			+				Group			COMMENCEL	-		COMPLETED		REF BH			BH41	0	
				age 2 Contamination Assessment					ssment	LOGGED	GT		CHECKED	AN				Sheet 1 o		
_	SITE Mundamia Release Lands				GEOLOGY	Sandstone		VEGETATION		es			PROJECT NO.	P0802193						
EQU						aulic Au			EASTING NA				RL SURFACE	_						
EXC				SIONS		Ø X 1.0	0m depth			NORTHING	NA		ASPECT	North				SLOPE	1-2%	
Q				ON DA <u>⊋</u>		ANCE	P00	ATION		TERIAL D			ENCY	NDEX	SAMPLING & TESTING					
МЕТНОВ	SUPPORT	WATER	MOISTURE	DEPTH (M)	L PENETRATION	H RESIST	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION	Soil type, texture, structure, n particle characteristics, orga fill, co	nottling, colour, panics, secondary anics, secondary intamination, odo	and minor co	s, oxidation, mponents,	CONSISTENCY	DENSITY INDEX	TYPE	(М) НІ ОЕРТН	ΑC		TS AND DBSERVATIO	NS
٧	Nil	Z	D	0.05			× × × ×	ML	SILT - Grey/ligh			and,			Е	0.05	2193/410	0/ 0.05		
٧	Nil	N	D	_ _ _ _ _ 0.3				SM	SILTY SAND	inor gravels.					E	0.25	2193/410)/ 0.25		-
٧	Nil	N	D					SP	SAND - Yellow/go (1-1	old, minor sa l0mm, ≈10%		ravels								
٧	Nil	Z	М	_ _ _ _ _ 1.0				sc	CLAYEY SAND/E SANDSTONE						E	1.0	2193/410)/ 1.0		- - - - 1.0
									Borehole termin weath	ated at 1.0m		nely								- - - 1.5 - - - - 2.0 - - 2.25
EQUIPMENT METHOD SUPPORT WATER MOISTURE PENETRATION CONSISTENCY DENSITY SAMPLING & TESTING N Natural exposure SH Shoring N None observed D Dry L Low VS Very Soft VL Very Loose A Auger sample Pocket penetrometer SYMBOLS AND STATE									ND RIPTION											
S	Spa	de					VC	011.0	OC TO BE BEAD IN CONTU	NOTIONANT	U ACCONT	ANIVINO DEDO	DT NOTES	VVID V2	סטרי	/IAT!	MC			
			_			E.	AUAVAII	ON LC	OG TO BE READ IN CONJU	MARTENS &			ACT NOTES	AIND AE	POREV	MIK	JINO -			

	CLIE	NT		Τv	vynam	Prop	ert	y Group			COMMENCE	10.01.2013	i	COMPLET	ED	10.01.2013			REF	TP411	
PROJECT Stage 2 Contam					essn	nent	LOGGED	GT		CHECKED	•	AN Sheet 1 of 1									
SITE Mundamia Release Lands EQUIPMENT Spade					GEOLOGY	Sandstone		VEGETAT	_	Grasses			PROJECT NO). P0802193							
Н				IMEN:	SIONS	Spade 0.2 X		0.25m depth			EASTING NORTHING	NA NA		RL SURFA		NA South East			SLOPE	1-2%	
İ	EXCAVATION DATA					MA	TERIAL D	ATA	4					AMPLIN	G & TEST	ING					
	METHOD	SUPPORT	WATER	MOISTURE	DEPTH(M)	L M PENETRATION	H RESISTANCE	GRAPHIC LOG CLASSIFICATION	:	Soil type, texture, structure, n particle characteristics, orga	PTION OF ST nottling, colour, p anics, secondary intamination, odd	lasticity, rock and minor co	s, oxidation, mponents,	CONSISTENCY	DENSITY INDEX	TYPE	DEPTH(M)	А		LTS AND OBSERVATIONS	
			M z	D	_	"	Iœ	GRAF		SILTY SAND - Ora	ange/gold, fi	ne grained		CONS			0.05				
N Natural exposure SH Shoring N None observed D Dry X Existing excavation SC Shotcrete X Not measured M Mois BH Backhoe bucket RB Rock Bolts W Water level W Plast HA Hand auger Nii No support Wp Plast						D Dry L Lo M Moist M Mc W Wet H Hig Wp Plastic limit R Re WI Liquid limit	w VS oderate S gh F fusal St VSt H F	VSISTENCY Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff Hard Friable	DENSITY VL Very Loos L Loose MD Medium D D Dense VD Very Dens	se A A B E ense U U D [e M N Ux T E E	Auger s Bulk sa Undistu Disturb Moistur Tube sa Inviron	urbed sample ed sample re content ample (x man mental sam (JAI	le V m) F ple V	S Standard S Vane sh DCP Dynam penetro D Field dei VS Water sa PID Photo I	nic cone ometer nsity	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOLS AND SOIL DESCRIPTION Y USCS N Agricultural					
ŀ				_			Е	EXCAVATION I	LOG 1	TO BE READ IN CONJU				ORT NOTE	S AN						
į				1							WARTENS 8	ASSOCIAT	ES PTY LTD		- 1	•			orino		

