30 OCT 2015

Secretary DP&E Project No. SSI 6307 NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of this EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to Aecom being paid millions to do an EIS for a project it which it already has a large commercial

I object to the poor standard of the EIS that assumes the benefits of the project rather evaluating them. The NSW public deserves much better evidence based planning. There is no serious evaluation of

I object to the traffic impacts the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will push thousands of cars and trucks onto alternative routes - Parramatta Road, the M2 and Victoria Road. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel and the proposed M5 tunnel would already be full by 2031 and many local streets and intersections across the entire project would continue to be severely congested or even worse if the project is completed.

I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. Trip time saving are insufficient to justify the huge social and economic toll on

communities.

I object to hundreds of home owners and tenants being forced from their homes and businesses for 100s properties will be compulsorily acquired for the M4 East. Many affected property owners report that the prices offered by government are grossly undervalued.

I object to the loss of 13 hectares of open space and vegetation for the M4 E project alone.

I object to the wholesale destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the fact that business owners were not consulted for the economic impact study. The losses of

small business beyond the immediate project area have been ignored.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. The EIS states that noise and vibration impacts will "cause stress and anxiety, affect the enjoyment of outdoor spaces and disturb normal indoor activities {and} sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing."

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is completely unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities in the area.

Name: HANNAH BYRNE Address: 43 MACDONAUD ST, ERSKINEUILLE Phone (Opt): 0423597061 Email: hannahmbyrne @ ou-thook.com

Secretary DP&E Project No. SSI 6307 NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of this EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to Aecom being paid millions to do an EIS for a project it which it already has a large commercial

I object to the poor standard of the EIS that assumes the benefits of the project rather evaluating them. The NSW public deserves much better evidence based planning. There is no serious evaluation of

• I object to the traffic impacts the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will push thousands of cars and trucks onto alternative routes - Parramatta Road, the M2 and Victoria Road. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel and the proposed M5 tunnel would already be full by 2031 and many local streets and intersections across the entire project would continue to be severely congested or even worse if the project is completed.

I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. Trip time saving are insufficient to justify the huge social and economic toll on

communities.

I object to hundreds of home owners and tenants being forced from their homes and businesses for 100s properties will be compulsorily acquired for the M4 East. Many affected property owners report that the prices offered by government are grossly undervalued.

I object to the loss of 13 hectares of open space and vegetation for the M4 E project alone.

I object to the wholesale destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the fact that business owners were not consulted for the economic impact study. The losses of

small business beyond the immediate project area have been ignored.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. The EIS states that noise and vibration impacts will "cause stress and anxiety, affect the enjoyment of outdoor spaces and disturb normal indoor activities {and} sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing."

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is completely unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities in the area.

Nouder	
Yours sincerely Name: MO10/10 Goulfor	Address: 53 Coverdin St Stonmore
Phone (Opt):	Email:

Date: 30/10/

Secretary DP&E Project No. SSI 6307 NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of this EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to Aecom being paid millions to do an EIS for a project it which it already has a large commercial

I object to the poor standard of the EIS that assumes the benefits of the project rather evaluating them. The NSW public deserves much better evidence based planning. There is no serious evaluation of

 I object to the traffic impacts the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will push thousands of cars and trucks onto alternative routes - Parramatta Road, the M2 and Victoria Road. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel and the proposed M5 tunnel would already be full by 2031 and many local streets and intersections across the entire project would continue to be severely congested or even worse if the project is completed.

I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. Trip time saving are insufficient to justify the huge social and economic toll on

communities.

I object to hundreds of home owners and tenants being forced from their homes and businesses for 100s properties will be compulsorily acquired for the M4 East. Many affected property owners report that the prices offered by government are grossly undervalued.

I object to the loss of 13 hectares of open space and vegetation for the M4 E project alone.

I object to the wholesale destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the fact that business owners were not consulted for the economic impact study. The losses of

small business beyond the immediate project area have been ignored.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. The EIS states that noise and vibration impacts will "cause stress and anxiety, affect the enjoyment of outdoor spaces and disturb normal indoor activities {and} sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing."

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is completely unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care

facilities in the area.		
· · Dava McDon-col		
· Anna Miller	/	
I thismy		_
Yours sincerely Name: Ahne the Decog C Address: U3 8	84-88 Windell	Rd
Phone (Opt): 0 Q 9 554 6366 Email:	Ear (Wood	wse
Phone (Upt): V = 103 · V = Email:		

Date: 31-10- 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel project. I strongly object to this project and the entire WestConnex of which this is a part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has been made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before planning approval is given. This is an abuse of democratic and transparent planning.

I object because Westconnex is NOT a solution to costly traffic congestion. The government's figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on sections of Parramatta Rd, the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads for decades to come. Toll roads may provide temporary relief from traffic congestion but the EIS states that the M4 tunnel would be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on toll roads that will further encourage car
dependency, especially in the Western suburbs of Sydney where public transport has been
neglected for so long. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial, dismissive and blatantly
favours the toll road option.

I recognise the need to move freight efficiently but am disappointed that the NSW government ignores international best practice in failing to consider a combination of alternative solutions for reducing traffic.

• I object to hundreds of owners and tenants are being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of compulsory acquisitions. Residents report that rather than being supported, as the EIS states, they are being bullied out of their homes at below market prices. This is disrupting communities and causing people to suffer extreme stress and depression, all for a 'solution' that will not work.

I object to the significant destruction of heritage properties including nearly a whole block Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance. I object to the threat to biodiversity and vegetation, a topic that is inadequately dealt with in the EIS.

• I object to the lack of serious community consultation and a Social and Economic Impact report for which no consultations with residents or business owners were conducted.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration cause stress and anxiety and affect sleep patterns.

I object to toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks.
Pollution in local areas near tunnel exits will be worse. Traffic pollution is linked to higher
incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung
development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents,
businesses, schools, childcare and aged care facilities near the project route.

0 •		Yours sincerely Chuich Chu
Name: ROB MONSON	_ Address:	
Phone (Opt):	_ Email:	(monsonote Domail. com

Date: $31/10_{2015}$

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has been made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before planning approval is given. This is an abuse of democratic and transparent planning.
- I object because Westconnex is NOT a solution to costly traffic congestion. The government's figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on sections of Parramatta Rd, the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads for decades to come. Toll roads may provide temporary relief from traffic congestion but the EIS states that the M4 tunnel would be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on toll roads that will further encourage car dependency, especially in the Western suburbs of Sydney where public transport has been neglected for so long. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial, dismissive and blatantly favours the toll road option.
- I recognise the need to move freight efficiently but am disappointed that the NSW government ignores international best practice in failing to consider a combination of alternative solutions for reducing traffic.
- I object to hundreds of owners and tenants are being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of compulsory acquisitions. Residents report that rather than being supported, as the EIS states, they are being bullied out of their homes at below market prices. This is disrupting communities and causing people to suffer extreme stress and depression, all for a 'solution' that will not work.
- I object to the significant destruction of heritage properties including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance. I object to the threat to biodiversity and loss of vegetation.
- I object to the lack of serious community consultation and a Social and Economic Impact report for which no consultations with residents or business owners were conducted.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration cause stress and anxiety and affect sleep patterns.
- I object to toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near tunnel exits will be worse. Traffic pollution is linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, childcare and aged care facilities near the project route.

	-//	Yours sincerely
Name: ADRIAN BOOTH	Address: 6504/7	Yours sincerely MAY ST, NEWTOWN
Phone (0pt):	Email:ABOOTHA	@ GMAIL. GOM

Date: 3//10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel project. I strongly object to this project and the entire WestConnex of which this is a part and request a reply to the following concerns:

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has been made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before planning approval is given. This is an abuse of democratic and transparent planning.
- I object because Westconnex is NOT a solution to costly traffic congestion. The government's figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on sections of Parramatta Rd, the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads for decades to come. Toll roads may provide temporary relief from traffic congestion but the EIS states that the M4 tunnel would be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on toll roads that will further encourage car dependency, especially in the Western suburbs of Sydney where public transport has been neglected for so long. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial, dismissive and blatantly favours the toll road option.

