



Vegetation Management Plan

(Version 5)

SITE:	Lot 10 DP 878167 50 Wylie Road, Kembla Grange NSW 2526
CLIENT:	Bicorp Pty Ltd
DATE:	May 2015
PREPARED BY:	Mark O'Keefe Jay Windsor

© Southern Habitat (NSW) Pty Ltd

This document is, and shall remain the property of, Southern Habitat (NSW) Pty Ltd. It may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Version 5).....	1
SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION	3
1.2 NOTES ON PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS VMP	3
1.3 REPORT PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES	5
1.4 LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK	6
1.5 DEFINITIONS	8
SECTION 2 DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENT	9
2.0 SUBJECT AREA AND LANDSCAPE SETTING	9
2.1 HYDROLOGY TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY, AND SOIL	9
2.2 VEGETATION AUDIT	10
2.2.1 AUDIT METHODOLOGIES	10
2.2.2 AUDIT RESULTS	11
2.3 NOXIOUS WEED CATEGORIES.....	12
2.3.1 LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK.....	12
2.3.2 IDENTIFIED NOXIOUS WEEDS.....	13
2.4 WEED ECOLOGY	13
2.5 LANDSCAPE DEGRADATION AND IMPACTS.....	14
2.6 RESTORATION POTENTIAL	14
2.7 SITE PHOTOS (JUNE 2014)	16
SECTION 3 RESTORATION PLAN OF ACTION	21
3.0 SCOPE OF WORKS	21
3.1 PROTECTION OF EXISTING VEGETATION	21
3.2 MAINTENANCE	21
SECTION 4 MAINTENANCE	22
4.1 GENERAL	22
4.2 WEED CONTROL.....	23
4.3 WATERING	30
4.4 PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL.....	30
4.5 EROSION CONTROL.....	30
4.6 FIRE MANAGEMENT/MITIGATION	30
4.7 RUBBISH REMOVAL.....	30
4.8 PLANT REPLACEMENT	31
SECTION 5 MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM	30
5.1 MONITORING	30
5.2 REPORTING	31
SECTION 6 PROJECT COSTS.....	32
6.1 PROJECT COSTS	32
6.2 BANK GUARANTEE STRUCTURE	33
SECTION 7 CONCLUSION	34
7.1 CONCLUSION	34
REFERENCES	35
APPENDIX A – NATIVE SPECIES AUDIT	36
APPENDIX B – WEED SPECIES AUDIT	37
APPENDIX C – PRO-FORMA INTERIM REPORT	40
APPENDIX D – LANDCAPE PLAN.....	46
APPENDIX E. AERIAL VIEW OF SITE INDICATING VMP AREA	47
APPENDIX F: RECOMMENDED SPECIES TO ACHIEVE FULLY STRUCTURED RIPARIAN COMPOSITION	48
APPENDIX G: WORKS SUMMARY UP TO JUNE 2014.....	50

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In December 2011, Southern Habitat (NSW) Pty Ltd was contracted by Adam Blackwell of Bicorp Pty Ltd to develop a comprehensive Vegetation Management Plan (VMP) suitable for the compliance of Condition #2, 3, 4, 5, 6 7 and 18 of Conditionally Approved Development Application 2009/1153, dated 29th April 2010.

The proposed development consists of the construction of building materials storage and recycling facility, associated entrance road-works and establishment of a riparian corridor to a tributary of Gibsons Creek running through the property from the north to south. An adjoining road reserve, presumably for any future extension of Wylie Road, borders and forms the southern boundary of the subject site.

This report shall address the management of an imposed riparian corridor consisting of a 20 metre wide treatment zone that is to be located wholly within the boundary of Lot 10, as identified on Landscape Plan 1157-LC01 (rev. F. 08/05/2015) as prepared by Ochre Landscape Architects (refer Appendix D).

1.2 NOTES ON PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS VMP

As of May 2015, the VMP has been updated on 5 occasions to reflect changes in site conditions and to address and resolve sometimes conflicting specifications and advice received from a number of government bodies including:

- NSW Office of Water (formerly DECCW);
- NSW Department of Primary Industry;
- NSW Rural Fire Service;
- Office of Environment and Heritage.

Version 3 of the VMP took into account the site conditions up until June 2014 and works already undertaken on site. The works are documented under section 2.8 of Version 3 of this VMP. The vegetation audits and photos were updated, as well as the restoration plan of action and costing.

Version 4 (Jan 2015) of the VMP was adjusted to address issues raised by:

- OEH regarding ongoing maintenance of the riparian corridor (section 3.13);
- DPI regarding Fully Structured riparian corridor (section 2.7);
- NSW Rural Fire Service regarding limitations to planting within the riparian corridor due to Asset Protection Zones (section 2.7 and Appendix D), and;
- NSW Office of Water regarding offset planting to accommodate the above mentioned APZs (see Appendix D).

Version 4 also included an updated Landscape Plan (Appendix D) reflecting the adjustments as detailed in the relevant sections.

This document (Version 5) aims to finalise the structure and scope of vegetation management at the site and to satisfy the concerns of the relevant government bodies. Whilst assessing and providing a framework for ongoing restoration and maintenance activities at the site, this version of the VMP reflects current site conditions and recognises works already carried out under the guidance of previous versions of the VMP.

The areas of change include:

- Detailed discussion of how the VMP provides for fully structured native vegetation that emulates the local community. See section 2.7;
- The inclusion of a specified native species planting composition and density table reflecting a fully structured riparian corridor where this does not conflict with Asset Protection Zones within the site as specified by NSW RFS. (appendix F) The list had been omitted in versions 3 and 4 as the vegetation had already been installed. It is recommended that some additional planting be undertaken to ensure the performance criteria of this version of the VMP are achieved. It shall also be necessary to assess and remove planted vegetation where it conflicts with RFS specifications for the Asset Protection Zone. See section 2.7;
- Clarification as to the manner in which the proposed offset areas are in accordance with the Guidelines for Controlled Activities on waterfront Land. See section 2.7;
- The quantification and locations of encroachment offset plantings to allow for the above mentioned APZ's and to comply with NSW Office of Water guidelines for riparian corridors on waterfront land. See appendix D - landscape plan 1442 – LCO1F (Rev. F, 05/05/2015.);
- The quantification and locations of Asset Protection Zones. (see appendix D landscape plan 1442 – LCO1F (Rev. F, 05/05/2015.);
- Description of required vegetation structure and maximum densities as well as ongoing maintenance obligations for the Asset Protection Zones to comply with NSW RFS specifications. See sections 2.7 and 3.4;

- An up to date Landscape plan (See appendix D - landscape plan 1442 – LCO1F (Rev. F, 05/05/2015) showing:
 - Reduced area of fully structured riparian planting due to APZ: 506m²,
 - Offset planting due to reduced area: 506m²,
 - Remaining unaffected riparian planting: 2,960m².
- An updated VMP area map with clear delineation of zones and boundaries. (see appendix E).

1.3 REPORT PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

A VMP provides a clear framework for managing the transition between the built, terrestrial and aquatic environments. This transition is an ongoing process, requiring a greater emphasis on ecology and natural systems rather than the short-term reliance on traditional engineering and landscape solutions.

