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1 Introduction

1.1 Block 11 overview

In mid-2013 Frasers undertook a design competition for the mixed residential and commercial building on Block 11 of Central Park. This was a requirement under the Concept Plan consent. Block 11 is located between O’Connor and Wellington Streets on the southern end of the Central Park development.

Francis-Jones Morehen Thorp (FJMT) was selected as the winning architect for the mixed residential and commercial building. In May 2014 their award winning design was presented to the community for consultation as a work-in-progress for the final design.
1.2 Purpose of this report

This report details consultation process undertaken for Block 11 and provides a high level overview of the key issues raised and questions received from members of the community and from key stakeholders. The feedback in this report cannot be construed as being statistically representative of opinion within the wider community.
2 Consultation Overview

2.1 Approach

The purpose of this consultation process was to seek community and stakeholder feedback on the work-in-progress design for Block 11. This consultation would inform the design prior to the lodgement of a State Significant Development Application (SSDA) with the Department of Planning and Environment. The consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Director General’s Requirements for this project.

Throughout the process participants were encouraged to focus their feedback on the proposed design for Block 11 so that FJMT could consider feedback prior to the lodgement of the SSDA. The consultation approach for Block 11 is consistent with the 'inform' and 'consult' levels of the IAP2 (International Association of Public Participation) spectrum and as defined in the City of Sydney Community Engagement Policy.

2.2 Process

A number of different methods were used to engage with the Chippendale community on the design of Block 11. These included:

» **Targeted briefings:**

Two targeted stakeholder briefings were held in the consultation period. These were facilitated and recorded by Elton Consulting, with a presentation by FJMT and project representatives from Frasers attending to answer questions.

> On 30 May 2014 from 11:00am-12:45pm a briefing was held with the Chippendale Residents Interest Group. This was attended by five members of the Group, including a resident from one of the terraces located on Wellington Street.

> On 16 June 2014 from 2:00pm-3:00pm a briefing was held with the University of Technology Sydney. This was attended by three officers of the University.

» **A community information and feedback session:**

This was held on Saturday 31 May 2014 from 10am to 1pm in an office at Central Park, 7 Carlton Street, Chippendale. The session featured two short presentations by the project architect at 10:30am and 11:30am.

The community was invited 10 days prior to the session in several ways, specifically:

> A letter box drop of approximated 1800 homes in Chippendale, a copy of which can be found at Appendix A

> A notification to all existing Central Park residents and purchasers, distributed via the internal Central Park ‘Hub’ notification system (Appendix C)

> A notification to the 9000 followers of the Central Park Facebook page (Appendix E)
> A notification published via the community portal at www.centralparksydney.com.au (Appendix D)

The session was facilitated and recorded by Elton Consulting. In attendance to answer questions were members of the Frasers Block 11 project team, representatives from project architect FJMT, and representatives from the relevant consultants undertaking planning works associated with the building.

A total of 55 Chippendale residents, including some members of the Chippendale Residents Interest Group, attended the session. Attendees were offered the opportunity to provide written feedback by filling out a form and returning to the project team by 13 June 2014 (see Appendix B). Reply paid envelopes were supplied to make this process simpler.

This date was extended to 20 June to accommodate the requests of several community members at the session. Feedback could also be provided in other formats and returned by email or phone call. This feedback form was also provided to the Chippendale Residents Interest Group for their own distribution.

Presentation material was uploaded to the Central Park website and YouTube channel shortly after the information session to allow members of the community to review information further as part of their submissions. This is can be reviewed at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BTdv7FiYMKo&feature=share&list=UUdhK7ywTBpmswQInDRmKTYA
3 Feedback

3.1 Chippendale Residents Interest Group

The Chippendale Residents Interest Group (CRIG) raised a number of issues regarding Block 11 at their briefing on 30 May 2014, at the community information and feedback session on 31 May 2014, and via email and phone correspondence throughout the period.

While no formal submission was received from the Group, the following represents a summary of the issues raised as they were recorded at each of these consultation points.