• I recognise the need to move freight efficiently but am disappointed that the NSW government ignores international best practice in failing to consider a combination of alternative solutions for reducing traffic.

I object to hundreds of owners and tenants are being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of compulsory acquisitions. Residents report that rather than being supported, as the EIS states, they are being bullied out of their homes at below market prices. This is disrupting communities and causing people to suffer extreme stress and depression, all for a 'solution' that will not work.

• I object to the significant destruction of heritage properties including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance. I object to the threat to biodiversity and loss of vegetation.

 I object to the lack of serious community consultation and a Social and Economic Impact report for which no consultations with residents or business owners were conducted.

• I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration cause stress and anxiety and affect sleep patterns.

I object to toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near tunnel exits will be worse. Traffic pollution is linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, childcare and aged care facilities near the project route.

	J. Robubli Yours sincerely
Name: J. ROBINSKY	J. Rebuble Yours sincerely Address: 2/30 HORDERN St, NEWTOWN 2042
Phone (Opt):	Email: joanna@jrdesigus.com.au

Date: 3/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has been made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before planning approval is given. This is an abuse of democratic and transparent planning.
- I object because Westconnex is NOT a solution to costly traffic congestion. The government's figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on sections of Parramatta Rd, the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads for decades to come. Toll roads may provide temporary relief from traffic congestion but the EIS states that the M4 tunnel would be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on toll roads that will further encourage car dependency, especially in the Western suburbs of Sydney where public transport has been neglected for so long. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial, dismissive and blatantly favours the toll road option.
- I recognise the need to move freight efficiently but am disappointed that the NSW government ignores international best practice in failing to consider a combination of alternative solutions for reducing traffic.
- I object to hundreds of owners and tenants are being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of compulsory acquisitions. Residents report that rather than being supported, as the EIS states, they are being bullied out of their homes at below market prices. This is disrupting communities and causing people to suffer extreme stress and depression, all for a 'solution' that will not work.
- I object to the significant destruction of heritage properties including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance. I object to the threat to biodiversity and loss of vegetation.
- I object to the lack of serious community consultation and a Social and Economic Impact report for which no consultations with residents or business owners were conducted.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration cause stress and anxiety and affect sleep patterns.
- I object to toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near tunnel exits will be worse. Traffic pollution is linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, childcare and aged care facilities near the project route.

Name: chilli reese plack	Yours sincerely Address: 6/40 Morehead Acet, redform
Phone (Opt):	Email: _dilli-dlah 20 yabov.com.au

Date: 3 / 60 / 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel project. I strongly object to this project and the entire WestConnex of which this is a part and request a reply to the following concerns:

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has been made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before planning approval is given. This is an abuse of democratic and transparent planning.
- I object because Westconnex is NOT a solution to costly traffic congestion. The government's figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on sections of Parramatta Rd, the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads for decades to come. Toll roads may provide temporary relief from traffic congestion but the EIS states that the M4 tunnel would be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on toll roads that will further encourage car dependency, especially in the Western suburbs of Sydney where public transport has been neglected for so long. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial, dismissive and blatantly favours the toll road option.

 I recognise the need to move freight efficiently but am disappointed that the NSW government ignores international best practice in failing to consider a combination of alternative solutions for reducing traffic.

 I object to hundreds of owners and tenants are being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of compulsory acquisitions. Residents report that rather than being supported, as the EIS states, they are being bullied out of their homes at below market prices. This is disrupting communities and causing people to suffer extreme stress and depression, all for a 'solution' that will not work.

• I object to the significant destruction of heritage properties including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance. I object to the threat to biodiversity and loss of vegetation.

 I object to the lack of serious community consultation and a Social and Economic Impact report for which no consultations with residents or business owners were conducted.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration cause stress and anxiety and affect sleep patterns.

I object to toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks.
 Pollution in local areas near tunnel exits will be worse. Traffic pollution is linked to higher
 incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung
 development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents,
 businesses, schools, childcare and aged care facilities near the project route.

	118 10	
	Yours sincerely	
Name: Hancefa Kuchley	Address: 218 Church St Newtonn	-
Phone (Opt):	Email: hancefa buckley@gmail.com	-

	31	10	5
Date:	A.	2015	_,

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

4209

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has been made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before planning approval is given. This is an abuse of democratic and transparent planning.
- I object because Westconnex is NOT a solution to costly traffic congestion. The government's figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on sections of Parramatta Rd, the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads for decades to come. Toll roads may provide temporary relief from traffic congestion but the EIS states that the M4 tunnel would be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on toll roads that will further encourage car dependency, especially in the Western suburbs of Sydney where public transport has been neglected for so long. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial, dismissive and blatantly favours the toll road option.
- I recognise the need to move freight efficiently but am disappointed that the NSW government ignores international best practice in failing to consider a combination of alternative solutions for reducing traffic.
- I object to hundreds of owners and tenants are being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of compulsory acquisitions. Residents report that rather than being supported, as the EIS states, they are being bullied out of their homes at below market prices. This is disrupting communities and causing people to suffer extreme stress and depression, all for a 'solution' that will not work.
- I object to the significant destruction of heritage properties including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance. I object to the threat to biodiversity and loss of vegetation.
- I object to the lack of serious community consultation and a Social and Economic Impact report for which no consultations with residents or business owners were conducted.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration cause stress and anxiety and affect sleep patterns.
- I object to toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near tunnel exits will be worse. Traffic pollution is linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, childcare and aged care facilities near the project route.

	O \	
	J. Santarnan Yours sincerely	
Name: JATIE BEN	TERTANAddress: 15 ALBERT ST, NEW	MUST
Phone (Opt):	Email: besternanjamie (
	hot mail co	>~

Date: 3/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel project. I strongly object to this project and the entire WestConnex of which this is a part and request a reply to the following concerns:

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has been made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before planning approval is given. This is an abuse of democratic and transparent planning.
- I object because Westconnex is NOT a solution to costly traffic congestion. The government's figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on sections of Parramatta Rd, the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads for decades to come. Toll roads may provide temporary relief from traffic congestion but the EIS states that the M4 tunnel would be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on toll roads that will further encourage car dependency, especially in the Western suburbs of Sydney where public transport has been neglected for so long. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial, dismissive and blatantly favours the toll road option.

• I recognise the need to move freight efficiently but am disappointed that the NSW government ignores international best practice in failing to consider a combination of alternative solutions for reducing traffic.

 I object to hundreds of owners and tenants are being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of compulsory acquisitions. Residents report that rather than being supported, as the EIS states, they are being bullied out of their homes at below market prices. This is disrupting communities and causing people to suffer extreme stress and depression, all for a 'solution' that will not work.

• I object to the significant destruction of heritage properties including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance. I object to the threat to biodiversity and loss of vegetation.

 I object to the lack of serious community consultation and a Social and Economic Impact report for which no consultations with residents or business owners were conducted.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration cause stress and anxiety and affect sleep patterns.

I object to toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near tunnel exits will be worse. Traffic pollution is linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, childcare and aged care facilities near the project route.