Specifically, this VMP aims to:

- Provide an assessment of the flora of the site (both native and weed species);
- Provide an assessment of site habitat values and restoration potential;
- Provide recommendations for the management of native and weed species on the site;
- Provide a comprehensive works methodology to enable rehabilitation activities to take place, thereby creating a sustainable environmental state that will contribute to the overall health of the site;
- Provide a framework for the maintenance of the site during and following restoration activities;
- Provide a clear procedure and format for the monitoring and reporting of project to achieve project outcomes on the site.

1.4 LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

The recommended site restoration works are to be undertaken in accordance with legislature relating specifically to the protection of threatened species and endangered ecological communities, the control of declared noxious weeds. The relevant legislature is outlined below.

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)

The EPBC Act is the principal federal legislation which makes provisions for the protection and conservation of Australia's environment and biodiversity.

Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (TSC Act)

The TSC Act is the principal NSW state legislation which, 'outlines the protection of threatened species, communities and critical habitat in New South Wales' and has been established to, 'determine which species, populations and ecological communities should be listed as endangered, vulnerable or extinct under the Act, and also to determine key threatening process' DECC (2008).

Noxious Weeds Act 1993 (NW Act)

The NW Act makes provisions for the identification, control and management of significant weeds (Southern Councils Group, 2008). Five control classes are specified (see section 2.5). Contractors must identify and control noxious weeds as specified in the NW Act.

Soil Conservation Act 1938 (SC Act)

The SC Act makes provisions for the protection and conservation of soils, including the prevention and remediation of soil erosion. Restoration activities in the subject area must be undertaken in such a way as to minimise soil erosion and remediate existing areas of embankment degradation.

The Pesticides Act 1999

Regulates the use of pesticides in NSW. The main aim of the Act is to reduce the risks associated with pesticide use to protect human health and the environment, property and industry.

Under the Act, pesticides must be registered by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) before they can be manufactured, supplied, sold or used. Registered pesticides carry an APVMA-approved label that provides users with instructions that dictate best practice use to minimise any adverse impact from their use.

The Act is enforced by DPI and offences under the Act include:

- Using pesticides in a way that causes injury or likely injury to another person, damage or likely damage to another person's property or harm to a non-target plant or animal.
- Possessing or using a pesticide not registered by the APVMA or covered by an APVMA permit.
- Using unregistered pesticides without a permit.
- Keeping a pesticide in a container that does not bear its approved label, without reasonable excuse.
- Using registered pesticides in a way that is not in accordance with the instructions on the label.

The application of pesticides (specifically herbicides) will be required during implementation of this VMP. The use of pesticides should follow the requirements of the Pesticides Act 1999. Appropriate records will be kept of any pesticide use during the project.

Riparian Corridor Management Study 2004

In 2004, the Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources (DIPNR) undertook a comprehensive study on all the watercourses in the Wollongong Local Government Area (LGA) and part of the Shellharbour LGA. The study identified the need to create riparian buffers along creeks to protect the ecological values of the watercourse. The Riparian Corridor Management Study (RCMS) (DIPNR, 2004) classified the creek systems in the order of their environmental significance and identified appropriate corridors along most creeks.

The proposed development will interact with a tributary Gibson's Creek, which passes through the site. This section of waterway is classified as a Category 2 Riparian and aquatic habitat.

Water Management Act 2000 (WM Act)

Controlled activities carried out in, on or under waterfront land are regulated by the Water Management Act 2000 (WM Act). The NSW Office of Water administers the WM Act and is required to assess the impact of any proposed controlled activity to ensure that no more than minimal harm will be done to waterfront land as a consequence of carrying out the controlled activity.

This means that a controlled activity approval must be obtained from the Office of Water before commencing the controlled activity.

1.5

DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this report:

Council: Wollongong City Council;

Ecological Restoration: the practice of repairing or reinstating the structure and function of a site's plant community, with the level of intervention determined by the site's resilience. Where the resilience is high, regeneration procedures are required, where the resilience is depleted, a reconstruction approach may be required;

Introduced Species: includes both deliberate plantings of 'native' and 'non-native' as well as self-sown species. A 'native' can be introduced to the site if it does not naturally occur in the surrounding natural landscape;

Local Provenance: plants propagated from collections from locations as close geographically and in terms of habitat as practicable to the location where the propagated plants are to be planted;

Recruitment: the supply of a species' propagules to the site. This includes seed production and fecundity; seed input and storage, either by soil-stored or canopy-stored seed banks; seed viability; seedling establishment and mortality;

Regeneration: the management of weeds on existing bushland to facilitate the natural response of indigenous plant species. It primarily involves hand weeding and chemical control;

Rehabilitation: a non-specific term encompassing revegetation and regeneration;

VMP: refer to this Vegetation Management Plan;

Subject site: also referred to as study area or subject area, and refers to the nominated bush-land management treatment area.

SECTION 2 DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENT

2.0 SUBJECT AREA AND LANDSCAPE SETTING

The site is located at Lot 10 DP 878167 50 Wylie Road, Kembla Grange NSW 2526 comprising an approximate area of 20 hectares and is situated approximately 3.2km south-west of the suburban shopping centre of Unanderra, New South Wales.

The riparian corridor, which forms the basis of this VMP and herein referred to the 'subject site' consists of a surface area of 3466m² and encompasses a 185 lineal metre section waterway extending from the interface of zoning 4(a) and 6(b) to the southern boundary of the property.

Refer Landscape Plan 1442 – LC01F rev. F. 08/05/2015 (appendix D) for graphic representation of this area.

2.1 HYDROLOGY TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY, AND SOIL

The site is gently sloping from north to south; an unnamed tributary of Gibsons Creek receives surface water from the site.

The study area is mapped as being underlain by Quaternary Sediments and deeper formation of Illawarra Coal Measures (Wollongong 1:50,000 Geological Series Sheet).

The subject site is located within the Fairy Meadow (fa) soil landscape (sensu Hazelton et al. 1990), which is characterised by friable Alluvial Soils and Siliceous Sands on the upper floodplains and dark brown sands and heavier clays on lower alluvial flats.

It is noted that this soil categorisation is prone to flooding, has low wet bearing capacity and high permeability.

2.2 VEGETATION AUDIT

2.2.1 AUDIT METHODOLOGIES

The vegetation audit was undertaken on the 16th of June 2014 by qualified Southern Habitat (NSW) Pty Ltd bush regenerators. The vegetation audit comprised a full floristic survey of the subject site, with all observable native and alien (i.e. weed) species identified and recorded. The audit involved a complete, comprehensive and systematic walk-through of the site. Species nomenclature followed Harden (1990-93).

The abundance of each identified species was also recorded, following a modified Braun Blanquet percentage foliage cover abundance index (Poore, 1955; Mason and French 2007; Gooden et al. 2009a, b):

- (1): <5% cover and one or a few individuals;
- (2): <5% cover and uncommon;
- (3): <5% cover and common;
- (4): <5% cover and very abundant;
- (5): 5 - 20% cover;
- (6): 21 – 50% cover;
- (7): 51-75% cover;
- (8): 76 – 100% cover.

