


Appendix D

Previous Land Use Condition Surveys









TERROIR

Rev Date

Rev Chile

19 44 = 9 Land 2 7 Mary M 2

Comprodes Age (200 Mary M 2


Land 200 Mary M 2


ROUSE HILL ANGLICAN COLLEGE - STAGE 7
JUNIOR ADMIN & BLOCK J


DD-00-01 REV NO: Data Picitadi 17/03/2014 Scale: 1:1000@A1

DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

CONFIRM ALL DIMENSIONS AND SETOUTS ON SITE PROCES ON ANAMENCITIES ASSTALLATION.
ALL WORSE NACOSCOLANCE WITH RELEVANT AUSTRALIANS TRADAMODS
TO BE EARLY ALCOMANDATION WITH ENGINEERS DOCUMENTS GENERAL NOTES
DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING







VINCE MORGAN (SURVEYORS) PTY. LTD.

P.O. BOX 227 PENRITH 2751 Ph. 02 4721 5293 Fax. O2 4731 2821


2751 D.X. 8032 PENRITH ACN 065 060 808 4731 2821 email vmsurvey@telpacific.com.au


DATE 28.06.06 SSM 1577.
SCALE 1:1250@A1 R.L. 55.82
DRAWN FILENAME
DATUM A.H.D.


ANGLICAN SCHOOLS CORPORATION


CONTOURS OVER LOTS 113 & 140 D.P. 208203 & PART OF LOT 112 AT ROUSE HILL


15103T2













Appendix E

Site Impact Recording Forms







Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form

AHIMS Registrar
PO Box 1967, Hurstville 2220 NSW

April 2012 OEH 2012/0558


- 1 This form must be completed following impacts to AHIMS sites that are:
 - a) a result of test excavation carried out in accordance with the Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW
 - b) authorised by an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) issued by the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH)
 - undertaken for the purpose of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DP&I) for:
 - State Significant Development (SSD Part 4),
 - State Significant Infrastructure (SSI Part 5.1), or
 - A Major Project (Part 3A now repealed) under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act), or
 - d) authorised by a SSD/SSI/Part 3A consent/approval under the EP&A Act.
- 2 Completed forms must be submitted to the AHIMS Registrar (www.environment.nsw.gov.au/contact/AHIMSRegistrar.htm).
- This form is intended to complement (not replace) the AHIMS Site Recording Form. Where there is a need to provide detailed information about the nature of a site, use the AHIMS Site Recording Form.
- This form does not replace the need to submit reports to OEH (as a condition of an AHIP or SSD/SSI/Part 3A consent/approval)
 This form must be submitted in addition to any reports.

AHIMS site ID:	45-5-2573		
Site impact auth	orisation (select one)	Reference numbers, dates	
result of test ex the Code of Pra	cavation carried out in accordance with actice for the Archaeological Investigation	Date OEH was notified (under requirement 15c of the Code): OEH Regional office notified:	
AHIP (The imp	impact authorisation (select one) Archaeological Code (The impacts to this site were the result of test excavation carried out in accordance with the Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) SSD/SSI/Part 3A application (The impacts to this site were undertaken for the purposes of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the DP&I SSD/SSI/Part 3A approved project (The impacts to the site were authorised by a consent/approval under Parts 4/5.1/3A of the EP&A Act.) status following impacts: Not a site (The investigations concluded that this is not Valid site (The investigations confirmed that this is an APPartially destroyed (The site was partially destroyed following the partially destroyed (The site was completely destroyed following the name: RHAC2 - "Rouse Hill Anglican College 2"	AHIP number: Date issued/signed: AHIMS permit ID/number:	SZ312 17 October 2000
were undertake Director Genera SSD/SSI/Part site were autho	n for the purposes of complying with al's Requirements issued by the DP&I 3A approved project (The impacts to this rised by a consent/approval under Parts	Project number: Date Director General's Requirements issued: or Date of project approval:	
Not a site (The Valid site (The Partially destre	e investigations concluded that this is not a si investigations confirmed that this is an Abor oyed (The site was partially destroyed follow	iginal site.) ving authorised impacts; a portion of the site	e remains in situ.)
·		Coordinates mus	t be in GDA (MGA)