	110	
		Yours sincerely
Name: YVONOE GIBUES	Address: 47 4057K	HOST HOS OMICH
#44 °	Email: VONY VONY	Detemailocomo au
Phone (Opt):	Email: VOIG VOING	Detellour, and

Date: 3//10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has been made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before planning approval is given. This is an abuse of democratic and transparent planning.
- I object because Westconnex is NOT a solution to costly traffic congestion. The government's figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on sections of Parramatta Rd, the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads for decades to come. Toll roads may provide temporary relief from traffic congestion but the EIS states that the M4 tunnel would be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on toll roads that will further encourage car dependency, especially in the Western suburbs of Sydney where public transport has been neglected for so long. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial, dismissive and blatantly favours the toll road option.
- I recognise the need to move freight efficiently but am disappointed that the NSW government ignores international best practice in failing to consider a combination of alternative solutions for reducing traffic.
- I object to hundreds of owners and tenants are being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of compulsory acquisitions. Residents report that rather than being supported, as the EIS states, they are being bullied out of their homes at below market prices. This is disrupting communities and causing people to suffer extreme stress and depression, all for a 'solution' that will not work.
- I object to the significant destruction of heritage properties including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance. I object to the threat to biodiversity and loss of vegetation.
- I object to the lack of serious community consultation and a Social and Economic Impact report for which no consultations with residents or business owners were conducted.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration cause stress and anxiety and affect sleep patterns.
- I object to toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks.
 Pollution in local areas near tunnel exits will be worse. Traffic pollution is linked to higher
 incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung
 development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents,
 businesses, schools, childcare and aged care facilities near the project route.

-	Yours sincerely
Name: Brian Liston	
Phone (Opt):	Email: brian. Liston of det. 15w. edu. com.

Date: 31/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel project. I strongly object to this project and the entire WestConnex of which this is a part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has been made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before planning approval is given. This is an abuse of democratic and transparent planning.

I object because Westconnex is NOT a solution to costly traffic congestion. The government's figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on sections of Parramatta Rd, the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads for decades to come. Toll roads may provide temporary relief from traffic congestion but the EIS states that the M4 tunnel would be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on toll roads that will further encourage car
dependency, especially in the Western suburbs of Sydney where public transport has been
neglected for so long. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial, dismissive and blatantly
favours the toll road option.

 I recognise the need to move freight efficiently but am disappointed that the NSW government ignores international best practice in failing to consider a combination of alternative solutions for reducing traffic.

• I object to hundreds of owners and tenants are being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of compulsory acquisitions. Residents report that rather than being supported, as the EIS states, they are being bullied out of their homes at below market prices. This is disrupting communities and causing people to suffer extreme stress and depression, all for a 'solution' that will not work.

• I object to the significant destruction of heritage properties including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance. I object to the threat to biodiversity and loss of vegetation.

 I object to the lack of serious community consultation and a Social and Economic Impact report for which no consultations with residents or business owners were conducted.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration cause stress and anxiety and affect sleep patterns.

I object to toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks.
Pollution in local areas near tunnel exits will be worse. Traffic pollution is linked to higher
incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung
development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents,
businesses, schools, childcare and aged care facilities near the project route.

	1.1.0	
	- cholton	t:
N v	Yours sincerely	
Name: HILON LESEN	Address: 27 Workin It Venton	10
-, o- U .	My loster at amil 10	\mathcal{N}
Phone (Opt):	Email:	11

Date: 30/(0 _

Secretary DP&E Project No. SSI 6307 NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of this EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to Aecom being paid millions to do an EIS for a project it which it already has a large commercial
- I object to the poor standard of the EIS that assumes the benefits of the project rather evaluating them. The NSW public deserves much better evidence based planning. There is no serious evaluation of
- I object to the traffic impacts the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will push thousands of cars and trucks onto alternative routes - Parramatta Road, the M2 and Victoria Road. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel and the proposed M5 tunnel would already be full by 2031 and many local streets and intersections across the entire project would continue to be severely congested or even worse if the project is completed.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. Trip time saving are insufficient to justify the huge social and economic toll on communities.
- I object to hundreds of home owners and tenants being forced from their homes and businesses for 100s properties will be compulsorily acquired for the M4 East. Many affected property owners report that the prices offered by government are grossly undervalued.
- I object to the loss of 13 hectares of open space and vegetation for the M4 E project alone.
- I object to the wholesale destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the fact that business owners were not consulted for the economic impact study. The losses of small business beyond the immediate project area have been ignored.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. The EIS states that noise and vibration impacts will "cause stress and anxiety, affect the enjoyment of outdoor spaces and disturb normal indoor activities {and} sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing."
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution

	l'	pollution has been linked to higher well as impaired lung developments of residents, businesses, schools	HIL HI CHIIGICH. THE
(*)			×
	10		
Yours sincerely Name: DUGO AK	Mee Mano Address:	21 charlecot St	Dulwich Hell 2202
Phone (Opt):	Email:		

Date: 30/10

Secretary DP&E Project No. SSI 6307 NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the this submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of this EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to Aecom being paid millions to do an EIS for a project it which it already has a large commercial

I object to the poor standard of the EIS that assumes the benefits of the project rather evaluating them. The NSW public deserves much better evidence based planning. There is no serious evaluation of

I object to the traffic impacts the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that re-imposing a toll on the current M4 will push thousands of cars and trucks onto alternative routes - Parramatta Road, the M2 and Victoria Road. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel and the proposed M5 tunnel would already be full by 2031 and many local streets and intersections across the entire project would continue to be severely congested or even worse if the project is completed.

I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. Trip time saving are insufficient to justify the huge social and economic toll on

communities.

I object to hundreds of home owners and tenants being forced from their homes and businesses for 100s properties will be compulsorily acquired for the M4 East. Many affected property owners report that the prices offered by government are grossly undervalued.

I object to the loss of 13 hectares of open space and vegetation for the M4 E project alone.

I object to the wholesale destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the fact that business owners were not consulted for the economic impact study. The losses of

small business beyond the immediate project area have been ignored.

Good

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. The EIS states that noise and vibration impacts will "cause stress and anxiety, affect the enjoyment of outdoor spaces and disturb normal indoor activities {and} sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing."

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is completely unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities in the area.

		-				
Yours sincerely Name: Mary N	1cSpoolden	Address	s: 32 5	Williams	Role, D	Julwich Hill
Phone (Opt):		Email:	mary	mcspad 1	70 h	stmail.com



Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 1 8/10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that Received prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

 I object to the destruction of horizage properties agrees the route including pearly a whole

 4 NOV 2015

 I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS. Scanning Roon

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

• I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sineerely Name: An Ann	Address: 3/97 Lysnoon Es Dunwoos HATTS
Phone (Opt): <u>0417 128780</u>	Email: Same concer
	Samosimerchandising.com.

Date: 18 / 0 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

<u> </u>	
Yours sincerely Name: Samuel John Dillon Address:	64 samuelstreet
Phone (Opt): 0488242204Email: _	Samueljalin & Hotmail-con

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 18/10/2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

J. Humpson	
Yours sincerely Name: SOEL WAMBON	Address: 1 WELLS STREET, NEWTOWN
Phone (Opt):	Email: HAMPSON 61090@ GMAIL. COM.

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name:	P=124	Address:	15/3	Pacific	Ave	Jam
Yours sincerely Alic Name: Phone (Opt):		Email: _	marif	1650-blu	e her	mil. an

Date: 18/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Debix Carter	Address:	23	Florence	Street	St. Peters
Phone (Opt):					

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: \\\ \/ \| \/ \/ \/ 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

0.00	- 19 - 5	1.
Yours sincerely Name: DANNY CHIEFEY	Address: Ro Box S 64	DEXAMORIA NOW 435
Phone (Opt):	Email: danny Mille	Jaguer Com

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

			·		-
Yours sincerely Fund	Address: _	12/31	myra	Rd	Dulwian Hil
Phone (Opt):	Email:	avrij	. c. for	1 6	gneil-an

Date: 18 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

• I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Show	RESIDE	Address: 45	WAKEEN PD	MAKKI CKVILLE	
Phone (Opt): 040			ide shane@ano		
(1)					

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT
3

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport:improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked

lung development in children	atory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired n. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, d and aged care facilities near the project route.
Yours sincerely Name: AMY CROUCHER	Address: 67 BINDA ST, HAWLES NEST
Phone (Opt):	_ Email: _ any croscher Egmail. com

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 18/10_2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

TROPE		.9	
Yours sincerely Name: A. MARR	Address: 43 UACKEY ST,	ST PETERS	<u>2</u> 044
Phone (Opt):	Email: 2Val Marv 69M	ail.com	 0

Date: 1 10 . 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

 This is a transactive fideway of the property of domestic planning.

