

71

Name Cathy Forrest  
Address 43 Rodman Ave.  
Moreba NSW 2035

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Cathy

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.2

Name Lawrence Franklin  
Address 57 Merrigang St  
BOWRAL NSW  
2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-3

Name S. Cawley  
Address 23 Tronson Rd  
Burrumbidgee NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.4

Name Emily Cox

Address 1A Kent St

Yerrinbool,

2575

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Emily Cox

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.





Name ALAN COXAddress 1A KENT STYERRINPOOL

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Carole PedemontAddress 1A Kent StreetYernboolNSW 2575

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-7  
Name D TURNER

Address 18 MAIN ST

ROBERTSON

2577

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

Name G. BECTRAME  
 Address ST LUE GEM COF  
NICHOLS  
ACT 295

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment  
 GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-9

Name

Address

Shannon Wanyer  
335 Macbray  
rd Chatswood

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Dr ELIZABETH BLOKAddress 1101 THE BOULEVARDSDURWICH HILLNSW 2203

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Elizabeth Blok

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.11

Name ANDREW CARBONE

Address 1 Luke St

Sunder

2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7.12

Name ROBERT PONZA

Address 69 WOODBINE ST.

YAGOONA  
2199

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

R. Ponza

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

Name Candyn Bailey  
 Address 1 Regent St  
Mitragong

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment

GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Candyn Bailey

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.14

Name Michael Byatt.

Address 14 ARBORE APL

BOWRAL.

2576.

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

M. Byatt.

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-15  
Name Trudy Brown.

Address \*\* 14 ARBOREA PL

BOWRAL.

2576.

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-16

Name Verity Cole

Address 1/23 Boyce Ave

Ashtimer

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-17

Name Peta Angwin  
Address 5122 Street  
Flinders

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

Name HOWARD BOYLE  
 Address 88 MACKEYS LANE  
ROBERTSON  
NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment  
 GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



Name JOE PRINCEAddress 6 OXLEY DR  
BOWRAL

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.20

Name Armando Jaya Kusuma

Address 101 Bellevue Road  
Bellevue Hill 2023  
NSW, Australia Sydney

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Armando

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-21

Name Ron Brown

Address 93 VKA St

REVLBY

2212

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Ron Brown

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Janette WilesAddress 1 Sussex STTOOWONG QLD 4066

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and EnvironmentGPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Janette Wiles

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.



7-23

Name BRIAN WILES  
Address 1 SUSSEX ST  
TOOWONG 4066  
QLD

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

7.24

Name Amy Green

Address 1/15 Broad St

Wagga Wagga

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

A Green

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

Name Brooke McGane  
 Address 311/89 Roden St  
West Melbourne  
VIC 3008

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment  
 GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
 \_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



Name F Grandias  
 Address 36 Tenyo St  
Cameron Park  
2285

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment

GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-27

Name Tony Bennett

Address 54 Kangaroo Rd  
Bowral

NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Tony Bennett

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-28

Name SOE BENNETT

Address OAK COHAGE

54 KANGAROO

BERRIMA NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

SOE Bennett

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

7.2a

Name John Scott  
Address 34 Centennial Rd  
Baw R A L  
NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-30

Name Mrs DEE HILGOW

Address PO Box 3136

ROBERTSON

NSW 2577

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-31

Name GLEN HUNGERFORD  
Address 28 MEESEDALE ST  
CHICKENHOLM.  
A.C.T 2905

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-32

Name Fran Smith

Address 913 Range Rd  
Glengarry 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐



Name GEORGE HARPERAddress 131 MAXWELL STSTH PENRITH2750

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Ryan Pavy  
 Address 46 Greenway  
Drive West  
Hoxton NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment  
 GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-35

Name Gail May  
Address 8 Robert Street  
Marrickville NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name DAVID MARY  
 Address 8 ROBERT ST  
MARRICKVILLE  
2204

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment  
 GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Cassandra Essex  
 Address 204/2 Marlborough St  
Drummoyne  
NSW 2047

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment  
 GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Breacht AllingtonAddress 1/62 Nathan StNathan2088

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Anne Kavanagh  
 Address 8 Roe St  
Moss Vale  
2577

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment

GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001

### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Anne Kavanagh

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

7.40

Name CHRIS POLLOCK

Address 50A BURRADOO RD

BURRADOO

NSW 2576

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7-41

Name ANNE POOCK

Address 50A BURRADOO RD

BURRADOO NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

AB Poock

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-42  
Name CARSTOFFEL HENRY

Address RAINBOW ROAD  
MITTAGONG

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Elizabeth HenryAddress 8 Rainsford RdMittagony

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

744

Name Alex Gearing

Address 75 Prince Hwy  
Thirral

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.45

Name Kayleigh Reid

Address 28 Alton

Ave Bulli

NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

746

Name ELAINE JENNER

Address 17 CYPRESS PDE

BOWRAL 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

 \_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-47

Name K. MURRAY  
Address 17 OSBORNE RD  
BURRADOO

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.



