

Revised Environmental Risk Assessment

BYLONG COAL PROJECT Environmental Impact Statement

Hansen Bailey

BYLONG COAL PROJECT REVISED ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT

for

KEPCO Bylong Australia Pty Ltd

| | | | | eliminary R | | | Revise | d Risk Asse | ssment |
|------------|--|---|---------|-------------|---------|--|--------|-------------|--------------|
| Issue | Aspect | Impact | | Assessmen | - | Proposed Control Measures | | | |
| | | | С | L | R | | С | L | R |
| | Surface disturbance | Disturbance of the natural environment | Serious | Likely | 3, High | A Subsidence Impact Assessment has been completed for the Project by Mine Subsidence Engineering Consultants. The assessment identified any subsidence related issues associated with the project and recommended Management and Mitigation measures including: | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |
| Subsidence | associated with the subsidence of the land immediately above and adjacent the | Disturbance of the built environment | Serious | Likely | 3, High | Development of an Extraction Plan (as required by conditions of Development Consent) to manage the Project's subsidence impacts; The Extraction plan will include monitoring of subsidence movements across the panels, restricted access (for people and stock) during active mining and safe visual inspections on all natural and built features Visual monitoring of the surface in the active | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |
| | Underground mining resulting in subsidence. | Unplanned movement of land surface resulting in environmental effects. | Serious | Likely | 3, High | subsidence zone to identify the larger surface cracking and deformations to establish methods for surface remediation. Remediation may include infilling of surface cracks with soil or other suitable materials, or by locally regrading and compacting the surface. Re-grading of the drainage lines in the | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |

Revised Environmental Risk Assessment

| Issue | Aspect | Impact | | eliminary R Assessmen | | Proposed Control Measures | | Revised Risk Assessment | | |
|---------|--|---|---------|--------------------------|---------|--|---------|-------------------------|---------|--|
| 10000 | , iopoor | inipact | C | L | R | | С | L | R | |
| | | | | | | locations where adverse impacts occur as a result to manage ponding; and Erosion protection measures as required to stabilise the steeper slopes in the longer term. An Ecological Impact Assessment has been | | | | |
| Ecology | Vegetation clearing, drilling, blasting and topsoil stripping | Loss of biodiversity and disruption to threatened flora and fauna or likely habitats | Serious | Likely | 3, High | completed for the Project by Cumberland Ecology in accordance with the relevant Government guidelines. This assessment has identified the potential impacts of the Project on flora and fauna (including listed threatened species and vegetation communities). Management and mitigation measures have been recommended and will include: Mine plan and its operations were designed to limit the area of disturbance of native vegetation, particularly threatened species; Prepare a Biodiversity Management Plan, including a monitoring program Implement a Land Disturbance Protocol to minimise impacts on sensitive flora and fauna; Development of a Biodiversity Offset Strategy that adequately compensates the potential impacts of the Project to areas of native vegetation and Threatened species habitat ; Provide linkages and or crossing zones between isolated vegetation remnant | Serious | Possible | 1, Mod. | |

HANSEN BAILEY

| | | | Pr | eliminary R | lisk | | Revise | d Risk Asse | ssment |
|-------|--------|--------|----|-------------|------|--|--------|-------------|--------|
| Issue | Aspect | Impact | | Assessmer | - | Proposed Control Measures | | | |
| | | | С | L | R | | С | L | R |
| | | | | | | patches, where feasible; | | | |
| | | | | | | Regeneration of conservation areas to | | | |
| | | | | | | improve overall environmental outcomes; | | | |
| | | | | | | Dust minimisation to reduce the indirect | | | |
| | | | | | | impacts on vegetation condition and the | | | |
| | | | | | | habitat quality for all native species; | | | |
| | | | | | | Erosion and sediment controls, to maintain | | | |
| | | | | | | habitat integrity and function in areas | | | |
| | | | | | | adjacent surface infrastructure; | | | |
| | | | | | | Management of noise to reduce the | | | |
| | | | | | | potential for disturbance of animals in | | | |
| | | | | | | habitat patches adjacent to the Project | | | |
| | | | | | | infrastructure; | | | |
| | | | | | | Management of night lighting to reduce the | | | |
| | | | | | | potential for disturbance of nocturnal | | | |
| | | | | | | animals via night light emissions around the | | | |
| | | | | | | Project; | | | |
| | | | | | | Due diligence inspections for proposed | | | |
| | | | | | | disturbance areas to limit vegetation and | | | |
| | | | | | | habitat loss and as far as practical and | | | |
| | | | | | | ensure safe removal of fauna as required | | | |
| | | | | | | prior to any disturbance occurring; | | | |
| | | | | | | Removal (and salvage where practicable) of | | | |
| | | | | | | key habitat features such as tree hollows | | | |
| | | | | | | from the Project Disturbance Boundary with | | | |
| | | | | | | possible future use on rehabilitation areas | | | |
| | | | | | | Prepare a detailed Mining Operations Plan | | | |
| | | | | | | in accordance with the relevant RDE | | | |
| | | | | | | guidelines incorporating progressive | | | |