itle	Surname	First name
Ms	Nasoordeen	Shezani
organisati	on: GML Heritage	
_	Level 6, 372 Elizabeth St, Surry Hills, NSW	
ddress:	LOVOI O, OTZ Elizabeth Ot, Carry Tillo, 14044	
hone:	93194811 E-mail: shezanin@gml.	.com.au
ate recor	ded: Fax:	
te infor	mation	
pen/close	ed site: Open	
eatures:		
1.	Aboriginal ceremony and dreaming	11. Habitation structure
2.	Aboriginal resource and gathering	12. Hearth
3.	Art	13. Non-human bone and organic material
X 4.	Artefact	14. Ochre quarry
5.	Burial	15. Potential archaeological deposit
6.	Ceremonial ring	16. Stone quarry
7.	Conflict	17. Shell
8.	Earth mound	18. Stone arrangement
9.	Fish trap	19. Modified tree
10.	Grinding groove	20. Water hole
e cond	ition	
	on of the condition of the AHIMS site (including relevant featur	res) following the authorised impact of the site
burnt tree		e with two knapping floors, totalling 972 stone artefacts, and use. This site was identified by Stephanie Garling in 2000,
he site ha	s since been destroyed through archaeological ex	xcavations.

Site map

Clearly demarcate the original AHIMS site boundary, show the boundaries of impacted areas and the areas where the site remains in situ. Display map coordinates.



Methodology and results

Summary of the methodology and results of the activity or works undertaken through the authorised impacts, as relevant to the AHIMS site

A total of 32 test units measuring 1m x 1m were placed across RHAC/PAD1 (which contained the artefact site, 45-5-2573). Six of these units were part of a transect (Transect Q) which contained test units at 20m intervals. Concentrations of artefacts were recovered in units Q20 and Q40. Expansion around these areas identified two concentrations, where Q20 held 100 stone artefacts, and Q40 and its expansion units totalled 872 stone artefacts. Of the stone artefacts, 812 of the 972 artefacts recovered from 45-5-2573 (RHAC2) were associated with the units surrounding Q40. A peak density of 100 artefacts/m2 was recovered from unit Q38. The main knapping floor contained evidence of production and discard of mainly silcrete artefacts, though silicified tuff, quartz and petrified wood flakes were also recovered. A high quantity of backed artefacts were recovered within this knapping floor (n=47), the majority of which were Bondi points. A smaller second knapping floor, which consisted mainly of silicified tuff, was located towards the eastern end of the units excavated around Q40. Evidence from the larger knapping floor at Q40 indicates that Bondi points were being produced and/or discarded, suggesting that this knapping floor dates to the Middle Bondaian phase (3000–1000 BP). The heavily weathered nature of the second knapping event suggests that it represents occupation of the site in an earlier period. The dispersed nature of the debitage, indicated by patterns of refit, suggests that the area has been ploughed, resulting in reduced soil profile integrity.

Site as destroyed w	ith consent during the construction of Rouse Hill Anglican College
ot investigat	
ost-investigat cuss if the scientific/arc ducted at the site.	ion significance haeological or cultural significance of the site has changed in light of the results of the investigations or works
	n report: Archaeological Test Excavation of Two Areas of Archaeological Potential (RHAC/PAD1 bosed Rouse Hill Anglican College, Rouse Road, Rouse Hill, NSW. November 2000.
dditional com	
	nanie Garling Archaeological Consulting (2000) not GML Heritage.
66 Cary Street, Mai	rickville, NSW 2204. Ph (02) 9554 6963; stephgarling@hotmail.com

											/
Descrip	otion:			•			Descrip	otion:	 4	*	
		+	····			+			 †····		 ·····
							<u> </u>	[
Descrip	otion: [Descrip	otion: [
											/
				\rightarrow	\leftarrow						
							I I:				l .



Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form

AHIMS Registrar
PO Box 1967, Hurstville 2220 NSW

April 2012 OEH 2012/0558


- 1 This form must be completed following impacts to AHIMS sites that are:
 - a) a result of test excavation carried out in accordance with the Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW
 - b) authorised by an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) issued by the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH)
 - c) undertaken for the purpose of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DP&I) for:
 - State Significant Development (SSD Part 4),
 - State Significant Infrastructure (SSI Part 5.1), or
 - A Major Project (Part 3A now repealed) under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act), or
 - d) authorised by a SSD/SSI/Part 3A consent/approval under the EP&A Act.
- 2 Completed forms must be submitted to the AHIMS Registrar (www.environment.nsw.gov.au/contact/AHIMSRegistrar.htm).
- 3 This form is intended to complement (not replace) the AHIMS Site Recording Form. Where there is a need to provide detailed information about the nature of a site, use the AHIMS Site Recording Form.
- This form does not replace the need to submit reports to OEH (as a condition of an AHIP or SSD/SSI/Part 3A consent/approval)
 This form must be submitted in addition to any reports.

AHIMS site ID:	45-5-2574		
Site impact auth	orisation (select one)	Reference numbers, dates	
result of test ex	al Code (The impacts to this site were the cavation carried out in accordance with actice for the Archaeological Investigation bjects in NSW.)	Date OEH was notified (under requirement 15c of the Code): OEH Regional office notified:	
AHIP (The imp	pacts to this site were authorised by an	AHIP number: Date issued/signed: AHIMS permit ID/number:	SZ312 17 October 2000
were undertake Director Genera SSD/SSI/Part	3A application (The impacts to this site n for the purposes of complying with al's Requirements issued by the DP&I 3A approved project (The impacts to this rised by a consent/approval under Parts	Project number: Date Director General's Requirements issued: or Date of project approval:	
Valid site (The	wing impacts: e investigations concluded that this is not a si investigations confirmed that this is an Abor oyed (The site was partially destroyed follow ne site was completely destroyed following at	iginal site.) ving authorised impacts; a portion of the site	e remains in situ.)
Geographic loc Site name: RE Easting: Map sheet:	Ation IAC3- "Rouse Hill Anglican College 3" Northing:	Coordinates mus	t be in GDA (MGA)

tle	Surname	First name
Иs	Nasoordeen	Shezani
rganisati	on: GML Heritage	
ddress:	Level 6, 372 Elizabeth St, Surry Hills, NSW	<i>J</i> 2010
none:	93194811 E-mail: shezanin@gml.	.com.au
ite recor	ded: Fax:	
o infor	mation	
en/close	ed site: Open	
atures:		
1.	Aboriginal ceremony and dreaming	11. Habitation structure
2.	Aboriginal resource and gathering	12. Hearth
3.	Art	13. Non-human bone and organic material
4.	Artefact	14. Ochre quarry
5.	Burial	15. Potential archaeological deposit
6.	Ceremonial ring	16. Stone quarry
7.	Conflict	17. Shell
8.	Earth mound	18. Stone arrangement
9.	Fish trap	19. Modified tree
10.	Grinding groove	20. Water hole
e cond	ition	
	on of the condition of the AHIMS site (including relevant featu	res) following the authorised impact of the site
IΔC3 (45	5-5-2574) was an artefact site which contained se	ven stone artefacts, identified from the test excavation of
IAC/PAE		ven stone arteracts, identified from the test excavation of
e site ha	s since been destroyed through archaeological ex	cavations.

Site map

Clearly demarcate the original AHIMS site boundary, show the boundaries of impacted areas and the areas where the site remains in situ. Display map coordinates.