**Block 11 issues:**

- The impact of shadowing on residents in Wellington Street and any additional solar loss (when compared to the present situation) that the Block 11 building might create.
- Concern regarding the accuracy of the Concept Plan around and its solar-impact assumptions for residential and commercial buildings.
- The purpose of green/public space – group expressed concerns around anti-social behaviour undertaken in park spaces and a desire to make sure there are landscapes that move people on/restrict anti-social behaviour.
- Concern over the ownership of public space at Block 11 – citing Park Lane as an example of where the wider community has restricted access.
- The acoustic impact of the building design and a desire to avoid creating a noise tunnel to adjacent residents.
- The impact of wind and wind tunnelling on adjacent residents as a result of the building’s design.
- Concern over any possible increased pedestrian movements through Wellington Street – particularly those traveling between Kensington and Balfour Streets and the impact this would have on the amenity of the area.
- Comment that there is a need to ensure that urban design protects and supports the existing social design of Chippendale.
- Concern over the acoustic impact of the car park roller door on adjacent residents.
- Concern regarding the height on the western end of the building and the precise height of the building compared to the Concept Plan.
- Request to reconsider the bulk and scale of the building.
- Impact of noise from behaviour on building balconies on adjacent residents and the number of balconies facing Wellington Street.
- There was support expressed for the removal of vehicle access from Wellington Street.
- There was support expressed for the architect’s concept of the park and open space being designed for visual rather than recreational benefit.
» **Passageway under building** and the impact it may have on pedestrian movements through Wellington Street

» There was support expressed for the **overall facade of the building**

» Comment on the size of Cafe space and the **impact of pedestrian spill-over** into the park

» Acoustic impact from any **licensed venues** in the building

» Requested **more information regarding the loss of solar access**, specifically to the three terraces on Wellington Street

» Requested to be **involved in the landscape architecture design process** of the open space for the building

» Concern regarding the **timing of CRIG’s involvement in the design** - would have liked to be involved earlier.

**Other Central Park related issues:**

» **Afterhours noise within Central Park and impact on adjacent residents** – as a result of people moving through and congregating in the area

» Concern regarding **the density of Central Park** and the need to preserve the character of Chippendale

» Concern regarding **alcohol, anti-social behaviour and increased pedestrian movements** as a result of Kensington Street precinct

» Comment about the ongoing impact of **construction noise** on local residents.

» Requested an update on where the **community funding** allocated by Frasers for the Chippendale community was up to

» Concern regarding **the amount of information** being given to residents to inform feedback across Central Park

### 3.2 The University of Technology Sydney

The University of Technology Sydney provided feedback at their meeting on 16 June 2014 about the block and more generally about the Central Park site. The issues raised by the University included:

» Queried **the number of childcare places** within the specified childcare of the building

» Queried the **timing of construction and completion** of Block 11

» Support for **more student housing** across the Central Park site

» Requested an **update on the heritage buildings** in the centre of the Central Park site

» Requested an **update on the water treatment plant**

» Support for more commercial and community opportunities on site to encourage **greater student activation** for those living on site

» Queried the **dates of other student accommodation buildings** opening on the Central Park site.
3.3 Community Information and Feedback Session

The community was provided an opportunity to listen to the Block 11 briefing and ask questions to the project team at a session on 31 May 2014. A number of issues were raised, both as a group following the presentation and one-on-one with project team members outside of the presentation times. A summary of the issues raised is as follows:

**Mass and Design:**
- Interest in the number of units and bedrooms in each unit
- A number of attendees queried when units would be available for purchase
- A number of attendees sought clarification of the height and mass of the building and the difference from the Concept Plan
- The solar impact on Wellington Street and the need to be compared to the current situation, not the Concept Plan
- Support was expressed for the facade of the building and its design to blend with Chippendale architecture
- Queried the amount of retail space in the building
- Queried the function and ownership of the building’s community centre
- Concern was raised regarding wider community access to the building’s open space and resources.

**Construction, Traffic and Parking:**
- Queried the staging for submitting plans and the timing around the commencement of construction
- Concern regarding construction fatigue – particularly with multiple buildings being constructed one after another
- Concern regarding the limited parking spots available for construction workers on site and the impact this was having on local streets
- A request was made to provide additional parking either on-site or underneath Central Park
- Concern about construction trucks using smaller neighbourhood streets
- Concern about the continued maintenance of Central Park buildings after completion.

