

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: ROD CLARKE Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street:
Address: 10/12 EGAN ST Email Address: SCENOGRAPHICSTUDIO@GMAIL.COM

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: JEAN BODFORD Suburb: NEW TOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 97 WILSON ST Email Address: jezzab@outspace.net.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

WestConnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- I. These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- II. WestConnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- III. Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider WestConnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- IV. No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Jett Denholm Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street:
Address: King Street Newtown Email Address: jett.dragon@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Catherine Lamb Suburb: Dee Why Postcode: 2099
Street: 10/16-18 Boronia St Email Address: cathnelamb@live.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: David M. Gera Suburb: ALEXANDRIA Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 288 MITCHELL RD Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website



Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

WestConnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- I. These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- II. WestConnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- III. Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider WestConnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- IV. No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Mel Tye Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street: 14 Simmons St Email Address: meltye@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- a) These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- b) Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- c) Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- d) No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: JOE FLOWER Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 1A / CAVENDISH ST Email Address: mrjoeflower@gmail.com
ENMORE

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- a) These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- b) Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- c) Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- d) No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Lorrie Graham Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street: 4/100 Pennell St
Address: Lorrie Graham Email Address: lorrie.graham@bigpond.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: STELLA MCDONALD Suburb: CAMPERDOWN Postcode: 2050
Street Address: 9 MARMION ST Email Address: Stella.rosa.mcdonald@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: NAOMI MILES Suburb: MARRICKVILLE Postcode: 2204
Street
Address: 254 MARRICKVILLE RD Email Address: nomskinom@gmail.com
MARRICKVILLE

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Kirrilee Phillips Suburb: Stanmore Postcode: 2048
Street Address: 100 Albany Rd Email Address: KirrileePhillips@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- a) These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- b) Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- c) Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- d) No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Ariel D'Ascoli Suburb: Marrickville Postcode: 2204
Street
Address: 371 Livingstone rd Email Address: arielclas16@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- ✦ These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- ✦ Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- ✦ Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- ✦ No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**"*.

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Alyce Kelly Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 248A King St Email Address: alyce.e.kelly@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link - Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Isabella Trimballi Suburb: Glebe Postcode: 2037
Street Address: 224A Bridge Rd, Glebe Email Address: isabella.trimballi@hotmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- ✓ These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- ✓ Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- ✓ Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- ✓ No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Zo Zhou Suburb: Erskineville Postcode: 2043
Street
Address: 25D Prospect St Email Address: Zo.Zhou@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- i. These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- ii. Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- iii. Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- iv. No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Ruth Mules Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 17 Oxford St Email Address: ruth-mules@yahoo.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**"*.

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: ANGIE PETERO Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street Address: HOLMOOD ST Email Address: ange_987@hotmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- ❖ These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- ❖ Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- ❖ Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- ❖ No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Keenan MoHo Suburb: St Peters Postcode: 2044
Street
Address: 136 Church St Email Address: keenan.moHo@gmail.com.

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**"*.

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: NIGEL SYLON Suburb: GLEBE Postcode: 2037
Street Address: 2/176 ST JOHN ST RD Email Address: nigelsylon@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- a) These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- b) Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- c) Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- d) No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Mark Riboldi Suburb: Marionville Postcode: 2204
Street Address: 1/81 Despointes St Email Address: mark.riboldi@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Nicole Austin Suburb: St Peters CRESTWOOD Postcode: 2620
Street
Address: 14 Leck St Email Address: nicole A. nicole-austin29@hotmail.

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link - Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Izabela Lisiedee Suburb: Crestwood Postcode: 2020
Street
Address: 14 Lech st Email Address: Lisiedee-izabela@yahoo.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: King St tattoo. Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042.
Street Address: 192 King St Email Address: kingsttattoo.com.au

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *“King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 ‘with project’ scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the ‘without project’ scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street”*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link - Haberfield to St Peters is only *“undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval”* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed ‘Sydney Gateway’ (*“undergoing concept development”*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *“parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**”*.

