

Ingrid Berzins

From: Jane Flanagan
Sent: Tuesday, 7 March 2017 2:33 PM
To: Ingrid Berzins
Subject: FW: City of Sydney response to S96 Applications for Public Art - SSD 7055 and SSD 7054
Attachments: City of Sydney Submission - SSD 7055 and SSD 7054.pdf

Jane Flanagan | Senior Planning Officer
Modification Assessments | Department of Planning and Environment

I am available Tuesdays to Fridays

320 Pitt Street SYDNEY 2000 | GPO Box 39 SYDNEY 2001
t: 02 9274 6416 e: jane.flanagan@planning.nsw.gov.au



From: Stephane Kerr [mailto:stephane.kerr@sydney.edu.au]
Sent: Friday, 3 March 2017 3:24 PM
To: Peter McManus <Peter.McManus@planning.nsw.gov.au>; Jane Flanagan <Jane.Flanagan@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Cc: Natasha Harras <Natasha.Harras@planning.nsw.gov.au>; Drew Bagnall <drew.bagnall@sydney.edu.au>; Glen Nicholson <glen.nicholson@sydney.edu.au>; Stephane Kerr <stephane.kerr@sydney.edu.au>
Subject: FW: City of Sydney response to S96 Applications for Public Art - SSD 7055 and SSD 7054

Dear Peter, Jane

The University of Sydney refers to our S96 Applications for variation to proposed conditions for Public Art installation in relation to:

- * condition B6 for the F23 consent SSD 7055; and
 - * condition B8 for the LEES1 consent SSD 7054,
- and in particular the City of Sydney's recent response received today (attached).

As a Crown applicant, the University advises that it does not agree with the City's proposed amended wording of these conditions for the following reasons:

1. Inserting "**prior to the commencement of any new building works.**" puts the projects in breach of the condition as new works have already commenced;
2. The University proposed the S96 amendments to allow the University appropriate time to address and resolve a suitable and quality public art solution for this site and project. The proposed wording by CoS maintains the timing constraint of the original condition, and which is unattainable and unreasonable, for reasons already explained in our original S96 applications. In short, Council's response provides no change to the original condition;

For the reasons outlined above, and those reasons outlined in the University's S96 Application for Modification submissions, we request the Department to consider the amendments of these

conditions as originally proposed by the University in its' S96 applications issued on 7 February 2017.

Please do not hesitate to contact me directly on 0412661742 should you wish to discuss this matter further.

Kind regards

STEPHANE KERR | CIS Town Planner | Campus Infrastructure & Services

THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY

Services Building G12 | 22 Codrington Street | Darlington | NSW | 2008

T +61 2 9351 3128 | M +61 412 661 742

E stephane.kerr@sydney.edu.au | W <http://sydney.edu.au>.

From: Ingrid Berzins [Ingrid.Berzins@planning.nsw.gov.au]

Sent: Friday, 3 March 2017 9:51 AM

To: Stephane Kerr

Cc: Jane.Flanagan@planning.nsw.gov.au; Natasha.Harras@planning.nsw.gov.au;

Anthony.Witherdin@planning.nsw.gov.au

Subject: Response to Submissions - SSD 7055 and SSD 7054

Good Morning,

City of Sydney have provided comments on the following applications:

- SSD 7054 MOD 1 – Lees 1 Building University of Sydney Camperdown Campus; and
- SSD 7055 MOD 1 – Building F23 University of Sydney Camperdown Campus.

A copy of the submission is attached and is available on the Department of Planning website. Please provide your response as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

Ingrid Berzins

Student Planner

Department of Planning and Environment | GPO Box 39 | Sydney NSW 2001

Available Tuesdays and Fridays

E ingrid.berzins@planning.nsw.gov.au



**Planning &
Environment**



Subscribe to our [newsletter](#)



You can find us at our new office
Level 22, 320 Pitt St, Sydney, 2000