

10 July 2018

Chris Ritchie Director Industry Assessment Department of Planning & Environment 23-33 Bridge Street SYDNEY NSW 2000

Dear Chris,

## ASICS FACILITY, SYDNEY BUSINESS PARK (SSD 9153) - RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS

### 1 Introduction

Marsden Park Developments Pty Ltd (Sydney Business Park) is proposing to develop a worldclass warehouse and retail facility on behalf of ASICS Oceania Pty Ltd (ASICS) in the Marsden Park Industrial Precinct in Western Sydney. The facility would be used to store and distribute ASICS' range of shoes and sporting goods, and would include a showroom and retail outlet for display and sale of goods to the public. It would also accommodate ASICS' Australian administrative headquarters.

The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the ASICS Facility development was publicly exhibited by the Department of Planning & Environment (the Department) from 26 April to 23 May 2018.

The Department received 8 submissions in response to the exhibition, all from government authorities or service providers, namely:

- Blacktown City Council (Council);
- Department of Industry (DoI);
- Endeavour Energy;
- Environment Protection Authority (EPA);
- Roads and Maritime Services (RMS); and
- Sydney Water;
- Transgrid; and
- Transport for NSW (TfNSW).

None of the submissions objected to the proposed development.

In addition to the submissions, the Department also requested additional information on a number of matters.

A response to the issues raised in submissions, as well as the Department's additional information request, is provided in **Table 1** below.



# 2 Response to Submissions

| Aspect               | Issue/Recommendation   | Response   |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Blacktown (          | City Council   |  |
| Design and<br>Visual | <ul> <li>Amended plans are requested to<br/>be submitted which include the<br/>following improvements:</li> <li>Additional landscaped zones<br/>to the perimeter of the site to<br/>provide further soft screening<br/>of the development and to<br/>further reduce urban heat<br/>island effects</li> </ul> | The architectural and landscape design plans have been<br>amended to include additional landscaping (see <b>Appendices</b><br><b>A</b> and <b>B</b> respectively). Whilst it is considered that the<br>proposed design already contained substantial landscaping<br>(representing some 14% of the site area), additional<br>landscaping has been provided in the following areas:<br>• along the western side of the truck entry;<br>• surrounding the frontage of the retail building;<br>• under the transmission lines near the tower base; and<br>• along the Darling Street frontage near the office entry.<br>The revised plans provide approximately 9,650m <sup>2</sup> of   |
|                      | <ul> <li>Minimum 2 metre wide<br/>landscaped zone to both<br/>sides of the truck entry<br/>driveway</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>landscaping, representing some 17% of the site area.</li> <li>The architectural and landscape design plans have been amended to include minimum 2 metre wide landscaping zones on both sides of the truck entry in the south-western corner of the site (see Appendices A and B).</li> </ul>  |
|                      | Allow for permeable<br>pavement to the car parking<br>area (WSUD initiatives)  | <ul> <li>The Stormwater Management Plan for the proposed facility (see Appendix D of the EIS, as updated in Appendix C) has been designed with a number of measures to improve stormwater quality and quantities, including: <ul> <li>a 250,000kL rainwater tank;</li> <li>primary treatment via Stormwater360 S200 Enviropod pit inserts; and</li> <li>secondary treatment via three Stormwater360 Jellyfish gross pollutant traps.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Modelling undertaken in the SMP indicates that the stormwater scheme would meet the stormwater quality criteria in the Growth Centres DCP and Council's Engineering Guide for Development.</li> <li>As such, and given the considerable landscaping provided on the site, Sydney Business Park does not believe that permeable paving in the parking area is warranted or</li> </ul> |
|                      | • Building signage on the retail<br>showroom appears<br>excessive. The design may<br>benefit from a more simplified<br>strategy which reduces the<br>number of signs and allows<br>the 'Architecture' (finishes) to<br>be a feature that is intended.  | <ul> <li>necessary.</li> <li>The architectural design plans have been amended to reduce signage (see Appendix A), including: <ul> <li>removing one of the signs on the eastern façade of the showroom and retail outlet;</li> <li>removing one of the signs on the southern façade of the showroom and retail outlet; and</li> <li>removing one of the Type 1 pylon signs on the frontage to Darling Street, and</li> <li>reducing the height of the other Type 1 pylon sign from 5</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |

