Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won’t, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Chantelle Corbett
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

1/ What will you do to ensure our water is safe?

2/ Are you prepared to pay us all compensation when we have no clean drinking water?

3/ Do you or your family intend to drink water in the future?

4/ If farmers can’t get insurance against CSG mining, because you cannot guarantee the safety of their land, how is it possible that you move ahead with this proposal?

5/ When we lose money from tourism at ‘Siding Springs Observatory’, how are you going to compensate, and fix the problem?

6/ Do you believe in God?

7/ Do you believe in Karma? Or cause & effect?

Signed.

Chantelle Lobold
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

[Signature]
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- I would like a guarantee that if given the go-ahead, there will never be permission for flaring.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. 

[Signature]
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rain this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- Explain to me...why the short fall for the government is more important than the destruction of our communities in QLD, WA, SA, and NSW? We are going to get our water from?

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

[Signature]
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- CSG extraction should only occur where there is no risk of irreparable damage to our environment — water, air, food, land and wildlife. It should not occur where the community does not want it.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.
Name: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]
Date: 4/4/2017

Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Atttn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will affect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can affect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further downstream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- [Redacted]

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed: [redacted]
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

* The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

* The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

* The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

* The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

* CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

* ...........................................................................

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed, I. Ashbrooke
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Government's main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

If Victoria can ban...why can't NSW do the same?...
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will affect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can affect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- "Thinking of the future, it is not good for the land, nor for the future generations of our family."

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed: [Signature]

[Signature]
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- How does the above affect the health of our communities??

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

[Signature]
[Printed Name]
Attn: Executive Director; Resource Assessments  
Department of Planning and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- Our area is situated over the "Great Artesian Basin" so...it is ludicrid to allow so much mining which may damage our underground water in an area which is so important. Just look at what happened in America...

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. [Signature]
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further downstream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- There are much better ways to utilise our already adequate fuel reserves...hooking off...domestic needs...before selling...cheaply...to...overseas markets...to...start...

- This is...creating...major...distrust...to...our...community...of...distrust...of...the...governing...bodies...who...refuse...to...hear...

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

My family have been in this district for over 112 years and we wish to continue to have a safe water supply. I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. Jean Stagg
Name: BARBARA LYNCH
Address: "BREELONG"
          DENIMIE ROAD
          GLENBREDA 4817
Date: 29/3/17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed, 

BARBARA LYNCH
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

  What does it do to future crops?

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won’t, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Pauline Murphy
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments  
Department of Planning and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed: D. Gilmore
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

☐ The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

☐ The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

☐ CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- I object as this destroys of farming land. Ask yourself this question would you want to destroy our land and water for your family. I would you have it on your land destroying your animals.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed: [Signature]
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. [Signature]
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

[Signature]
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- Fracturing of underlying geology can cause naturally occurring sites and toxic chemistries to be transported into aquifers.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed: [Signature]
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

- From what I have heard on the radio and seen

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. [Signature]