| | | | Pro | eliminary R | isk | | Revise | d Risk Asse | ssment |
|----------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|---------|---|---------|-------------|---------|
| Issue | Aspect | Impact | | Assessmen | | Proposed Control Measures | | | |
| | | | С | L | R | | С | L | R |
| | | | | | | rehabilitation of disturbed areas; | | | |
| | | | | | | Implementing a monitoring program and | | | |
| | | | | | | appropriate reference sites; and | | | |
| | | | | | | Aquatic mitigation measures relating to | | | |
| | | | | | | subsidence effects and management of | | | |
| | | | | | | surface water, erosion and sedimentation. | | | |
| | | | | | | The Project has been referred to the | | | |
| | | | | | | Commonwealth Department of the Environment | | | |
| | | Disturbance to | | | | according to the requirements of the | | | |
| | | State and | | | | Environment Protection and Biodiversity | | | |
| | | Federally listed | | | | Conservation Act 1999. The Project has been | | | |
| | | species, | Serious | Likely | 3, High | deemed at 'Controlled Action' and is being | Serious | Possible | 1, Mod. |
| | | communities or | | | | assessed in accordance with the Bilateral | | | |
| | | habitat for | | | | Agreement under Part 4 of the EP&A Act. The | | | |
| | | species | | | | Ecological Impact Assessment has considered | | | |
| | | | | | | the potential impacts to Commonwealth listed | | | |
| | | | | | | species, communities and their habitat. | | | |
| | | | | | | A Historic Heritage Impact Assessment has | | | |
| | | Disturbance | | | | been conducted for the Project by AECOM | | | |
| | | /indirect impacts | | | | Australia Pty Ltd (AECOM). The Assessment | | | |
| | Vegetation | to | | | | includes a review of existing heritage | | | |
| Historic | clearing, drilling, | non-Indigenous | | Almost | 10, | assessment reports and a field survey of the | | | 0.9, |
| Heritage | blasting and | heritage sites, | Serious | Certain | High | Project area. Heritage significance has been | Mod. | Likely | Mod. |
| Tientage | topsoil stripping | including | | Certain | riigii | assessed. | | | widu. |
| | | relocation of | | | | A Research Design and Excavation | | | |
| | | grave sites. | | | | Methodology was developed by Edward | | | |
| | | giave sites. | | | | Higginbotham & Associates for items | | | |
| | | | | | | recommended for archaeological monitoring, | | | |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

BYLONG COAL PROJECT EIS September 2015

| Issue | Aspect | Impact | | eliminary R Assessmen | | Proposed Control Measures | Revise | d Risk Asse | ssment |
|---|--|---|---------|--------------------------|-------------|--|--------|-------------|--------------|
| 15500 | Aspect | inipact | c | L | R | Froposed Control Measures | С | L | R |
| | | | | | | test excavation and potential salvage. KEPCO will develop a Historical Heritage Management Plan for the Project in consultation with the relevant authorities. The HHMP will include, but not be limited to, a photographic and archival recording of sites predicted to be impacted for the Project, provision of a Statement of Heritage Impact along with archival recording to establish a baseline for the ongoing monitoring of sites with the potential for indirect impacts. Conservation Management Plans (CMPs) will be prepared to guide the conservation of appropriate archaeological sites. | | | |
| Aboriginal Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Vegetation clearing, drilling, blasting and topsoil stripping | Disturbance of Aboriginal artefacts, sites or places of cultural heritage significance | Serious | Almost Certain | 10, High | An Aboriginal Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment has been conducted for the Project by RPS Environmental, in accordance with the relevant Guidelines and legislation The Assessment includes a desktop review, database and literature search of previously recorded Cultural Heritage information. In addition, a field survey assessment was conducted with members of the local Aboriginal community. Mitigation and management strategies have been developed in consultation with Registered | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

