota Kremalas Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 104 Wilson St Email Address: panayiota7@hotmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1st. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- 2nd. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- 3rd. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- 4th. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- 5th. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- 6th. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- 7th. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- 8th. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- 9th. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- 10th. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- 11th. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- 12th. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- 13th. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- 14th. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- 15th. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- 16th. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- 17th. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

.....
Name: MIA CHAMPLEY Suburb: GREENACRE Postcode: 2190
Street
Address: 28 RON SCOTT CCT Email Address: m.champley@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- b. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- c. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- d. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- e. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- f. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- g. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- h. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- i. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- j. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- k. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- l. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- m. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- o. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- p. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- q. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

.....

Name: PETER CARRIS Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 97 Wilson St Email Address: cliffh1@netspace.net.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date.....

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place.
- B. The EIS relies for its justification of Westconnex M5 on endlessly building tollways, leaving much of Sydney with a grim future of car dependency
- C. Alexandria, Enmore, Newtown and other suburbs will suffer deteriorating air quality due to traffic congestion exposing residents to lung cancer and children to impaired lung development
- D. The EIS ignores the social and psychological impact of devastating the suburb of St Peters
- E. Westconnex M4 and M5 will remove 40 hectares of vegetation which cools suburbs and reduce our carbon footprint
- F. Residents affected by compulsory acquisitions being offered below-market prices for their homes and businesses, and the distress and trauma this has caused.
- G. The impact of hundreds of diesel trucks, dust and noise on communities including during years of construction. The cumulative impact of these should be added to the same problem with the M4 East.
- H. Westconnex M5 will pour traffic onto the Inner West local road network, adding to already costly and unhealthy traffic congestion.
- I. The inadequate analysis of the threat posed to the endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which even Westconnex admits may not survive the M5's construction and operation.
- J. The EIS provides no hard evidence about why alternatives won't work; there should be modelling of impact of traffic management along with increased public transport
- K. The EIS ignores publicly available scientific evidence of breeding events of Green and Golden Bell Frogs on Kogarah Golf Course in order justify risking one of two surviving colonies of these frogs in Sydney.
- L. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- M. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.
- O. I object to the use of an air quality model that hasn't been used in Australia before and which cannot be verified by the NSW EPA. There will be an increase in dangerous pollution in some areas close to the tollway portals, including near schools. It 's not acceptable for a government to deliberately place the health of citizens in jeopardy. I note that fine particle pollution can cause lung cancer and is particularly dangerous for the lungs of growing children.
- P. The EIS relies for its justification of its tollway on an Airport connection and Southern tollways for which there is no details or design available.
- Q. Westconnex will unfairly load Western suburbs residents up with tolls without enhancing public transport possibilities.

.....

Name: JEAN SEDWARD Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 97 Wilson St Email Address: jez296@netspace.net.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: JEAN RODRONS Suburb: NEW TOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 97 WILSON ST Email Address: jezrab@vetspace.net.au

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ❖ There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ❖ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ❖ The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- ❖ There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- ❖ The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- ❖ I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- ❖ Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- ❖ No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ❖ Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- ❖ Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- ❖ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ❖ It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- ❖ No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- ❖ Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Andri Hobday Suburb: Cherrybrook Postcode: 2046
Street
Address: 37 Brasars Cherrybrook Email Address: ac.hobday@gmail

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- B. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- C. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- D. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- E. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- F. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- G. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- H. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- I. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- J. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- K. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- L. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- M. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Caran Harle Suburb: Dee Why Postcode: 2099
Street Address: 10/16-18 Borman St Email Address: caran.harle@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Catherine Lamb Suburb: Dee Why Postcode: 2099
Street Address: 10/16-18 Baronia St Email Address: catherinelamb@lwe.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1) There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- 2) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 3) The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- 4) There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- 5) The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- 6) I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- 7) Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- 8) No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- 9) Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- 10) Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- 11) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 12) It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- 13) No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- 14) Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: John Haly Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 38 Darby St Email Address: johnhaly@irsitek

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: L. M'BURNIE Suburb: CAMPERDOWN Postcode: 2050
Street Address: 3/2-6 DUNBLANE ST. Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