# Table 1: Response to Issues Raised in Submissions



| Aspect                     | Issue/Recommendation  | Response   |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Site<br>Contam-<br>ination | The Site Audit Statement and<br>Contamination Clearance Report<br>have not certified that the site is<br>suitable for a playing field and<br>courtAn Environmental Site<br>Investigation comprising of an<br>intrusive soil sampling regime to<br>determine if the land is suitable   | Consideration of site contamination is provided in Section 6.2.2<br>of the EIS. As outlined in that section, the northern portion of<br>the site and its surrounds has a site history that includes<br>operation of a Council-owned sanitary depot. This area was<br>subsequently remediated and certified in a formal Site Audit<br>Statement as being suitable for its intended<br>commercial/industrial land use.   |
|                            | for the proposed use as a sports playing field  | The proposed private sports playing field and court is not<br>located within this northern portion of the site. Rather, it is<br>located in the southern area of the site, which was assessed<br>in previous site contamination assessments as having an<br>'unlikely risk' (see Figure 6.1 of the EIS), with no<br>recommendations for further assessment. A survey plan<br>confirming the location of the court and playing field in relation<br>to the former contaminated areas is shown on <b>Figure 1</b> below. |
|                            |   | As such, and given that the proposed court would be surfaced,<br>and that the private sports field is no longer proposed (see<br>below), no further assessment of this area of the site is<br>considered to be warranted.  |
| Traffic                    | The Applicant is required to<br>submit a concept plan which<br>demonstrates potential car<br>parking spaces on the site to  | The requested conceptual plan is included in Figure 6.10 of the EIS. An amended figure based on the revised architectural design plans is provided on <b>Figure 2</b> below.   |
|                            | comply with the requirements of the Development Control Plan.   | As outlined in the EIS, it is noted that any future land use that<br>required additional car parking spaces would be subject to<br>separate development consent. Assessment of car parking<br>requirements associated with such a development would be<br>assessed on its merits at that time.   |
| Street<br>Trees            | It is recommended that street<br>trees are provided for the full<br>length of the street frontages (with<br>the exception of the areas<br>affected by the transmission  | The proposed development does not involve provision of street<br>trees, which have already been provided by Sydney Business<br>Park as part of the estate road development. These have been<br>inspected by, and handed over to, Council already.  |
|                            | easement) to assist with<br>improving the streetscape and<br>providing opportunities for<br>shading   | Notwithstanding, the architectural design plans have been updated to show the existing street trees (see <b>Appendix A</b> )   |
| Boundary<br>Treatment      | The Applicant is required to<br>provide cross sections in this<br>(north-western) location which<br>demonstrate the anticipated<br>levels of the adjoining lots,<br>including consideration of the<br>likely finished levels to achieve<br>suitable benching for<br>development, fencing, and how<br>level differences will be managed. | The civil design plans have been amended to provide the requested cross sections (see <b>Appendix C</b> ).   |