G

| Issue | Aspect | Impact | | eliminary R Assessmen | | Proposed Control Measures | Revised Risk Assessment | | | |
|-------------|--|---|------|--------------------------|---------|---|-------------------------|--------|--------------|--|
| | | | С | L | R | | С | L | R | |
| | | | | | | Aboriginal Parties. An Aboriginal Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Management Plan (AACHMP) will be developed to mitigate and manage any potential impacts. This will include detailed pre-mining and post-mining strategies for all Aboriginal archaeological sites and cultural features including salvage methodologies, archival recording, clearance processes and monitoring requirements. | | | | |
| | Vegetation clearing, drilling and topsoil stripping | | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | An Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment was conducted by Pacific Environment Limited (PEL) for the Project in accordance with the <i>Approved Methods for the</i> | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| | Overburden Emplacement | Wind-blown dust. | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales (DEC, 2005). | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| | Uncovering of Coal | machinery exhaust fumes | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | An Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Management Plan will be developed for the | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| Air Quality | Coal, overburden and reject haulage | and ventilation exhaust contributing to | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | Project in consultation with the relevant regulators. KEPCO will develop and implement a | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| | Coal stockpiles | elevated dust levels | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | comprehensive Environmental Monitoring Program which will comprise Air Quality | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| | Coal processing and transport | | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | Monitoring for the Project. The existing meteorological and air quality monitoring includes a TEOM which continuously records concentrations of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ in the vicinity of the proposed open cut MIA. This will be | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

| | | | Pr | eliminary R | isk | | Revise | d Risk Asse | essment |
|-------------------|--|---|-------|-------------------|---------|---|--------|-------------|--------------|
| Issue | Aspect | Impact | | Assessmen | - | Proposed Control Measures | | | _ |
| | | | С | L | R | | С | L | R |
| | | | | | | relocated or augmented with (at least) one additional continuous monitor in Bylong Village and used for real-time dust management. | | | |
| | | | | | | Leading practice dust management will be implemented for the Project through the use of a real-time and proactive dust management system to minimise dust impacts at privately- owned receivers to the greatest practical extent. | | | |
| | Combustion of diesel | | Minor | Almost Certain | 1, Mod. | The Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment includes an assessment of greenhouse gas Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions in accordance with the Australian Greenhouse Office's (AGO) <i>Factors and Methods Workbook</i> | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. |
| Greenhouse Gas | Electricity Use | Greenhouse gas emissions | Minor | Almost Certain | 1, Mod. | (AGO, 2006). Greenhouse Gas emissions from the Project will be managed and minimised, where possible. KEPCO will achieve this through monitoring of greenhouse gas emissions and energy use and review on a monthly basis, Energy efficiency and | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. |
| | Emissions from burning coal (external to the Project) | | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | greenhouse gas emission targets being set across all aspects of the operation and installing electricity meters for key equipment and processes. | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. |
| Noise | Coal, overburden and reject haulage | Excessive noise generation at sensitive | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | A Noise Impact Assessment was conducted by PEL for the Project in accordance with the | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