The Braun Blanquet scale of species abundance provides an indication of the relative abundance of species at a particular site. This can subsequently be used as baseline reference data during future vegetation monitoring and reporting programs in order to gauge site responses to the recommended restoration activities contained within this VMP. That is, the Braun Blanquet scale will allow future assessments to determine whether weed control measures have been successful at reducing weed diversity and abundance, and whether ecological restoration activities have been successful at increasing the diversity and abundance of native plant species. In addition, the scale will provide a tool to determine whether any restoration or development activities are having a detrimental impact on the site's native vegetation community.

2.2.2 AUDIT RESULTS

Plant species from a total of 35 families were recorded at the site, with the vegetation assemblage comprising 28 native and 28 (Initial VMP listed 47 weeds) alien or weed species (refer Appendices A and B). NOTE – Recently installed units are not counted in the native audit.

The subject site contains low to moderate level of native species with predominant species represented by the tree species *Acacia maidenii*, *Acacia mearnsii*, *Ficus macrophylla*, *Glochidion ferdinandi* and throughout the mid- strata very thinly represented *Maclura cochinchinensis*, *Notelea venosa*, *Streblus brunonianus* and to a lesser extent *Pittosporum multiflorum*.

Ground strata – The subject site has been treated with herbicide and covered with mulch so only small pockets of *Pennisetum clandestinum* (Kikuyu) remain and continue to be treated. Natives left to spread include the aquatic herb *Persicaria decipiens* and ground cover *Commelina cyanea*.

Excluding *Lantana camara* (Lantana) and *Rubus fruticosus* (Blackberry) no other noxious weeds were recorded on the site.

With reference to NPWS (2002) and Daly and Rudd (2005), and based on the characteristic species occurring throughout the foothills of Farmborough Heights and Kembla Grange, our determination is that the site can best be referenced to a combination of Lowland Dry-Subtropical Rainforest (LDSR) and Moist Coastal White Box Forest (MCWBF) communities, albeit it weed infested. The LDSR is a sub-formation of Illawarra Subtropical Rainforest, which is listed under the TSC Act (1995) as an Endangered Ecological Community. Note we do not propose that the site be classified as these communities.

2.3 NOXIOUS WEED CATEGORIES

2.3.1 LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

The NSW Noxious Weeds Act (1993) specifies five control classes, with every declared noxious weed placed within a class (Southern Councils Group, 2007). These are as follows:

Class 1 noxious weeds are plants that pose a potentially serious threat to primary production or the environment and are not present in the State or are present only to limited extent. The Noxious Weed Act 1993 requires for a Class 1 noxious weed, 'The plant must be eradicated from the land and the land must be kept free of the plant.' The control objective for weed control Class 1 is to prevent the introduction and establishment of those plants in NSW.

Class 2 noxious weeds are plants that pose a potentially serious threat to primary production or the environment of a region but are not present in the region or are present only to limited extent. The Noxious Weed Act 1993 requires for a Class 2 noxious weed, 'The plant must be eradicated from the land and the land must be kept free of the plant.' The control objective for weed control Class 2 is to prevent the introduction and establishment of those plants in parts of NSW.

Class 3 noxious weeds are plants that pose a serious threat to primary production or the environment of an area and are not widely distributed in the area but are likely to spread in the area or to another area. The Noxious Weed Act 1993 requires for a Class 3 noxious weed, 'The weed must be fully and continuously suppressed and destroyed.' The control objective for weed control Class 3 is to reduce the area and impact of those plants in parts of NSW.

Class 4 noxious weeds are plants that pose a serious threat to primary production, the environment or human health, are widely distributed in an area and are likely to spread in the area or to another area. The Noxious Weed Act 1993 requires for a Class 4 noxious weed, 'the growth and spread of the plant must be controlled according to the measures specified in a management plan published by the local control authority and the plant may not be sold, propagated or knowingly distributed'. The control objective for weed control Class 4 is to minimise the negative impact of those plants on the economy, community or environment of NSW.

Class 5 noxious weeds are plants that are likely, by their sale or the sale of their seeds or movement within the State or area of the State, to spread in the State or outside the State. The Noxious Weed Act 1993 requires for a Class 5 noxious weed, 'the requirements in the Noxious Weeds Act 1993 for a notifiable weed must be complied with.' The control objective for weed control Class 5 is to prevent the introduction of those

plants into NSW, the spread of those plants within NSW or from NSW to another jurisdiction. The aim is to prevent their sale, propagation and distribution.

* Class 1, 2 and 5 weeds are Notifiable Weeds under the Noxious Weeds Act 1993. As Notifiable Weeds, their presence must be reported to the LCA (IDNWA) within 3 days of occupiers becoming aware of any plants on the land. They also must not be sold, propagated or knowingly transported. A Permit under Section 34 of the Noxious Weeds Act 1993 is required prior to the movement or transportation of any notifiable weed material.

2.3.2 IDENTIFIED NOXIOUS WEEDS

Two noxious weeds were identified from the vegetation audit: *Lantana camara* (Class 4) and *Rubus fruticosus* (Class 4) refer to restoration works contained within this VMP for the control and eradication of these species (see Section 3).

2.4 WEED ECOLOGY

‘A plant is only a weed where it interferes with a human’s use of the land for particular purposes, with their wellbeing, or with the quality of their environment’ (Auld & Medd 1987). We believe this definition should be viewed with a greater emphasis on the latter part of the above statement – that is, the influence with which weeds affect the quality of the environment. Weeds can be further categorised into ‘groupings’ which describe their potential to impact the environment. A weed can be classified as a:

- Noxious weed – harmful to agriculture, human health and community;
- Environmental weed – an escapee from a garden or nursery that has invaded a natural ecosystem;
- Keystone Weed – an introduced plant that poses a serious and immediate threat to the native plant community in which it occurs. A keystone (or primary target weed) can be either a noxious weed or environmental weed – either way; it must be given priority in any weed management program.

How weeds threaten the existence of native species on the subject site forms the foundation of the restoration approach presented in this report.

2.5 LANDSCAPE DEGRADATION AND IMPACTS

In general terms, landscape degradation can mean a reduction in environmental quality and is caused by any disturbance or force that causes any changes in habitat or community structure and composition, such as a natural event or human activities. Applying this to the subject site, we can interpret landscape degradation to mean one or more of the following:

- The loss/reduction of floristic diversity (native plant species);
- The loss/reduction of fauna using the site;
- The increase in weed species diversity and distribution;
- The presence of rubbish/waste over the site.

At present, we consider the most significant factors (i.e. disturbance or force) contributing to the landscape degradation of the site to be:

- The presence of *Lantana camara* and *Rubus fruticosus* which must be eradicated (ongoing works should accomplish this);
- The low, but continued presence invasive exotic grass *Pennisetum clandestinum* throughout the riparian corridor;
- Latency of seed bank, particularly the capacity of *Anredera cordifolia*, *Aruajia hortorum* and *Acestosa sagittata* to re-infest the site.

These pose both a direct and indirect threat to the existing assemblage of native species that occur on site.

Excluding the above impacts, the site exhibits low, to moderate levels of disturbance.

2.6 RESTORATION POTENTIAL

As earlier defined, ecological restoration is the practice of repairing or reinstating the structure and function of the natural plant community at a particular site, with the level of intervention determined by the site's resilience. Where the resilience is high, regeneration procedures are required. However, where the resilience is depleted, a reconstructive approach may be required to rehabilitate the site.