748

Name B ESHICK

Address P.O. Box 1477

BOWRAL 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7-49

Name LIZ MELVILLE  
Address 14/77 MACARTHUR  
AVE O'CONNOR  
ACT 2602

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Philip WalshAddress 18 Tukooa RdSt LeonardsNSW 2150

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.51

Name John Stephens

Address 40A Holly Rd.  
Burradoo NSW  
2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.



7.52

Name GABE CURTIS

Address 12/17 CLARKE ST  
BOWRAL 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.53

Name Penny Leah

Address 22 Nelanglo St  
Cunning 2581

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Penny Leah

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.54

Name JOHN CLARK

Address 59 MELVILLE ST  
RYDE

2112

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.55

Name Angela Heath  
Address 64 Ryndal St

Warragong.

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Dianne Jansen  
Address 1 Colley Drive  
Kiang

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

D J Jansen

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7-57

Name Sue Opperman.

Address 11 Bayview Rd

Burrumbidgee

2230

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

Name K GowAddress 32 Bodwey StBowral

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

K Gow

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.



Name Sharon Davis  
 Address 22 Greasons Rd  
Bundano

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment

GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Sharon Davis

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.



Name Stuart OppermanAddress 11 Bayview Rd  
Cronulla

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017


Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and EnvironmentGPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.61

Name Shanya GERTOS

Address 91 Alan St

Kangaroo Point  
NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

N.B Addendum to  
my on-line submission.

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

S. T. Gertos

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.



Name Sueley BrownAddress 14 McPitche CctNicholls ACT2913

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.63

Name XIONNIE BARRY

Address 11A TULIP CLOSE

BOWRAL

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-64

Name SUSANNAH DACE

Address 68-70 Holly Rd

Berrimbo

2576

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7.65

Name RICHARD WALSHAM

Address 20 LIBERTY ST

ENMORE 2042

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

R. Walsham

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

7-66

Name TRACEY DUNN

Address 32 Anne Cr

Blayland East - 2774

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Tracey Dunn

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-67

Name BEN MUIR

Address 62 CAPTAIN PIPER

VAUCUG NSW

2030

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.68

Name Ann & Dickson

Address 7 Boyce St

GLEBE

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Ann & Dickson

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name WENDY CONOLLYAddress 31 CENTENNIAL RD,BOWRALNSW 2576

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and EnvironmentGPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001*This is an ADDENDUM to my existing  
submission***This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-70

Name Hugh Dickson

Address 7 Joyce Street

Cheltenham NSW 2077

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name ANDREA ROOSAddress 2 LEONARD KILPATRICKBOWENNSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.





Name RICHARD HASTINGSAddress 124 SHEPHERD STBOWRALNSW 2576

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

R. A. Hastings

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7.73

Name Rachael Fair  
Address 38 Bundaroo St  
Bowral  
NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name S. AckmanAddress 41 Lawn AveDebarbon2577

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

78

Name Nathan Benson

Address 4-6 Cobham St  
Yanderra

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



<b>If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>I have not made a reportable political donation.</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

7-76

Name Daniel Beasley  
Address 24 St James Cr  
Warragoe

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-77

Name Mikala Beazley

Address 24 St James

Crescent Worriger

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.78

Name Rob. Petiquin

Address 15 The Circuit

Shellharbour

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

R. Petiquin

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.79

Name John heats  
Address 2179 Kangaroo  
Rd East  
Kangaroo  
Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7.80

Name Julie Ring  
Address 60 Sutherland St  
Lane Cove 2066

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7.81

Name TRISH NEWSTADT

Address 16 Wellington St.  
Coburg

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.82

Name GRAHAM ARBUTHNOT

Address 28 ANTIAL ST  
PICTON 2571

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-83

Name Jaqueline LAI  
Address 47/40 Wigan St  
Harris Park  
NSW 2150

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-84

Name JAMES RYAN

Address 22 CABER ST.