L. M'Burnie

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ❖ There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ❖ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ❖ The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- ❖ There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- ❖ The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- ❖ I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- ❖ Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- ❖ No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ❖ Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- ❖ Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- ❖ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ❖ It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- ❖ No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- ❖ Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Rachel Plumble Suburb: Erskineville Postcode: 2043
Street
Address: 30/1 Pearl Street Email Address: rplumble@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name:

Lorrie Graham

Suburb:

Newtown

Postcode:

2042

Street

Address:

4/100 Connell St

Email Address:

lorrie.graham@pymenet.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Katharine Shaelin Suburb: Sydney Postcode: 2002
Street
Address: 7613/101 Bathurst St Sydney Email Address: KatharineShaelin@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Anel D'Isola Suburb: Marrickville Postcode: 2204
Street
Address: 371 Livingstone Rd Email Address: aneldes16@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a) There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c) The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d) There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e) The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f) I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g) Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h) No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i) Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j) Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l) It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m) No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n) Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Debra Slinning Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 155 Probert St Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Zo Zhou Suburb: Erskineville Postcode: 2043
Street
Address: 25D Prospect St Email Address: zo.zhou@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Penelope Lockley Suburb: Marrickville Postcode: 2204
Street Address: 1/410 Illawarra Rd Email Address: plockley@iinet.net.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: A Barry Suburb: Peakhurst Postcode: 2210
Street
Address: 7 Wattle St Email Address: louise.barry@live.com

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SS1 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Kirsty Suburb: Berala Postcode: 2099
Street
Address: 1178 Dulux Rd Berala Email Address: smith.kirsty72@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ii. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- iii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- iv. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- v. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- vi. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- vii. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- viii. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ix. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- x. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- xi. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- xii. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- xiii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: BEN WEBB Suburb: TWEED HEADS Postcode: 2485
Street
Address: 30 CHARLES ST Email Address: BENJAMINWEBB@hotmail

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Sophie GAUTIER Suburb: ALEXANDRIA Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 2 Mandible Email Address: sgautier29@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ii. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- iii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- iv. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- v. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- vi. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- vii. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- viii. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ix. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- x. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- xi. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- xii. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- xiii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Kyla Cassell Suburb: Belmore Postcode: 2192
Street
Address: 13 Garden St Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1) There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- 2) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 3) The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- 4) There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- 5) The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- 6) I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- 7) Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- 8) No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- 9) Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- 10) Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- 11) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 12) It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- 13) No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- 14) Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: RICHARD HANSEN Suburb: SYDNEY Postcode: 2044
Street
Address: 80 PARRAMATTA ST Email Address: richard.hansen@76p@hotmail.com
SYDNEY

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- B. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- C. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- D. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- E. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- F. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- G. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- H. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- I. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- J. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- K. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- L. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- M. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: SOPHIA WATSON Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 301/359 KING STREET Email Address: sophia.watson@live.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

01. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
02. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
03. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
04. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
05. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
06. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
07. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
08. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
09. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Jason Cocuzza Suburb: Ultimo Postcode: 2007
Street
Address: Barry Street 110 Email Address: lou.cocuzza@gmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Andrew McDonald Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 359 King St Email Address: andrew.m138@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- B. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- C. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- D. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- E. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- F. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- G. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- H. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- I. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- J. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- K. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- L. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- M. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Nicky Lancaster Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street: Leavinger Ave Email Address: Nickylancaster@bopad.a
Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: JO JAROSINSKA Suburb: GLEBE Postcode: 2037
Street
Address: 1008/34 WENTWORTH Email Address: jo.jarosinska@gmail.com
ST

