

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Walter Calver Email: djbigdubs@gmail.com

Address: _____

Suburb: Moondarra Postcode: 2530

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Charlotte Herron Email: charlotte-herron@hotmail.com

Address: 3 dickson St

Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Dominic Deutscher Email: dominic.m.d@hotmail.com

Address: 3 Dickson St,

Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: BARBARA CUSHEN Email: fullyrechargeable@gmail.com
 Address: 28 Bridge St. Tempe, NSW.
 Suburb: Tempe Postcode: 2044

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: F. de Pelis Email: franny.depelis@gmail.com

Address: 11 LYDHAM AVE

Suburb: ROCKDALE NSW Postcode: 2216

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Lawrence Email: Lawrence.Kerch@bigpond.com
 Address: 40/30 Tenison St
 Suburb: Dolich Hill Postcode: 2205

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Alexandra Nahlous Email: nahlousalexandra@gmail.com

Address: 40/30 Tennyson st

Suburb: Dulwich Hill Postcode: 2203

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: LIDIA BURGESS Email: lydia.burgess@hotmail.com
 Address: 15 MADISON PL BONNET BAY
 Suburb: BONNET BAY Postcode: 2226

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Jon Chingoulos Email: crondag@gmail.com

Address: 700 Fraser St

Suburb: Marrickville Postcode: 2204

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Kittie Wilks Email: kittienw@gmail.com

Address: 200 Elizabeth St

Suburb: Croydon Postcode: 2132

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Mark Sabag Email: _____

Address: 55 Forbes St

Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Tom Foulds Email: tom.foulds32@gmail.com

Address: 76 Edgeware Rd

Suburb: ENMORE Postcode: 2042

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Melinda Elmany Email: melmany@hotmail.com

Address: 78 Margaret St

Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

3713

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: KRISTINA XENOS Email: kris.79.@optusnet.com.au

Address: 119 STAPLES ST

Suburb: KINGSGROVE Postcode: 2208

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Leone O'Donnell Email: leoneod6@gmail.com

Address: 11/46 Station St

Suburb: Arncliffe Postcode: 2205

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: NOOR HAMMAD Email: NOORHAMMAD@outlook.com

Address: 78-80 GARNET ST

Suburb: HURSTON PARK Postcode: 2193

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: ARON KERNET Email: aronk@tformail.com

Address: 21 Sandringham St

Suburb: Sans Souci Postcode: 2219

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Elise Ramos Email: elise_m1987@optusnet.com.au

Address: 15/146 Alice St

Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Jennifer Morser Email: jmorser@iinet.net.au

Address: 13 Alma Ave

Suburb: Enmore Postcode: 2042

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Nick Yangou Email: _____

Address: 198 Missenden Rd Newtown

Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2204

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Margaret Dunstan Email: margaret@somersetlousebooks.com.au

Address: 48 High Holborn St Surry Hills

Suburb: _____ Postcode: 2011

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: PATRICIA DICROCE Email: tdicroce16@gmail.com

Address: 4/35 BARWON PARK ROAD

Suburb: ST PETERS, NSW Postcode: 2044

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Amy Kirk Email: Lelloamykirk@gmail.com

Address: 1/7 LINCOLN ST, DOUKEN HILLS

Suburb: _____ Postcode: 2203

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Tina Callaghe Email: tinafgal@hotmail.com

Address: 72 MARGATE ST

Suburb: RAMSGATE Postcode: 2217

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Matt Sunderland Email: mattsunderland@yahoo.co

Address: 57A WELLS ST

Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Tamara Kiboi Email: tamarakiboi@gmail.com

Address: 101 Cavendish St

Suburb: Stammore Postcode: 2048

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Eliona K Phillips Email: ffphillips@yahoo.com
Address: 111 Station St
Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Iain Trapnell Email: iain_trapnell@msn.com

Address: 96 Union st

Suburb: Grosvenville Postcode: 2043

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Mia Lumb Email: mialumb@gmail.com

Address: 76 James street

Suburb: Leichhardt Postcode: 2040

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: KIRSTY Email: kirsty@can1a@gmail.com
 Address: 12 Melrose Parade
 Suburb: Clavelly Postcode: 2031

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Douglas Baarda Email: abo@miukiri-i.garden.org.au

Address: 17 Francis St.