The key to the above term is 'resilience', or the measure of recoverability of the natural plant community. That is, if the site contains a high resilience there is a good chance that natural restoration will occur with minimal intervention. Conversely, if the site contains low resilience, greater intervention is required to deliver restoration outcomes.

The subject site contains an assemblage native species with low to moderate structural diversity (i.e. ground, shrub, vines and trees) and as such can be considered to have low to moderate level of resilience. Any restoration (revegetation) activities have been designed to complement native species recruitment in the subject site and to increase the shading out of in-stream sections of the waterway.

Due to NSW RFS specifications for the creation and maintenance of two areas of APZ within the Riparian Corridor, Encroachment Offset Planting has been specified adjacent to the original riparian corridor area. This is in line with the NSW Office of Water Guidelines for riparian corridors on waterfront land which states:

“Non riparian corridor works and activities can be authorised within the outer riparian corridor, so long as the average width of the vegetated riparian zone can be achieved over the length of the watercourse within the development site.” And “may be used for non-riparian uses including asset protection zones”

The RFS submission (Jan 2015) requires that less than 15% canopy can exist in APZ areas within the riparian zone. See updated Landscape Plan 1442 – LC01F rev. F. 08/05/2015 (appendix D) for APZs and Encroachment Offset plantings. The current maintenance shall, taking this determination into account, ensure that these RFS specifications are met.

To meet Office of Water obligations some infill plantings throughout the Riparian Zone, (excluding APZ areas), are required to ensure that fully structured native vegetation that emulates the local community is achieved. Please refer to appendix F for Planting types and densities required.

The reduced area of fully structured riparian planting due to APZ is 506m². The offset planting due to reduced area is 506m². The remaining unaffected riparian planting is 2,960m².

2.7 SITE PHOTOS (JUNE 2014)



Photo 1 – Southern side of entry bridge



Photo 2 – northern extent of site.



Photo 3 – Intact ISR remnant (eastern embankment)



Photo 4 – Eastern embankment adjacent to remnant.

SECTION 3 RESTORATION PLAN OF ACTION

3.0 SCOPE OF WORKS

Considering the current state of the site and works already completed riparian restoration of the subject site shall encompass the following scope of works:

- Protection of existing vegetation;
- Infill planting to ensure that the site achieves fully structured riparian vegetation, refer to Appendix F.(excepting the areas noted on 1442 – LC01F rev. F. 08/05/2015 (appendix D));
- Implementation of maintenance program.

3.1 PROTECTION OF EXISTING VEGETATION

It is recommended that the erection of a standard three strand wire fence be undertaken around the extent of the ISR to indicate and protect this particular remnant. A buffer zone of 5m shall apply within this fencing. (approx. 140m²)

3.2 MAINTENANCE

A minimum period of two (2) years is to apply to the maintenance of the subject site. The maintenance period shall commence following completion of primary weed control and revegetation throughout the corridor to achieve the fully structured composition required. Maintenance activities will focus on the prevention of secondary weed invasion, the protection and consolidation of tubestock throughout the CRZ.

SECTION 4 MAINTENANCE

4.1 GENERAL

A minimum period of two years is to apply to the maintenance of the subject site in order to meet Condition 6 (Office of Water) requirements for the rehabilitation of the site. The maintenance period shall commence following completion of all infilling re-vegetation activities on the site. Performance of the site will be assessed on a six-monthly basis and if targets have not been met the monitoring will continue in 6-monthly blocks until such time that the performance targets are achieved. Maintenance activities will focus on the establishing native plantings throughout subject site, prevention of secondary weed invasion and erosion prevention of the site.

All maintenance works within the subject site are to be conducted by a suitably qualified contractor with experience in the identified vegetation communities, whom shall devise a schedule of maintenance based upon the performance of the site, weed presence and success of remediation. A minimum frequency of monthly visitation to the site for the purposes of maintenance is to apply for the entire two-year maintenance period.

Following this maintenance period and final report it should be noted that the ongoing maintenance shall continue for the operational life of the facility. This maintenance will require the compilation and submission of an annual report to relevant stakeholders and must be prepared by a suitably qualified person/organization.

The annual report must include but is not limited to:

A) Site conditions:

- 1) Weed cover percentage
- 2) Native cover percentage
- 3) Identification and determination of actions to remedy any issues pertaining to the ongoing maintenance of the riparian vegetation for the 12 months following the report

B) Asset Protection Zone maintenance:

- 1) Assessment of fuel loads in the Riparian corridor in areas defined by NSW RFS as APZs, see appendix D
- 2) Description of actions required to satisfy APZ requirements as defined by NSW RFS at the time of the report.

4.2 WEED CONTROL

During the maintenance period, the Contractor is to control weed growth throughout the whole of the riparian corridor and prevent any weeds from setting seed or dispersing propagules. Weed control is to occur at minimum monthly intervals for the entire maintenance period. Throughout the maintenance period, it is anticipated the following weeds will require due diligence to ensure successful restoration outcomes for the site:

Acetosa sagittata, *Araujia hortorum*, *Anredera cordifolia*, *Gomphocarpus fruiticosus*, *Lantana camara* and *Rubus fruiticosus*.

Table 4 - Proposed Techniques for the Weed Control Activities in the CRZ

Botanical Name	Common Name	Control Methodology
<i>Acer negundo</i>	Box Elder	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', mechanical chip and remove green waste from site. Hand pulling of emergent seedling throughout maintenance period.
<i>Acetosa sagittata</i>	Turkey Rubarb	Hand remove <u>all</u> vegetative components prior to flower/seed cycle. All waste is to be bagged and removed from site. Where foliar spray is appropriate, a solution of 'Round-Up Biactive' at 1% dilution rate, 1 grm Metsulfuron/15Lts water, 30ml/10L of Synetrol surfactant and indicator dye to product label.
<i>Agapanthus africanus</i>	African Lily	Apply foliar spray 'Round-up' at product label dilution rate, 30ml/10L of Synetrol surfactant and indicator dye to product label; vegetative material to be cut-up and retained on site as mulch. Brush cut dead biomass to retain as mulch on site. Reapply to emergent regrowth.
<i>Ageratina adenophora</i>	Crofton	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site. Herbicide rosette emergence with undiluted herbicide spray.
<i>Ageratina riparia</i>	Mistflower	Apply foliar spray 'Round-up' at product label dilution rate, 30ml/10L of Synetrol surfactant and indicator dye to product label; vegetative material to be cut-up and retained on site as mulch. Brush cut dead biomass to retain as mulch on site. Reapply to emergent regrowth.
<i>Anredera cordifolia</i>	Madeira Vine	Skirting of target if found to be ascending canopy. Remove underground tubers from site. Application of foliar herbicide 'Starane Advanced' to emergent target.