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Kat Harley Suburb: Newtown Postcode: _____
Street _____
Address: _____ Email Address: kat-is-harley@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- B. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- C. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- D. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- E. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- F. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- G. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- H. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- I. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- J. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- K. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- L. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- M. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: STELLA McDONALD Suburb: CAMPERDOWN Postcode: 2056
Street Address: 9 MARMON ST Email Address: Stella.rose.mcdonald@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Anna Elliott Suburb: Redfern Postcode: 2016
Street: Vine St.
Address: _____ Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Laura McConnell Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street Address: 25/5463 Euston Rd Email Address: law a mconnell @hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a) There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c) The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d) There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e) The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f) I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g) Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h) No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i) Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j) Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l) It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m) No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n) Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Jessica Weir Suburb: Petersham Postcode: 2049
Street
Address: 15 Robert St Email Address: jessicaweir101@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ii. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- iii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- iv. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- v. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- vi. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- vii. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- viii. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ix. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- x. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- xi. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- xii. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- xiii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: STEVE NICKY Suburb: ENMORE Postcode: 2041
Street
Address: 29 HAMELSON ST Email Address: STEVENICKY1502@GMAIL.COM

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Dmitry Blash Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 92 Camden St Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- XIV. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Rebecca Stevens Suburb: Crows Nest Postcode: 2015
Street Address: Atchinson St Email Address: rebecca.stevens@students.nig.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- B. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- C. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- D. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- E. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- F. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- G. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- H. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- I. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- J. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- K. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- L. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- M. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Genevieve John Suburb: Camperdown Postcode: 2050
Street
Address: Missenden Road Email Address: genevieve_john@

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website notmarl.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a) There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c) The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d) There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e) The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- f) I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g) Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h) No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i) Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j) Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l) It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m) No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n) Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: JESSICA WEIR Suburb: PETERSHAM Postcode: 2049
Street
Address: 15 Robert St Email Address: JessicaWeir101@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- B. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- C. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- D. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- E. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- F. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- G. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- H. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- I. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- J. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- K. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- L. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- M. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Helen Conidaris Suburb: Marrickville Postcode: 2204
Street: _____
Address: _____ Email Address: hconidaris@iprimus.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

01. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
02. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
03. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
04. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
05. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
06. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
07. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
08. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
09. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: M. RODGER Suburb: WAHROONGA Postcode: _____
Street _____
Address: 45B RUSSELL AVE Email Address: michele.a.rodger@com-act.nsw.gov.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Suzanne Ferrie Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 65 Hardern St Email Address: suzie-ferrie@sswabs.nsw.gov.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ii. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- iii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- iv. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- v. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- vi. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- vii. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- viii. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ix. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- x. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- xi. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- xii. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- xiii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: SARAH HALL Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 7042
Street
Address: 1 Georgina St Email Address: emails4sarah@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- XIV. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Antonia Vucic Suburb: Telopea Postcode: 2117
Street
Address: 26/16 Robert St Email Address: antonavucic@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1) There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- 2) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 3) The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- 4) There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- 5) The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- 6) I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- 7) Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- 8) No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- 9) Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- 10) Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- 11) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 12) It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- 13) No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- 14) Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: S DONOHUE Suburb: MARRICKVILLE Postcode: 2204
Street Address: 37 WOODLAND ST Email Address: stephen.bluebird@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected

.....
Name: Anh Nguyen Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 205/21 Enmore Rd Email Address: anh@chicbooks.com.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- B. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- C. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- D. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- E. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- F. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- G. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- H. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- I. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- J. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- K. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- L. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- M. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Sophie GAUTIER Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 2 Mandible Email Address: sgautier29@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- XIV. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: KEN SAUNDERS Suburb: GLEBE Postcode: 2037
Street
Address: 2/359 GLEBE POINT RD Email Address: kensauanders1066@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Mel Tiyce Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street: 14 Simmons St Email Address: meltiyce@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a) There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c) The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d) There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e) The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f) I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g) Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h) No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i) Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j) Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l) It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m) No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n) Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: DELPHINE DUNN Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2061
Street
Address: 11572 KING ST Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- XIV. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Steph Mackenzie Suburb: Newtown Postcode: _____
Street _____
Address: _____ Email Address: steph.mackenzie@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: V. Challis Suburb: Marrickville Postcode: 2204
Street Address: 20 Fernbank St Email Address: ness.challis@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected

Name: SIMON CAHILL Suburb: ST PETERS Postcode: 2044
Street Address: 140 CHURCH ST Email Address: simoncahill75@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1) There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- 2) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 3) The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- 4) There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- 5) The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- 6) I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- 7) Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- 8) No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- 9) Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- 10) Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- 11) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 12) It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- 13) No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- 14) Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: LAWRENCE KEENAN Suburb: ALEXANDRIA Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 118 LAWRENCE ST Email Address: pel.keenan1958@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Anna Davis Suburb: Erskineville Postcode: 2043
Street Address: 8 Knight St Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SS1 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected

Name: J. Lowe Suburb: WATERLOO Postcode: 207
Street Address: 69/219 COPE ST. Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1) There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- 2) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 3) The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- 4) There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- 5) The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- 6) I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- 7) Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- 8) No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- 9) Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- 10) Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- 11) Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- 12) It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- 13) No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- 14) Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Rachel Downs Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 109 Union St Email Address: rqcheee@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ii. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- iii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- iv. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- v. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- vi. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- vii. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- viii. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ix. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- x. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- xi. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- xii. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- xiii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Suzanne Sund Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street: Garden St
Address: Garden St Email Address: Suzanne@ocho.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Ryan Collins Suburb: Zetland Postcode: 2017
Street Address: 89/3 Jeties Av Zetland Email Address: ryan.collins@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

01. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
02. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
03. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
04. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
05. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
06. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
07. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
08. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
09. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Kat Berringle Suburb: Enmore Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 34 Upper St Email Address: berringlekat@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ✦ There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ✦ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ✦ The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- ✦ There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- ✦ The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- ✦ I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- ✦ Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- ✦ No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ✦ Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- ✦ Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- ✦ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ✦ It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- ✦ No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- ✦ Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Judy Alford Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 271 Demson St Email Address: judy.alford@svha.org.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Mark Ribaldi Suburb: Marrickville Postcode: 2204
Street
Address: 1/81 Despuentes St Email Address: mark.ribaldi@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ✦ There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ✦ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ✦ The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- ✦ There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- ✦ The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- ✦ I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- ✦ Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- ✦ No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ✦ Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- ✦ Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- ✦ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ✦ It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- ✦ No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- ✦ Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Judith Bourke Suburb: ERSKINEVILLE Postcode: 2043
Street
Address: 24 Morrissey Rd Email Address: Judithbourke@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ii. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- iii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- iv. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- v. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- vi. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- vii. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- viii. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ix. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- x. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- xi. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- xii. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- xiii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Hanna Bloemen Suburb: Wazatah West Postcode: 2290
Street Address: 6 Coronation Street Email Address: bloemehj886@hotmail.com

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: BRUCE KELL Suburb: ENMORE Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 13 SIMMONS ST Email Address: brucekell6@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ii. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- iii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- iv. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- v. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- vi. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- vii. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- viii. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ix. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- x. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- xi. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- xii. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- xiii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Malcolm Marant Suburb: Belmore Postcode: 2192
Street
Address: 44 Kangara Rd Belmore Email Address: malinc@hotmail.com

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected

Name: David Borth Suburb: Abchurch Postcode: 2773
Street: 44 Abchurch Rd
Address: David Borth Email Address: david.borth@westconnex.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- B. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- C. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- D. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- E. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- F. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- G. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- H. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- I. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- J. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- K. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- L. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- M. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- N. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Madison Omer Suburb: Stammore Postcode: 2048
Street
Address: 2 Phillip Street Email Address: madison.omer@live.com.au
Stammore

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Alexander Weedy Newtown Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 5 Phillips St Email Address: alex.weedy@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- XIV. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Mirdelin Penna Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 23 Bucknell Street Email Address: mimpenna@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ii. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- iii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- iv. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- v. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- vi. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- vii. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- viii. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ix. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- x. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- xi. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- xii. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- xiii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Mike Kowroski Suburb: Dozele Postcode: 2039
Street
Address: 23 Dargan St Email Address: mikekowroski@yahoo.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: M. Barker Suburb: Camperdown Postcode: 2050
Street
Address: 13 St Marys St Email Address: mkb1920@gmail.com

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Eugene DYSON Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 3 Lyne St Email Address: eugenedyson@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Mel Tiyce Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street 14 Simmons St
Address: 14 Simmons St Email Address: meltiyce@hotmail.com