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

• I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: NEW Ktorn Address: 33 Possens St. St. Perens 2044

Phone (Opt): 9519 2218 Email: nashkerr 2 ichard. com

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 1800 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name:	Address:	1 DAVIO of macrickuick
Phone (Opt):	Email:	ityrrelle unru.edu.au

Date: 18 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely	1 2 2 1 1 1
Name: Lachar Judson	Address: 15/62-72 Botang Rd Alexander
Phone (Opt):	Email: Konfusiro hotna, Lan

Secretary

Date: 18-10 - 2015

DP&E Project No. SSI 6307

NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001 Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Loreng	PARAM	Address:	8/13-17	Cope	St.	Redfern
Phone (Opt): 04200						

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 12 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: LOUISE (19/1999)	Address:	7A Bynes St	Marrickuille
Phone (Opt):	Email: _	louiseogilligan@1	notingil.com

Date: 18 10 - 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

 I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

 I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Inana Walden	Address: 368 Unigstore Rd Mouneline
Phone (Opt): 0408299 000	Email: 10 walder @ opt snet am au

Date: 18/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Lauro	Eden	Address: 2/8 - 10	Schwebel st	Marrickville
Phone (Opt):		Email: Sydlau . le		

Date: 18 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

• I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	101-			7	
Yours sincerely Name:	Lueres	Address: 74	Malcolm	st. Erskir	neville
Phone (Opt):		Email: <u>lano</u>	marie	chotmail.co	m.

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: /8//0 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

mod	
Yours sincerely Maden	Address: 9/86 //awarra Rd. Maridevile
Phone (Opt):	Email: Mark & Urban que illa. Con

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 10 . 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

			8
Yours singerely Name:	Address:	9/88 7/1040414	Coad, Narrobustle
Phone (Opt):	Email:	1 atmacroeal	natmail.com



Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Meily		
Yours sincerely Name: M. CASAM	Address:	es no monnactiville
Phone (Opt):	Email: ARE CONEARED G	MAIL COM

Date: /\(\int_{O}\) 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	Yours sincerely Name: Dougg be)r	49 States St Tea	upe 2044
Phone (Opt): Email:deacassaphuret. com.au	Name: Manuage 189	Address:		1

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	and ages our o mornisos from the	
Yours sincerely/ Name:	_ Address: Blassa	nd Av. Nowington.
Phone (0pt): <u>OUO43\064</u>	L Email: <u>andrealgra</u>	hotenaul Com

Date: 18/10/ 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

businesses, schools, and chil	a and aged care facilities near t	ne project route.
Smyslaff/		8
Yours sincerely Name: Samb Wagstaff	Address 29 Hillorest	St, Tempe, NSW, ZOYY
Phone (Opt):	Email: Wagstaff. Sara	

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		x
Yours sincerely Name: Debra In Kerton	Address: \$74 Companion	Way Tweed Hads 2485
Phone (Opt):	Email: debra. pinkerton	

Date: 16/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Green wood	Address: 2/74 Malakoff St Marickidle NSW 2709
Phone (Opt):	Email: +6/ley796ht mail.com

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	* **
Yours singerely Armstrong	Address: 57 Probat St, Camperdown, 2050
Phone (Opt):	Email: armstrong.rex@gmail.com

4242

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 16 00 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			n - à		24
Yours sincerely Name:	Address:	1/12	BLAXCAND	AVE	arutuetow
Phone (Opt):	Email: _b-	entan_	humphris @	hotm	ail-con

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Andre Mch	_ Address: 2/13 Keys Al D	JW.2/2
Phone (Opt):	Email: adm Jognil-com-	

4244

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: <u>18/10</u> 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

• I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

• Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

• I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

111111	11		
Yours sincerely Managery Name: MATHEW SOYD	Address:	91 Underdite Rd	Earlwood
X		matthoyd 64 ogran	

Date: 18. 10 .1 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: NoyA TOLON Address:	a underlike Pd
Phone (Opt): Email:	noya evon Dogmail. com

Date: 18 - 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

J. / Moon	L.	
Yours sincerely Name: 1001 Moore	Address: 90 RA	FILMAY RO SYDENHAM
Phone (Opt):	Email: TONISKEET	TER (GMAIL. COM

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Kiasa Parks A	Address: 238 Queen St Ashfield
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------

Date: 18 10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely John Layton Address: 7 Florence St ST PETELS 2046

Phone (Opt): 041/288016 Email: Deter John Layton @ Maril. om

Date: 18 10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

• I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

• I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

• I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

 I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: NICKY WULS	Address arthur Street, M'ville
	Email: Mahlers @ 4 ahoo. Com.
Phone (Opt):	Email: 10(00)(0)(1)(0)

Date: 10. 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Evesto	Address: es 182@hotmail.com	
Phone (Opt):	Email: 21 Enmake Rd	à.

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

ours sincerely		- 11.000		-/ ./
Yours sincerely Name: MCAS STENES	2 VALAddress	SA GIVER	WOODS	LN
Phone (Opt):	Email:	61C+ 3C9	te Ogna.	(i) (ii)

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	"Away"	
Yours sincerely Name: Gaboiel Niven	Address: 12 Erskineralle Rd	
	Email: gabe. niven@hnfraci).c.om	,

Date: 18/10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

• Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

• I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

3 2	
Yours sincerely Name: See Reed	Address: 42 Beatrice St 4120
Phone (Opt):	_ Email: steve. ilstronza@g mail. com

Date: 18/10_2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

• I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: NEIL DELACO	_ Address:	7/84	LLANARRA	Ro	MARRICKVILLE
Phone (Opt): 0416 236 370	Email: Ne	-120 De	EANEY DLO	Adma	exx · con . An

Date: 18/10/2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

 I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

 I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

• I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

				791 ×
Yours sincerely Ethan	Pizos 10	Joseph	St	Lilyfield
rame.		· 1 1080	000	. 0 01
Phone (Opt):	Email: SPYr	-, don 17076	ZAN	lail, Com
	. 0			

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept, of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of servicé. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

• I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

• I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

• I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Kylk Salisbus	Address: \$ 29 Dennis & lahembe 2195
Phone (Opt):	Email: byle, Salisbury a det. user. edu. au

Date: _\B/10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

• I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: OWEN	noceston	Address:	70	GREAT	DUCKINGH.	4m St.	REDFERN
Phone (Opt):					ilston, net		

Date: <u>|8|10</u> 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Mirr)	Leven	Address: 7	O west	buckingha	m St Redler
Phone (Opt):	-	Email: Miv	rileven (e)	hotmail.	com.au

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Michael H	owled Address:	3/411	Illawarra	RI.	marrickville	2204
Phone (Opt):	Email:	teasp	oon_mjh@	yaho	0-(°M	

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

| This is the property of the pr

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Rose Howlett	Address: xa 3/411 Illamarra Rd Marrickville
Phone (Opt):	Email: rose boundy @ Jahoo. com. au

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

 Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

 I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		¥	(40)	< 1 1
Yours sincerely Name:	Wat Address	: 22 Pe	onbale 51	Marsichul
Phone (Opt):	Email:	Sharon	.600 akotm	all. com.

