

7 November 2016

Mazz Appleton
Industry Assessments
Department of Planning & Environment

Sent via email: Mazz.Appleton@planning.nsw.gov.au

Ground Floor, Suite 01, 20 Chandos Street St Leonards, NSW, 2065 PO Box 21 St Leonards, NSW, 1590

> T +61 2 9493 9500 F +61 2 9493 9599 E info@emmconsulting.com.au

> www.emmconsulting.com.au

Re: Smeaton Grange Waste Recycling and Transfer Facility Response to Submissions Report (SSD 7424)

Dear Mazz,

Thank you for your comments on the draft Smeaton Grange Waste Recycling and Transfer Facility Response to Submissions (RTS) Report (SSD 7424). We have updated the report accordingly as summarised in the attached table. A version with the updates highlighted is attached for your reference. Please distribute the version without the highlighting.

We are still waiting for the additional swept path analyses. Once received, they will be included in Appendix F and used to finalise Figure 3.1.

Please let me know if you need further information.

Yours sincerely

Philip Towler Associate Director

ptowler@emmconsulting.com.au

Ref:	Issue	DPE comment	EMM Response
General	RTS requires another proof read	Words missing in sentences, for example Sections 3.1.7 & 4.5.4 and spellos e.g 4.1.1. "summaries" instead of "summarizes"	The entire RTS has been reviewed.
General	Waste Classification	Confirm that the classified waste to be received will be C&D and C&I waste only and not MSW (Municipal Solid Waste)	Sentence added.
General	EMP	When acronym first used in RTS spell out.	Completed.
3.1.3	Vehicles and Traffic - i Intersection capacity & (a) Camden Valley Way & Anderson Road Intersection	RTS states that the TIA (EIS Appendix D) SIDRA analysis was undertaken after the upgrade to Camden Valley Way and Narellan Road. Council states in their submission under Heading "Public Interest" (Bullet 7) that the upgrades were undertaken after the report. Confirm which is correct and if required update SIDRA/RTS.	Additional commentary provided.
3.1.3	ii Site Traffic Generation	Given level of interest raised by Council and the community, provide approximate numbers of trucks and cars expected to access the site in the morning shoulder period and the evening period between 6-10pm which are time periods of concern to the Community. Reference the vehicle types which are indicated in 3.1.3 iv. Confirm whether the largest vehicle accessing the site would be the 19m long articulated truck.	The largest vehicle to access the site will be a 25 m long B Double. This is clarified in Section 3.1.3ii and additional swept path analyses will be provided.  Additional information on normal operating hours is provided in Section 3.1.2.
			Additional information provided on hourly vehicle numbers, including outside of normal operating hours (4 pm to 10 pm), is provided in Section 3.1.1 ii.
3.1.3.	iv Swept Paths	The EIS only provides the Swept Path for the 19 m long articulated truck. No reversing path of trucks into formed bays	Varga has been provided the brief requesting additional swept path analysis.

		or processing shed are shown nor travel paths for light vehicles. Safety should be considered within and outside site. Indicative travel paths for trucks are shown in Figure 3. legend/key appears to be incorrect. Travel path to Bays should be blue and truck travel path to shed is yellow.	To be provided ASAP
3.1.4	Waste Recycling Process	While process is described in the EIS it is not clear and logically set out to obtain a clear picture of what happens. Provide a flow chart of the various processes and the equipment used to process items on the site i.e. From time of entering site to exiting site. Include how green waste or hazardous material would be handled in this process.	An updated flowchart is provided in Figure 3.2.
3.2.1.	Noise – ii sleep disturbance	Include measures to mitigate for sleep disturbance or at least reference management measures to minimize impacts.	Additional information is provided in Section 3.2.1
Figure 3.3.	Site Plan	Show on Site Plan Figure 3.3 it would be useful to label storage bays on site plan. Also Indicate storage site for green waste and residual waste for landfill. Indicate where in the shed that bulk light waste and ferrous and non-ferrous metals and green waste would be stored.	The site plan has been updated accordingly.
3.1.5	Processing Areas	Rationale for not fully enclosing the processing area needs further explanation including a discussion on fugitive emissions. Is the cost factor also a consideration?	Additional justification has been provided in Section 3.1.5.
3.1.7	Green Waste	Description about green waste and its storage needs to be consistent throughout RTS i.e. sections 3.1.7, 3.7.1 and 4.3.1. As 3.1.7 is the first time green waste is mentioned include the description of how green waste will be stored (see 3.7.1.). If it is not desired to provide the same explanation in the 3 sections it is mentioned then cross reference discussion on green waste to the relevant section.	Management measures have been consolidated in Section 3.1.7 and cross-referenced.  Descriptions as relevant to the matters raised specifically Council and public submissions are still addressed in Sections 3.7.1, 3.7.3 and 4.3.1 but additional cross-references have been provided.