Methodology and results

Summary of the methodology and results of the activity or works undertaken through the authorised impacts, as relevant to the AHIMS site

Stephanie Garling Archaeological Consulting, Archaeological Test Excavation of Two Areas of Archaeological Potential (RHAC/PAD1 & RHAC/PAD2), Proposed Rouse Hill Anglican College, Rouse Road, Rouse Hill, NSW, report prepared for Sydney Anglican Schools Corporation, 2000:

RHAC3 (45-5-2574) was an artefact site which contained seven stone artefacts, identified from the test excavation of RHAC/PAD2. Test excavation included the placement of 15 1m x 1m test units along a single transect (Transect D) spaced at 10m intervals. Seven stone artefacts of silcrete, silicified tuff and quartz were recovered from five test units. Little could be interpreted from these excavations except that this represents a low-density background scatter consistent with the Rouse Hill area more generally. These artefacts provided evidence of Aboriginal use of the area and indicated that stones from several sources were brought to the site. Based on the moderate—high levels of disturbance and extremely low density of artefacts, RHAC3 was assessed as having low archaeological significance.

At the cessation of excavations, part of 45-5-2574 (RHAC3) was retained within the RHAC property as part of the 'protected woodland'.

GML Heritage Rouse Hill Anglican College Masterplan—ACHAR and ATR—Draft Report, November 2017: in 2016, GML Heritage undertook excavation of the partially excavated RHAC/PAD2. The test excavation demonstrates that the area designated as a remnant of RHAC/PAD2 was not a PAD and contained no archaeological deposits.

Summary of any management recommendations for the AHIMS site	and the first of the control of the
GML's 2017 test excavation of soils has revealed that it is archaeological deposits, due to the disturbed nature of	s unlikely that the proposed redevelopment will affect further atural soil profiles (A horizon profiles).
Post-investigation significance	
Discuss if the scientific/archaeological or cultural significance of the site l conducted at the site.	has changed in light of the results of the investigations or works
See Post excavation report: Archaeological Test Excava RHAC/PAD2), Proposed Rouse Hill Anglican College, R	ation of Two Areas of Archaeological Potential (RHAC/PAD1 & ouse Road, Rouse Hill, NSW. November 2000.
Additional comments	
Excavated by Stephanie Garling Archaeological Consult	ing (2000) not GML Heritage.
66 Cary Street, Marrickville, NSW 2204. Ph (02) 9554 69	963; stephgarling@hotmail.com

											/
Descrip	otion:			•			Descrip	otion:	 4	*	
		+	····			+			 †····		 ·····
							<u> </u>	[
Descrip	otion: [Descrip	otion: [
											/
				\rightarrow	\leftarrow						
							I I:				l .



Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form

AHIMS Registrar
PO Box 1967, Hurstville 2220 NSW

April 2012 OEH 2012/0558


- 1 This form must be completed following impacts to AHIMS sites that are:
 - a) a result of test excavation carried out in accordance with the Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW
 - b) authorised by an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) issued by the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH)
 - undertaken for the purpose of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DP&I) for:
 - State Significant Development (SSD Part 4),
 - State Significant Infrastructure (SSI Part 5.1), or
 - A Major Project (Part 3A now repealed) under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act), or
 - d) authorised by a SSD/SSI/Part 3A consent/approval under the EP&A Act.
- 2 Completed forms must be submitted to the AHIMS Registrar (www.environment.nsw.gov.au/contact/AHIMSRegistrar.htm).
- This form is intended to complement (not replace) the AHIMS Site Recording Form. Where there is a need to provide detailed information about the nature of a site, use the AHIMS Site Recording Form.
- This form does not replace the need to submit reports to OEH (as a condition of an AHIP or SSD/SSI/Part 3A consent/approval)
 This form must be submitted in addition to any reports.