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Katie	Long	Address: 56	Goodsell St	2044
Phone (Opt):	8		long ahotmail. co	n'

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Garl	Andrews	_ Address: _	8 Boronia	Ave	Craydon	
Phone (Opt):		_ Email: _	arth. andr	ews@	outlook-a	OM.

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Leter Walker	Address: 209 A Avoca St Erskine ville
Phone (Opt): <u>912212(63</u>	Email: pete-w35@hotmail.com

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: LUDTI STILES	Address: 88 VIGUNIA RUAN	MARRIMINE
Phone (Opt):	Email: ellionspyles @ huh	witcon

Date: 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name SGARAS	Address	10	050	good	Ne	
Phone (Opt): 0410 8875 83			- /		1144	m

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely	1	ill toracle	ulled C	do lano
Name: HIISON	LAMO(eAddress:	14 Previo	NIUK ST.	squen narr
Phone (Opt):	Email:	cluxmore	Cymail.	com

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I-object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: NCTORIA	GARRETT	Addres	s: <u>5</u> 2	EDGWARE	RD	ENMORE
Phone (Opt):				ACE 920 (
	4.0000	Ų.		" E		T.

Date: 18 / 5 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

					-10000	
Yours sincerely Name: HUS	G1850N	Address:	20	CARY	10	MARRICK INC. 65
Phone (Opt):		Email:	rail	son 7	0	enail-com
r none (ope).		_ Lillani	1) -

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Jessica Schoulk	Address: 68	Warren	Rd Marrickville
Phone (Opt): 0449779204	Email: Jes	ricascho	ultz@yahoo. COM.
SASchott	*		

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

			9		
Yours sincerely	Gowlamp Addres	25 Parcha		Glebe	2037
Name:	Addres				
Phone (Opt):	Email:	markgovdka	mpe	gmail.	Com
	Mal	,	,		
	1. James		* 41		
	<i></i>				

Date: 18/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

77 	9		. / -
	1.1		1/45 Cavendish & Stanmone
Yours sincerely Name:	thounes	Address: Vita hinched	- Stanmone
Phone (Opt):)	Email: Vita Minchey	e amail com
		What I'm))
		July MM	

Date: 18 10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

• I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely /		0	2040
Yours sincerely Name:	Address:	Stanmore	2018
Phone (Opt):	Email:	(yan nancel	a live com an

Date: 18 10 15 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

• I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

 Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

 I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Melissa Marko	S Address:	18	Unwins	Bridge	rd	Sr	Perci
Phone (Opt):	Email:	182					sagmail.

4275

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: $\frac{\sqrt{g}}{100}$ 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree:
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		§
Yours sincerely Name: Www Ovahame	Address: 7 Macaylun Pde	Durch All
Name: Chunas Vahame		
Phone (Opt):	Email: em ma@ grahame · Cu	om.av

Date 8 / 6 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely.	Burning Address: 16 Marickulle Donne	,
Phone (Opt):	Pmail: Vband (Fa) amail, con	

Date: 18-10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

MAN	
Yours sincerely Name: Nathaniel Turnbull	Address: unit 5-8 schwebel street Marson
Phone (Opt):	Email: natbrendaggmant. com

Date: 18/10/2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Sallies and the sallies and th	
Yours sincerely Name: PETER BOWNES	Address: 23 FRAM PTON AUE, MARRICKVICLE 2204
Phone (Opt):	Email: peterbownes Coptusnet.com. an

Date: 18 · 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: <u> </u>	mmett	Address: 19 Frampton Avenue
Phone (Opt): <u>043</u>	396 659	Email: carmelgrimmettayahoo, com.au

Date: 18/10/2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

0 1 0-1-6	1/28 Cl-Mal 0	Yours sincerely Name: Lian Darghly
Kody Nandy	Address: 1/38 St Marks Re	Name: Lia Darghhu
and com	Email: Unnahural Catre Cyme	Phone (Opt):
mod record	Email: Unnanural of le une	Phone (Opt):

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	<u> </u>
Yours sincerely Name: 2050 OTORNIW Address: 6	20 stationst
Phone (Opt): 96909349 Email:	· ·
	0

Date: 18 · 10 · 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

MICHACL	MING				
Yours sincerely M	Thing.	Address	: 14 CLARILO	5	GRANVILLE
Phone (Opt):96 <i>\begin{align*}</i>			5.		_

Date: 18 (0) 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

AM	2	
Yours sincerely Mallouly	Address:_	16 Meager Are, Padstow 221
Phone (Opt):	Email:	jo-mahoney 40 gmail-com

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Ni Cole Thornton	Address: 4/277 Old Ca	anterbry Rd, Dulwich Hill
	Email: <u>Nicthechic @ gmail</u>	

Date: 18.10. 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

• I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

11/1/10/	lin				3	
Yours sinderely wetchen	GovaanAddress:	19	dook	54	Annan	dek
Phone (Opt):	Email.			207	8	*
-	gr	riovac	7499 (A ()	gma	il. com	

Date: 18/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Hoch	loni dans	Address: 303	allawarn	Rd	marriceville
Phone (Opt):			dar15@ iprim		

Date: 18/10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: <u>Daimen</u> <u>CarlanyAddress</u>: <u>118A Silver st</u>, St <u>Peters</u> 2044 Phone (Opt): <u>04S222/41S</u> Email: <u>claimen carlange</u> gmail.com

Date: 16/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: MEZ OBNEN	Address: 3/52 WARREN ROAD MARRICUME
Phone (Opt):	Email: Mer. Obrien@gmeil. com. au

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

24	LI IV
Yours sincerely Name:	PENHALLOWAddress: 21 Perrett St Rozelle
Phone (Opt):	Email: eljay peee Dhotma, 1. com

Date: 18.10. 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: MICHAN THOWAddress: 7 MC Govan	Are Mariekville
Phone (Opt): 041446227 Email: mt Lo 6288 @ uni.	

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Wil Godfrey	Address: Applebee St Stpefeas	9
Phone (Opt):	Email: wil.godfice@hotmeil.com	`

Date: 18 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

 Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

 I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

• I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Lucas Stanton Address: Unit 2/	19-236	Dulwich hill
Phone (Opt): 0449 737690 Email: (5.100)	otaail	

Date: 18 /10 / 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	70
Address:	
	con, ou
	Address:

Date: 18 10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

• I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

• I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

• I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Mar	M120	Address: 3/17 frederick st	Rochdale 2202211
Phone (Opt):		_ Email: mellomizo agmai	A.

Date: 18-10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

 I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

 I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		9
Yours sincerely, Name:	Address: RO Rox 366	AN NAMBARE
Phone (Opt):	Email: Selly Con	otmail an

Date: 18/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Burvett	Address: 56 Despointes St Manidville
Phone (Opt):	_ Email: _ poppy burnett@ gmail.com

Date: 18/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

• I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

• I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

				6)	
Yours sincerely Marks Surk	Address:	56	Despointes	· St K	grijduille
Phone (Opt):	Email:	rmari	ks/mith@	gmail-c	9M

Date: 18 · 10 · 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	****	W S
Yours sincefely Physics	_ Address: 16 Marskothe	Ave Marrishylle
Phone (Opt):	_ Email: Whandrag grow	ail. com

Date: 18/10/2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have
 been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Hison Wright Address: 20 Cary st	Manickville
Phone (Opt): 0414 699701 Email: ali@peridat.	net.au

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Nork Rui	Address:	5 Richards Avenue
-----------------------------------	----------	-------------------

Date: 14.10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	1
h	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Yours sincerely Phys	Address: 40 Campsu St
Phone (Opt):	Email: Mechpan Hagmail-com

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have
 been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

-	u u				
Yours sincerely Name: LAURA	EDWARDS	_ Address: _	CARPILEWORKS	way	EVELOCH
Phone (Opt):			1. edwards@ live.		