3.2.2.	Air Quality Impacts		Completed.
		Amend reference <b>not</b> 3.2.2 but is 3.1.7	
3.6.	Rural Fire Service	Adequate water pressure – explain how has this been determined as adequate? Or how it can be determined as adequate.  Provide an approximation of the width of the Asset protection zone.	We have written to Sydney Water to confirm water supply. Text added.
3.1.9.	ii Landscape plan	zone.	Text added.
3.1.3.	ii zanascape pian	Include information in this section "Further details will be prepared at Construction Certificate Stage to reflect section 3.7.5 iv Landscaping Plans.	
3.7.5.	vii Overnight bin storage	Provide designated bin storage given that vehicles start arriving at 6am and would need clearances for manoeuvring around on site.	The site plan (Figure 3.3 updated to include a bin storage area and the Section 3.7.5vii amended accordingly.
3.7.5	x waste management + discussion on noise events in RTS	RTS does not address <u>how</u> drop heights of material on sorting floor or formed bin bays would be handled/managed to minimise noise or reference to management measures. Provide where relevant.	Additional information added to Section 3.7.5x, including regarding drop heights.
3.7.5 &	xii waste management +		Additional information added to Section 3.7.5xii.
4.8.2	Asbestos	Rather than EPA to follow up consider whether Benedict can take some initiative for example include in contract and management practice that repeat offenders bringing contaminated waste on site will be banned from delivering waste to the site?	Cross-reference added to Section 4.8.2.
3.7.6.	Fencing	While DCPs do not apply to SSD projects it is considered good practice to consider the Council DCPs. As a concession to Council why not provide a gate to Council's request given that the fencing is not consistent with their DCP?	Text amended so that gate is consistent with DCP and character of the area.
4.1.	Noise	Mention of the exceedance in this section should be	Added cross-reference to Section 3.7.5x (noise management measures).

		mentioned here and the measures to minimize noise especially in the evening and early morning shoulder period.	The sections cross-referenced provide the information of impacts and measures.
4.3.1	Green Waste	Discussion on green waste must be consistent throughout RTS	Updated - see Response to Section 3.1.7 for specifics.
4.3.3.	Last para	Include section 3.7.3 in addition to 3.1.7.	Additional cross-reference added.
4.5.1.	Proximity to residences	What about the earth mound buffer and fence at top of mound from Coles site? Does this provide a buffer between site and Currans Hill? Provide a reference here to the management measures to minimize noise and dust.	Additional information (including photograph) regarding the easement added. Cross-reference to air quality and noise management measures added.
4.5.2	Health Concerns	Waste management of hazardous waste – amend reference to 3.2.2. as it is not correct.	Reference has been fixed.
4.5.5	Proximity to Community services	Vegetation corridor of Kenny Creek – address whether there would be any concern for children using the area adjacent to site.	Added a sentence regarding access to the site from the corridor.
4.8.10	Contamination bullet 4.	The site is not sealed rather "all four sides of the site would be sealed.	Added words to clarify that this refers to the site's surface.
4.8.11	Bushfire prone land	"bushfire will be minimised through implementation of management measures" Provide reference to management measure or mechanism to ensure this.	Added management measures.