AHIMS site ID:	Archaeological Code (The impacts to this site were the result of test excavation carried out in accordance with the Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) ASSD/SSI/Part 3A application (The impacts to this site were undertaken for the purposes of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the DP&I SISDI/SSI/Part 3A approved project (The impacts to this site were authorised by a consent/approval under Parts 4/5.1/3A of the EP&A Act.) Pattially destroyed (The investigations concluded that this is not a site.) Valid site (The investigations concluded that this is an Aboriginal site.) Destroyed (The site was partially destroyed following authorised impacts: Reaction of the EP&A Concluded that this is an Aboriginal site.) Partially destroyed (The site was partially destroyed following authorised impacts: RHAC/PAD1							
Site impact auth	orisation (select one)	Reference numbers, dates						
result of test exc	Archaeological Code (The impacts to this site were the result of test excavation carried out in accordance with the Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) SSD/SSI/Part 3A application (The impacts to this site were undertaken for the purposes of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the DP&I site were authorised by a consent/approval under Parts SSD/SSI/Part 3A approved project (The impacts to this site were authorised by a consent/approval under Parts 4/5.1/3A of the EP&A Act.) Not a site (The investigations concluded that this is an Aboriginal site.) Partially destroyed (The site was partially destroyed following authorised impacts; a portion of the site remains in situ.) Partially destroyed (The site was completely destroyed following authorised impacts.) Geographic location Site name: RHAC/PAD1							
Site impact authorisation (select one) Archaeological Code (The impacts to this site were the result of test excavation carried out in accordance with the Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) AHIP.) AHIP number of the purposes of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the DP&I SSD/SSI/Part 3A application (The impacts to this site were authorised by a consent/approval under Parts 4/5.1/3A of the EP&A Act.) Site status following impacts: Not a site (The investigations concluded that this is not a site.) Valid site (The investigations confirmed that this is an Aboriginal site.) Partially destroyed (The site was partially destroyed following authorised impacts) Geographic location Site name: RHAC/PAD1	OEH Regional office notif	ied:						
AHIP (The imp	acts to this site were authorised by an	AHIP number:	SZ312					
		Date OEH was notified (under requirement 15c of the Code): OEH Regional office notified: AHIP number: Date issued/signed: AHIMS permit ID/number: Site Project number: Date Director General's Requirements issued: or Date of project approval: an Aboriginal site.) an Aboriginal site.) and Aboriginal site.) and Foliate of project impacts; a portion of the site remains in situ.) and authorised impacts.)						
	Pact authorisation (select one) Reference numbers, dates Archaeological Code (The impacts to this site were the sout of test excavation carried out in accordance with ne Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) AHIP number: SSD/SSI/Part 3A application (The impacts to this site were undertaken for the purposes of complying with literator General's Requirements issued by the DP&I SSD/SSI/Part 3A approved project (The impacts to this it were authorised by a consent/approval under Parts /4.1/3A of the EP&A Act.) Attus following impacts: And the investigations concluded that this is not a site.) Aratically destroyed (The investigations concluded that this is an Aboriginal site.) Partially destroyed (The site was partially destroyed following authorised impacts; a portion of the site remains in situ.) Destroyed (The site was completely destroyed following authorised impacts.) Applic location Interval Date of Project approval: AHIP number: AHIP number: Project number: Date Director General's Requirements issued: or Date of project approval: AHIP number: Date Director General's Requirements issued: or Date of project approval: AHIP number: Date Director General's Requirements issued: or Date of project approval: AHIP number: Date Director General's Requirements issued: or Date of project approval: AHIP number: Date Director General's Requirements issued: or Date of project approval:							
		Project number:						
		Date Director General's						
C 000/00//D /		Requirements issued:	SZ312 17 October 2000					
site were author	Reference numbers, dates Archaeological Code (The impacts to this site were the result of test excavation carried out in accordance with the Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) AHIP (The impacts to this site were authorised by an AHIP.) ASSD/SSI/Part 3A application (The impacts to this site were undertaken for the purposes of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the DP&I Date Director General's Requirements issued by the DP&I Date of project approval: SSD/SSI/Part 3A approved project (The impacts to this site were authorised by a consent/approval under Parts 4/5.1/3A of the EP&A Act.) Partially destroyed (The site was partially destroyed following authorised impacts; a portion of the site remains in situ.) Destroyed (The site was completely destroyed following authorised impacts.) Ographic location Site name: RHAC/PAD1							
Site status follow	Project number: SSD/SSI/Part 3A application (The impacts to this site were undertaken for the purposes of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the DP&I SSD/SSI/Part 3A approved project (The impacts to this site were authorised by a Cordinates the site were authorised by a Cordinates for the purposes of complying with Director General's Requirements issued by the DP&I SSD/SSI/Part 3A approved project (The impacts to this site were authorised by a Cordinates must be in GDA (MGA) Postroyed (The site was completely destroyed following authorised impacts; a portion of the site remains in situ.) Postroyed (The site was completely destroyed following authorised impacts; a sating: Not a site: RHAC/PAD1 Reference numbers Date OEH was notified (under requirement 15c of the Code): Date OEH Regional office notified: AHIP number: SZ312 AHIP number: Date issued/signed: AHIP number: Project number: Project number: Date Director General's Recuirements issued: or Date of project approval: Valid site (The investigations concluded that this is not a site.) Valid site (The investigations confirmed that this is an Aboriginal site.) Partially destroyed (The site was partially destroyed following authorised impacts; a portion of the site remains in situ.) Destroyed (The site was completely destroyed following authorised impacts) Ographic location Site name: RHAC/PAD1 Sasting: Northing: 6271590 Coordinates must be in GDA (MGA)							
Not a site (The	investigations concluded that this is not a	a site.)						
Valid site (The	investigations confirmed that this is an Ak	poriginal site.)						
Partially destro	oved (The site was partially destroyed foll	lowing authorised impacts: a portion	n of the site remains in situ.)					
			,					
Destroyed (Tr	ne site was completely destroyed following	gauthorised impacts.)						
Geographic <u>loca</u>	ation							
Site name: RH	AC/PAD1							
Easting: 306355	Northing: 6	271590 Coordin	ates must be in GDA (MGA)					
Map sheet:								