Date: |8 | 10 | 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

(A 		**
Yours sincerely Name: Lawn Book	Address: 35 Frederich Street,	St Peters, NSL1 2044
Phone (Opt): <u>XVI 9 11 9 31</u>	Email: Lanib Cyahoo-inc	com

Date: 15/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: MU (CODIC	Address: 35 FREDERICU ST, ST PETERS, NOW, 2044
Phone (Opt): 43064483/	Email: ptusedecahos.co.ux

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: EXTRIVATOR	Address: 2/74 MALAKOFF ST MALLICKULE	2204
	Email: <u>esztervoradi</u> gahoo. com, ou	

Date: 18 00 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		:5
Yours sincerely Name: <u>Young Barton Level</u>	Address: 238 Queen 54	Ashfield
Phone (Opt):	Email: vodge 1973 @ ypt	ks net com su

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Da.	——————————————————————————————————————	
Yours sincerely Name:	Address: 40 BURLINGTO	ON ANS EARLWOOD
Phone (Opt):	Email: manaxostovoh	atmail.com.

Date: 18 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Grace Fion	Address:	119	maj	St	St	Peter 5
Phone (Opt): 0439 181 413			520			

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

8		_				•		
Yours sincerely Name: NICKA PUNA	riupat	> Address:	105	Silver	ह्ये	Marrickville	WOM 3	204
Phone (Opt):		Email:	ρ	enrajs.	@ b	gound com - c	<u> </u>	

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Min		9
Yours sincerely Name: JOH MARKELLOS	Address: 12 FARLHOOD CRGS	BARDWELL PARK
Phone (Opt): 951920 52	Email: john-markellos	@hotmail.com

Date: 10, 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: <u>STLVIA KAREOIS</u> Addres	ss: 15 Queen St, Musman
Phone (Opt): <u> </u>	sylvia@ svifection.com

Date: 18/10/2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

folks.				d d
Yours sincerely Name: Sofie	Address:	1 Wells	St	Newtown
Phone (Opt):	Email: _	sofie-rfb@) hoto	nall-com

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely	WE Quine	Address	18	ANDEDERN	86	MARRICKYHIL
Phone (Opt):			_			

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: MARK CURRAN	Address: 38 Hocking An EARhway
Phone (Opt):	_ Email: Mark curran@ of turket.com. au
	mark currantes of for

Date: (6 - 10 - 2015)

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: <u>Lelly Doust</u>	Address: 33 Pigott 84 Duluich Hill NFW 2203
	Email: <u>Kellydous+1 Dyahoo. nom. an</u>

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: <u>Shai HallISOn</u>	Address: 82 Sutherland St Sydonlingm "	,
Phone (Opt): 0468790234	Email: Sha Harrison @ hotmail.com.	

Date: 18 10 · 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Tomomi Akan	rine Address: 4/64 Arthur St
Phone (Opt):	Email: tomonki@gmail.com

Date: 18 Oct. 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Kebecea Wang	Address: 6/47 Ewart & Nanckulle
Phone (Opt):	Email: rebecca ware Oy Tomail .com

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

businesses, schools, and chil	d and aged care facilities near the project route.
Yours sincerely Name: None (Opt):	Address: Sb Goodsell st Email: shonelle 19 (2gmail.com

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: ANNA	KEDHAN	Address: 43 VICTORIA RD	MARRICKVILLE
Phone (Opt):		Email: ackenhan a yaha	NSW 2204

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	1000	3		4	
Yours sincerely Name: 1/Z	Haryatt	Address:	4/44-50	Eurof St	Marrichille
Phone (Opt):	J		/	H@gnail.	*

Date: 8 0 + . 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

-			
Yours sincerely	Snondon	Address: 129 Floverard,	Cronv/ a 2230
Phone (Opt):		Email: CallymSnowdon296	2 Hotmail. Com

Date: 18-10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

***					9	
Yours sincerely Name:	ROUSTO Address:	3/20	11puelli		5	Marridule,
Phone (Opt):	Email:	1 ' '	stove	Sw	ngi	con.

Date 10 · 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

• I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	5 1 cl						
	: Richert IN				50		
Yours since by Name: 5 5 000	%(10115 Address:_	42	Campbell	84	St	Peters	NSJ
Phone (Opt): NA	Email:						

Date: 18.10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

5			×	*
Yours sincerely	11co	and the reserve	1 /Hattafill	
Yours sincerely Name: Young Geok	HAN Address:	20 Vernon	ST STRAIMIEIS	
Phone (Opt): 042 8/3				
		81 @	hanmail. net	

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have
 been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

8H KK-70-	
Yours sincerely ginz	Negy Address: 21 451 New Conterbury Rd
Phone (Opt):	Email:
	regs 86@ hotmzil-com.

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

1		
Yours sincerely Name: YOA V HANDEL	4 M/Address:	unit 6. twantst
Phone (Opt):	Email:	sabashantisa hotomailion

Date: 17/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is

given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

 I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

• I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

 I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		-
Yours sincerely Name: Grace Williams	Address: 15-25 Regent St.	Chippendale, NSW, 2008
Phone (Opt):	Email: gwillia 10 trini	

Date: 18-10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

						(3)		
Yours sincerely Name: Allison	La Spina	Address:	83	Church	St	It Rete	a, NSW	Sock
Phone (Opt):		Email:	d,	lagpina	oho	Amail.	Com	

Date: 8/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Ded		-
Yours sincerely Saft	Address: 26 Hercles St	Delwich Hill
Phone (Opt):	Email: 1- pit@hotmail.	Com

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

——————————————————————————————————————		ina agea c	are racing	ics itear the	projecti	oute.		_
						140		====
Yours sincerely Name:	Dally	Address:	28	wallen	St	Huslsh	re_	Park.
Phone (Opt):	-//\d	Email: _	kateo	lalloho	strai	1 Con	79	-
				J		,		

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: <u>EMMA</u> COUCH	Address: 15/60-64 EWART & MARRICKVILLE
Phone (Opt): <u>0427398883</u>	Email: em. couch @ smow . com.

Date: $\frac{18/20}{2015}$

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: A Togy Nov	Address:
Phone (Opt):	Email: angel. ioqunoued gurail.com

Date: 18 Oct 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Mig van Mer Lon Address:	9 Courte 81, New toper
	avarder en & small-com
	0

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: KMMORLOW	_ Address: 74 Petusha R	- Namickalle
	Email: Cobol-Mcmomon(at	
Phone (Opt):	_ Email: 1050+McMomonda	Justile Occ. COV

Date: 18.10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		,		
Yours sincerely Kopel	Address: 41/	1 CORDAGTIO	NAVE	PETERS HAM
Phone (Opt): 0400 151 978	Email: Sha	wn. bost 6	brown	d. 00m
		1	JI.	

Date: 18 10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

ours sincerely	Futuran Address: 24 Tay	
Phone (Opt):	Email:pfukran	@ gmail. com

Date: 18 Th Oct. 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely , Rivertien	Address: 91 Silver St Marrickvillensw204
Phone (Opt): 4558 851]	Email: toni. wazip.com.au.

Date: 18 · 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely	McKinsty Address: 94 Constitution Rd, Dulwich
Name. VVISIO	H. T.
Phone (Opt):	Email: Kljmck@yahoo-co.NZ.

Date: 16 · 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

eth	
Syrc,	7* Y
Yours sincerely Name: Wahalal HOS Address:	11/35 ROBERTSON ST.
	KOGARAH-NSW 2217
Phone (Opt): Email: _	LOVELUSTON HOTMAILICOM

Date: 18/10/2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

-				(4)!		
Yours sincerely Name: A. DEAGN	Address:	49	STATION	57.	Tempe	2044
Phone (Opt):			_		et CoM. a	

4342

Date: 18/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Alle			
Yours sincerely Name: MATT WISE MAN	Address: 33	HURCH ST,	ST PETERS
Phone (Opt):	Email: Wiscman	walt & ho	tugil com.