G

| Issue | Issue Aspect | | | eliminary R Assessmen | | Proposed Control Measures | Revised Risk Assessment | | | |
|----------|---|--|-------|--------------------------|---------|--|-------------------------|----------|--------------|--|
| | | - | С | L | R | | С | L | R | |
| | Machinery operating in-pit and on overburden emplacement areas | receivers | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | assessed impacts from construction, operational noise, blasting (including consideration of heritage items), train movements, low frequency vibration, and cumulative noise impacts (with | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| | CHPP operation and stockpiles | | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | • | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| | Coal loading at rail loop | | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | KEPCO will develop and implement a Noise Management Plan for the Project in consultation | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| | Train movements on the rail loop and spur | | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | with the relevant regulators. This will include a monitoring program including a system of real- time unattended and attended noise monitoring. In addition, the use of predictive meteorology is | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| | Increased traffic movements | | Minor | Almost 1 Certain | 1, Mod. | recommended to allow for operational alterations when adverse conditions are predicted Management controls will be implemented including mitigation of fixed and mobile plant sources, alteration of haul routes during adverse conditions and voluntary at-property mitigation rights for moderately impacted receivers | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | |
| Blasting | Coal and overburden blasting | Overpressure and ground vibration impacts at sensitive receivers | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | A Blasting Impact Assessment was conducted for the Project as part of the Noise Impact Assessment as described above. Mitigation measures were developed for blasting adjacent to sensitive receivers and heritage properties. A Blast Management Plan will be developed for the Project in consultation with the relevant | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | |

G

BYLONG COAL PROJECT EIS September 2015

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

| | | | | eliminary R | | | Revised Risk Assessment | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|------|-------------------|---------|--|-------------------------|--------|--------------|
| Issue | Aspect | Impact | C | Assessmen | t R | Proposed Control Measures | С | L | R |
| | | | | | | regulators for construction and operational activities associated with the Project. Mitigation measures will be developed for blasting adjacent to sensitive receivers and heritage structures, as required. | | | |
| Agricultural Productivity & Land Use | Vegetation clearing and topsoil stripping | Loss of agricultural land | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | An Agriculture Impact Statement was completed by Scott Barnett and Associates for the Project in accordance with relevant regulatory requirements including the <i>Agricultural Impact</i> <i>Statement Guidelines</i> (DP&I 2012) and Relevant Strategic Regional Landuse Plan (Upper Hunter Strategic Regional Landuse Plan (DP&I 2012). The assessment included the mapping of agricultural enterprises and agricultural domains and assessment of the potential impacts on the agricultural resources and enterprises within the Project Boundary. KEPCO will implement a Farm Management Plan to ensure the best agricultural use of adjacent non-mine lands to maximise this integration and to provide opportunities for ongoing agricultural productivity. A Rehabilitation Strategy has also been developed by KEPCO in consideration of the long and short-term rehabilitation objectives for the Project. | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

G

| Issue | Aspect | Impact | | eliminary R Assessmen | | Proposed Control Measures | Revised Risk Assessment | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|-------------------|--------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| 13506 | Aspect | inipact | C | L | R | Froposed Control measures | С | L | R | |
| | Infrastructure | | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | A Visual Impact Assessment was completed by JVP Visual Planning and Design to assess the | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. | |
| | Overburden emplacement areas | Visual impact to | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | potential visual impacts of the Project and identify mitigation and management measures, as appropriate. | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. | |
| Visual and Lighting | Exposed earthworks | surrounding receivers | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | Management commitments will include the establishment of vegetation screens in key | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. | |
| | Lighting from fixed and mobile equipment | | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | areas, progressive rehabilitation, revegetation strategy, final landform design, consideration to night lighting and implementation of effective operational measures. | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. | |
| | Topsoil stripping, haul roads, un-rehabilitated spoil | Dirty water runoff entering local waterways | Serious | Possible | 1, Mod. | A Surface Water and Flooding Impact Assessment was conducted for the Project by WRM and includes surface water management strategies, mitigation measures and a high level water balance model for the life of the Project. | Mod. | Unlikely | 0.09, Low | |
| Surface Water | Coal processing and production | Water demand for dust suppression and coal washing | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High. | The assessment investigates the water licencing requirements in accordance with the NSW Aquifer Interference Policy (AIP) the Water Sharing Plan for the Hunter Unregulated and | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | |
| | Water take from or discharges Surface water contamination | Serious | Almost Certain | 10, High | <i>Alluvial Water Sources 2009</i> and the relevant requirements under the <i>Water Management Act 2000</i> and the <i>Water Act 1912</i> . | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | | |
| | into local waterways | Water take from the catchment | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High. | The proposed mitigation and management measures will be documented in a Water | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | |

G

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

| leeue | Acrest | lunnaat | | eliminary R Assessmen | | Descreted Control Macourse | Revise | d Risk Asse | ssment |
|-------------|--|---|---------|--------------------------|---------|---|--------|-------------|--------------|
| Issue | Aspect | Impact | C | Assessmen L | R | Proposed Control Measures | С | L | R |
| | | Contaminated water from mining and infrastructure areas | Serious | Possible | 1, Mod. | Management Plan for the approved Project which will include performance criteria, monitoring, reporting, corrective action, contingencies and responsibility for all management measures. This will include the development of: | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |
| | Flooding | Flooding impact on mining operations, infrastructure | Serious | Likely | 3, High | A Mine Site Water Management System to control the flow and storage of water of different qualities across the site; An Erosion And Sediment Control Plan to reduce sediment loads from disturbed area runoff; A Surface Water Monitoring Program to continually assess environmental impacts and ensure that the site water management system is meeting its objectives of minimal impact on receiving waters; and A Waterway Rehabilitation and Management Program to manage the potential impacts on watercourses, including potential subsidence effects within the Dry Creek catchment. | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |
| Groundwater | Coal extraction and overburden removal | Groundwater inflow into mining areas (underground and open cut) | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | The Groundwater Impact Assessment has been conducted for Project by AGE in accordance with the AIP, the <i>Water Sharing Plan for the Hunter Unregulated and Alluvial Water Sources 2009</i> and relevant requirements under the <i>Water Management Act 2000</i> and <i>Water Act 1912</i> . | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

G

| Issue | Aspect | Impact | | eliminary R Assessmen | | Proposed Control Measures | Revised Risk Assessment | | |
|---------------------|--------|--|-------|--------------------------|---------|---|-------------------------|----------|-------------|
| | | | C | L | R | | C | L | R |
| | | | | | | The assessment includes a finite 3D, numerical simulation package (MODFLOW SURFACT) which was used to simulate the likely impacts of the Project on groundwater (including groundwater impacts on each identified privately owned bore). It also includes an analysis of cumulative assessment of adjacent mining impacts, assessment of post-mine groundwater impacts, as relevant. The Environmental Monitoring Program will include groundwater monitoring, to validate | | | |
| | | | | | | predictions from the EIS groundwater model. Trigger levels will be derived for water quality parameters as part of the development of the Water Management Plan to facilitate early identification of potential impacts. This will include an analysis of historical pre-mining water quality data. | | | |
| Socio- economics | Social | Demands on local infrastructure and services, impacts to demographics, impacts from the Accommodation facility. | Minor | Almost Certain | 1, Mod. | The Social Impact Assessment (SIA) for the Project was prepared by Hansen Bailey with a consideration of the issues raised during the stakeholder engagement program and predicted impacts for the Project relevant to social matters. The impact assessment developed a range of measures to mitigate, offset and compensate for potential environmental, cultural and social impacts of the Project. | Minor | Possible | 0.1, Low |

G

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

| | | | Preliminary Risk | | | | Revised Risk Assessment | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Issue | Aspect | Impact Assessment | | Proposed Control Measures | | | | | |
| | | | C L R | | R | | С | L | R |
| | | | | | | A Social Impact Management Plan will be prepared for the approved Project to guide the implementation of the management strategies and actions described in the SIA. | | | |
| | Economic | Increased employees residing in the local area Increasing demands for services within the local area | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | An Economics Impact Assessment was completed for the Project by Gillespie Economics in accordance with <i>Guideline for the</i> <i>use of Cost Benefit Analysis in mining and coal</i> <i>seam gas proposals</i> (NSW Government 2012). | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod |
| | Topsoil stripping | Loss of productive topsoil (including BSAL) | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | A Soils and Land Capability Impact Assessment was completed by SLR Consulting Australia for the Project according to the relevant Government guidelines and standards, including the recently implemented Strategic Regional Land Use Policy. The assessment included a desktop review of | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |
| Soils and Land Capability | and land preparation | Deterioration of land capability | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | previous relevant assessments, field surveys involving soil test pit excavations, soils assessments, pre and post mining land capability and classes assessment, pre and post mining agricultural suitability assessment, assessment of available topsoil resources, a description of the proposed mine rehabilitation process and suitable post-mining land uses. The assessment also suggested impact | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |

BYLONG COAL PROJECT EIS September 2015

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

G

| Issue | Aspect | Impact | Preliminary Risk Assessment | | | Proposed Control Measures | Revised Risk Assessment | | |
|---|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------------|---|-------------------------|----------|--------------|
| | | C L R | | | С | L | R | | |
| | | | | | | mitigation measures. | | | |
| | | | | | | Prior to the commencement of mining, a Topsoil Management Plan as well as a Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land (BSAL) Reinstatement Plan will be developed and documented in the approved MOP. | | | |
| | | Loss of BSAL | - | - | - | A Rehabilitation Strategy has been developed by SLR in consideration of the short, medium and | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |
| | Rehabilitation | Erosion | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | long term rehabilitation objectives for the Project. The proposed mine plan and ultimate final | Minor. | Possible | 0.1, Low |
| Rehabilitation and Final Landform Final Landform | | Weed and feral animal invasion | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | landform for the Project is planned to maintain an free-draining and stable landform consistent with the surrounding environment, as far as | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. |
| | | Unstable landform | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | practical. Rehabilitation will be undertaken progressively to ensure the total area of disturbance at any one time is minimised to reduce the potential for wind-blown dust, visual impacts and increased | Minor. | Possible | 0.1, Low |
| | Final Landform | Poor drainage | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | sediment-laden runoff. Final rehabilitation objectives and quality include: Where practical, return the land to its premining land capability and land use such that the post-mining landform is consistent with the character and landscape of the Bylong | Minor. | Possible | 0.1, Low |
| | | Erosion | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | Valley. Limit impacts on BSAL and minimise the total quantity of BSAL foregone within the Project Boundary. | Minor. | Possible | 0.1, Low |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

| | | | Preliminary Risk | | | | Revised Risk Assessment | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Issue | Aspect | Impact | C | Assessment C L R | | Proposed Control Measures | С | L | R |
| | | | | | K | Return land subject to temporary disturbance to pre-mining condition. Return a similar quantity of good quality land, (including BSAL) for land directly and permanently impacted by mining related activities. | | | |
| | | Potentially acid forming materials affecting soil and water resources Mod. Likely 0.9, Mod. 0.9, Mod. 0.9, Mod. 0.9, Mod. formin measu formin | A Geochemical Assessment of Overburden / Interburden and Potential Coal Reject Materials was completed for the Project by RGS Environmental Pty Limited. The assessment included identification of any potentially acid forming materials. Best practice management measures will be undertaken to prevent acid forming materials affecting soil and water resources. | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | | | |
| Geochemistry | Overburden emplacement | Acid Rock Drainage | Mod. | Likely | 0.9, Mod. | The Assessment also determined management and mitigation measures to handle any potentially acid forming materials including the development of a Mine Waste Management Plan, Spontaneous Combustion and Monitoring Management Plan and a Water Management Plan detailing water monitoring program for surface run-off and seepage from the coal stockpile and mine waste storage areas for a range of recommended parameters. | Mod. | Unlikely | 0.09, Low |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

G

| | | | Preliminary Risk | | isk | | Revised Risk Assessment | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|-------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Issue | Aspect | Impact | 1 | Assessmen | | Proposed Control Measures | | | |
| | | | C L R | | R | | С | L | R |
| Spontaneous Combustion | Spontaneous combustion | Release of harmful emissions Visual impact associated with the release of gases | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | The Air Quality & Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment and the Geochemical Impact Assessment address potential impacts arising from spontaneous combustion, and identified mitigation measures for potential impacts. | Minor | Unlikely | 0.03, Low |
| Road & Rail Traffic and | Increased vehicle movements from employees, deliveries and train loading | Increased traffic movements | Mod. | Almost Certain | 3, High | A Traffic and Transport Impact Assessment was completed for the Project by Parsons Brinckerhoff in accordance with (at least) the 'Guide to Traffic Generating Developments' (RTA 2002). The Assessment included a review of the capacity of the affected road and rail | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. |
| Transport | Road Upgrades, closure, realignment, impacts to level crossing etc | Public Perception | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | network to cater for differing traffic volumes due to the proposed change in traffic and rail flows. Various road works proposed by the Project have shown to provide reasonable upgrades to the road network. | Minor | Likely | 0.3, Mod. |
| Waste & | Generation of General waste | Land contamination | Minor | Possible | 0.1, Low | A Waste Management System will be developed and implemented for the Project, which shall | Minor | Unlikely | 0.03, Low |
| Contamination Management | Generation of Sewage | Water contamination | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | provide management procedures to ensure the environmentally responsible disposal, tracking and reporting of all waste generated on site. | Minor | Unlikely | 0.03, Low |