**Amenity:**
- Concern was raised about the increased density of Chippendale as a result of the Central Park site.

**Process:**
- Some residents expressed their concern around whether their feedback provided in the consultation process was being considered
There was a request from the second presentation group for the **questions raised at the session to be made public**

There was **support for further consultation** on the building after the current round

A request was made to **extend the consultation window** to allow for more time

### 3.4 Feedback forms and free-form submissions

Five feedback forms and two free-form submissions were received from Chippendale residents following the information session. Four of the five feedback recipients were neighbours of the Central Park site. One of the respondents was the owner of a neighbouring commercial building. Both of the free-form submissions were from adjacent residents.

#### Feedback forms

The first question sought feedback about the proposed Block 11 design on a scale ranging from 1-7. The total of scores provided in the feedback forms were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>Not at all favourable</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Very Favourable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were asked to provide a short explanation for their overall rating of the design for Block 11 and then asked what other matters need to be considered in relation to the building. The most frequent reasons for this answer were:

- **Support the look, design and facade of the buildings architecture** - particularly the blend of the building with the local architecture, its s-shape bend, and its facilitation of **more park and open space**. Three out of five respondents listed this in their reasoning.

- **Support for the better pedestrian access** the walkway underneath the building provided for people moving in and around the site. Two out of five respondents listed in this in their reasoning.

Other matters cited by respondents as ones that need more consideration include:

- A request for a **community owned and facilitated community garden** – two out of five respondents listed in this in their reasoning

- Support for a **community owned childcare centre**. One respondent was particularly concerned with making sure that this resource was owned by the community so that Central Park could be better integrated with the local Chippendale community. Using the centre for a possible before and after school care, for playgroups or occasional care were proposed as suggested uses.

- Two respondents requested that the **Wellington Street Park include a small children’s playground**. They noted that this would be a resource for the childcare centre as well as adjacent residents
» Two residents raised concerns about working with local residents to address the issue of ongoing construction noise and the impact of shadowing. Construction noise was raised not just in the context of the impact to residents, but for people working in commercial offices in the area.

» Other issues raised included support for the inclusion of more vertical gardens on the exterior of the building, for more trees amongst the open space.

**Free form submissions**

Two free form submissions were received in the consultation process, both from adjacent Chippendale residents. The issues raised are summarised below:

» Concern regarding the **mass and height** of both the Concept Plan and proposed modification buildings. Particularly in relation to its impact on solar access, and on the appropriateness of its size in how it transitions to local buildings. A request was made for the exact height of the building at both the East and West ends and for a solar diagram comparing current access to the proposal. One respondent requested that the mass and height of the building be reduced.

» Concern was raised in relation to the **amount of community space** created by the new building. This identified the increasing population of Chippendale as a reason for requiring more spaces to integrate new residents into the community. This respondent also raised the issue of access to public and community space, requesting that facilities like a community garden need to be accessible and open to all Chippendale residents.

» Concern relating to the **placement of the car park entry for the building**. This was in relation to the acoustic impact of the roller door and cars entering and existing in close proximity to terraces on Wellington Street. Safety concerns were also raised in relation to this shared pedestrian and vehicle space.

» Objection was raised in relation to the **pedestrian underpass**. One resident felt that it would create an unattractive shortcut from Kensington Street to Balfour Street. A comment was made that if Kensington Street becomes a licensed venue area it may result in increased traffic through this route and increased anti-social behaviour.

» A request was made for **community owned commercial arrangements** to be made in relation to the childcare and other commercial spaces in the building.

» Concerns relating to possible **anti-social behaviour in Wellington Street Park**, particularly given the experience of Chippendale Green.
The issues raised through community consultation have been considered closely by Frasers in the finalisation of the design for Block 11. As required under the Director General's Requirements for consultation, all suggestions relevant to the Block 11 SSDA were appropriately considered by the project team. The following table provides a response to how these issues have been addressed and where amendments have been made.