Date: 18 · (\infty 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	7	
Yours sincerely Name: Address		MARRICHILLE
Phone (Opt):Email: _	SUSAN-UND, SAY	MIN-HEALTH.

Date: 18./0 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely M WASTKOIANH	Address: 23	lucas	KD	BUKWOOD
Phone (Opt):	Email: Now	10 Dola	et al.	sol. com, as

Date: $\frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{|\mathcal{C}|}$ 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

2	M	1	7		
Vorme alm savely	91		<i>/</i>		
Yours sincerely Name: <u>SERASTIAN</u>			1		55.
Phone (Opt):	Email:	5.9	regons @ (1	ve.cs	m, a.y

Date: 18//0/ 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Georgia Mar	Address: 30 Anderton & Marrich will
Phone (Opt):	Email: georgiadyer@ hotmail.com

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Marial A.R.			*	
Yours sincerely Name: MORIAL ATONGO	Address:	16 18-10	Maloney St	t, bestlates
Phone (Opt):	Email: <u>M</u>	narial Uzaran	go@hotmail.c	om_

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: STEVEN ALLEN		DENISON ST	NEWTOUN
Phone (Opt): <u>0405148637</u>	Email: Steve	na 2908 Egm	iil.com

Date: 18. 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: NAMPELORE TOOKER	Address: 15 STAWLEY ST.	TOMPE
Phone (Opt): 0414223988	Email: hanneloretookera	gmail, con

Date: 18/10

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked

to higher incidence of respi	iratory and heart disease and lung cancer	, as well as impaired
	en. This is unacceptable given the high nu ild and aged care facilities near the projec	
	ind and aged care facilities near the project	
	ally s a second	a"
Yours sincerely Name: <u>Kot Rovber</u>	Address: 6 Burous Aue	Sydenham
Phone (Opt):	_ Email: Katronab 13 @ ya	veo.com

Date: _\8/(\omega_2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

ADD AIR CONDUCTOR	/1	- 51153	
Yours sincerely Name: Paul CAVAL	AV Address:	Randall	st Noille
Phone (Opt):	Email: Derco	zvaleno -	not mail. com.

Date: \8\10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: La(NC) MWAIIN	Address: 238 Manituille Rd
Phone (Opt): 9572 7277	Email: Vichiobiolise gmail. (on

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

-	 -		
Yours sincerely ALLSOIF	Address: 25 CHARLECOT	57, DULWICH 1	41LL 2203
Phone (Opt):	Email: RILFRED@GMAI	L.COM	===

Date: 18/10/2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: <u>Vectoria</u>	PYE	Address: 308/1-13 Gav nevs	Ave	Marrichville 2204
Phone (Opt):		_ Email:vicpge@gmail.com		

Date: 18/10/2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Bronnyn Paris	Address: 108 Juliett	- 5+,	Marrickville
Phone (Opt):	Email: bronp6 live co	m.	

Date: 18 / 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		×
Yours sincerely Name: RAY LAWRENG		
Phone (Opt):	Email: rayglawrence	Chopmail.com

Date: 18 (0 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: <u>Danie</u> / Van	Dyle	Address	20	Ruby	st	Narrich vill	e
			1	. 1.	10	Lotmail.co	h-
Phone (Opt):		Email: _	0-0	an aly	4 (0	holmail.co	,,,

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Hadi	Creightor	Address: 20	Ruby	Street	Marickuil	ار
Phone (Opt): <u>04</u>	8 412 444	Email: h_ c	ineight	000 h	atmail. con	~

Date: 8/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

				*1	
Yours sincerely Name: TUSTIN	Hernerson	Address: 45A	BHILLIPS St	ALDANORIA	2015
Phone (Opt):		Email: Just he	nderson@gmail	· (6M)	

Date: 18/5 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have
 been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Hang.	_ Address	17/	Tayalgar	St.	Stornore
Phone (Opt): 0407286505			dick 1822	0h	that con.

Date: 10/10/2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

• I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

• I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

 I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

• I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

 I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours Sincerely Name: DEAN MERCHANT.	Address: 21/106 WARDELL	RO, MARRIEKULLE
Phone (Opt):	Email: DUNERCHANT (6) HO	

Date: 18-10-2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: The CA	20/2)	Address:	10	Anderles	SY	march 1/6	l
Phone (Opt):						hotmail . Co	لماد
				<i>O</i> .	55		

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: HINTAIR DAVES	Address: 3/69 Melody St. Cropel	
	Email: ali-jdra albotmail.co.uk.	

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have
 been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		e di
Yours sincerely Name:Address: 4	(second o	t hertrile
Phone (Opt): Email:	Kalkenis 51	a gmail

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route,

businesses, sch	ools, and child a	and aged care f	acilities near the pro	ject rou	ıte,
					X.
Yours sincerely Name: Michelle	Hannan	Address: 8	Nothat	- S-1 n	norrickalle
Phone (Opt):		Email:	chelehannan	11 C	gmail.'com
		72	*		

Date: 18/10/ 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: (5/1)	Potter	Address:	kl C	arlton	Pds	Carton
Phone (Opt):						Oud.com

Date: 18-10-2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely while King	_ Address: 14 claske 57	T Grow ville
Phone (Opt):	_ Email: julicking 9876	e japoscon. au

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

E					
					9
Yours sincerely Name: <u>Annie Thomson</u>					
Phone (Opt):	Email: _	ann	repache	co@k	org pond com

Date: 17/10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: MM 4	CHEW	Address: 348 BEZMONT ST ALEXANDRIA
Phone (Opt):	4	Email: any-nhan@hotmail-com.

Date: $\frac{9}{10}$ 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

busines	ses, schools, a	ind child ar	id aged care	e taciliti	es near	the pro	ject route.	
Bu	ild n	ione	vaila	ray	5: -	10	Carberra	and
-VO	thern	- 6e	aches	. '		Y		
Yours sincere	Roslyn	Yeona	Address:	38	Wil	God	54	
Phone (Opt):			Email:	oy.	eon	ran (a tog co	m-94,

Date: 18/10. 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Sahina Casar	Address: 39/12-22 verifier od Manselulle
	Email: _ Sabs F12 @ Lotmail.com

Date: /8// 0 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

		-	~
Vouva sin sonoly		-	` ,
Yours sincerely Name: Klm 6000	Address: <u>77 CAR</u> 4	ST	MARRICICULLE
Phone (Opt):	Email: Kingood Oop		

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of
 dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is
 given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

M. Willem		-
Yours sincerely Name: Mathew Wilson	Address: 2/2 St Peters	street, St Peters 2044
Phone (Opt): <u>0427893372</u>	Email: Mattwilson 19896	Inotmail.com

Date: 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Anna ChaCha	Address:	92 Glamis St Hings grove
Phone (Opt): 0407515588		wh74@tpg.can.au

Secretary

Date: 15/0 × 2015

DP&E Project No. SSI 6307

NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

on relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: <u>Carl</u> Peters	Address: 13/1 Goodsell Street, St. Peters, 2044 Now
Phone (Opt):	Email: Carl-petes Ong-edu. au

Date: 18.10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

O. Contract	31			
Yours sincerely Name: Saleema	IdreesAddress: 248	Sydenham	Rd	Marrickville
Phone (Opt):		ite cake 254 6		

Date: 19/10/2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: KATHARK	FALZON	Address	; 37	GLADSTME	ST,	MARRICKNILLE	NSW
Phone (Opt):	CIBLE-17 07 4	Email: ,	Kaliz	on @ holmain	1. 6	Com	

Date: 18.10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	2. 10 /
Name: Notwish Tdros	Address: 28 Mam Chill Rd
1 V	Email: namrah-idnes@homail.com
Phone (Opt):	Email: //a/// av)- /a/e / co/to/// Lau / Co

Date: (8)10 2015

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

 I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.