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- i. These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- ii. Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- iii. Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- iv. No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Barbara Casey Suburb: Ashfield Postcode: 2131
Street Address: 7/85 Alt St Ashfield Email Address: barbaracasey2004@yahoo.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- a) These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- b) Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- c) Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- d) No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Rafe Simmons Suburb: Camperdown Postcode: 2050
Street Address: 18/154 mallett St Email Address: rpvgit@btinternet.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Sophio GAUTIER Suburb: ALEXANDRIA Postcode: 2015
Street:
Address: 2 Mandible Email Address: sgautier29@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- ❖ These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- ❖ Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- ❖ Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- ❖ No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: P. Stephens Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 6118 Lawrence St. Email Address: phillipa.stephens@live.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Julian Hamilton Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2092
Street: 96 Chelmsford St
Address: _____ Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....*JURRYN CHILLOT*.....
Name: LDFTATIOO Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 26 KING ST Email Address: ~~ldftattoo~~ info@ldftattoo.com

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**"*.

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: Margaret Barbour-His Suburb: Enmore Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 78 Marian St Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- ✓ These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- ✓ Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- ✓ Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- ✓ No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Eugene DYSON Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street Address: 3 Lyne St Email Address: eugenedyson@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: GNOMIE ESPRESSO Pty Ltd Suburb: Surry Hills Postcode: 2010
Street Address: 536 Crown St Email Address: simon@GNOMIEESPRESSO.COM

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: DRESDEN OPTICS (LUKE) Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 417a KING STREET Email Address: newtown@dresden-com.au

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- 1) These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- 2) Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- 3) Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- 4) No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: R. W. CUNNINGHAM Suburb: CAMPEDOWN Postcode: 2050
Street
Address: 16 GILPIN ST Email Address: pam+bob@optusnet.com.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: GEORGETTE PLATIS Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 318 KING ST Email Address: goorgetteplatis@yahoo.com.au

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- a) These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- b) Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- c) Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- d) No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: JO JAROSINSKA Suburb: GLEBE Postcode: 2037
Street
Address: 1008 / 34 NEWTOWN ST Email Address: jo.jarosinska@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link - Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: Kyla Cassells Suburb: Belmont Postcode: 2192
Street
Address: 13 Garden St Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: GOULD'S BOOK ARCADE Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 32 King St Newtown Email Address: orders@gouldsbooks.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Natalie Gould for Goulds -

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

Name: John Lou Cocozza Suburb: ULTIMO Postcode: 2007
Street
Address: Harry Street 110 Email Address: lou.cocozza@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. The removal of car parking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: CATH HASWELL Suburb: Tempe Postcode: 2044
Street: 28 Edwin St Email Address: haswell109@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5:

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G)). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 **IS** built and Stage 3 **NOT** built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: SOPHIA WATSON Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 301/359 KING STREET Email Address: sophia.watson@live.com.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 :

I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

Westconnex has made no effort whatsoever to consult or hold meetings or information sessions with businesses and shops in the thriving Newtown, Enmore, Erskineville, Alexandria areas.

The EIS states (15-48) that *"King Street and Enmore Road business precinct contains numerous businesses, including retail, services, restaurants and cafes. The project does not propose to modify King Street, including speed limits or on-street parking arrangements along King Street. In future years, traffic modelling indicates that King Street is expected in most cases to experience reduced peak hour volumes under the 2021 'with project' scenario and 2031 cumulative scenario when compared to the without project scenario (refer to Technical Working Paper: Traffic and transport (Appendix G). The exception to this would occur in the 2031 cumulative case, where AM peak hour volumes southbound would increase by around 35 per cent when compared to the 'without project' scenario. However, this is in the opposing direction to the dominant AM peak hour and is within the design carrying capacity of the road. As there would not be any significant changes to traffic volumes or no modifications to King Street are proposed as part of this project, the project would not have a significant impact on businesses or amenity along King Street"*

- These assertions are made with great certainty but are nothing more than a fabrication when the M4-M5 link – Haberfield to St Peters is only *"undergoing concept development and subject to planning approval"* and no details are included in the EIS.
- Westconnex has not issued the assumptions and the modelling parameters on which they are basing these statements, so their accuracy cannot be tested by independent experts.
- Each Stage of the project is being assessed separately, but the impact of each project is being considered in the context of the wider Westconnex program of works. No data has been provided as to what happens to King Street and surrounding areas in Stage 2 IS built and Stage 3 NOT built.
- No details whatsoever have been released about how the supposed 'Sydney Gateway' (*"undergoing concept development"*) will alleviate traffic level concerns in King Street, Enmore Road, Edgeware Road, Euston Road, Mitchell Street, Maddox Street, and other local roads.