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Mariano Boales Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street: 903-511 King St Email Address: marianaz10@yahoo.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: Juliette Younger Suburb: Darlington Postcode: 2008
Street Address: 40 Lander St Email Address: julietteyounger@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: WENDY TRAN Suburb: BEZMORE Postcode: 2192
Street
Address: 44 YANCOORA RD Email Address: wendytran88@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ii. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- iii. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- iv. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- v. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- vi. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- vii. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- viii. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ix. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- x. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- xi. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- xii. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- xiii. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- xiv. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Kiki Dillon Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street: 1/539 KING ST Email Address: kikidillon@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
2. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
3. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
4. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
5. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
6. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
7. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
8. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
9. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
10. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
11. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
12. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
13. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
14. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: JASON SAMBROOK Suburb: ERSKINEVILLE Postcode: 2043
Street: 30 CLARA STREET Email Address: jssambrook@iinet.net.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- II. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- III. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- IV. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- V. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- VI. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- VII. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- VIII. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- IX. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- X. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- XI. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- XII. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- XIII. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected

.....
Name: Bruce Knobloch Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 1/150 King St Email Address: brucek@spin-net.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- b. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- c. The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- d. There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- e. The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident - it is intentional.
- f. I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- g. Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- h. No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- i. Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- j. Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- k. Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- l. It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- m. No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- n. Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

Name: Marlene Map Suburb: Warringah Postcode: 2147
Street
Address: 19 Conell St Email Address: marlene@hotnet.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ❖ There is no independent assessment of traffic modelling. The Sydney Motorway Corporation claims its model has been peer reviewed but refuses to publish the review or the assumptions on which it is based; independent traffic planners cannot test its results.
- ❖ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ❖ The cost of Westconnex is escalating at a rate of \$2 billion a year
- ❖ There has been no genuine consultation with the community.
- ❖ The New M5 will dump over 100,000 cars and trucks, many of which will end up on local streets into Newtown, Erskineville, Alexandria and Enmore. This is not by accident – it is intentional.
- ❖ I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in Alexandria, and there is also conflicting information on possible mitigation strategies. Although the diagrams in the EIS show right-hand turn lanes in all four directions at the Sydney Park Road/Euston Road intersection, the text of "New M5 EIS Vol 2B App G Traffic and Transport" instead indicates that there will be a "banned right turn from Mitchell Road into Sydney Park Road [because of] the banned right turn southbound at the Sydney Park Road / Euston Road intersection". The text also indicates that there will be a "north-bound lane [which] will go as far as Maddox Street, where it becomes a new left-hand turn lane", but the diagrams do not show this. Not having clarity on which of these two scenarios is planned makes informed consultation impossible. If these right-hand turns into Sydney Park Road are not permitted, there will be enormous volumes of traffic on local roads as drivers try to rat run. Likewise, the extra left-hand turn lane, if it is actually planned, seems destined to drive traffic onto local roads.
- ❖ Westconnex has failed to consult with businesses in King St Newtown and other parts of the Inner West. These businesses are part of a thriving economy and street life that would be destroyed by increased traffic and imposition of inevitable clearways.
- ❖ No details have been released on the route of the M4/M5 and how it will affect suburbs - e.g there is no information about where mid point tunnelling would take place, nor where smoke stacks will be required for the tunnels between St Peters and Camperdown.
- ❖ Westconnex has consistently underestimated the traffic that will be induced but its tollway projects; when these projects failed Sydney will be left with traffic pollution
- ❖ Thousands of diesel trucks will carry spoil at all times, including peak times, leading to great disruption of local and regional road networks.
- ❖ Westconnex will not release its full assumptions on which it is based so that Councils and independent experts can test its predictions.
- ❖ It is not clear whether massive proposed increases in population in the Inner Sydney have been taken into account in traffic congestion predictions
- ❖ No noise modelling has been done for how residents living above two stories will be affected
- ❖ Billions of dollars of construction contracts have been let before this EIS was lodged.

.....
Name: C. ROY IRA Suburb: WANTIRNA STR Postcode: 3152
Street
Address: 30 HELPMANN ST Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website