Botanical Name	Common Name	Control Methodology
<i>Araujia hortorum</i>	Moth Vine	Hand removal of all components of target weed. All waste is to be bagged and removed from site. Cut and paint stems adjacent to stream if hand pulling is likely to cause soil disturbance.
<i>Asparagus aethiopicus</i>	Asparagus Fern	Hand crown and remove all components of target. Bag and remove from site.
<i>Bidens pilosa</i>	Bidens	Hand removal of all components of target weed. All waste is to be bagged and removed from site.
<i>Camelia japonica</i>	Camelia	Check to see if these can be transplanted and given to a garden owner. If not cut and paint with undiluted Round-up.
<i>Canna indica</i>	Canna Lily	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site. Herbicide rosette emergence with undiluted herbicide spray.
<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	Celtis	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-up biactive' removal of all vegetative material from site.
<i>Conyza bonariensis</i>	Fleabane	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site. Herbicide rosette emergence with undiluted herbicide spray. If hand pulling results in soil disturbance, cut and paint with undiluted biactive round-up.
<i>Delairea odorata</i>	Cape Ivy	Hand tracing of all components of target weed, bag and remove from site. Where foliar spray is appropriate, a solution of 'Round-Up Biactive' at 1% dilution rate, 1 gram Metsulfuron/15Lts water, 30ml/10L of Synetrol surfactant and indicator dye to product label.
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	Fennel	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site. Throughout maintenance period, hand pull emergent seedlings prior to reaching seed set maturation.

Botanical Name	Common Name	Control Methodology
<i>Gomphocarpus fruticosus</i>	Cotton Bush	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site. Throughout maintenance period, hand pull emergent seedlings prior to reaching seed set maturation.
<i>Lantana camara</i>	Lantana	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site. Brush mulch remainder, reducing material to a fine ground mulch.
<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>	Large-leaf Privet	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', mechanical chip and remove green waste from site. Hand pulling of emergent seedling throughout maintenance period.
<i>Morus nigra</i>	Black Mulberry	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', mechanical chip and remove green waste from site. Hand pulling of emergent seedling throughout maintenance period.
<i>Nerium oleander</i>	Oleander	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', mechanical chip and remove green waste from site. Hand pulling of emergent seedling throughout maintenance period.
<i>Ochna serrulata</i>	Mickey Mouse	Stem scrape target with undiluted 'Round-up biactive' allow target to die in-situ.
<i>Olea europea var africanus</i>	African Olive	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', mechanical chip and remove green waste from site. Hand pulling of emergent seedling throughout maintenance period.
<i>Onopordium acanthium</i>	Scotch Thistle	Remove seed head (bag) and apply foliar spray 'Round-Up Biactive' at 0.9% dilution rate, 30ml/10L of Synetrol surfactant and indicator dye to product label; vegetative material to be cut-up and retained on site as mulch. Brush cut dead biomass to retain as mulch on site.
<i>Opuntia vulgaris</i>	Prickly Pear	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of all material from site.

Botanical Name	Common Name	Control Methodology
<i>Passiflora subpeltata</i>	Passionfruit	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site.
<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	Kikuyu	Isolation of target from occupant native species. Where potential competition arises from establishing native tree and shrub species, apply a selective monocot herbicide (Select) to reduce pressure.
<i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	Date Palm	Strip off fronds and inject base with undiluted 'Round-up biactive'
<i>Phytolacca octandra</i>	Inkweed	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site.
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Lambs Tongue	Remove seed head (bag) and apply foliar spray 'Round-Up Biactive' at 0.9% dilution rate, 30ml/10L of Synetrol surfactant and indicator dye to product label; vegetative material to be cut-up and retained on site as mulch. Brush cut dead biomass to retain as mulch on site.
<i>Prunus sp</i>	Peach	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', mechanical chip and remove green waste from site.
<i>Ricinus communis</i>	Castor Oil Plant	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', mechanical chip and remove green waste from site. Hand pulling of emergent seedling throughout maintenance period.
<i>Rubus fruticosus</i>	Blackberry	Apply foliar herbicide spray (garlon 600). Ensure this work is completed within the period October 15 th to April 15 th , as any application of herbicide outside of this time will not succeed.
<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	Paddy's Lucerne	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site, brush mulch remainder of material on site.
<i>Senecio madagascariensis</i>	Fireweed	Hand removal of all parts of target. Bag and remove from site.

Botanical Name	Common Name	Control Methodology
<i>Senna pendula var glabrata</i>	Cassia	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site, brush mulch remainder of material on site.
<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	Paddy's Lucerne	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site, brush mulch remainder of material on site
<i>Solanum mauritanium</i>	Tobacco	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site, brush mulch remainder of material on site.
<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>	Scotch Thistle	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site, brush mulch remainder of material on site.
<i>Tradescantia fluminensis</i>	Wandering Jew	Hand rake target, bag and remove from site. Apply Starane-Advanced at product label rates to emergent re-growth.
<i>Verbena bonariensis</i>	Purple-top	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site, brush mulch remainder of material on site.
<i>Vicia sativa ssp augustifolia</i>	Vetch	Hand removal of all parts of target. Bag and remove from site.
<i>Verbena bonariensis</i>	Purple Top	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site.
<i>Washingtonia robusta</i>	Washington Palm	Cut and paint base of specimen with undiluted 'Round-Up Biactive', removal of reproductive material from site.

4.3 WATERING

All plants installed as re-vegetation tubestock throughout the subject site shall be regularly watered to maintain a healthy growth rate. The Contractor is to be aware of the natural rainfall of the site and adjust the watering program accordingly.

4.4 PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL

During the contract period, pests and disease are to be controlled via natural means (i.e. the use of organic sprays, manual removal and disposal of pests). No chemicals, other than glyphosate-based herbicides, are to be applied within the subject site.

4.5 EROSION CONTROL

The Contractor is to remediate any erosion or soil disturbance that may occur during the maintenance period. Erosion and sediment control devices are to be inspected, maintained and reinstated if there is a likelihood of sediments on the embankments becoming mobile and entering the waterway. Sediment and erosion control shall be undertaken in accordance with industry best practice and in accordance with the approved Soil and Water Management Plan.

4.6 FIRE MANAGEMENT/MITIGATION

No works proposed as part of the revegetation are likely to cause a bushfire. Burning off within any riparian or roadside vegetation corridors is not permitted during revegetation works or the maintenance period.

The APZ defined areas must be maintained so that the fuel load, (as defined by NSW RFS APZ specifications), is kept to less than 8 tonnes per hectare.

4.7 RUBBISH REMOVAL

During the maintenance period, the subject site is to be kept free of all rubbish.

4.8 PLANT REPLACEMENT

During the maintenance period, the Contractor is to monitor the success of establishing revegetation tubestock. In the event of plant species failure, the Contractor is to supply and install replacement planting consistent with the prescribed planting recommendations contained within this VMP. Provision is to be made at the time of collection and propagation of native seed stock to ensure adequate replacement plant material will be available and is consistent with the genetic integrity of the local provenance of the site.

SECTION 5 MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

5.1 MONITORING

A monitoring program will provide an objective measurement of any changes to the site at a species, population and community level. Monitoring should provide both qualitative (visual) and quantitative (statistical) assessment of the site. Qualitative assessments can be in the form of photographs taken from permanent photo points, whilst quantitative assessments can be measured against the original description of the environment outlined in Section 2 of this report (i.e. comparing Braun Blanquet indices between monitoring events to see if the abundance of weed and native species has changed). Results should be regularly assessed and presented in report format (refer to Section 5.2).

Performance indicators have been established for this project to ensure that the recommended program of works and strategies are achieved. Both quantitative and qualitative assessment of the floristic value of the site should be assessed at the recommended intervals. In general, performance indicators for the subject are described in Table 5.1 below.