The EIS states (15-46) that *"parking along Euston Road would be provided during off-peak periods. However, by around 2031, the traffic performance demands along Euston Road may require all lanes to be available for traffic and off-peak parking may need to be removed. **The removal of carparking would be to the discretion of Roads and Maritime**".*

This confirms that the inevitable increase in traffic on already overcrowded local road networks can only be solved through the imposition of clearways by Roads and Maritime, decimating hundreds of businesses in King Street Newtown, Euston Road, Mitchell Road, Edgeware Road.

.....
Name: SIMON FENTON Suburb: ST. PETERS Postcode: 2044
Street
Address: 401 PRINCES HWY Email Address: bluegumstudios@optusnet.com.au

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ◆ The air quality study shows that some communities will be exposed to increased doses of dangerous pollution, especially those living close to surface roads.
- ◆ Westconnex will cause costly traffic chaos throughout the Inner West. It is unacceptable that no traffic modelling was done past two intersections after the end of the project.
- ◆ There is already flooding at St Peters when there are rain storms. Councils have already found that the flooding modelling is not acceptable for the M4 East and the same approach has been used for the M5.
- ◆ Experts have not been available at very limited EIS sessions. None were held in Newtown, Alexandria or Erskineville.
- ◆ Residents are being forced out of homes at below market prices before approval has been given for the project.
- ◆ Most of the information about how Westconnex will deal with the negative impacts on residents, businesses and schools is pushed off to the post planning approval stage. This is unreasonable and leaves many in a state of great uncertainty.
- ◆ The roads around the St Peters interchange are already at an unacceptable Level of Service and are getting worse because of in-fill developments not allowed for by the EIS: Green Square: 61,000 residents. Ashmore: 6,000 residents. Waterloo Estate: 30,000 residents.
- ◆ Central 2 Eveleigh: 56,000 residents, 25,000 workers. With an extra 150,000 people in an area of a few square kilometres, this is going to be the most densely populated area in Australia. There is no evidence that the traffic models have factored in this huge increase in density that will occur in the area.
- ◆ According to the business case, Euston Road is supposed to handle 61,000 cars on 3 lanes each way. This is almost 10 times what it can handle on 2 lanes. There is no way it can handle 61,000 cars, however many lanes are added to it. Adding extra lanes to Euston will not help because the roads that Euston Road feeds are also gridlocked. Traffic does not simply dissipate once it leaves the M5. It will increase damage done to the area and cause rat-running.
- ◆ This project will carve 11,000 square metres from Sydney Park and expose the rest of the park to vehicle fumes and noise. This damage is particularly felt, because this area already has one the lowest amounts of public open space per person in Australia, even without considering the future in-fill projects that are already in progress.
- ◆ Alexandria residents are already exposed to levels of PM2.5 particles that exceed national guidelines, yet the EIS predicts that these levels will only worsen.
- ◆ The new M5 is an unfair waste of taxpayers' money that could be better used elsewhere, such as on projects that improve transport infrastructure out west or in the regions, or in the Alexandria and Erskineville area to help residents cope with the massive rise in density that they are facing over the next ten years.
- ◆ Westconnex is no solution to traffic congestion as the EIS shows that several intersections across the project route will remain at Level of Service F (the worst) after the project.