G

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

| Issue Aspect | | Aspect Impact | | Preliminary Risk Assessment | | Proposed Control Measures | Revised Risk Assessment | | | |
|------------------------|--|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------|----------|---------------|--|
| | | | C L R | | | | С | L | R | |
| | Rejects Management | | Mod. | Possible | 0.3, Mod. | | Minor | Unlikely | 0.03, Low | |
| | Storage and Soil and water Handling contamination Mod. Possible 0.3, Mod. a | | Mod Unlikely | | Unlikely | 0.09, Low. | | | | |
| Hazardous materials | Bushfire | Fire Hazard | Serious | Unlikely | 0.3, Mod. | A Bushfire Hazard Assessment has been undertaken for the Project and included relevant mitigation defined as required. A Bushfire Management Plan will be developed to monitor and maintain areas and equipment where bushfire hazards are present to prevent and minimise the potential outbreak of bushfire, control any outbreak of fire, and minimise the risk of bushfires spreading from the Project to adjacent private properties. | Mod. | Unlikely | 0.09, Low. | |
| Cumulative Impacts | | | Serious | Possible | 1, Mod. | All studies have incorporated cumulative impacts assessments with the limited number of approved mining operations and other industry in the vicinity of the Project, where sufficient information was available. | Mod. | Unlikely | 0.09, Low. | |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

G

KEPCO Bylong Australia Pty Ltd Risk Assessment Tools

Risk Assessment Matrix

Probability Matrix

| LIKELIHOOD DESCRIPTORS (Continuous Exposure) | Benchmark | Indicative Probability |
|--|---|--|
| ALMOST CERTAIN | | 0.97 (1 in 1) |
| LIKELY | Human Error (Stressed) | 0.3 (1 in 3) |
| POSSIBLE | Engineering SIL1 (Probability of failure on demand (PFD)) | 0.1 (1 in 10) |
| UNLIKELY | | 0.03 (1 in 30) |
| RARE | Human Error (routine task omission) Engineering SIL 2 (PFD) | 0.01 / 10 ⁻² (1 in 100) |
| | Human Error (checklist procedure provided) Engineering SIL 3 (PFD) | 0.001 / 10 ⁻³ (1 in 1000) |
| | Motor vehicle fatality | 0.0001 / 10 ⁻⁴ (1 in 10,000) |
| IMPROBABLE | Engineering SIL 1 Rated (Continuous operation (CO)) | 0.00001 / 10 ⁻⁵ (1 in 100,000)) |
| | Engineering SIL 2 Rated (CO) | 0.000001 / 10 ⁻⁶ (1 in 1,000,000) |
| | Engineering SIL 3 Rated (CO), e.g. Lighting strike fatality | 0.0000001 /10 ⁻⁷ (1 in 10,000,000) |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