Table 5.1 - Performance Criteria

	Performance Indicator	How Measured
APZ maintenance	Fuel load within APZ areas of less than 8 tonnes per hectare	Regular assessment and removal of fuels as per RFS specifications.
Weed Control throughout CRZ	95% eradication of all identified keystone weeds in subject area	Using the weed species audit in this Report as baseline data, undertake a similar audit at the 1 month after completion of weed control throughout subject area to ensure Performance Indicator is met.
Successful installation of all revegetation tubestock.	Recommended plant material installed at prescribed densities composition	Review of daily planting summaries. Random plot sampling (minimum of 6 samples, consisting of 10 metres x 10 metres).

Successful establishment of revegetation material	95% survivorship across whole of site.	6-monthly inspections to determine percentage loss. Random plot sampling (minimum of 6 samples, consisting of 10 m x 10 m).
Continued reduction and control of weeds throughout subject site.	Continual reduction and control of all weed species within CRZ to a maximum of 3% of weed cover.	Using the weed species audit in this Report as baseline data, undertake a similar weed species audit at the 12 month interval to ensure that the number of weed species has reduced to a maximum of 3% of weed cover.

5.2 REPORTING

A series of reports will be prepared during the recommended reporting period, with the aim to provide an objective assessment of the performance of the site against the Performance Criteria outlined in Table 5.1. As well as this quantitative comparison, the interim reports will also provide a review of the protection, enhancement and rehabilitation measures being undertaken as outlined in this VMP.

A report shall be prepared by the consultant every six (6) months during the recommended maintenance period. (see section 3.13 regarding reporting after this period) The reporting period shall be for the duration of the two-year maintenance period that commences at the completion of revegetation on the subject site and any subsequent monitoring period that may be required. Once completed, reports shall be submitted to the client and Office of Water.

Contents of the six monthly interim reports shall include but not be limited to:

- Performance criteria assessment;
- Photo Diary;
- Maintenance summary for the period;
- Recommendations for forthcoming maintenance period to address any short fall in performance criteria.

A suggested pro-forma for these interim reports is provided in Appendix D.

SECTION 6 PROJECT COSTS**6.1 PROJECT COSTS****Table 6.1** Estimate of costs associated with implementation of the recommendations contained within this report.

Restoration Activity	Description	Cost
Site Preparation	Project Safety Plan (PSP), including Safe Work Method Statements, Risk Assessment and Hazard Identification, Emergency Evacuation Plan and Materials Handling Plan. Seed Collection.	\$420.00
Protection of Existing Vegetation	Identification of significant species within CRZ. Identification and fencing off of ISR (EEC)	\$1,920.00
Primary Weed Control	Completion of primary weeding throughout CRZ employing use of hand held and mechanical-trittering of weed biomass throughout site.	\$8,800.00
Mulching of CRZ interface	Supply and installation of mulch to eastern and western interface of CRZ.	\$1,140.00
Plant Material Supply	The supply of all plant material nominated for installation within CRZ.	\$5,790.00
Install Plant Material	Installation of all plant material listed within VMP.	\$5,740.00
Maintenance of Site	24-months maintenance of Riparian Corridor.	\$18,000.00
Monitoring and Reporting	6-monthly audits and inspections, preparation of reports, submission to relevant authorities.	\$2,400.00
Sub-total (ex GST)		\$44,210.00

6.2 BANK GUARANTEE STRUCTURE

We suggest the following structure regarding security for this project.

BANK GUARANTEE 1		
Restoration Activity	Description	Cost
Site Preparation	Project Safety Plan (PSP), including Safe Work Method Statements, Risk Assessment and Hazard Identification, Emergency Evacuation Plan and Materials Handling Plan. Seed Collection.	\$420.00
Protection of Existing Vegetation	Identification of significant species within CRZ. Identification and fencing off of ISR (EEC)	\$1,920.00
Primary Weed Control	Completion of primary weeding throughout CRZ employing use of hand held and mechanical-trittering of weed biomass throughout site.	\$8,800.00
Mulching of CRZ interface	Supply and installation of mulch to eastern and western interface of CRZ.	\$1,140.00
Plant Material Supply	The supply of all plant material nominated for installation within CRZ.	\$5,790.00
Install Plant Material	Installation of all plant material listed within VMP.	\$5,740.00
Bank Guarantee 1 To be released upon completion of all restoration activities listed above.		\$23,810.00

BANK GUARANTEE 2		
Restoration Activity	Description	Cost
Maintenance	Provision of the necessary labour and resource to ensure restoration outcomes are achieved throughout the subject site. Costs have been calculated for the provision of 24-months maintenance commencing at completion of all recommended plantings through the site.	\$18,000.00
Monitoring and Reporting	Undertake 6-monthly interval monitoring and assessment of the site; Preparatino and lodgement of reports.	\$2,400.00
Bank Guarantee 2 To be released upon completion of all restoration activities listed above.		\$20,400.00

SECTION 7 CONCLUSION

7.1 CONCLUSION

This VMP provides the guiding documentation for the site's rehabilitation in accordance with the legislative framework and guidelines from Office of Water. The VMP will provide the agreed basis for the restoration of the subject site.

The provision of a prescriptive Performance Criteria will allow the objective evaluation of the site's performance over the two year maintenance period and effective determination of whether successful environmental restoration has been achieved on the site.

If adopted, the recommendations made in within this report will dramatically improve the health of vegetation along this remnant of bushland and contribute to the positive contribution of the operators of the industrial facility on the site.

REFERENCES

Auld, B. A. and Medd, R. W. 1987. *Weeds: An Illustrated Botanical Guide to the Weeds of Australia*. Inkara Press, Port Melbourne, VIC, Australia.

Daly, G. and Rudd, R. 2005. *Fauna and Flora Assessment, Lot 5 DP 246151, Jackson Avenue, Warrawong*. Gaia Research Pty Ltd, North Nowra, Australia.

DECC (accessed October 2008) *DECC Act Summaries*.

<http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/legislation/DECCActsummaries.htm#TSC>.

DWE (accessed February 2008) *Guidelines for controlled activities: Vegetation Management Plans*. www.dwe.nsw.gov.au.

Harden, G. 1990-93. *Flora of New South Wales. Volumes 1 to 4*. Royal Botanic Gardens/University of NSW Press, Sydney, Australia.

Hazelton, P. A. (1992) *Soil Landscapes of the Kiama 1:100 000 Sheet*. Department of Conservation and Land Management (incorporating the Soil Conservation Service of NSW), Sydney.

NPWS. 2002. *Native Vegetation of the Illawarra Escarpment and Coastal Plain*. National Parks and Wildlife Service (NSW), Hurstville, NSW, Australia.

NPWS. 2002. *Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines – Cynanchum elegans (Benth.) Domin.*. National Parks and Wildlife Service (NSW), Hurstville, NSW, Australia.

Poore, M. E. D. 1955. The use of phytosociological methods in ecological investigations: I. The Braun-Blanquet System. *Journal of Ecology*, **43**, 226-24.

Southern Councils Group (accessed November 2009) *Weeds Declared Noxious*, <http://www.southerncouncils.nsw.gov.au/index.pl?page=117>.