itle	Surname		First name
Ms	Nasoordeen	Shezai	ni
)rganisati	on: GML Heritage		
_	Level 6, 372 Elizabeth St, Surry Hills, NS	/ 2010	
ddress:	Level 0, 372 Elizabeth 3t, 3uny Filis, No		
hone:	93194811 E-mail: shezanin@gm	com.au	
ate recor	ded: Fax:		
ale recor	ueu.		
te infor	mation		
pen/close	ed site: Open		
eatures:	_		
1.	Aboriginal ceremony and dreaming		itation structure
2. 3.	Aboriginal resource and gathering Art	12. Hear	rm -human bone and organic material
3. 4.	Artefact		re quarry
5.	Burial		ential archaeological deposit
6.	Ceremonial ring		ne quarry
7.	Conflict	17. Shel	
8.	Earth mound	18. Stor	ne arrangement
9.	Fish trap	19. Mod	lified tree
10.	Grinding groove	20. Wate	er hole
	ition on of the condition of the AHIMS site (including relevant feat s a surface isolated artefact identified within RHA		
	th later visitation of the site resulted in a total of e		
he site ha	s since been destroyed under the abovemention	d permit.	

Site map

Clearly demarcate the original AHIMS site boundary, show the boundaries of impacted areas and the areas where the site remains in situ. Display map coordinates.



Methodology and results

Summary of the methodology and results of the activity or works undertaken through the authorised impacts, as relevant to the AHIMS site

No test excavations were undertaken at the site of 45-5-2575 (RHAC1) as it was located in an area of high disturbance. Initial survey encountered only one silcrete flake at the site, though an additional seven artefacts (six silcrete flakes and one quartz flake) were noted during subsequent visits. Given the level of previous disturbance in the area it was assessed as lacking the potential for intact subsurface deposits.