.....
Name: Caroline Ashley Suburb: Marrickville Postcode: 2204
Street
Address: 12 Bourne St Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).
I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- The air quality study shows that dangerous fine particle pollution is already exceeds acceptable levels in some affected areas. When the health of residents are concerned they should have been given information about negative impacts in a way that could be understood.
- I object to the removal of community parks along the M5 East that the community has built up over decades after their community was carved up that motorway, only to see them threatened by a new tollway.
- I am aware that independent traffic experts have not access to full information about the assumptions on which traffic modelling. Westconnex must be instructed to fully respond to independent experts who have been commissioned by Councils or are academic researchers and to make their models available. AECOM and RMS openly admit that they have collaborated on the modelling. Their claims must be fully and independently scrutinised. When the living conditions of thousands of residents is at stake, it is not acceptable for a government department or private company to claim 'ownership' of a model.
- Scientific experts agree that there is no safe level of fine particle pollution. Rather than aiming to shift dangerous pollution from area to another, the government should be finding cleaner transport solutions that do not leave residents living beside polluted roads.
- Plans to expand roads to within 5 metres of residents' homes is outrageous. I am aware that in cases in St Peters and Alexandria it is within 2 metres.
- The noise assessment shows that hundreds of homes will experience noise above acceptable levels. In fact the results reveals in Appendix J could be far worse because monitoring was only done for one location at Beverly Hills and one in St Peters where only 30% of results could be included. Such limited evidence provides the community with no confidence.
- The noise monitoring is inadequate for St Peter because there is no attempt to consider the cumulative impacts including on health for aircraft and tollway construction and later operation.
- Independent experts have reported that some of the tables are inaccurate in the noise report and are therefore not reliable.
- I am particularly concerned about the community on Stoney Creek Road. The RMS and AECOM have not consulted properly with this community or informed residents that Westconnex has a plan that will make congestion and air quality in their neighbourhood worse
- Westconnex has kept its plan to take 14,000 hectares of Sydney Park. For months it said it would only take 8000 hectares, leaving it until the EIS was lodged to inform the Council that it planned to forcibly acquire the rest. This will diminish the enjoyment of the park in many ways. Peaceful paths and exercise equipment will be adjacent to a major highway. This is completely unacceptable to me.
- Noise monitoring has been too limited to properly assess the massive noise that will be generated by construction and operation on thousands of Sydney residents.

.....
 Name: Tay Ng Suburb: St Peter Postcode: 5205
 Street: 8/1 Gordon St Email Address: -
 Address: 8/1 Gordon St

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. The air quality study shows that dangerous fine particle pollution is already exceeds acceptable levels in some affected areas. When the health of residents are concerned they should have been given information about negative impacts in a way that could be understood.
- ii. I object to the removal of community parks along the M5 East that the community has built up over decades after their community was carved up that motorway, only to see them threatened by a new tollway.
- iii. I am aware that independent traffic experts have not access to full information about the assumptions on which traffic modelling. Westconnex must be instructed to fully respond to independent experts who have been commissioned by Councils or are academic researchers and to make their models available. AECOM and RMS openly admit that they have collaborated on the modelling. Their claims must be fully and independently scrutinised. When the living conditions of thousands of residents is at stake, it is not acceptable for a government department or private company to claim 'ownership' of a model.
- iv. Scientific experts agree that there is no safe level of fine particle pollution. Rather than aiming to shift dangerous pollution from area to another, the government should be finding cleaner transport solutions that do not leave residents living beside polluted roads.
- v. Plans to expand roads to within 5 metres of residents' homes is outrageous. I am aware that in cases in St Peters and Alexandria it is within 2 metres.
- vi. The noise assessment shows that hundreds of homes will experience noise above acceptable levels. In fact the results reveals in Appendix J could be far worse because monitoring was only done for one location at Beverly Hills and one in St Peters where only 30% of results could be included. Such limited evidence provides the community with no confidence.
- vii. The noise monitoring is inadequate for St Peter because there is no attempt to consider the cumulative impacts including on health for aircraft and tollway construction and later operation.
- viii. Independent experts have reported that some of the tables are inaccurate in the noise report and are therefore not reliable.
- ix. I am particularly concerned about the community on Stoney Creek Road. The RMS and AECOM have not consulted properly with this community or informed residents that Westconnex has a plan that will make congestion and air quality in their neighbourhood worse
- x. Westconnex has kept its plan to take 14,000 hectares of Sydney Park. For months it said it would only take 8000 hectares, leaving it until the EIS was lodged to inform the Council that it planned to forcibly acquire the rest. This will diminish the enjoyment of the park in many ways. Peaceful paths and exercise equipment will be adjacent to a major highway. This is completely unacceptable to me.
- xi. Noise monitoring has been too limited to properly assess the massive noise that will be generated by construction and operation on thousands of Sydney residents.