MOSS VALE

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name RON PHILLIPSAddress 25 VILLIERS RDPADSTON HGTS2211

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name TIM DOYLE  
 Address 155 Bowral  
St Bowral

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment  
 GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name AKI MACKEEAddress Unit 14 19-21 EGEORGE STNORTH STRAITFIELD

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Liam NewmanAddress 112 St Ned Ave  
Potts Point  
NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and EnvironmentGPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7.89

Name Kim Johnston  
Address 54 Horton St  
Manickville  
2204

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Madeline FergusonAddress 47 Monngel DrRoyal NSW 2620

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

M Ferguson

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.91

Name 121-6

Address 31 O-Land Rd

Lea Shm NSW 2244

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Gick

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Grace HillAddress 10 Fereday St  
Weston, ACT  
2611

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Karen Vicker  
 Address 47 DeMott Road  
Yam  
NSW 2582

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment  
 GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Alexandra  
 Address Zachary  
3/6 Richard St.  
Mittagong 2575

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment

GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-95

Name Chay Uta-lao  
Address 22 Popetoun St  
Woonona 2517

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.96

Name MALY PAPA

Address 47 KILLALEA

DLIVE

SHELL COVE

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7-97

Name Jo Seph -Bailey Tarabay  
Address Torchard st colarville

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.98

Name Ben Smurich  
Address 3 Fairview Ave  
St Ives NSW  
2075

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Ben Smurich

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.99

Name SUE TURNER

Address 20 YARRAWA ST  
MOSS VALE

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Tiahna GolabAddress 17 Oxford  
Street, Mithagong

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and EnvironmentGPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Blake weatherburnAddress 23 north st  
Thirlmere

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and EnvironmentGPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Blake weatherburn

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.102

Name

Anko Mesiti

Address

140 Millergang Rd  
Barral NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.103

Name DAVID PATTERSON

Address 25 ARROWFIELD DR  
WATTLE GROVE

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-104

Name BRAD HARRIS  
Address 7 INDAAL PL.  
ST. ANDREWS  
NSW 2566

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**


I object to this project and believe it should be rejected due to

**The Impact on the heritage of Berrima, Sutton Forest and Exeter**

- The construction of above ground infrastructure will directly impact on cultural landscape of the surrounding Berrima, Sutton Forest and Exeter.
- The EEM HIS is deficient in its assessment of the impact on Berrima, which is one of the best conserved towns from the colonial period in Australia. Berrima is a significant heritage village and attracts over 200,000 visitors a year. The rural landscape around Berrima is integral to its attraction of tourists and therefore to the future of the Berrima tourist dependent economy.
- The construction of the mine and rail infrastructure will deter visitation to Berrima especially from the south.
- The EEM HIS argues the visual impact on public views across the Mereworth landscape will be low to moderate. This is misleading and appears to be based on a limited set of viewpoints.
- The Landscape is generally Zoned E3 by the Wingecarribee LEP 2010 which has a goal to preserve the rural landscape character and the significant cultural values of the landscape. This development does not meet these goals.
- The structures will be large and will impact views both public and private. It is misleading to assume that because travellers on the motorway will be moving at speeds of up to 110kph the impact on views will be low as even glimpsed views that have negative connotations impact on the perceived aesthetic qualities of a landscape.
- The Hume Coal EIS promotes the argument that because the cement works currently exists and has visual impact it is acceptable to add more large and visually intrusive structures. This is a very weak argument and should be discounted entirely.

This proposal threatens our heritage and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7-105

Name Steven Graham  
Address 20 Hume Rd  
Camberley NSW 2570

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name M. TOWNSENDAddress 22 ORANGE RD  
BUXTONDate: 24/6/2017Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and EnvironmentGPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected due to

**THE POOR ECONOMICS OF THE HUME COAL PROJECT.**

From the data in the EIS it is apparent that the project is unprofitable, with negative investment returns.

- This is a small mine and costly to operate.
- The product mix revealed in the EIS results in lower revenue than previously put forward, with nearly half the production low value thermal coal.
- The only way that the mine can improve its profitability would be to significantly increase production, making the EIS invalid in its present form.
- BAEconomics have not been asked to undertake the sensitivity analysis of how much output prices would need to fall for the project to have a zero NPV because Hume Coal considers it to be "commercial in confidence". (EIS Appendix Q page 42). This is unacceptable and an apparent inability to meet the 2015 guidelines for an EIS.