A number of issues raised related to matters not directly relevant to this application. These have been taken on board and where able we have provided a response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What We Heard</th>
<th>Project Team Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height, mass and impact</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| The impact of shadowing on residents in Wellington Street is a concern, and a request for more information around the impact | » The proposed design for Block 11 provides a better solar access outcome than the building form in the approved Concept Plan  
» This is due to the building form being pulled back from Wellington Street and its volume terraced at the top - optimising solar access  
» A solar access diagram demonstrating this difference specifically for the terraces on Wellington Street was drawn up since this request and was made public. |
| Reconsider the bulk and scale of the building | » The proposed design for Block 11 will sit within or on the plan boundaries of the approved concept plan.  
» Following detailed analysis of the approved concept plan envelopes it was identified that the proximity of the southern edge of the approved envelop significantly compromised amenity with respect to light access and the potential extent of public domain.  
» The new design proposes to sculpt the building mass to maximise the extent of public domain and optimise the access to natural light for to Wellington Street |
<p>| What are the exact heights at both ends of the building? | » There are several components to the envelop of varying heights. The building’s height is noted in the elevation documentation. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What We Heard</th>
<th>Project Team Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concern around the impact of height at the western end of the building, when compared to the Concept plan</td>
<td>The height at the Western end RL: 50.20 AHD&lt;br&gt;Because the building will be set back further to the north, it will result in negligible solar impact on adjacent residents from this height.&lt;br&gt;Please refer to the Architectural Design Report for a detailed explanation and analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid creating a noise and wind tunnel that would impact adjacent residents</td>
<td>The modified design for Block 11 will result in a reduced noise and wind impact for local residents, when compared to the approved Concept Plan&lt;br&gt;This is due to the continuous curvilinear shape of the building which shields Wellington Street from the impact of detrimental winds and acts as a buffer to noise spill from the public domain of Central Park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrict or remove pedestrian access through the building and stop increased pedestrian movements through Wellington Street</td>
<td>The project team has heard the community’s concerns and increased the number of routes through and around the building&lt;br&gt;This will spread pedestrian traffic across a number of pathways, reducing the acoustic impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that urban design protects and supports the existing social design of Chippendale</td>
<td>The proposed design will keep the feel of local architecture and will be retaining significant buildings like the Castle Connell Hotel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What We Heard</td>
<td>Project Team Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Reduce the impact of noise from behaviour on balconies facing Wellington Street | » The project team heard the community’s concerns about the acoustic impact from balconies facing Wellington Street  
» As a result the project team has made changes that will mean the balconies will have increased additional absorptive acoustic materials to mitigate noise spill from. |
| Car park access from Wellington Street will significantly increase the vehicle and traffic on Wellington Street. This will impact residents. | » The project team heard community concerns around vehicle access from Wellington Street and this has now been removed.  
» Vehicle access to Block 11 will be only from O’Connor Street. |
| The ongoing impact of construction noise on local residents and businesses is a concern | » As part of any future determination, Frasers would work with Chippendale residents to minimise construction impacts.  
» Construction subcontractors operate with a Construction and Environment Management Plan that aims to minimise construction impact.  
» Specific conditions relating to the generation of noise, as well as the hours of construction, will be imposed as part of this Plan and future project approval. |
| Address large trucks using and parking in smaller neighbourhood streets        | » As part of any future determination, Frasers would work with Chippendale residents to minimise construction impacts.  
» Construction workers will be reminded of this during site inductions.  
» Construction subcontractors operate with a Construction and Environment Management Plan that aims to minimise construction impact. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What We Heard</th>
<th>Project Team Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide additional parking either on-site or underneath Central Park for construction workers</td>
<td>» Construction traffic will be subject to approval and conditions that stem from the Concurrent Concept Plan Amendment Construction Traffic Management Plan  &lt;br&gt;» There will be no parking provided onsite or offsite during construction works  &lt;br&gt;» Frasers will work to minimise the impact of all construction activities on nearby residents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Park buildings need to be maintained after their completion</td>
<td>» The ongoing maintenance of residential buildings is the responsibility of the Strata bodies for the buildings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultation process**

| Request for further community consultation                                    | » Extensive consultation on the envelope for Block 11 occurred through the development of the 2009 Concept Plan <br>» The community was provided with an opportunity to give feedback on the Block 11 design at an early stage so that the design could be amended, if required. <br>» Another opportunity to provide feedback on the design will be extended during the formal State Significant Development Application public exhibition process |