.....
Name: James Fargher Suburb: Heathcote Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 53 Campbell Street Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: LINDA COWAN Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2062
Street
Address: 6 CHALDER ST Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Dominique Cahill Suburb: Marrickville Postcode: 2204
Street Address: 12 Bourne street Email Address: raven1802@hotmail.com

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: STU ELLIS Suburb: ENMORE Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 94 MARCAN ST Email Address: stucellis@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1) This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- 2) This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- 3) Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- 4) This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- 5) The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- 6) The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- 7) Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- 8) Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- 9) Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Taleih Lizak Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 32 Copeland st Email Address: taleihlizak@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- b. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- c. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- d. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- e. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- f. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- g. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- h. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- i. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Eirwen Neasey Suburb: Sumner Postcode: 2150
Street Address: Lackey St Email Address: eirwenneasey@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: RUBY JOHNSON Suburb: ALEXANDRIA Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 32 COPELAND ST Email Address: rubyjohnson@live.com.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Nic Dlemartin Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street: _____ Email Address: nic.dlemartin@gmail.com
Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: LUBICA MAMPIDIE Suburb: ROCKDALE Postcode: 2216
Street
Address: 7/8-10 HAYBURN Email Address: gub'eamandie@yahoo.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: DIANNE SANDERS Suburb: ST PETERS Postcode: 2046
Street
Address: 56/80 PRINCES HWY Email Address: dianne93sanders@gmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- ii. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- iii. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- iv. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- v. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- vi. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- vii. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- viii. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- ix. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Melano Solchadre Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 3 Lyne street Email Address: hop.mel@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: ALEX WARNER Suburb: CAMPEDOWN Postcode: 2050
Street
Address: 12 PROBERT ST Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Alex Warner

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- B. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- C. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- D. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- E. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- F. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- G. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- H. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- I. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: ADAM ZOURBIR M Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2040
Street: 49 GEORGINA ST
Address: _____ Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

VISI@NCONNECTCOLORS@UNWIRIED.COM.AU

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: A. GRUNDY Suburb: Erskinvile Postcode: 2093
Street
Address: 35 Binnig St Email Address: wisdom399@hotmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Marlin Kelly Suburb: Enmore Postcode: 2042
Street: 32 Condon St
Address: 32 Condon St Email Address: mjklaus@yahoo.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Paul Meissel Suburb: Arncliffe Postcode: 2205
Street: 8 Gore St
Address: 8 Gore St Email Address: germanapaul@optusnet.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: SANDRA KING Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 26 Albemarle st. Email Address: sandy@sandyking.com.au

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ◆ This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- ◆ This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- ◆ Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- ◆ This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- ◆ The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- ◆ The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- ◆ Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- ◆ Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- ◆ Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: John Haly Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 38 Darley St Email Address: johnhaly@visitek.net

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SS1 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: JACQUI SYKES Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 43 DICKSON ST. Email Address: jacquisykes@gmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14_6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ❖ This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- ❖ This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- ❖ Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- ❖ This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- ❖ The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- ❖ The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- ❖ Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- ❖ Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- ❖ Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: JACQUI SYKES Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 43 DICKSON ST. Email Address: jacquisykes@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: Yianni Zinonos Suburb: Sydenham Postcode: 2044
Street
Address: 7 Gleeson Ave Email Address: yianni@ihug.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- ii. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- iii. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- iv. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- v. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- vi. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- vii. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- viii. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- ix. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Ly. Stephen Suburb: Chippendale Postcode: 2008
Street
Address: 4/110 myrtle st Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1st. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- 2nd. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- 3rd. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- 4th. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- 5th. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- 6th. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- 7th. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- 8th. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- 9th. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: LAURA CHALK Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 10'CONNELL ST Email Address: laurachalk4@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1st. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- 2nd. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- 3rd. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- 4th. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- 5th. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- 6th. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- 7th. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- 8th. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- 9th. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: Ken Sewell Suburb: Starnmore Postcode: 2048
Street
Address: 51 Westbourne St. Email Address: Ksewel@ophsnet.com.au