Suburb: Leichhardt. Postcode: 2040

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Louise O'Brien Email: lfobrien614@hotmail.com

Address: PO Box 501, Rozelle

Suburb: Rozelle Postcode: 2039

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Oni Herewini Email: Oni.Herewini@nbn.co.nz

Address: 11/108 FLAWARRA RD

Suburb: MARDICKVILLE Postcode: •

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: JENNY MILES Email: jenny-miles@bigpond.com
Address: 17 PARKER ST.
Suburb: KINGSGROVE Postcode: 2208

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: RENE Ribic Email: reneribic@bigpond.com

Address: 17 PANGLOSS ST.

Suburb: KINGSGROVE Postcode: 2202

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: PAUL KNOPKE Email: paul.knopke@btinternet.com

Address: 18/30 BUCKNELL ST

Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2040

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: MRS SUSAN WESTAWAY Email: Westaway1956sue@gmail.com

Address: 15 BROWN STREET

Suburb: ST PETERS Postcode: 2044

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.


Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.



The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.


Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.



The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: WARREN O'BRIEN Email: wobsie@gmail.com

Address: 4 BROWN ST

Suburb: ST PETERS NSW Postcode: 2044

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: Martin Brady Email: martin@mbphotos.net.au

Address: 13 Brown St

Suburb: St Peters Postcode: 2044

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: MALIN BRADY Email: mbphotos.net.au

Address: 13 Brown St

Suburb: St Peters Postcode: 2044

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

The Secretary, NSW Dept of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to the WestConnex New M5 proposal as outlined in its environmental impact statement (EIS). I also object to the entire WestConnex of which this is part.

This project will permanently destroy 11,000 square metres of Sydney Park, turn other large parts of it into construction compounds for years, and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This area already has one of the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, and to destroy so much of for a toll road is unacceptable.

The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse. This EIS shows that local streets will not be able to cope with the increased traffic this project would cause unless other unplanned and unfunded toll road projects, including the M4-M5 Link, Sydney Gateway and southern extension, are built.

The manner in which scores of residents are being forced from their homes and businesses for this project's compulsory acquisitions is deeply unfair and undemocratic, particularly as it began long before this EIS was on display, let alone planning approvals granted.

According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. This is just one of many local roads that will be subject to untenable traffic increases if the project proceeds.

Residents around the planned St Peters Interchange and exits at Kingsgrove/Beverly Hills are already exposed to levels of air pollution that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.

The New M5 will lock residents of western and south-west Sydney into paying huge tolls and greater car dependency, rather than delivering the public transport and economic investment that is really needed in these areas.

Finally, I strongly object to the quality of the EIS. There is too little information on the traffic volumes that will occur in the areas around the St Peters Interchange and other parts around the New M5, as well as conflicting information on potential mitigation strategies.

It also fails to analyse alternative strategies that could move far more people and deliver bigger economic returns than the \$16.8 billion WestConnex, the cost of which is now blowing out at more than \$2 billion a year. It is not acceptable that NSW and Federal taxpayers are being forced to fund this project and bear all the risk on it.

I call on your department to reject this proposal. I expect you to publish this submission and to acknowledge and respond to my objections in writing.

Name: ~~Heather~~ Ann Brady Email: ann.brady2008@gmail.com

Address: 13 Oran St

Suburb: St Peters NSW Postcode: 2061

Secretary
NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001
Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: MARIE PEPPER

Email: pepp1@bigpond.com

Address: 25 HOPETOUN ST,

Suburb: HURLSTONE PARK Postcode: 2193

Signature: 

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: MARIA PEREIRA

Email: -

Address: 15 MILL ST

Suburb: HURLSTONE PARK Postcode: 2193

Signature: Olivia Pereira

Secretary
NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001
Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Sharon Ayres

Email: shazluke@yahoo.com

Address: 70 McIntosh

Suburb: Canterbury

Postcode: 2193

Signature: 

Secretary
NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001
Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Jane Williamson

Email: jnew@iinet.net.au

Address: 11/68 Church Street

Suburb: Canterbury 2193

Postcode: _____

Signature: J Williamson

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Ross Pepper

Email: r.pepper@beyond.com

Address: 57 Fifth St

Suburb: Ashbury Postcode: 2193

Signature: R Pepper

Secretary
NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001
Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Marie Healey

Email: wizardbear@bigpond.com

Address: 12 Gower St

Suburb: Hurdstone Park Postcode: 2193

Signature: 

Secretary

3749

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: SUE RANCE

Email: 6 Sue_rance@hotmail.com

Address: 37 Dunstaffene St

Suburb: Hurlstone Park Postcode: 2193

Signature: Sue Rance

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.