APPENDIX A – NATIVE SPECIES AUDIT

(Undertaken on 6th June 2014)

Family Name	Botanical Name	Common Name	Cover
MIMOSACEAE	<i>Acacia maidenii</i>	Maiden's Wattle	3
MIMOSACEAE	<i>Acacia mearnsii</i>	Black Wattle	5
ARECACEAE	<i>Archontophoenix cunninghamiana</i>	Banglow Palm	1
CYPERACEAE	<i>Carex longebrachiata</i>	Bergalia Tussock	1
COMMELINACEAE	<i>Commelina cyanea</i>	-	2
CYATHEACEAE	<i>Cyathea australis</i>	Rough Tree Fern	1
CYATHEACEAE	<i>Cyathea cooperi</i>		1
CONVOLVULACEAE	<i>Dichondra repens</i>	Kidney-weed	2
MORACEAE	<i>Ficus coronata</i>	Sand Paper Fig	3
MORACEAE	<i>Ficus macrophylla</i>	Moreton Bay Fig	3
GERANIACEAE	<i>Geranium homeanum</i>	Northern Cranesbill	1
EUPHORBIACEAE	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	Cheese tree	3
SAPINDACEAE	<i>Guoia semiglauca</i>	Guoia	2
MALVACEAE	<i>Hibiscus hetrophyllus</i>	Native Rosella	1
FABACEAE	<i>Kennedia rubicunda</i>	Dusky Coral-pea	2
MORACEAE	<i>Maclura cochinchinensis</i>	Cockspur Thorn	2
MELIACEAE	<i>Melia azedarach</i>	White Cedar	3
OLEACEAE	<i>Notelaea venosa</i>	Mock Olive	1
POACEAE	<i>Oplismenus imbecillis</i>	Basket Grass(1)	1
BIGNONIACEAE	<i>Pandorea pandorana</i>	Wonga-Wonga Vine	1
POLYGONACEAE	<i>Persicaria decipiens</i>	Spotted Knotweed	4
PITTOSPORACEAE	<i>Pittosporum undulatum</i>	Sweet Pittosporum	3
PITTOSPORACEAE	<i>Pittosporum multiflorum</i>	Orange Thorn	1
ROSACEAE	<i>Rubus parvifolius</i>	Native Raspberry	1

ULMACEAE	<i>Trema tomentosa</i>	Native Peach	1
TYPHACEAE	<i>Typha orientalis</i>	Cumbungee (Bull Rush)	3
URTICACEAE	<i>Urtica incisa</i>	Stinging Nettle	3
Total Native Species Recorded			28

APPENDIX B – WEED SPECIES AUDIT

(Undertaken on 16th June 2014)

Family Name	Botanical Name	Common Name	Cover (%)
ACERACEAE	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Box Elder	0
POLYGONACEAE	<i>Acetosa sagittata</i>	Turkey Rhubarb	0
ALLIACEAE	<i>Agapanthus africanus</i>	African Lily	0
ASTERACEAE	<i>Ageratina adenophora</i>	Crofton Weed	1
ASTERACEAE	<i>Ageratina riparia</i>	Mistflower	1
BASELLACEAE	<i>Anredera cordifolia</i>	Madeira Vine	1
ASCLEPIADACEAE	<i>Araujia hortorum</i>	Moth Vine	1
PALMAE	<i>Sygarus romanzoffiana</i>	Cocos Palm	0
ASTERACEAE	<i>Bidens pilosa</i>	Cobblers Peg	1
CANNACEAE	<i>Canna indica</i>	Canna Lily	1
ULMACEAE	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	Celtis	0
ASTERACEAE	<i>Conzys bonariensis</i>	Fleabane	1
ASTERACEAE	<i>Delairea odorata</i>	Cape Ivy	1
APIACEAE	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	Fennel	1
ASCLEPIADACEAE	<i>Gomphocarpus fruticosus</i>	Cotton Bush	1
VERBENACEAE	<i>Lantana camara</i>	Lantana	1
OLEACEAE	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>	Large-leaf Privet	0

Vegetation Management Plan for Lot 10 DP 878167
50 Wyllie Road Kembla Grange

MORACEAE	<i>Morus nigra</i>	Black Mulberry	0
APOCYNACEAE	<i>Nerium oleander</i>	Oleander	0
OCHNACEAE	<i>Ochna serrulata</i>	Mickey Mouse	1
OLEACEAE	<i>Olea europaea subsp. africana</i>	African Olive	0
ASTERACEAE	<i>Onopordum acanthium</i>	Scotch Thistle	1
CACTACEAE	<i>Opuntia vulgaris</i>	Prickly pears	0
PASSIFLORACEAE	<i>Passiflora subpeltata</i>	White Passion Fruit	1
POACEAE	<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	Kikuyu	2
PALMAE	<i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	Canary Island Date Palm	1
PHYTOLACCACEAE	<i>Phytolacca octandra</i>	Inkweed	1
PLANTAGINACEAE	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Lambs Tongue	0
ASPARAGACEAE	<i>Protasparagus aethiopicus</i>	Asparagus Fern	1
ROSACEAE	<i>Prunus sp.</i>	-	0
EUPHORBIACEAE	<i>Ricinus communis</i>	Castor Oil plant	2
ROSACEAE	<i>Rubus fruticosus (agg. spp.)</i>	Blackberry	1
ASTERACEAE	<i>Senecio madagascariensis</i>	Fireweed	1
CAESALPINIACEAE	<i>Senna floribunda</i>	Smooth Cassia	0
MALVACEAE	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i>	Paddy's Lucerne	1
SOLANACEAE	<i>Solanum mauritianum</i>	Wild Tobacco Tree	1
SOLANACEAE	<i>Solanum pseudocapsicum</i>	Madeira Winter Cherry	1
ASTERACEAE	<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>	Common Sowthistle	1
COMMELINACEAE	<i>Tradescantia fluminensis</i>	Wandering Jew	1
VERBENACEAE	<i>Verbena bonariensis</i>	Purple Top	1
FABACEAE	<i>Vicia sativa ssp. Angustifolia</i>	Common Vetch	1
THEACEAE	<i>Camelia sasanqua</i>	-	0
THEACEAE	<i>Camelia japonica</i>	-	0
ARECACEAE	<i>Washingtonia robusta</i>	Washington Palm	0
EUPHORBIACEAE	<i>Sapium sebiferum</i>	Chinese Tallow	0

Vegetation Management Plan for Lot 10 DP 878167
50 Wyllie Road Kembla Grange

PASSIFLORACEAE	<i>Passiflora tripartita var. mollissima</i>	Banana Passionfruit	0
ASPARAGACEAE	<i>Yucca filamentosa</i>	-	0
Total Weed Species Recorded			28

APPENDIX C – PRO-FORMA INTERIM REPORT



Interim Works Summary

Period: xxxxxxxx to xxxxxxxx

Site Name: xxxxxxxxxx

Location: xxxxxxxxxx

REPORT DATE: xxxxxx

PREPARED BY: xxxxxx

REPORT PREPARED FOR: xxxx

© Southern Habitat (NSW) Pty Ltd

This document is, and shall remain the property of, Southern Habitat (NSW) Pty Ltd. It may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction

The following report offers an interim summary of restoration works conducted by Southern Habitat (NSW) Pty Ltd at *Site Name (Site Address)* during the six months from xxxx to xxxx, 20xx.