| Aspect      | Issue/Recommendation                 | Response   |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Department  | t of Industry                        |  |
| -           | No comments or                       | Noted.   |
|             | recommendations                      |  |
| Endeavour   | Energy                               |  |
| Electricity | Noted presence of Endeavour          | Noted. The proposal has been designed and would be             |
| Easement    | Energy easement and                  | constructed in accordance with the easement restrictions.      |
|             | requirements for works within        |  |
|             | easement                             |  |
| Network     | Noted standard requirements for      | Noted. Sydney Business Park would address supply in            |
| Capacity    | electricity supply                   | accordance with Endeavour Energy requirements.                 |
| Vegetation  | Noted standard requirements for      | Noted. The landscape plan avoids plantings within the          |
| Manage-     | suitable planting in proximity to    | Endeavour Energy easement area, and large trees in the         |
| ment        | electricity infrastructure           | vicinity of the easement.                                      |
| Access      | Noted standard requirements for      | Noted. The proposal has been designed and would be             |
|             | management and access to the         | constructed in accordance with the management/access           |
|             | easement                             | requirements.  |
| Safety      | Noted standard requirements in       | Noted. The proposal would be undertaken in accordance with     |
|             | relation to 'Dial Before You Dig',   | these safety requirements.                                     |
|             | public safety and emergency          |  |
|             | contact                              |  |
| Environme   | nt Protection Authority              |  |
| Sediment    | Requested confirmation whether       | It is confirmed that there would only be one sediment basin on |
| Basin       | there would only be one sediment     | the site. The sediment basin is shown on the Erosion and       |
|             | basin, as some of the paragraphs     | Sediment Control Plan in Appendix D of the EIS (as updated     |
|             | of Section 4.1 of Appendix D refer   | in <b>Appendix C</b> ).  |
|             | to "sediment basins"                 |  |
| Conditions  | The EPA recommended a                | Noted. Sydney Business Park does not object to these           |
|             | number of conditions in relation to  | recommended conditions   |
|             | noise criteria, hours of operation   |  |
|             | for the showroom and retail outlet,  |  |
|             | minimising dust, waste               |  |
|             | management and stormwater            |  |
|             | management                           |  |
|             | Maritime Services                    |  |
| Signage     | The Applicant is to signpost the     | Noted. Sydney Business Park would signpost the entry points    |
|             | proposed entry points to identify    | in accordance with these recommendations (see conceptual       |
|             | driveways as "Truck Entry/Exit       | plans in <b>Appendix A</b> ).                                  |
| 0           | Only" and "Car Entry/Exit Only"      |  |
| Sydney Wa   |                                      | Neteral  |
| Water       | Notes that an existing water main    | Noted  |
| Course      | is available in Darling Street       | Natad The construction of the facility would be a set of       |
| Sewage      | Notes that an existing wastewater    | Noted. The construction of the facility would be completed     |
|             | main is available in Darling Street, | after the expected delivery date for the new pumping station.  |
|             | which will drain to a proposed       |  |
|             | pumping station which is due to      |  |
|             | be completed mid-November            |  |
|             | 2018                                 |  |



| Aspect      | Issue/Recommendation                              | Response   |
|-------------|---|--|
| Transgrid   |   |  |
| General     | Provided a number of general                      | Noted. The proposed development would be constructed in          |
| Conditions  | conditions in relation to                         | accordance with these general conditions.                        |
|             | construction                                      | C C  |
| Technical   | Provided technical                                | The architectural, landscape and civil design plans have been    |
| Conditions  | conditions/restrictions, including:               | amended to address Transgrid's requirements (see                 |
|             | • no parking within 17 metres of                  | Appendices A, B and C respectively).                             |
|             | the transmission line                             |  |
|             | centerline, or 20 metres of the                   | In this regard, the parking spaces under the centerline of the   |
|             | transmission line structure;                      | transmission line, and near the transmission line structure,     |
|             | <ul> <li>thoroughfare areas preferably</li> </ul> | have been relocated.   |
|             | 20 metres from transmission                       |  |
|             | line structures;                                  | With regard to the private sports playing field and court, it is |
|             | <ul> <li>the private sports field and</li> </ul>  | noted that Transgrid's easement guidelines restrict 'public      |
|             | playing field and court are not                   | spaces or recreation areas' within the easement, but not         |
|             | consistent with Transgrid's                       | private recreation areas. Single tennis courts are permissible   |
|             | easement guidelines and                           | in the easement area.  |
|             | should be at least 17 metres                      |  |
|             | from the transmission line                        | Notwithstanding, Sydney Business Park has sought to address      |
|             | centerline;                                       | Transgrid's concerns by removing the private sports playing      |
|             | <ul> <li>no issues with clearances</li> </ul>     | field, with this space now proposed as private open space.       |
|             |   | The court has been relocated from the transmission line          |
|             |   | centreline.  |
|             |   | Sydney Business Park believes that these changes address         |
|             |   | Transgrid's comments as far as reasonably practicable.           |
|             |   | It is noted that the proposal addresses the easement             |
|             |   | restrictions to a greater extent than some contemporary          |
|             |   | industrial developments in Sydney Business Park. For             |
|             |   | example, the recently approved modification to the NewCold       |
|             |   | Storage Facility (SSD 6799 MOD1, approved by the                 |
|             |   | Department on 20 February 2018), includes considerable car       |
|             |   | parking under the transmission line centreline and adjacent to   |
|             |   | the transmission line structure. Transgrid did not raise any     |
|             |   | concerns in relation to that proposal.                           |
| Access      | Provided a number of conditions                   | Noted. Along with the amendments outlined above, the             |
| Conditions  | in relation to access and                         | proposed development would be constructed in accordance          |
|             | maintenance, including fencing,                   | with these access and maintenance conditions.                    |
|             | car parking in the easement,                      |  |
|             | excavation and construction                       |  |
|             | safety  |  |
| Transport f | or NSW  |  |
| Small       | Notes that the Traffic Assessment                 | It is noted that the following responses to TfNSW's comments     |
| Delivery    | includes assessment of light                      | have been provided with input from Sydney Business Park's        |
| Trucks      | vehicles (eg. staff parking) and                  | traffic consultant, Arup.  |
|             | heavy vehicles (semi-trailers and                 |  |
|             | B-doubles), however no                            | Traffic generation associated with the proposal and the wider    |
|             | information is provided about                     | estate have been based on the applicable rates in the RMS'       |