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What We Heard</th>
<th>Project Team Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Request for more information to inform feedback on the consultation process  | ➤ Design information for Block 11 was made available at the information session, afterwards through the Central Park website, and via emailed correspondence with community members  
➤ This included an 70 slide presentation, which addressed building facade, parks and open space, floor plan, and solar impact  
➤ It also included a fly-through presentation showing the building’s design in its surroundings  
➤ Another opportunity to provide feedback on the design will be provided during the formal State Significant Development Application public exhibition process where the final design information will be available to comment on. |
| Ensure that feedback provided in consultation is appropriately considered       | ➤ All feedback received through the pre-lodgement consultation was considered in the final design of Block 11.                                                                                                     |
| Make the feedback provided in the consultation process public                | ➤ This consultation report will be circulated to representatives of the Chippendale Residents Interest Group as well as community members who registered their interest on at the community information and feedback session  
➤ It will also be made public in-line with the formal SSDA exhibition process.                                                                                     |
| Extend the consultation window to allow for more time                        | ➤ In line with this request the pre-lodgement consultation period was extended an extra week until Friday 20 June 2014  
➤ Several late submissions were also accepted on 24 June 2014  
➤ No submission was received from the Chippendale Residents Interest Group                                                                                               |
<p>| Public domain/open space                                                      | ➤ Frasers has been working with the NSW Police force to address some of the concerns raised by residents around anti-social behaviour from non-residents in Central Park. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What We Heard</th>
<th>Project Team Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The building’s public domain needs to be accessible to the wider community</td>
<td>» The extent of public domain available and open to the local community is 170% greater that the approved concept plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider a community garden, accessible for the wider community, in the public space available for Block 11</td>
<td>» The site does not have the appropriate location, required security and or soil depth infrastructure to support a publically accessible community garden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the amount of community and open space in Block 11 to cater for increasing number of Central Park residents</td>
<td>» The extent of public domain available and open to the local community is 170% greater that the approved concept plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider not-for-profit community ownership of the childcare in Block 11</td>
<td>» This has been noted and referred onto the relevant project team, but is not necessarily relevant to this application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install a small children’s playground in the Wellington Street Park</td>
<td>» The project team notes this request but is conscious of minimising noise and pedestrian activity through the Wellington Street landscape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>» The childcare within building will be designed to accommodate the required play space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Central Park amenity**

<p>| Address afterhours noise within Central Park and impact on adjacent residents – as a result of people moving through and congregating in the area | » Noted as an important issue but not specifically relevant to this application                                                                       |
|                                                                                   | » Frasers has been working with the NSW Police force to address some of the concerns raised by residents around anti-social behaviour from non-residents in Central Park. |
| The increasing density of Central Park is impacting the character of Chippendale | » The character of Chippendale is embedded and preserved in the materiality, scale and proportion of the development.                                  |
|                                                                                   | » It has been carefully composed to respond to the character, scale and materiality of the immediate context.                                           |
| Concern regarding alcohol, anti-social behaviour and increased pedestrian movements as a result of future Kensington Street precinct | » Noted as an important issue but not specifically relevant to this application                                                                       |
|                                                                                   | » Frasers has been working with the NSW Police force to address some of the concerns raised by residents around anti-social behaviour from non-residents in Central Park. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What We Heard</th>
<th>Project Team Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other issues</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern regarding the accuracy of the Concept Plan around and its solar-impact assumptions for residential and commercial buildings</td>
<td>» All solar analysis is undertaken with industry leading CAD software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested an update on where the community funding allocated by Frasers for the Chippendale community was up to</td>
<td>» This is a matter for the City of Sydney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request for more student accommodation across the site</td>
<td>» Block 11 will be residential and commercial only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A Letter Box Drop Invitation
Dear Resident,

RE: Invitation to community consultation event for Block 11 at Central Park

Frasers Property is in the process of finalising its plans for Block 11 of Central Park, which is located between O’Connor and Wellington Streets.