**THE REJECT EMPLACEMENT AND BULKHEAD ISSUES**

- The Metropolitan Colliery emplaced at least some of their rejects in mined voids in recent years. This operation required extensive experimentation over a number of years.
- They had the advantage of knowing the exact composition of their reject material and voids that were well away from current mining areas.
- The Airly Colliery considered this method of reject disposal but decided it posed unacceptable safety and other concerns.
- In contrast the Hume EIS assumes that reject emplacement behind bulkheads is a risk free process. The design of the bulkheads is complex and their construction in an operating environment is problematic.

This is a very risky proposal and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

M. Townsend

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-107

Name Patrick Dale

Address 25 Westbrook Ave  
Wahroonga NSW  
2076

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.108

Name S. Chikwiler

Address 44 Revo St

PORT KEMBLA

2505

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.109

Name KARIS JAN EUSCHER

Address P O Box 247

Richmond

VIC 3121

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7110

Name

Address

Alice Burrell  
5/18-20  
Buckland st  
Chippendale

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-111

Name Rod Farrow  
Address 6A Hopewood Road  
Bowral  
NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

R. H. Farrow

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.112

Name KAY HUNTSMAN

Address 168 TRAFALLAR

ST, ANNANDALE

2038

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐



7.113

Name F. Grogan

Address 678 Lucky Plains Rd

Currawang

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.114

Name Dennis Murray

Address 5, Barrow Ct.

LINDS LANSLEY

NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

7-115

Name Hazel Williams  
Address 1/41 BROWLEY ST  
MOSS VALE  
2577

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Hazel Williams

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.116

Name Angela Brady

Address 9 NCLAN ST

EMU PLAINS

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Angela Brady

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.117

Name S LUNANE

Address 41 PARADISE PL  
GUANMARE PARK.

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-118

Name Lynette Kullen

Address 5 Strathdon Cr  
Blaxland NSW 2774

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Lynette Kullen

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.



7.119

Name Caroline mooney

Address Mitagon

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Caroline M

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

7-120

Name Joseph SURACE  
Address 7 BERRIMA DRIVE  
BERRIMA

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7.121

Name Elizabeth Gorman

Address 30 Oxley Drive  
BOWRAL NSW  
2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.122

Name Maddie Midson

Address 14 Shepherd

Street Bowral

NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

M Midson

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-123

Name C. RICKARD

Address 12 LAYCOCK ST

NEUTRAL BAY

NSW 2047

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

 C. RICKARD FLEXUST. CPENG.

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.124

Name Louise Celi

Address 12 Lilydale Ave  
Peakhurst NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.125

Name Nicholas Kerasavridis

Address 70 Harp St

Belmore, NSW

2192

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name S. KarasavvidisAddress 70 Harp Street  
Belmore

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017


Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and EnvironmentGPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

 (30/11/1999)

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.12.7

Name Ashleigh Motion

Address 5 Stirling Drive

Berrima NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7128

Name Inga Oates  
Address 141 Waller flats  
Rd  
Canyongleigh

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



Name SCOTT PHILLIPSAddress 2d OZLEY DRMITCHELL NSW 2575

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-130

Name ALICE BEDFORD  
Address 6 ZELMAN PY  
MELBA ACT  
2615

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Alice Bedford

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

~~7.131~~ 7.131

Name HEATHER WOODS

Address 103 TUCKWELL RD

CASTLE HILL

2154

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

H. Woods.

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-132

Name KEVIN WOODS

Address 108 TURNBULL RD

CASTLE HILL

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Kevin Woods

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-133

Name Greg Savoie

Address 630 Old Hume High.  
Yerrinbool, 2575

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-134

Name Kevin HERRING  
Address 19/3 VICTORIA ST  
BOWRAL 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

7-135

Name Jean Hesling

Address 3 Victoria St

Barrack

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

J. Hesling  
J

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-136

Name ERIK  
Address 179 Bow Rush ST  
BOW RUSH  
2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐



7.137

Name G. OOSTERMAN

Address 3/7 ASCOT

Rd

Bowral

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.138

Name LEONIE GILFILLAN (MRS)

Address 67 Mt Eymard -  
502 NOSS VALE RD  
BOWRAL -

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Leonie Gilfillan

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.139

Name PEBRAGILL

Address 59 Melville St  
Ryde 2112

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

D. Carill

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.140

Name Lesley M. Phelan  
Address 61 Burradoo Rd  
Burradoo 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

Lesley M. Phelan

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.141

Name D BAUTSCH

Address 28 ROWA ST

FRANKFURT

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:



I have not made a reportable political donation.