CL	IEN	Т	Τν	wynam	Pro	per	y Group)		COMMENCED	10.01.2013	c	COMPLETED	10.01.2	0.01.2013 REF TP412				412	
PF	ROJE	СТ	St	age 2 C	ont	ami	nation A	sses	ssment	LOGGED	GT	0	CHECKED	AN				Sheet 1		
_	Mundamia Release Lands				GEOLOGY	Sandstone		VEGETATION		es			PROJECT I	NO. P08021	93					
\vdash	EQUIPMENT Spade EXCAVATION DIMENSIONS 0.2 × 0.2 × 0.3 m depth					EASTING NORTHING	NA NA		RL SURFACE ASPECT					SLOPE	1-2%					
Ë		EXCAVATION DATA				M A	TERIAL DA			ASPECT	South		SΑ		G & TES					
МЕТНОВ		WATER	MOISTURE	DEPTH (M)	PENETRATION	RESISTANCE	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION	DESCRII Soil type, texture, structure, reparticle characteristics, orga	PTION OF STR	ATA asticity, rocks, or	dation,	CONSISTENCY	DENSITY INDEX	TYPE	DEPTH(M)		RES	ULTS AND L OBSERV	
s	Nil	N	D	- 0.1	888888888888888888888888888888888888888		× × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	ML	SILT - Brown/li (1	ght brown, m -5mm, ≈5%).	inor gravels	3			Е	0.05	2193/41	2/ 0.05		_
s	Nil	N	D	- - 0.3					SILTY SAND - Ora	ange/gold, fin	e grained sa	and.			E	0.25	2193/41	2/ 0.25		- -
									Test pit termina	ated at 0.3m o	on silty sand									- 0.5 - - - - -
																				- 1.0 - - - - - -
				1.5 - - - - - 2.0																1.5 - - - - - 2.0
10 3 3 4 0 1	EQUIPMENT / METHOD SUPPORT Natural exposure SC Shotcrete SC Shotcrete Hand auguer BE Excavator CC Concrete Corer V V-Bit TC Tungsten Carbide Bit Sc Shotcrete SC																			
٣	S Spa	.uc					EXCAVATION	ON LO	OG TO BE READ IN CONJU	INCTION WITH	I ACCOMPAN	YING REPOR	RT NOTES	AND AB	BREV	/IATIC	NS			
			7							MARTENS &				Ī	_		-			

13 Attachment D – Data Validation Report





1. Sample Handling		
	Yes	No
		(Comments below)
a. Were sample holding times met?	✓	
b. Were samples in proper custody between the field and reaching the laboratory?	✓	
c. Were the samples properly and adequately preserved?	✓	
d. Were the samples received by the laboratory in good condition?	✓	
COMMENTS		
Sample handling is: ✓ Satisfactory	,	
Partially		
Satisfactory		
Unsatisfacto	ory	