| Aspect                                   | Issue/Recommendation  | Response  |
|--|---|---|
|  | deliveries in light vehicles or small trucks.   | <i>Guide to Traffic Generating Developments</i> , in accordance with industry standards. These rates consider total transport associated with land use developments using a range of vehicle sizes.   |
|  |   | Swept path analysis has been provided in the Traffic Assessment based on the largest vehicles proposed to access the ASICS Facility, including 19 metre articulated vehicles and 26 metre B-doubles. Swept paths for smaller vehicles would be within these curve radii.  |
|  |   | Although a range of vehicle sizes may access the ASICS Facility, the distribution function of the facility would predominantly use articulated vehicles and B-Doubles to deliver goods to the warehouse. The goods would then be sorted onto pallets to be loaded onto predominantly articulated and heavy rigid vehicle (HRV) trucks for distribution to stores across Sydney and NSW.   |
| Access<br>and<br>Internal<br>Circulation | <ul> <li>Noted the following in relation to loading dock arrangement and turning paths:</li> <li>the absence of a splay at the western approach to the truck access creates a sharp transition and has the potential to cause conflicts between vehicles entering from the west (left turn in) and the trucks queuing to exit;</li> <li>the needs of B-doubles are not addressed inside the facility and the loading dock, including queuing impacts for East bound trucks making a right hand turn into the dock; and</li> <li>Expected hours of operation and the hourly profile of vehicle movements accessing the loading dock are not provided.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The showroom and retail outlet would be serviced internally from the warehouse via fork lifts in out-of-hours periods.</li> <li>With regard to the three comments made by TfNSW:</li> <li>the Traffic Assessment includes swept path analysis and sight line assessment, which demonstrates that the largest trucks associated with the development are able to access the site efficiently and safely, with good sight lines available between trucks entering and exiting. Darling Street is a low volume street within an industrial estate with no through traffic. Any potential conflicts are able to be readily managed through entering vehicles waiting for a short period whilst another vehicle exits the driveway, or through larger trucks entering from the east. These movements are unlikely to result in any limited impact on other traffic. Access arrangements would be addressed as part of an operational Traffic Management Plan for the development (see updated mitigation measures in Appendix E);</li> <li>the Traffic Assessment includes swept path analysis for B-Double trucks, although it is noted that B-Doubles are only expected to make up a small proportion of project-related traffic. B-Doubles would be unloaded on the southern part of the apron using forklifts. This would allow other trucks to arrive and depart the dock area; and</li> <li>the hours of operation are outlined in the EIS, and include 24 hours 7 days a week operations for the warehouse. This allows flexibility to schedule B-Double truck access during quieter periods.</li> </ul> |