In mid-2013 Frasers Property undertook a design competition for the mixed residential and commercial building on Block 11 of Central Park, as required under the Concept Plan consent. We would now like to introduce the winning design, by Francis-Jones Morehen Thorp (FJMT), to the community for feedback.

You are invited to come along to a briefing by architect FJMT to find out more about Block 11 and tell us what you think about the design. The briefing will be attended by FJMT, senior staff from Frasers Property and relevant project consultants, who will all be available to address any questions you may have. Plans and drawings of the proposed scheme will be on display.

This community consultation event will take place on Saturday 31 May.

**WHEN** 10am to 1pm (drop in), with short presentations by the Project Architect at 10.30am and 11.30am

**WHERE** 7 Carlton Street (rear of 8 Park Lane, near the Central Park Sales Centre)

Further information on the proposal for Block 11 will be posted to the Central Park web site immediately following this event, at: [www.centralparksydney.com/explore/community-consultation](http://www.centralparksydney.com/explore/community-consultation).

If you have any queries about this consultation opportunity, please contact Llew Gartrell on 9387 2600. We look forward to meeting you soon.

Yours sincerely,

**Oliver Young**
Elton Consulting on behalf of Frasers Property
Feedback Form
FEEDBACK FORM
Block 11 community consultation event
Saturday 31 May 2014

Frasers Property is in the process of finalising its plans for Block 11 of Central Park, located between O’Connor and Wellington Streets.

In October 2013 Frasers Property announced FJMT as the winners of a design competition for the mixed residential and commercial building for Block 11, as required under the Concept Plan consent. We would now like to introduce the winning design to the community for feedback.

Frasers Property is keen to hear your views about the more detailed aspects of the design. These include – but are not limited to – materials, landscaping, placement of services, orientation to the street and the park.

We would appreciate it if you could take a few minutes to provide us with your feedback. The information you provide will be treated as strictly confidential.

Further information on the proposal for Block 11 can be found at http://www.centralparksydney.com/explore/community-consultation.

A. What are your views on the proposed design for Block 11?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all favourable</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Very favourable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Could you please explain in a few words the reason for your overall impression?

_______________________________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________________________
B. Are there any other matters you think the designers need to consider when finalising the plans for Block 11?

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

C. Are there any other matters related to Central Park that you would like to bring to the attention of Frasers Property?

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________

________

D. I am: (Please tick one or more that are applicable)

☐ A neighbour of Central Park from Chippendale
☐ A purchaser of an apartment within Central Park
☐ Interested in purchasing or living in Central Park
☐ A student from a nearby educational institution
☐ Other ________________________________

It would be appreciated if you could get your comments to us by Friday 13 June 2014 by:

• Leaving your completed form here with us here today
• Using the reply paid envelope supplied
• Post to Elton Consulting PO Box 1488 Bondi Junction NSW 1355
• Scanning and sending to Llew@elton.com.au
C Notification to Central Park Residents


Active You're invited to attend a Community Consultation event for Block 11. Located between O'Connor and Wellington Streets, Block 11 is the next residential stage of Central Park. Hear a briefing by the architect and development team on Saturday 31 May from 10am to 1pm (drop in), at 7 Carlton Street, and provide your feedback. Further details: http://www.centralparksydney.com/explore/community-consultation

Expired For all whitegoods issues please contact the manufacturers directly for repairs and issues.
31 May 2014 | A Community consultation event for Block 11 occurred on Saturday 31 May from 10am to 1pm. Block 11 is the next residential stage of Central Park, located between O’Connor and Wellington Streets. Block 11 is a mixed residential and commercial building, subject to a design competition won by Francis-Jones Morehen Thorp (FJMT). At this event the proposed design was introduced to the community to receive feedback prior to finalisation of planning. The briefing was attended by FJMT, senior staff from Frasers Property and relevant project consultants.

Plans and drawings of the competition-winning scheme, which continues to evolve, were on display. The full presentation can be viewed on the qsydney YouTube channel, here.
Social Media

Locals are invited to this Saturday’s community consultation event for a future residential stage at Central Park, currently known only as 'Block 11'. Block 11 sits between Wellington Street and O’Connor Street, and current plans include re... See More

You are invited to come along to a briefing by architect FJMT to find out more about Block 11 and tell us what you think about the design.