asongroup

9 November 2020

Goodman Property Services (Aust) Pty Limited Level 17, 60 Castlereagh Street, Sydney NSW 2000 info@asongroup.com.au +61 2 9083 6601 Suite 5.02, Level 5, 1 Castlereagh Street Sydney, NSW 2000 www.asongroup.com.au

Attn: Stephanie Partridge, Senior Development Manager

RE: Oakdale West Industrial Estate (SSD 7348) Modification 6 – Transport Statement

Dear Stephanie,

I refer to the proposed minor changes (the Proposal) being made to the Concept Plan (SSD 7348, approved on 13 September 2019) of the Oakdale West Industrial Estate, Kemps Creek (the Site).

These changes include:

- Minor design changes to building layouts for 1B/1C
- Minor design changes to building layouts at Precinct 3 (mainly Building 3A and Building 3B),
- Civil design amended to accommodate changes to Precinct 3 (as above),
- Increase in building height to Building 2A (increase to 14.9m at ridge from 13.7m),
- Minor alterations to building form and layout as a result of detailed design development of Buildings 2A and Buildings 2C - 2E.

In addition to the above, this modification also proposes to include the construction of Estate Road 08 as part of this Modification (MOD 6).

In this context, Ason Group has been tasked to review the following revision of the plans and to assess the potential transport impacts of the proposed modification:

SBA Architects, 20188 OAK MP 02 (B) Estate Masterplan, dated 05 November 2020

A reduced copy of the Site plans accompanying the submission is included in the Attachment A.

It has been considered that the abovementioned amendments do not involve any material changes relating to floor area of the warehouses and accompanying structures. Notwithstanding, the transport impact of the proposed modification has been assessed against the latest approved Transport Assessment report supporting the Modification 5 (SSD 7348 MOD 5, approved on 05 November 2020), which includes the latest transport related modifications:

Ason Group, P0950r03 SSD 7348 MOD 5 Transport Statement_Oakdale West Estate, dated 03 July 2020

The following table outlines a comparison between areas schedule between the proposed Concept Plan MOD 6 and the approved Concept Plan MOD 5.



Table 1: Area Comparison

Precinct No.	MOD 5 Approval (GFA, m²)	MOD 6 Proposal (GFA, m²)	Difference (GFA, m²)	
Precinct 1	125,772	125,198		
Precinct 2	266,186	269,390	3,204	
Precinct 3	57,819	56,759	(-) 1,060	
Precinct 4	113,693	112,123	(-) 1,570	
Precinct 5	35,640	35,640	-	
Amenities Lot	345	345	-	
Total	599,455	599,455	0	

As indicated in the table above, the proposed MOD 6 does not seek changes to the provision of total floor space or operational traffic movements for the Site. Therefore, the resultant vehicular trip generation of MOD 6 is generally consistent with the approved MOD 5 trip generation.

Notwithstanding, traffic generation of the proposed MOD 6 has been assessed under "worst-case" scenario by adopting First-principles assessment - as discussed in the approved MOD 3 TA report - based on known operational requirements of specific tenants (Building 1A and Building 2B), including 'seasonal peak factors. For those buildings where a tenant is unknown, the generic 'approved rates' which reference standard RMS data for large format industrial warehouses are adopted.

Table 2: Vehicular Trip Generation Comparison

Building	MOD 3 Approval			MOD 6 Estimated				
	GFA	Trip Generation		GFA	Trip Generation			
		AM	PM	Daily	GFA	AM	PM	Daily
Building 1A ^{1&2}	107,212	79	54	2,222	107,212	79	54	2,222
Building 2B ^{1&3}	206,968	823	532	3,781	206,968	823	532	3,781
Other Buildings	281,240	458	458	5,321	284,930	464	464	5,391
Amenity Building	345	-	-	-	345	-	-	-
Total	595,765	1,360	1,044	11,324	599,455	1,366	1,050	11,394

Note: 1) Trip generation during 'seasonal peak' as 'worst-case' scenario.

Accordingly, MOD 6 (including latest design changes to precincts 1, 2 and 3) will only result in minor increase on vehicular traffic generation comparing to the approved MOD 3, which includes detailed modelling for the surrounding road network. Therefore, the traffic impact of proposed MOD 6 is expected to have no significant departure from the approved MODs 3 and 5, and do not warrant any further traffic modelling assessment.

²⁾ Ason Group, P0950r01v12 SSD MOD 2 TIA_Oakdale West, dated 21 November 2019

³⁾ Ason Group, P1086r01v7 SSD 7348 MOD 3 & Stage 2 DA TIA_Oakdale West Estate, dated 15 January 2020



We trust the above is of assistance and please contact either the undersigned or Ali Rasouli should you have any queries or require further information in relation to the above.

Yours sincerely,

Sara Un

Sara Hu

Traffic Engineer – Ason Group Email: sara.hu@asongroup.com.au



<u>Attachment 1</u> MOD 6 Concept Plan







20000 BUILDING?