| Aspect                           | Issue/Recommendation   | Response  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Bicycle<br>Parking<br>Construct- | <ul> <li>TfNSW requests that the Applicant:</li> <li>provide bicycle parking and end of trip facilities for staff and visitors in accordance with Blacktown City Council development control plans, standards and guideline documents; and</li> <li>develop wayfinding strategies and travel access guides to assist with increasing the mode share of walking and cycling</li> <li>TfNSW recommends that a</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Bicycle parking and end of trip facilities for staff and visitors would be provided generally in accordance with the applicable requirements, however as outlined in the EIS a total of 50 formal spaces are proposed to be provided. This provision is considered adequate and reasonable given the anticipated staffing levels (ie. 185 employees, approximately 20% of which would operate on separate shifts). Accounting for shift workers, the proposed provision represents a bicycle space for 1 in 3 staff.</li> <li>A wayfinding strategy and travel access guide would be developed as part of the proposed operational Traffic Management Plan (see Appendix E), to assist with increasing the mode share of walking and cycling.</li> </ul> |
| ion Traffic                      | Construction Traffic Management<br>Plan (CTMP) is prepared prior to<br>commencement of works on site,<br>in consultation with Council and<br>RMS   |   |
| Departmen                        | t of Planning & Environment (addit   | ional information request)  |
| Strategic<br>Planning<br>Context | Provide consideration of updated<br>strategic planning documents,<br>specifically the <i>Greater Sydney</i><br><i>Region Plan</i> and the <i>Central City</i><br><i>District Plan</i>  | Marsden Park is identified as a strategic centre under both the <i>Greater Sydney Region Plan</i> and <i>Central City District Plan</i> .<br>The proposed ASICS Facility is consistent with, and indeed promotes, the directions and objectives of the <i>Greater Sydney Region Plan</i> , particularly in relation to providing jobs and skills for the city, and investment and business activity in strategic centres.   |
|                                  |  | It is also consistent with the <i>Central City District Plan,</i> including<br>the planning priorities and the actions for the Marsden Park<br>strategic centre as outlined in the plan.  |
| Car<br>Parking                   | Please review the site layout with<br>the view of providing at least 252<br>car parking spaces   | The design plans have been amended to provide 248 car parking spaces, which is an increase of 5 spaces from that outlined in the EIS (see <b>Appendix A</b> ).  |
|                                  |  | Increasing car parking capacity beyond 248 spaces was found<br>not to be practicable without significantly affecting the layout<br>and/or landscaping areas of the site, and given the constraints<br>associated with the Transgrid easement.   |
|                                  |  | Further, the proposed parking supply is considered to be more<br>than adequate to accommodate the parking demand<br>associated with the proposed development.   |
|                                  |  | In this regard, it is noted that the Department's calculations (in<br>Table 1 of its additional information request) are based on<br>gross floor areas, without any allowance for GFA exclusions or   |

| Aspect | Issue/Recommendation                                   | Response   |
|--------|--|--|
|        |  | back-of-house areas in the showroom and retail outlet. The   |
|        |  | calculations in Table 6.3 of the EIS were also conservatively  |
|        |  | based on these gross areas.  |
|        |  | With regard to the showroom and retail outlet, if the back-of  |
|        |  | house area (250m <sup>2</sup> ) is calculated as warehouse space rathe   |
|        |  | than retail space, then outlet would require some 58 spaces  |
|        |  | using the rates in the Department's calculations (as opposed   |
|        |  | to the Department's calculation of 68 spaces). It is noted that  |
|        |  | this figure (58 spaces) is still considered excessive for a  |
|        |  | standalone showroom/retail outlet in an industrial area. As  |
|        |  | outlined in the EIS, additional on-site parking for the showroon<br>and retail outlet would be available on weekends (ie. during     |
|        |  | peak times) when fewer warehouse and office staff are on site  |
|        |  | With regard to the office and warehouse, it is noted that the  |
|        |  | office area comprises some 160m <sup>2</sup> of allowable GFA  |
|        |  | exclusions (ie. lifts, stairs, voids and plant), and the warehouse   |
|        |  | comprises some 3,000m <sup>2</sup> of further GFA exclusions (ie. the staging/loading areas). If these were considered, the required |
|        |  | parking supply would reduce by a further 14 spaces, or a   |
|        |  | requirement of 232 spaces in total for the ASICS Facility.   |
|        |  | As outlined in the EIS, the proposed parking supply is based   |
|        |  | primarily on a conservative estimate of the expected parking   |
|        |  | demand for the facility, rather than strict compliance with  |
|        |  | parking rates. This is considered appropriate given the mixed<br>use nature of the proposal.   |
|        | Clarify how potential excess                           | As outlined above, in response to the Transgrid submission   |
|        | parking demand associated with                         | Sydney Business Park has removed the private sports playing  |
|        | the use of the sports playing                          | field, with this space now proposed as private open space (see   |
|        | field/court for promotional events<br>would be managed | Appendix A).   |
|        |  | As outlined in the EIS, any promotional events undertaken in   |
|        |  | the retail outlet or court would be small scale, and may include   |
|        |  | occasional meet-and-greets with sports stars on site or othe   |
|        |  | marketing opportunities such as photo shoots, and trials o   |
|        |  | sporting shoes and equipment. These events would be simila to those undertaken in any retail facility.                               |
|        |  | to those undertaken in any retain admity.  |
|        |  | Any promotional events involving expected numbers of people  |
|        |  | more than the parking spaces for the retail outlet (ie. 50   |
|        |  | spaces) would be undertaken outside normal business hour   |
|        |  | only (ie. outside Monday to Friday 9am to 5pm). During such times, ample parking would be available within the on-site state         |
|        |  | parking areas.   |
|        |  | Sydney Business Park would include such restrictions withir  |
|        |  | the proposed operational Traffic Management Plan for the   |