NA- Site Destroye	
TWA One Destroye	,
'OSt-INVESTIG iscuss if the scientific/s	ation significance archaeological or cultural significance of the site has changed in light of the results of the investigations or works
onducted at the site.	and the second of suitable of the size and iges in light of the feedile of the investigations of works
Given the low no	tential for intact deposits as a result of disturbance and the low density of surface artefacts, RHAC1 wa
	ing low archaeological significance.
See Post eveava	tion report: Archaeological Test Excavation of Two Areas of Archaeological Potential (RHAC/PAD1 &
	oposed Rouse Hill Anglican College, Rouse Road, Rouse Hill, NSW. November 2000.
dditional cor	nments
Excavaled by Sie	ephanie Garling Archaeological Consulting (2000) not GML Heritage.
66 Cary Street, M	farrickville, NSW 2204. Ph (02) 9554 6963; stephgarling@hotmail.com

											/
Descrip	otion:			•			Descrip	otion:	 4	*	
		+	····			+			 †····		 ·····
							<u> </u>	[
Descrip	otion: [Descrip	otion: [
											/
				\rightarrow	\leftarrow						
							I I:				l .

Appendix F

Proposed Works




ROUSE HILL ANGLICAN COLLEGE MASTERPLAN **CIVIL WORKS**

STEWORKS LEGENO 107230 Internative ted 10730 Internative ted 1073		44.6 DB
BULK EARTHWORKS NOTES Linear a sear of 17 (17 10 to 12 to 1	COUNTY CO	The control of the co
THE SECURITY CONTROL THE CASE AND SECRIMENT CONTROL (It and appropriate the section to the control of the con	1. The the companion of the companion to the companion of	in the count of mine design part of the count of the coun
KERING NOTES Annual and Annual A	the area was also and a part of the first property of the part of	
GENERAL MOTES Learnessee of the first and shall we find the control of the contr	STEWOORG NOTES STEWOORG NOTES The command of the	Control and the Control and Co

SKC01 SKC02 SKC03	DRAWING SCHEDULE Drawling the Drawling The NOTES A LEGEL SHEET SKOOL SKOOL SKOOL STEPPOWRS PLAN AND PROJECT PHASING SKOOL STEPPOWRS PLAN AND PROJECT PHASING SKOOL STEPPOWRS AND STEPPOWRS PLAN SEET A STEPPOWRS STEPPOWRS PLAN STEPPOWR TO A STEPPO
SKC11	SITEWORKS AND STORMWATER PLAN SHEET 2
SKC12	SITEWORKS AND STORMWATER PLAN SHEET 3
SKC13	SITEWORKS AND STORMWATER PLAN SHEET 4
SKC20	DETAILS PLAN SHEET 1 OF 2
SKC21	DETAILS PLAN SHEET 2 OF 2

STATE SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

TaylorThomson/Whiting COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL ANGLICAN COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL GOTTONS IN THE COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL ANGLICAN COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL ANGLOSSISS TO THE COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL ANGLOSSISS TO THE COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL ANGLOSSISS













STATE SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

KEY PLAN


SKC10 P8


151621

SITEWORKS AND STORMWATER PLAN SHEET 1


TaylorThomsonWhitting COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL ANGLICAN COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL COLLEGE, ROUSE

TERROIR LEVEL 2, 79 MYRTLE STREET, CHIPPENDALE NSW.









STATE SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION


TaylorThomsonWhiting COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL ANGILCAN COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL GISSISSING The COLLEGE, ROUSE HILL ROUSE


TERROIR LEVEL 2, 79 MYRTLE STREET, CHIPPENDALE MSM.

SCALE 120 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0
AT ORIGINAL SIZE


DETAILS PLAN SHEET 1 OF 2

SKC20 2041-140 Drawn
AS SHOWN AS
AS 15-16
TS 16-17 SMC
Plat Te, Created: Aug 93, 2017 - 204001





— 100 x 100 x 100 PRECAST COACRETE BRED. 510P (OR F14 HJJ TIMBER) — PANDRONT AS SPECIFED



VEHICULAR CROSSING

SCALE 1:10 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 AT ORIGINAL SIZE

SCALE 1.20 0 0.2 0.4 0.8 0.8 1.0 AT ORIGINAL SIZE

STATE SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION DETAILS PLAN

TERROIR LEVEL 2, 79 MYRTLE STREET, CHIPPENDALE NSW 2008

| ROUSE HILL ANGLICAN | ROUSE HILL ANGLICAN | ROUSE HILL ANGLICAN | ROUSE HILL | RO