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- b. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- c. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- d. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- e. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- f. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- g. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- h. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- i. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Chris Broadbent Suburb: Rosebery Postcode: 218
Street
Address: Rothwell Ave Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- b. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- c. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- d. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- e. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- f. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- g. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- h. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- i. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: M. Smith Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 1 O'Connell St Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskineville, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: PATRICIA VAUGHAN Suburb: ERSKINEVILLE Postcode: 2043
Street
Address: 25 PLEASANT AVENUE Email Address: PATVAUGHAN0484@GMAIL.COM

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: M. Thomas Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: Webb Rd St Email Address: marc.thomas@beyond.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: STEVE HICKEY Suburb: ENMORE Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 29 FORTHUHAM ST Email Address: STEVEHICKEY1502@GMAIL.COM

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ❖ This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- ❖ This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- ❖ Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- ❖ This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- ❖ The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- ❖ The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- ❖ Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskineville, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- ❖ Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- ❖ Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Rachel Plumble Suburb: Erskineville Postcode: 2043
Street Address: 301/1 Pearl Street Email Address: rplumble@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
2. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
3. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
4. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
5. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
6. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
7. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskineville, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
8. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
9. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: AMBER DEVOCT Suburb: ERSKINEVILLE Postcode: 2043
Street: 6/195-199
Address: Kochford ST. Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- b. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- c. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- d. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- e. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- f. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- g. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- h. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- i. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: CATH HASWELL Suburb: Tempe Postcode: 2044
Street: 28 Edwin St
Address: 28 Edwin St Email Address: haswell10@hotmailmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- 1) This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- 2) This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- 3) Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- 4) This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- 5) The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- 6) The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- 7) Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- 8) Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- 9) Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Stella L. J.S. Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 10/12 EGAN ST Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SS1 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: J. J. J. J. J. Suburb: Greenwich Postcode: 2065
Street: Greenwich St
Address: Greenwich St Greenwich Email Address: j.j.j.j.j@crabblock.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- ii. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- iii. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- iv. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- v. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- vi. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- vii. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- viii. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- ix. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Isabella Trimboli Suburb: Glebe Postcode: 2027
Street Address: 224A Bridge Rd Email Address: isabella.trimboli@btinternet.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- ❖ This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- ❖ This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- ❖ Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- ❖ This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- ❖ The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- ❖ The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- ❖ Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- ❖ Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- ❖ Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: PETER CORNIS Suburb: Nowtown Postcode: 2002
Street
Address: 97 Wilson St Email Address: cliffh1@netspace.net.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- B. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- C. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- D. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- E. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- F. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- G. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- H. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- I. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: Sophie GAUTIER Suburb: Alexandria Postcode: 2015
Street
Address: 2 Mandible Email Address: sgautier29@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: Maya wall Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 48 Margaret St Email Address: majkadwall@hotmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
2. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
3. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
4. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
5. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
6. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
7. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
8. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
9. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: S DONOHUE Suburb: MARRICKVILLE Postcode: 2204
Street Address: 37 WOODLAND ST. Email Address: stephen.bluebird@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- b. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- c. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- d. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- e. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- f. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- g. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- h. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- i. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Duncan Ball Suburb: Glebe Postcode: 2037
Street Address: 12 John St Email Address: ballquin@bigpond.net.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: ANGIE RESTREPO Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: Holmwood St Email Address: angie_987@hotmail.com

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: Laetitia Masselos Suburb: St Peter's Postcode: 2044
Street
Address: 136 Church St Email Address: laetitia.masselos@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: DELPHINE DUMAS Suburb: NEWTON Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 1/572 KING ST Email Address: ddumas68@yahoo.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

1. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
2. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
3. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
4. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
5. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
6. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
7. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
8. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
9. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Daniel Bond Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street Address: 11A Enmore Road Email Address: daniel.jacks@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: ELIAS POUR Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 63 ENMORE RD Email Address: elias.pour@live.se

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website


Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a) This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- b) This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- c) Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- d) This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- e) The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- f) The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- g) Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- h) Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- i) Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Julian Hamilton Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2092
Street Address: 96 Chelmsford St Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website



Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Joan Cozza Suburb: Ultimo Postcode: 2007
Street
Address: Quarry Street 101 Email Address: JoCozza@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- i. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- ii. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- iii. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- iv. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- v. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- vi. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- vii. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- viii. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- ix. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: Kyla Cassells Suburb: Belmore Postcode: 2192
Street
Address: 13 Garden St Email Address: _____