| Aspect     | Issue/Recommendation   | Response   |
|------------|--|--|
|            |  | development (see <b>Appendix E</b> ). The plan would also include  |
|            |  | other measures for managing parking demands associated with the facility.  |
|            | Confirm that additional potential<br>provision of on-site parking in the<br>landscape area or on the sports<br>field is feasible given the             | An amended concept plan demonstrating that additional parking could be provided on site to meet the DCF requirements is provided on <b>Figure 2</b> below.   |
|            | constraints associated with the Transgrid easement   | As outlined in the EIS, it is noted that any future land use that<br>required additional car parking spaces would be subject to<br>separate development consent. Assessment of car parking<br>requirements associated with such a development would be<br>assessed on its merits at that time.   |
| Traffic    | Provide copy of the estate traffic<br>assessment relied upon in the<br>Traffic Assessment  | The requested reports, prepared by AECOM on behalf on Sydney Business Park, is provided in <b>Appendix D</b> .   |
|            | Clarify source of the trip rate used<br>to calculate weekend traffic<br>generation   | The weekend trip rate for business parks / industrial lots used<br>within the original AECOM modelling was determined from the<br>Richmond Road daily tube counts and weekend peak hou<br>factor and applied to the RMS predicted daily rates.   |
|            | Clarify statement in Traffic<br>Assessment (pg 13) regarding   | The paragraph referred to should read:   |
|            | 'weekends'   | "The calculations do not take into account that most<br>warehouse and office workers do not work on weekends<br>however weekends would see an increase in customer<br>numbers to the retail outlet. Conversely, the site would also<br>have more workers and fewer customers during the weekdays<br>The calculations are therefore conservative."                        |
| Retail Use | Provide further details regarding<br>the use of the retail and private<br>open space components for<br>product demonstration and<br>promotional events | As outlined above, any promotional events undertaken in the<br>retail outlet or court would be small scale, and may include<br>occasional meet-and-greets with sports stars on site or othe<br>marketing opportunities such as photo shoots, and trials of<br>sporting shoes and equipment. These events would be similar<br>to those undertaken in any retail facility. |
|            |  | Any promotional events involving expected numbers of peopl<br>more than the parking spaces for the retail outlet (ie. 5<br>spaces), would be undertaken outside normal business hour<br>only (ie. outside Monday to Friday 9am to 5pm). During suc<br>times, ample parking would be available within the on-site stat<br>parking areas.                                  |
|            |  | Sydney Business Park would include such restrictions withit<br>the proposed operational Traffic Management Plan for the<br>development (see <b>Appendix E</b> ). The plan would also include<br>other measures for managing parking demands associate<br>with the facility.  |



