

Meeting Minute Ref	DRP Comment	Response
1.2	DRP commented upon the disconnect between the ground and the upper levels on the southern and eastern facades	The strength of the building form is two storey public treatment at base on all sides of the building. The upper floors have a different character based on room types behind and need for privacy. Eastern façade has more modulation and articulation due to main public face.
1.2	DRP recommended that a study be conducted of the Western walls of W1 and W2 together.	Elevations showing relationship of both the W1 and W2 buildings have been provided. This analysis illustrates the same design aesthetic with the W2 building with variations in window locations, module sizes based on room type and corridor locations.
2	DRP questioned the security of the courtyard area after hours.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The courtyard is afforded excellent surveillance through the location of the W1 reception immediately adjacent and the passing of students through the link bridge at all hours of day/night.</li> <li>• All pedestrian routes, entries and internal and external communal areas will be well lit along with lighting to the underside of the bridge link.</li> <li>• Entrapment opportunities have been mitigated through a revised amphitheatre design that reduces unused areas.</li> <li>• Suitable CCTV cameras will be installed within and around both the W1 and W2 building in appropriate locations with footage available in real time both site on site and remotely in Urbanest's Sydney head office.</li> </ul>
2	DRP indicated that the renders do not include the jersey curb on the edge of the roundabout. and that there may be headlight glare of vehicles traversing the roundabout intersection.	Headlights from traffic are only relevant to public common areas on the ground level. Any impacts can be mitigated by appropriate location of functions, interior design and if necessary applied graphic frit treatment to glass at low levels.
3	DRP recommended that the balcony remains open and is not enclosed.	Balcony design has remained open as recommended.
3	DRP suggested that the fire stairs have access from both sides to the corridors to improve people movement and amenity.	Fire stairs have now been provided with access to both sides of the

		corridors.
<b>4</b>	DRP would suggest that the final development is presented to the Panel before DA submission.	Letter received from DRP/INSW confirms that a subsequent presentation was not required.