2. Precision / Accuracy Statement

	Yes	No (Comments below)
a. Was a NATA registered laboratory used?	✓	
b. Did the laboratory perform the requested tests?	✓	
c. Were laboratory methods adopted NATA endorsed?	✓	
d. Were appropriate test procedures followed?	✓	
e. Were reporting limits satisfactory?	✓	
f. Was the NATA Seal on the reports?	✓	
g. Were reports signed by an authorised person?	✓	
COMMENTS		
Precision / Accuracy of the Laboratory Report:		
Partially Satisfactory		
Unsatisfactor	y	





3. Field Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC)

a. Number of Primary Samples analysed (does not include duplicates)	Soil: Water:	48 -
b. Number of days of sampling		2
c. Number and Type of QA/QC Samples analysed	Soil	Water
Intra-Laboratory Field Duplicates	2	
Inter-Laboratory Field triplicates		
Trip Blanks	1	
Wash Blanks		
Other (Field Blanks, Spikes, Trip Blanks, etc.)	1	_

Media

Number

Field Duplicates

		Yes	NO (Comments below)
Adequate Nanalysed?	lumbers of intra-laboratory field duplicates	✓	
Adequate Nanalysed?	lumbers of inter-laboratory field duplicates	✓	
Were RPDs v	vithin Control Limits?		
i.	Organics (+ 50%)	✓	
ii.	Metals / Inorganics (+ 50%)		✓
iii.	Nutrients (+ 50%)	1	N/A

COMMENTS

RPD for Cadmium is greater than 50% for 2193/Dup2 (91%) however the concentration levels are less than 5 times the LOR and is therefore considered an acceptable result.

RPD for Nickel is greater than 50% for 2193/Dup2 (67%) however the concentration levels are less than 5 times the LOR and is therefore considered an acceptable result.





<u>Trip Blank / Wash Blanks</u>

	Yes	No (Comments below)
Were Adequate Numbers of trip blanks analysed?	✓	
Were Adequate Numbers of wash blanks analysed?	✓	
Were the Trip Blanks free of contaminants?	✓	
Were the Wash Blanks free of contaminants?	✓	
(If no, comment whether the contaminants present are also detected in the samples and whether they are common laboratory chemicals.)		
COMMENTS		
Trip Spikes		
	Yes	No (Comments below)
Were adequate numbers of Trip Spikes analysed?	✓	
Were the Trip Spike results within control limits?	✓	
COMMENTS		
Field QA/QC: ✓ Satisfactory		
Partially Satisfactory		

Unsatisfactory









3. Laboratory Internal Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC) Procedures

a. Type and Number of QA/QC Samples

a. Typo and nombor of any action plos		
QA/QC Type	Yes	No
Laboratory Blanks/Reagent Blanks (at least 1 per batch)	✓	
Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates (1 for each soil type)	✓	
Laboratory Control Samples	✓	
Laboratory Duplicates (at least one per batch or 1 per 10 samples, whichever is smaller)	✓	
Surrogates (where appropriate) ¹	✓	
¹ Number of surrogate spikes carried out on each sample		
	Yes	No (Comments below)

- b. Were the laboratory blanks/reagents blanks free of contamination?
- c. Were the spike recoveries within control limits?
- d. Were the RPDs of the laboratory duplicates within control limits?
 - i. Organics (0 50%)
 - ii. Metals / Inorganics (0 50 %)
- e. Were the surrogate recoveries within control limits?

		below)
	✓	
	✓	
ol		
	✓	
		✓
	✓	

COMMENTS

RPD was exceeded in laboratory referenced sample: 84007-46 for lead. A triplicate results was subsequently issued as laboratory sample number 84007 – 68. Concentrations for lead were below adopted HIL for all three samples and results are considered acceptable for use.

Laboratory internal QA / QC is: ✓ Satisfactory

Partially
Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory





4. Summary of Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC)

QA/QC Type	Satisfactory	Partially Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory							
Sample handling	✓									
Precision / Accuracy of the Laboratory Report	✓									
Field QA / QC	✓									
Laboratory Internal QA / QC	✓									
5. Data Usability										
1. Data directly usable	1. Data directly usable ✓									
Data usable with the following corrections/modifications (see comment below)										
3. Data not usable.										
COMMENTS										