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: A.W. Cunningham Suburb: Cammerdown Postcode: 2050
Street
Address: 16 GILPIN ST Email Address: panetbob@optusnet.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: Jan O'Donoghue Suburb: St Peters Postcode: 2044
Street
Address: Silver St Email Address: _____

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- A. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- B. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- C. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- D. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- E. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- F. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- G. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- H. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- I. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Andrew McDonald Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 359 King St Email Address: andrewm138@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: RUTH Suburb: MURRUM Postcode: 2042
Street: 9 Fendale Street
Address: 9 Fendale Street Email Address: ruthymurum@gmail.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: Jeremy Gardner Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 1 Watkin St. Email Address: j.gardner@bigpond.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: GREG RICKETSON Suburb: NEWTOWN Postcode: 2042
Street: 410 O'CONNELL ST
Address: G.Ricketson@bigpond.com

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- I. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- II. This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- III. Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- IV. This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- V. The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2 ?
- VI. The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- VII. Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- VIII. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- IX. Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

.....
Name: Vikki Quill Suburb: Newtown Postcode: 2042
Street
Address: 5 Ferndale St Email Address: quillink@yahoo.com.au
Newtown

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website

Secretary
Department of Planning and Environment
Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Submission to DP & E Project Number: SSI 14 6788 WestConnex New M5 : Date 23-24 Jan 2016

I make this submission in response to the Westconnex M5 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I object to the project and the whole WestConnex because:

- a) This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between King Georges Road and Bexley Road and Arncliffe are being built as 3 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is 50% understated in this EIS.
- b) This EIS is fundamentally flawed in that the tunnels between Arncliffe and St Peters are being built as 5 lanes wide but marked as 2 only, with any increase to 3, 4, or 5 lane usage subject to another EIS. This indicates that the engineering of the tunnels and ventilation, and the impact on local communities, is potentially 80% understated in this EIS for 5 lane usage.
- c) Local road upgrades just outside the project footprint included in this EIS are excluded totally from this EIS as regards costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts. The 7 lane Euston Road between Sydney Park Road and Maddox Street narrowing to 4 lanes can mean only further forced property acquisitions along Euston / McEvoy to increase the road capacity and/or imposition of 24 hour clearways. The same situation arises for local roads coming off the St Peters Interchange such as Campbell Street 7 lanes into Unwins Bridge 4 lanes into Edgeware Road 2 lanes with current parking.
- d) This EIS refers continually to implementation of the entire Westconnex project, but contains no detailed information about Stage 3 and therefore the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts if (1) it is indeed financed and built and (2) if it is not built.
- e) The Minister has continually indicated that the entire Westconnex project simply does not make sense unless Stage 3 is built. But this EIS operates on the assumption this as yet unfinanced stage will be built. Why are these 'non-construction of Stage 3' impacts not explored in full in this decision making process for Stage 2?
- f) The EIS refers to benefits 'if a future Sydney Gateway project proceeds'. No details have been provided in the EIS as to what this even is, let alone what the costs, health, traffic modeling, and social and economic impacts on local communities may be?
- g) Westconnex has stated in community meetings that detailed traffic modeling has been done for various intersections (Sydney Park Road / Euston ; Sydney Park Road / Huntley; Euston / Maddox; Sydney Park Road / Mitchell Road) but these have not been published in the EIS. This means the impacts on Erskinvile, Alexandria and Newtown cannot be analysed in this EIS.
- h) Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will two 'on demand' pedestrian crossings on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection. This will result in a back up of traffic on what is meant to be an 80 kph roadway. This makes no engineering nor traffic management sense.
- i) Westconnex has acknowledged in community meetings there will be a centre lane on Euston Road between Campbell Street and Sydney Park Road intersection to allow trucks to turn into both eastern and western industrial properties. It is inevitable that the many trucks doing so will require management by traffic lights, further slowing down the projected 50,000 per day traffic flow along this section of Euston Road.

Name: Mark KATRAXIS Suburb: LYLFIELD Postcode: 2040
Street: 55 White St Email Address: m.katrakis@ricoh.com.au

Please publish this submission on the NSW Department of Planning and Environment website