

SICEEP, The Haymarket – Response to Office of Environment and Heritage Submission

Topic	Issue	Response
SICEEP, The Haymarket Precinct - (SSDA 5)		
Indigenous Archaeology	Please note that the Heritage Branch will not be providing comment on Indigenous Archaeology as it is understood that the project has been separately referred to relevant sections of the Office of Environment and Heritage for specific review.	Noted
Heritage	<p>TKD Architects has prepared an amended SOHI relevant to SSDA5 which was provided as Appendix D of the EIS. The supplementary SOHI concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the Concept Proposal and that the assessment of the potential heritage impacts remains largely unchanged from that provided for the Concept Plan. The earlier assessment found the proposal acceptable in terms of its potential impacts to heritage items. The EIS indicates that the setting of some heritage items is likely to be altered due to the changes to existing built form arising from the proposal. Some existing views will be partially blocked, however, some may be enhanced and therefore the SOHI found the impacts of the proposal acceptable in heritage terms.</p> <p>The main mitigation measures recommended remain that a Heritage Interpretation Strategy for the entire SICEEP Site would be prepared in accordance with the NSW Heritage Manual and the OEH's Heritage Interpretation Policy and a 2008 publication prepared by the Sydney Harbour Foreshore Authority.</p>	<p>A Heritage Interpretation Strategy covering the whole of the SICEEP site has been prepared by TKD Architects, as the first stage of the interpretation process. The Heritage Interpretation Strategy is submitted as part of the Response to Submissions (see Appendix O).</p> <p>Lend Lease is also committed to the development of a precinct-wide Interpretation Plan as required by the Heritage Branch. The Interpretation Plan will be guided by the Heritage Interpretation Strategy at Appendix O to ensure that all opportunities for the site's interpretation are explored and to ensure that it is fully integrated with the site's development.</p>
Non-Indigenous Archaeology	<p>A Non-Indigenous Archaeological Assessment and Impact Statement was prepared by Casey and Lowe and submitted with the Concept Proposal (SSDA2). The report provided as Appendix E of the present EIS is a supplementary non-Indigenous Archaeology Statement which has identified potential remains of both State and Local significance within the project area. Those potential remains include:</p> <p>State significance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dickson's dam wall (c1815) <p>Local significance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cormack cooperage, later Centennial cooperage; Chinese store; The Railway Hotel; and Biddell Bros confectionery factory. <p>Mitigation measure proposed are: to attempt to retain State significant archaeological remains in situ; to complete archaeological testing prior to detailed design; to record all found archaeology impacted by the project in accordance with Heritage Council guidelines and best practice. These recommendations are supported.</p>	Noted

SICEEP, The Haymarket – Response to Office of Environment and Heritage Submission

Topic	Issue	Response
Consent Conditions	It is noted that appropriate Consent Conditions have been previously provided to the Department with comments on previous applications for the overall SICEEP development.	Noted. No objection is raised to the imposition of reasonable and relevant heritage conditions.