| Aspect                | Issue/Recommendation   | Response   |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Office Use            | Provide explanation as to how the<br>office use is considered to be<br>wholly ancillary and subservient<br>to the dominant use as a<br>warehouse/distribution centre                           | As outlined in the EIS, the proposal seeks to provide a world-<br>class integrated warehouse facility. The office would primarily<br>provide ancillary support function to the warehousing and<br>distribution of ASICS' products throughout NSW. In this<br>regard, the proposal is similar to numerous warehouse and<br>distribution centres developed within the Marsden Park<br>Industrial Precinct (eg. Lindt Facility, IKEA, Medline<br>Pharmaceuticals, Actron Air, etc.) and the wider Western<br>Sydney Employment Area., and promotes the NSW<br>Government's longstanding policy of generating employment<br>in Western Sydney and jobs 'closer to home'. |
| Employ-<br>ment       | Clarify the total number of new<br>jobs to be created as a result of<br>the proposal   | As outlined in the EIS, the proposed ASICS Facility is expected<br>to generate 185 operational jobs (gross).<br>It is acknowledged that many of ASICS' 120 existing staff at its<br>Eastern Creek facility would be expected to relocate to the<br>Marsden Park facility, so it could be said that the proposed<br>development would generate (at least) 65 net new jobs at<br>ASICS.  |
|                       |  | However, the existing facility at Eastern Creek would most<br>likely be accommodated by another land user once ASICS<br>vacates the site. It is likely that this land user would employ a<br>similar number of staff as that generated by ASICS (ie. 120<br>jobs).<br>As such, the proposed development is still likely to generate  |
| Signage               | Consider amending plans to<br>provide for a more modest<br>approach to signage. Provide<br>concept design for the proposed<br>Type 1 Showroom/Retail Signage<br>and Type 2 Directional Signage | <ul> <li>185 additional jobs in Western Sydney.</li> <li>The architectural design plans have been amended to reduce signage (see Appendix A), including: <ul> <li>removing one of the signs on the eastern façade of the showroom and retail outlet;</li> <li>removing one of the signs on the southern façade of the showroom and retail outlet; and</li> <li>removing one of the Type 1 pylon signs on the frontage to Darling Street; and</li> <li>reducing the height of the other Type 1 sign from 5 metres to 3 metres.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |
| Transgrid<br>Easement | Revised plans are required in<br>consideration of Transgrid's<br>comments  | Concept designs for the proposed Type 1 and Type 2 signage<br>have also been provided (see <b>Appendix A</b> ).<br>The architectural, landscape and civil design plans have been<br>amended to address Transgrid's submission (see<br><b>Appendices A, B</b> and <b>C</b> respectively).   |





Figure 1: Site Plan, showing former contaminated areas (since remediated) (Source: Freeburn Surveying)



Figure 2: Updated Conceptual Site Plan, showing DCP parking compliance (Source: Reid Campbell)



### 3 Revised Summary of Mitigation Measures

Section 7.1 of the EIS contains a summary of the mitigation measures for the proposed ASICS Facility. An updated summary of mitigation measures, based on the changes outlined in this Response to Submissions, is provided in **Appendix E**.

It is noted that the mitigation measures remain similar to those outlined in the EIS, with the key changes being the removal of the reference to the private sports playing field, and the addition of a commitment to preparing an operational Traffic Management Plan for the proposed development.

### 4 Conclusion

Sydney Business Park and PJEP trust that the information provided in this Response to Submissions adequately addressed the comments made in submissions on the proposed development, and the Department's additional information requests.

Having regard to all the salient environmental, social and economic issues, it is considered that the proposed development represents continued orderly use of the land. It is respectfully requested that the Minister for Planning (or his delegate), having due regard for the information submitted in this document, grants approval to the proposed ASICS Facility in Sydney Business Park.

Should you have any enquiries in relation to this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me on 0400 392 861.

## Yours faithfully, PJEP – Environmental Planning

Phil Jones Principal Environmental Planner

Cc: Sydney Business Park, ASICS Attachments: Appendix A Appendix B Appendix C Appendix D Appendix E

Revised Architectural Design Plans Revised Landscape Design Plans Revised Civil Design Plans Estate Traffic Assessments Revised Summary of Mitigation Measures



**APPENDIX A** 



**APPENDIX B** 



**APPENDIX C** 



**APPENDIX D** 



**APPENDIX E**