I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.

 I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive

 WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.

Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.

• I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.

 I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.

• I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.

I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.

I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	,	
Yours sincerely Name:	GLUYAS	Address: 80 PROSPECT STREET BRSKINGURGGE
Phone (Opt):	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF	Email: anta-gluyasa genail- con

Date: 18/16/2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincertaly Sob	Address: 5/8-10 Scheelel St
Name:	Address: 5/8-10 3 chillist 19
Phone (Opt):	Email: Kach 500888 Qqueit. Mville zroa
	can

Date: 18.10 2015

Secretary DP&E Project No. SSI 6307 NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001 Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered

•	stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents,				
	businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.				
You Nan	rs sincerely ne: MARIA KOENddress: 4 ROSS ST. DULWICH LILL				
Pho	ne (Opt) DAOS 1718 QL Email: MARIA. KEEN 1 @ BIG POND. COM. Ad				

Date: 18/16_2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	 :			
Yours sincerely ? Name: MATAS OKREVO	_ Address: _	360	NEW CANTERBURY ROAD, DULWICH HILL	
Phone (Opt):	_ Email: <u></u>	natia	s.Prensa@gmax.com	6.

Date: 18/10/ 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

1	1					
Yours sincerely Name: <u>Chantel</u>	Benbow	Address: 41	Hansard	S+	Zetland	.2017
Phone (Opt):	=	Email: <u>Ckl</u>	venbone g	mai	1. com	

Date: 18//0 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

0	727		_		10
Yours sincerely Name: Mondowk 9 Address:	276	1	ch.	Ne	Maccilla
Name: Mandown 9 Address: _	240	Clory	1 we	1 7	1 10/1/
Phone (Opt): 2413 455 554 Email:	Imhat	mad 3	ar	rall. C	
	Jorhan	mad @ g	mdil		A 4

Date: 18 0 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: MARGALET BENBOW Address: 233 SYDENHAR ROAD MARCHICKVILLE
Phone (Opt): 040244812 Email: mago. benbow as gmail. Com

Date: 18/10/2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Value sin soush		
Yours sincerely Name: Chrisham Donnelly	_ Address: 10/18-20 Schwebel	St. Manickille 2204
Phone (Opt): <u>0420907556</u>	Email: Janno 132 @hodman	L. com
	*	

Date: 18-10-2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Kistopher boldwin	Address:	89	unwins	Brilge	20	Tempe	2044
Phone (Opt): 0416540741				· · ·		- 1	

Date: 18 oct 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re – WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

· ·			
Yours sincerely Name: MIChael	Blackmore Address: 131 Illawarra	Road,	Marrickente
Phone (Opt):	Email: Michael. blackmove @	students, r	ng.edv.au

Date: 18 Oct 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Di-	•	
Yours sincerely Name: Ni cole Boyd	Address: 131 lugwarm	Road, Marrickulle
Phone (Opt):	Email: boydini Cagno	ail. com

Date: $\frac{\left(\left\langle \left\langle \iota O \right\rangle \right) }{2015}$

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely OLONE	7 Address: 20/198-204 Marickville 120 M	emskille
Phone (Opt):	Email: rengano los caso arent-caso	

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Yours sincerely	
Name: Scott Carnun	Address: 201/276 Marrik ville Rd Marrickville 23
Phone (Opt):	Email: Junius 180 Jostmail. Com

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely		1 1 1
		64 samuel st, tempe
Phone (Opt): 0474604(96	Email:	yonifallo@grail.com

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Yours singerely Jaken	Address: 7 MacArthur PDE
Name: villaridg (francis)	
Phone (Opt): 764 46 1134	Email: Micken gda suppond com
0490013549	
Phone (Opt): 0490 013 5 49	Email: _V/\(\(\gamma\)Rem_gala 597000. Com

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: DIMIM	RAPPIES	Address	gladotone st	Manichulli
Phone (Opt):			dimity 1 populs	

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

****	100-0-0-0	* 3	
Yours sincerely Name: Christina Plotnil	off Address: 3/39 Geo	orge St, Marrick ville	2209
Phone (Opt):	Email: christina.	plotnikoff @gmail.a	.om

Date: 18 10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Anme Muski	1 Address:	carriage works way, Eveloigh
		amuskin@ hotmailion
Phone (Opt):	Email:	00010812. (0 110 11 100)

Date: 18 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

I make the following Submission in relation to the Environmental Impact Statement for the WestConnex M4 East Tunnel Project. I strongly object to both the M4 East project and the entire WestConnex of which this is part and request a reply to the following concerns:

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: Stephanie Cruikshank Address: 14/39 Livingstone Rd.

Phone (Opt): 0415308612 Email: stephanie@cruikshanks.net.

Date: 18/10 2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5
 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities. Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely	a N		. 01		_	^ 4	
Yours sincerely Name: Hamel	Darly						d.
Phone (Opt):	· ·	Email: _	hannah	barch	42	(War	vail.on
(1)					1	-0	

Date: 18 10 2015

Secretary
DP&E Project No. SSI 6307
NSW Dept. of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001
Re - WESTCONNEX PROJECT

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

	_
Yours sincerely Name: <u>Linda Carmichael</u>	Address: 104 Edgeware Rd Enmore 2042
Phone (Opt):	Email: lindage a optusnet.com.au

Date: 18/16/2015

- I object to the lodging of an EIS before a full business case has made public and to billions of dollars of public money being signed away on contracts before any planning approval is given. This is a travesty of democratic planning.
- I object to the traffic impacts of the Westconnex including the M4 East tunnel. The government's own figures for the first M4 widening stage of WestConnex show that reimposing a toll on the current M4 will increase traffic on Parramatta Rd by 35% and also increase traffic on the M2, Victoria Road and other major roads. Research shows that toll roads only provide temporary relief from traffic congestion; the evidence in the EIS is that the M4 tunnel would already be full by 2031. Many intersections on Parramatta Rd would continue to have an 'F' level of service. Local roads near the M4 and M5 would be choked.
- I object to \$15.4 billion being spent on this toll road instead of significant public and active transport improvements. The EIS analysis of alternatives is superficial and dismissive
- WestConnex M4 widening is already making living conditions worse for people in suburbs such as Granville by leaving piles of asbestos near homes, removing vegetation and parks and exposing them to more traffic and pollution.
- Minor time savings for drivers of vehicles that are prepared to pay tolls are not worth \$15.5 billion when Westconnex offers nothing to most residents beyond Parramatta who have been treated like second class citizens when it comes to public transport for too long.
- I object to hundreds of people being forced from their homes and businesses as a result of approximately 400 compulsorily acquisitions for the M4 East and M5. Many report that prices offered by government grossly undervalue their properties.
- I object to the destruction of heritage properties across the route including nearly a whole block in Haberfield, a conservation area of national and international significance.
- I object to the impacts on local business owners who were not consulted for the M4 EIS.
- I object to the impact that years of 24-hour construction work will have on communities.
 Across the route there will be thousands of diesel truck movements a day. Noise and
 vibration impacts cause stress and anxiety, affecting sleep patterns with consequent
 impacts on health and wellbeing. Wattle St Haberfield alone would be subject to 600 truck
 movements a day. As Ashfield Mayor Lucille McKenna said "this is not acceptable." I agree.
- I object to the toxic pollution that will come with the M4 East tunnel including unfiltered stacks. Pollution in local areas near portals will be worse. Traffic pollution has been linked to higher incidence of respiratory and heart disease and lung cancer, as well as impaired lung development in children. This is unacceptable given the high numbers of residents, businesses, schools, and child and aged care facilities near the project route.

Yours sincerely Name: REBECCA ROLLER	Address: 24/8 ORPINGTON ST ASHFIEL
Phone (Opt):	Email: bedrecka Chotmail. Com.