

Guy Smith Planning Manager Goodman Level17 60 Castlereagh St Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Guy,

Re: Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the Toyota facility (SSD 7663) – Oakdale South Industrial Precinct

SEARs have been received for the Toyota SSD facility to be located within Site 3B of the Oakdale South Industrial Precinct. The following requirement relates to heritage:

"Heritage – including management and mitigation measures of indigenous and historic archaeological assessment submitted under SSD6917"

This requirement refers to the recommendations and mitigation measures submitted with the SSDA application of the Oakdale South Industrial Estate (SSD6917). In regards to Aboriginal (indigenous) heritage the relevant mitigation measures can be found in the Oakdale South Industrial Estate Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (Artefact Heritage 2016) and include:

- If impacts cannot be avoided to AHIMS sites 45-5-4528 (Oakdale South AS 3) and 45-5-4529 (Oakdale South AS 4), archaeological salvage excavation will be required to mitigate impacts as these sites have been assessed as having a moderate archaeological significance.
- A CEMP and accompanying unexpected finds procedure will provide a method to manage potential heritage constraints and unexpected finds during construction works. Aspects of site area protection that should be included in the CEMP include:
 - Establishing no-harm areas where appropriate. Depending on the nature and timing of works in the vicinity of identified Aboriginal sites that will not be impacted by the proposed works, it may be appropriate to establish visual markers around no-harm areas to avoid inadvertent impacts.
 - Nature of the visual markers around no-harm areas. The CEMP should document what type
 of visual marker will be put in place, such as temporary fencing, high visibility tape, and
 temporary signage.
 - Provide clear guidance to all site workers on access restrictions to no-harm areas.

 Unexpected finds procedure would include: if Aboriginal objects are identified during construction work should stop immediately and DLALC, OEH and an archaeologist contacted to identify and record the objects.

In regards to historic heritage, the location of the proposed Toyota facility is to the south of the remains associated with the Lockwood Estate identified during archaeological testing (Artefact Heritage 2015). Therefore, the mitigation measures associated with the Lockwood estate would not apply to the Toyota facility and an unexpected finds policy consisting of the following would be put in place:

If unexpected archaeological finds are discovered during the proposed works, work should cease
in the affected area and a qualified archaeologist engaged to assess the significance of the
remains. Further archaeological work may be required prior to works recommencing in the
affected area.

Impacts associated with the Toyota facility are understood to be contained within the overall construction foot print of the Oakdale South Industrial Estate. Therefore, there would be no additional impacts to those assessed by Artefact Heritage (Artefact Heritage 2015, 2016).

It is understood that construction of the Toyota facility would commence after the initial impacts associated with the Oakdale South Industrial Estate as assessed by Artefact Heritage have been completed. Therefore, the mitigation measures listed above would have been satisfied prior to the commencement of works associated with the Toyota facility.

The above recommendations are considered to be appropriate mitigation measures for impacts associated with the Toyota facility.

Kind Regards,

Claire Rayner
Heritage Consultant
Artefact Heritage
claire.rayner@artefact.net.au

9518 8411

REFERENCES

Artefact Heritage 2015, Oakdale South Industrial Estate Statement of Heritage Impact and Archaeological Assessment, Report to AT&L

Artefact Heritage 2016, Oakdale South Industrial Estate Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report, Report to Goodman Pty Ltd