Name Max BeanAddress 6/58 Victoria STBeaconsfield 2015

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.14 3

Name P. Chamberlain

Address PO Box 263

Depo NSW 2530

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7144

Name Maureen Robinson

Address 4 Albion St,

Rozelle

NSW 2039

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7.145

Name Rhonda E Ratcliff

Address 24A Avenue Rd

Idunood Hill

2110

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

RHONDA E RATCLIFF

R E Ratcliff

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

Name A. Malcolm  
 Address 3/9 Quay St  
Coogee 2034

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
 and Environment  
 GPO Box 39  
 Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.147

Name David Madden  
Address 15 Merilba Rd  
Bowral  
NSW 2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.148

Name Ben Woodward

Address 2/6 Holkham  
ave Randwick  
2031

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.149

Name Valerie

Address 6150 Johns

Rd, Canterbury  
Leigh NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.150

Name Do Vu  
Address 61 St John's  
Rd Cansley Heights.  
N.S.W 2166.

Date: 24/6/2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7.151

Name Steve Pann

Address 289 Penns Ferry Ln

Manly NSW

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-152

Name Fiona Allan

Address 124 Bonnard Moncrieff

ACT 2914

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒



7.153

Name DAVID MURPHY

Address 15 ADKINSON CL

ISAACS ACT

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Fabiola HopwoodAddress S Northcott Ave  
Kingsgrove

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

~~7.155~~ 7.155

Name G C AINS  
Address 27 Shepherd St.  
Bonural NSW  
2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

Name Nedra KalaleAddress 4 Belmore StBerrima2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☐

Name Laura EdglaireAddress 639 LachlanValley WayYass

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

LeL

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-158

Name S Cains

Address 27 Shepherd St  
Barrad  
2576

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

### **This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7159

Name Glenn Warburton

Address 1 Frew Ct

Nicholls Act

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment

GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001


**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,



If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒

7-160

Name Lowe Pauline  
Address 23 Elizabeth St  
Burradoo  
NSW 2576.

Date: 24 / 6 / 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning  
and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**This is a submission to the Hume Coal Project EIS. SSD 7172**

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected as the Hume Coal EIS is misleading. Just one example is the **"Do Nothing Alternative"** analysis which is both inaccurate and incomplete.

- The EIS states that the Do Nothing Alternative would leave 50 Mt of coal unrecovered. However it would also lead to the highly beneficial result of 93 bores on 71 properties being unaffected allowing for the continued pursuit of economic benefits by the current and future land holders.
- Similarly the significant threat to the aquifer and the consequential impact on the Sydney water catchment would be eliminated.
- The significant costs of remediation which will ultimately be partially borne by the community as a cost of Hume Coal's operation would also be avoided. (As this expenditure is a tax deduction for Hume Coal).
- The foregone rates royalties and taxes which amount to \$316m over the 23 years the project runs is a small price to pay to maintain the security of our water resources and to avoid the health risks associated with the dust and noise produced by the mine and the transportation of the coal. Taxes paid on the growth of the sustainable economy may exceed that number over 23 years.
- The impact of the "do nothing alternative" on employment opportunities is significantly overstated. In assessing the benefits of the project Hume Coal in the EIS acknowledges that 80% of the people employed by the project would find "alternative employment in NSW in the absence of the project". Therefore only 20% of the 414 construction jobs and 300 operational jobs can be counted in the Do Nothing Alternative.
- Alternatively the Do Nothing Alternative will allow for the unimpeded growth of the major employing industries in the Southern Highlands namely Retail and Accommodation and Food.
- The removal of 2920 (8x365) coal train journeys each year for the next 19 years will significantly reduce the time delay and negative safety impacts on the 17 rail level crossings regularly used by business and private transport users. The reduction in rail traffic on the existing line from the Berrima Cement works to Port Kembla would result in lower maintenance costs. It will also remove dust and noise issues from all localities along the route of the coal trains.

This is a very weak proposal for a poor project and I urge the Government to reject this project.

Signed,

P. Lowe

If you wish to maintain your privacy in this submission from the department's website please tick this box:

☐

I have not made a reportable political donation.

☒