| | Focus on high severity risk issues | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| SEVERITY | | | CONSEQUENCE SEVERITY (Severity Factor) | | |
| ТҮРЕ | Minor | Moderate | Serious | Major | Catastrophic |
| | (1) | (3) | (10) | (30) | (100) |
| HEALTH & SAFETY | Low level symptoms requiring first aid treatment only | Medical treatment injury | Serious injury and / or severe permanent disability or impairment to one or more persons | Single fatality events Severe permanent health impacts to >10 persons | Multiple fatalities from single event or long term health effects Severe permanent health impacts to >50 people |
| ENVIRONMENT | Limited damage to a localised area. No lasting effects | Localised short to medium term damage to an area of minor local significance | Localised medium term damage to an area of local value | Wide spread long to medium term damage to valued area | Significant, extensive detrimental long term impact affecting sustainability of an ecosystem |
| REPUTATION | Local public concern / complaints. Minor technical non-compliance | Negative publicity and attention from local media. Moderate breach of regulations | Attention from media, negative regional publicity. Serious breach of regulations with fine. | Significant negative attention, national publicity. Major breach of regulation. Reputation tarnished | Negative international publicity. Very serious litigation. Reputation severely tarnished. Company value may be affected |
| FINANCIAL LOSS/ GAIN (\$US) | < \$0.5M | \$0.5M to \$5M | \$5M to \$50M | \$50 to \$500M | >\$500M |
| IRRECOVERABLE BUSINESS PLAN PRODUCTION LOSS | < 3 hrs | 3hrs to 1 day | 1 to 10 days | 10 to 100 days | > 100 days |
| PROJECT DELAY (NPV Impact) | <8 hrs | 8 hrs to 3 days | 3 to 30 days | 30 days to 1 year | > 1 year |
| LEGAL | Minor non-compliances and breaches of regulations | Minor legal issues, moderate non-compliances and breaches of regulations | Serious breach of regulation with prosecution or moderate fine possible | Major breach of regulation. Major litigation | Significant prosecution and fines. Very serious litigation including class action or government action |
| OPPORTUNITIES (As per Financial, reputation as stated) | Low Value contribution. Benefit to local reputation but limited for the Corporation | Minor contribution to Project. Large benefit to local reputation and some minor Corporate image benefit | Attractive value to Project. Discernable enhancement of Corporate reputation amongst peers | Very attractive value to The Corporation. Enhanced Corporate national public reputation | Exceptional value to The Corporation. Significant enhanced Corporate global enhanced reputation |

I

HANSEN BAILEY

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

 \bigcirc

| ſ | | CONSEQUENCE SEVERITY | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | (Severity Factor) | | | | | | | | |
| | | Minor (1) | Moderate (3) | Serious(10) | Major (30) | Catastrophic (100) | | | | |
| | Almost Certain (≥0.97) | 1 | 3 | 10 | 30 | 100 | | | | |
| lity) | Likely (0.3) | 0.3 | 0.9 | 3 | 9 | 30 | | | | |
| Probabil | Possible (0.1) | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1 | 3 | 10 | | | | |
| LIKELIHOOD (Exposure x Probability) | Unlikely (0.03) | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 3 | | | | |
| (Exp | Rare (0.01) | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1 | | | | |
| | Improbable (≤0.001) | <0.001 | 0.003 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.1 | | | | |

Downside Risk Matrix

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY

Revised Environmental Risk Assessment

Risk Acceptability Criteria (downside risk)

| Risk Category | Risk Rating | HSE Risk Treatment | Non-HSE Risk Treatment |
|------------------|-------------|--|---|
| Critical | >10 | HSE risks in this range shall not be tolerated under any circumstances. Operation in the affected area/ process shall not commence/ proceed until the HSE risk has been reduced to an acceptable level by the implementation of robust controls. | Financial and reputational risks in this range are inconsistent with Corporate expectations and shall only be accepted with written Board approval. |
| High | ≥3 and ≤10 | HSE risks in this range are highly undesirable and should not be tolerated. Operation in the affected area/ process should not continue unless the HSE risk has been proven to be reduced to an acceptable level by the implementation of intensive management controls authorised by the Senior Executive for a limited period of time. | Financial and reputational risks in this range are inconsistent with Corporate values and can only be accepted with written CEO approval. |
| Moderate | ≥0.3 and <3 | Potential catastrophic and major severity HSE risks in this range shall be verified through formal governance programs. | Financial and reputational risks in this range must be managed by formal systems. |
| Low | <0.3 | Risks occurring in this area acceptable to The Corporation provided control systems are oper | ating effectively. |

Ref: 150612 Bylong Coal Project EIS Revised Risk Assessment

HANSEN BAILEY