I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Kim SALISBURY

Email: kimsalisbury@yahoo.com

Address: 28 Dennis St,

Suburb: LAKEEMBA NSW Postcode: 2195

Signature: 

Secretary
NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001
Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Rica Rossi

Email: rr0003@y7mail.com

Address: 3 DAVID ST

Suburb: EARLWOOD Postcode: 2206

Signature: R Rossi

Secretary
 NSW Department of Planning and Environment
 GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001
 Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.


I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: DEREMY RIA

Email: Wizobeen a wig and . com

Address: 12 Gawler Street

Suburb: HURSTON PARK Postcode: 2193

Signature: 

Secretary
NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001
Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Helen EADE

Email: helen.eade@yahoo.com.au

Address: 35 Melford St Hurlstone Park

Suburb: Hurlstone Park Postcode: 2193

Signature: 

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Paul Hick

Email: paul.hick@tafensw.edu.au

Address: 21 Jeffrey St

Suburb: Canterbury Postcode: 2193

Signature: 

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: I. GEORGESON

Email: tgeorges@bigpond.net.au

Address: 101 CHIHAN STREET,

Suburb: HURSTON PARK Postcode: 2193


Signature: 

Secretary
NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001
Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Lisa Dwyer
Email: lisa.dwyer@finance.nsw.gov.au
Address: 37/20 Close St Canterbury
Suburb: _____ Postcode: 2031
Signature: 

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

3757

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: JOHN FITZPATRICK

Email: john.fitzpatrick@internode.on.net

Address: 52 STARKY ST, HURLSTONE PK

Suburb: HURLSTONE PARK Postcode: 2193

Signature: John Fitzpatrick

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

3758

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Amy Georgiou

Email: Squirt-rv12@hotmail.com

Address: 27 Civic Avenue

Suburb: Kogarah NSW Postcode: 2217

Signature: 

Secretary

3759

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.


I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Janet Stankiewicz

Email: Janet.Stankiewicz@det.nsw.edu.au

Address: 35 Melford St #

Suburb: Hurlstone Park Postcode: 2193

Signature: 

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: THANG PHAM

Email: tdpham44@yahoo.com

Address: 44 FRANCIS ST

Suburb: MARRICKVILLE Postcode: 2204

Signature: T Pham

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.


I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: ALLISON MORRIS

Email: allikat@bluebottle.com

Address: 36 GARNET ST, HUR

Suburb: HURLSTONE PARK Postcode: 2193

Signature: 

Secretary

NSW Department of Planning and Environment

GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the WestConnex New M5 project. I strongly object to this project and to the entire WestConnex of which this is a part. In particular, I strongly object to:

- The NSW Government's failure to publish a full and transparent business case for the \$16.8 billion WestConnex tollway even though taxpayers carry the financial risk. The public deserves to know the full costs and toll revenues for this project.
- The EIS's claim that greenhouse gas emissions will fall even though the number of vehicle kilometres travelled (VKT) by cars is set to increase dramatically.
- The destruction of parks, trees and green spaces to make way for this toll road, including critically endangered remnant bush at Beverly Hills.
- A transport solution that includes unfiltered exhaust stacks located so close to schools such as McCallum's Hill Primary, Arncliffe Primary and Haberfield Primary
- The threat WestConnex poses to the endangered species such as the Green and Golden Bell Frogs at Arncliffe, which are unlikely to survive being so close to the pollution stack and portal planned for their Kogarah Golf Club habitat.
- The enormous amounts of extra traffic that will be induced and dumped by WestConnex into suburbs across inner, western and south-west Sydney.
- The impact this extra traffic will have on our key roads, such as Canterbury Rd, Stoney Creek Rd, King Georges Rd, Liverpool Rd, Moorefields Rd and Forest Rd.
- The superficial analysis of alternatives to WestConnex, which fails to properly consider how more sustainable transport options could be a better use of this toll road project's estimated \$16.8 billion cost.
- This EIS using the positive impact of the entire WestConnex to justify the New M5 even as it fails to consider the negative impacts of the same.