Specifically, the interim report aims to provide an up-to-date summary of the following:

- Changing condition of resident vegetation since the commencement of restoration works (including an updated native and weed plant species audit);
- Restoration work activities, including a brief overview of restoration techniques and weed treatments employed on site;
- A brief breakdown of the number of hours used for site restoration.

2. Performance Criteria

The Vegetation Management Plan (VMP) provides a brief set of performance criteria that must be addressed by the current restoration works and subsequent interim reports. These performance criteria represent both qualitative and quantitative measures to ensure the protection, enhancement and rehabilitation of site vegetation:

- *List specific Performance Criteria as outlined in Section 5 of VMP*

This interim restoration works summary will specifically address these performance criteria as detailed in the VMP.

3. Summary of Restoration Works

- *Detailed summary of works conducted within reporting period, as outlined in Section 3 of VMP*
- *Table of any vegetation units installed (refer Appendix)*

4. Summary of Allocated Hours

Month	Hours	Activity
-------	-------	----------

Total		
-------	--	--

5. Photo Diary

The following photographs represent qualitative before-and-after analyses of changing vegetation conditions as a result of restoration works conducted by Southern Habitat (NSW) Pty Ltd between xxxxx and xxxxx 20xx.

6. Future Restoration Actions

- *Framework for next 6-month works period, including any actions to address shortfalls identified in this report*
- *Refer Appendix*

Summary of Installed Vegetation Units and 6-monthly audit

Details of 4 sample plots (10m x 10m) throughout subject site

Note that abundances of species are provided for both the initial and 6-monthly audits. Species highlighted in bold are those exhibiting changes in occurrence or abundance at the completion of 6 months restoration.

6 monthly audit Sample Plot Results

Site:

Project:

Sample Plot No.:

Audit Undertaken by:

Date:

Botanical Name	Common Name	Installed No.	Audited No.	No. Loss	% loss

6 monthly audit

Summary of Sample Plot Results

Site:

Project:

Audit Undertaken by:

Date:

[illegible]

APPENDIX D – LANDCAPE PLAN

Refer attached document Ochre Landscape Architects Drawing 1142 – LC01F (revision F 08/05/2015)

APPENDIX E. AERIAL VIEW OF SITE INDICATING VMP AREA



Area covered by the VMP shaded green – included is the Illawarra Subtropical rainforest (EEC) outlined in red.

APPENDIX F: RECOMMENDED SPECIES TO ACHIEVE FULLY STRUCTURED RIPARIAN COMPOSITION

Species type	Species	Common Name	QTY	Contribution within Species Type (%)
Ground Covers				
	<i>Carex longebrachiata</i>	Bergalia Tussock	1150	27.1%
	<i>Commelina cyanea</i>	-	800	18.8%
	<i>Dichondra repens</i>	Kidney Weed	800	18.8%
	<i>Oplismenus imbecillis</i>	Basket Grass	1150	27.1%
	<i>Pseuderanthum variable</i>	Pastel Flower	350	8.2%
		Sub-total	4250	100%
Vine and Climbers				
	<i>Aphanopetalum resinosum</i>	Gum Vine	150	20%
	<i>Cayratia clematidea</i>	Slender Grape	150	20%
	<i>Maclura chochinchinensis</i>	Cockspur Thorn	150	20%
	<i>Pandorea pandorana</i>	Wonga Vine	150	20%
	<i>Smilax australis</i>	-	150	20%
		Sub-total	750	100%
Shrubs/Mid Canopy				
	<i>Abutilon oxycarpum</i>	Lantern Bush	80	11.27%
	<i>Breynia oblongifolia</i>	Coffee Bush	60	8.45%
	<i>Cassine australis</i>	Red Fruited Olive	40	5.63%
	<i>Livistona australis</i>	Cabbage Palm	100	14.08%
	<i>Pittosporum multiflorum</i>	Orange Thorn	40	5.63%
	<i>Rapanea variabilis</i>	Muttonwood	60	8.45%
	<i>Rubus parvifolius</i>	Native Raspberry	120	16.90%
	<i>Streblus brunonianus</i>	Whalebone	90	12.68%
	<i>Synoum glandulosum</i>	Rosewood	60	8.45%
	<i>Trema tomentosa</i>	Native Peach	60	8.45%
		Sub-total	710	100.00%
Canopy Tree				
	<i>Acacia maidenii</i>	Maiden's Wattle	60	8.63%
	<i>Alectryon subcinereus</i>	Native Quince	60	8.63%
	<i>Alphitonia excelsa</i>	Red Ash	60	8.63%
	<i>Doryphora sassafras</i>	Sassafras	50	7.19%
	<i>Eucalyptus quadrangulata</i>	White Box	120	17.27%
	<i>Ficus coronata</i>	Sand paper Fig	40	5.76%
	<i>Ficus macropylla</i>	Moreton Bay Fig	5	0.72%
	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	Cheese Tree	40	5.76%
	<i>Guoia semiglauca</i>	Guioa	35	5.04%

	<i>Planchonella australis</i>	Black Apple	60	8.63%
	<i>Syzygium smithii</i>	Lillypilly	75	10.79%
	<i>Toona ciliata</i>	Red Cedar	90	12.95%
		Sub-total	695	100.00%

APPENDIX G: WORKS SUMMARY UP TO JUNE 2014

Date	Activities
09/08/2013	<p>Induction to site covering OHS issues and plan of Management.</p> <p>Cut and paint of Lantana south of bridge with mulching of resultant material.</p> <p>Slashing of Kikuyu, Crofton and Thistles on bank edges south of entry Bridge</p> <p>Cut paint and removal of Senna in same area.</p>
12/08/2013	<p>Brush cutting of in stream weeds and banks north of entry bridge.</p> <p>Cut and paint of Senna and lantana north of entry bridge on eastern bank, removal of propagules.</p>
13/08/2013	<p>Cut and paint of Senna and lantana north of entry bridge on western bank, removal of propagules.</p> <p>Slashing of Kikuyu, Crofton and Thistles south of entry Bridge.</p>
23/08/2013	<p>Isolation around Native plants and trees at northern extent of site.</p>

Date	Activities
	Cut and paint of Senna and lantana northern extent of site
26/08/2013	Chemical application to all weeds remaining throughout entire site. Foliar application of Round up Biactive at 1:100.
11/09/2013	Mechanical processing of all cured weed biomass throughout site.
18/09/2013	Chemical application targeting emerging weeds Throughout entire site. Cut and paint of any reshooting woody weeds previously treated. Hand mulching of any remaining cured weeds.
05/10/2013	Installation of mulch to entirety of site.
22/04/2014	Chemical application across site targeting re – emerging exotic grasses, Lantana, Crofton weed, Mist Flower and cape ivy.
14/05/2014	Installation and staking of 550 units in southern zones. All plants inserted with Terraform and watered in.
15/05/2014	Installation and staking of 565 units north of bridge. All plants inserted with Terraform and watered in.
20/05/2014	Watering of all installed units

Date	Activities
24/05/2014	Watering of all installed units
30/05/2014	Watering of all installed units
06/06/2014	Installation and staking of 290 units into north eastern embankment. All plants inserted with Terraform and watered in.
09/06/2014	Watering of all installed units

End of report

(HOORAY!)