I ask you to acknowledge my submission and respond to each of my concerns.

Name: Monica Heary

Email: newjourno@optusnet.com.au

Address: 67 Church St

Suburb: Hurlstone Park Postcode: 2193

Signature: M. Heary

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Carolyn Richard Email: carolyn.richard@iinet.net.au
Address: 30 Wemyss St ENMORE. NSW 2042

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: A Ritchie Email: allan.ritchie@gmail
Address: 1/11 James St Enmore

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Dominique Turner Email: domtych@hotmail.com
Address: 93 WILLINGTON ST TURKELCA

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Effie Polyviou Email: e.polyviou@optusnet.com.au
Address: 11 Philpott St Marrickville

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: GAVIN ROBERTS Email: gavinroberts@optunet.com.au
Address: 2/2 METROPOLITAN RD EXMORE NSW 2042

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Lisa Moore Email: lisa@lisamooredesign.com
Address: 56 Victoria Rd Marrickville 2204.

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Lauren Moore Email: l2cmotion@yahoo.com.au
Address: 5733-37 Tupper St Enmore NSW 2042

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: DUU NGUYEN Email: minhduc782001@yahoo.com

Address: 165 Livingstone Rd, Marrickville, NSW

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: ROGER JASPRIZZA Email: rogerdolmus.com.au

Address: 56 VICTORIA ROAD MARRICKVILLE 2204.

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: NICK APOIFIS Email: NICKARO NICKAPOIFIS@hotmail.com

Address: _____

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: PETER SYNIUTA Email: syniuta@ozemail.com.au

Address: 2/14-18 MARY ST ST PETERS NSW 2044

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Natalie Zawerucha Email: Natalie.Zawerucha@gmail.com
Address: 69 Frederick St, St Peters 2044

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Heidi Weson Email: hku65@hotmail.com

Address: 3/37-41 Hamlet Ave Marrickville NSW 2204

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

3776

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Sharou Hurley Email: lulu.50@live.com.au
Address: 20/10 pyrmont bridge Rd Camperdown NSW
2050

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Felaty Rameis Email: None

Address: 22 Perry St Marrickville NSW 2204

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Jenny Broadbent Email: _____

Address: 7 Nicholson St Tempe 2044

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Margot Kalmanovitch Email: Margosdo@yahoo.ca
Address: 75 Juliet St Marrickville

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Brett Prike Email: Brettprike@gmail.com.au
Address: 78 Juliet St

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Jennyfer Vo Email: dejejeny98@gmail.com
Address: 51 Despointes Street Marrickville.

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: V. GALLAGHER Email: —

Address: PO BOX 50 MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Michael Sette Email: michaelsette20ptuned.com
Address: 88 Victoria Rd Marrickville

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Grace Sharkey Email: graceannesharkey@gmail.com
Address: 3/136 Livingstone Road Marrickville 2204

The Secretary, NSW Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

I strongly object to this project and the entire WestCONnex of which this is part, and ask that you reject this proposal on the basis of this environmental impact statement (EIS). In particular, I strongly object to:

- ❖ The monstrous St Peters Interchange and huge amounts of extra traffic it will dump in local streets in St Peters, Alexandria, Erskineville, Newtown, Tempe and Marrickville.
- ❖ The increases in traffic in these areas that will result from both induced demand and drivers doing 'rat runs' to avoid paying tolls on the current M5 and New M5.
- ❖ The lack of transparency and corrupted processes that characterise the entire \$16.8 billion WestCONnex toll road, including this project.
- ❖ Plans that deliberately expose communities from areas such as St Peters to increased pollution from WestCONnex. Such an approach values the health and safety of people in certain areas of Sydney over others, and is both unjust and unacceptable.
- ❖ There is no safe level of exposure to fine particulate matter, yet the proponents want to build this project knowing it will increase these pollutants around the St Peters Interchange.
- ❖ The unacceptable impact the project's construction will have on local residents, businesses and schools. In St Peters alone, people face years of having their streets turned into car parks for construction workers; 24/7 construction noise, vibration, and heavy truck movements; exposure to asbestos, construction dust, and toxic materials; and more.
- ❖ The unacceptable noise, dust, traffic and pollution children of schools such as St Peters Public School, Camdenville Public School, and the scores of other schools and childcare centres along the route would be exposed to if this project is built.
- ❖ The compulsory acquisition of so many homes and businesses and the arrogant way the impact of this on people is dismissed in the EIS. I also object to the process by which these acquisitions are taking place, which the NSW Government knows is unfair.
- ❖ The failure to seriously consider the long-term impact of WestCONnex on increased carbon emissions, despite the EIS accepting the science of climate change.
- ❖ Destruction of parts of Sydney Park, Camdenville Park, thousands of trees, and other green spaces, including critically endangered forest.
- ❖ The unprofessional analysis of the threat posed by the New M5 to biodiversity and endangered species, including endangered Green and Golden Bell Frogs.
- ❖ The total failure of this EIS to consider negative impacts of the entire WestCONnex even as it relies on 'benefits' for the entire toll road to justify this particular project.
- ❖ The poor quality of this EIS, which is full of errors, unsubstantiated claims, omissions, superficial analyses, and questionable and/or opaque modelling.
- ❖ AECOM being paid \$13m of taxpayer money for this EIS despite its huge conflicts of interest.
- ❖ Billion-dollar construction contracts being locked in before this EIS was even lodged.
- ❖ A complete failure to consult with local businesses, including those in Newtown, Alexandria and St Peters, which would be destroyed by the traffic and/or construction impacts this project.
- ❖ WestConnex's failure to adequately assess and responsibly handle asbestos, including the huge amounts it has removed ahead of this EIS from the Alexandria Landfill and transported through inner Sydney out to the western suburbs.
- ❖ The failure to properly analyse alternatives to WestCONnex that would be a better investment of \$16.8 billion, such as improved public transport, effective road management, and better transport connections and employment opportunities in Sydney's west.
- ❖ This project leaving residents of western and south-west Sydney paying huge tolls while failing to provide long-term traffic solutions and employment opportunities in these areas.

I expect you to publish this submission and send me a written response to my objections.

Name: Elsie Hall Email: elsiehall@oplusnet.com.au

Address: 2/2 Metropolitan Rd, Enmore NSW 2042

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**"*.

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: MARIO CONTE Suburb: NEW TOWN Postcode: 2042
Street: 14 KIN G Email Address: _____
Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Lursula P. Rister

Name: Three Queens on King Suburb: New Town Postcode: 2042
Street:
Address: 2-4 King St. Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Jana Mylan
Name: Eden Beauty Clinic Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 16 King Street Email Address: jana@edenbeauty.com.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Karen Kwok Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 24 Harold St. Newtown Email Address: karen.kwok@bigpond.com

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Gillian Macdary Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 33 Newton St Email Address: gillianmacdary@yahoo.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: RUBY JOHNSON Suburb: ALEXANDRIA Postcode: 2015
Street: 32 COPELAND ST
Address: _____ Email Address: rubyjohnsen@live.com.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link - Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: MATTHEW STREET Suburb: ENMORE Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 115 MARIA ST Email Address: chidsgolden@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime"*.

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Zoya Godong'a-Piechare Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 419A KING ST Email Address: shop@allbuffers.com.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: John Horneman Bodhi Books & Gifts Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 375 King St. Newtown Email Address: shop@bodhistore.com.au

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: NEWTON CENTRAL N/A Suburb: NEWTON Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 1 Wilson St Email Address: minhdam8@gmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: 3iggy Denim (Ellie) Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street: 305 King Street Email Address: 3iggynewtown@gmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Emma - CTC Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 291 King St Email Address: Emman.Kahla@hotmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

..... Ben Thurlow

Name: Fords Discount Pharmacy Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 247 King Street Email Address: fordsdiscountpharmacy@gmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Barth Miskin Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street: 325 King St Email Address: info@love1099age.com.au