

Nicholas Hall - Submission Details for Claire Charles (object)

From: Claire Charles <clairecharles1964@hotmail.com>
To: <Nicholas.Hall@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 10/29/2012 9:00 AM
Subject: Submission Details for Claire Charles (object)
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

 Department of Planning

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Claire Charles
 Email: clairecharles1964@hotmail.com

Address:
 36 Crebert st

Mayfield, NSW
 2304

Content:
 Nicholas Hall - Planner
 Mining and Industry Projects
 Dept. of Planning and Infrastructure
 GPO Box 39
 SYDNEY 2001
 Nicholas.Hall@planning.nsw.gov.au

Date 28/10/2012

Dear Sir/Madam

As a resident of Mayfield please accept this submission of objection regarding Incitec Pivot's proposed ammonium nitrate plant on Kooragang Island (SSD-4986).

In my opinion the proposal creates an unacceptable risk to nearby residents and Incitec's Environmental Impact Statement does not address my concerns of explosive risk, noise, air and water pollution and possible impacts to house values .

Newcastle and the suburbs that surround the Port are demanding responsible planning decisions and the thought of two ammonium nitrate plants, operating side-by-side just 800 meters from residents is a planning disaster.

I do not accept that Newcastle Port, its present infrastructure and industrial focus is in the best interest of Newcastle and the Hunter Region. The potential for Port facilities to be left as a series of stranded assets in the foreseeable future is real and will be of significant cost to the community and our region

Incitec has suspended its feasibility study on the development of the project (Sept 2012 ASX Announcement) deferring the decision on whether to proceed with the development for at least two years. In the meantime, however, it is our understanding that they wish to have the development proposal approved so that if and when the proponents (or possible new owners) are ready to proceed with the proposal they can avoid the need to address the cumulative impacts on the local community and the environment that are continually compounding in the absence of a Port Master Plan.

The direct impacts from Incitec's proposal for me and my family include:

- * High safety and hazard risk , including road transportation to the coal mines and from the Port of Newcastle as well as the Hunter regional road network
- * Increase in 24 hour noise levels
- * Air quality impacts
- * Impacts on the internationally listed Ramsar wetlands
- * Groundwater impacts

I am aware that ammonium nitrate is an oxidising agent, not an explosive, however, it can be turned into an explosive both quickly and easily by shock waves, foreign matter, heat and pressure. Whilst the risk of explosion is small, the impact of an explosion would be catastrophic and despite slogans in Incitec's EIS of "world's best practice" accidents do occur, take for instance Orica's Hexavalent Chromium leak in 2011.

One of the fundamental responsibilities of any Government is the welfare and protection of people and this proposal undermines the safety of around 50,000 residents within a 5km radius. Government should note that if Incitec

proceeds there is enough explosive power on Kooragang Island to match the Hiroshima atomic bomb (Hiroshima used 18,000 tons of TNT which is comparable to the 21,000 tons proposed by Incitec and Orica's current capacity).

The Department of Planning must also acknowledge that the South Australian Government is trying to shift Incitec's storage of AN in Port Adelaide due to explosion risk for residents, which is outlined by a SA WorkCover report. Such a massive concentration of ammonium nitrate storage with 800 m of residents is not acceptable to the communities that surround the proposal.

Air Pollution

I'm deeply concerned that Incitec's plant will only add to already deteriorating air quality. Residents experience high levels of coal dust from PWCS and NCIG, diesel particulates from industry and nitrous oxides from Orica's plant. Two large scale ammonium nitrate plants, operating so close to residents creates an especially high concentration of NOx gases that are detrimental to respiratory health, especially the young and elderly. Orica's expansion and Port Waratah Coal Services' T4 proposal, further impacts future air-quality and Incitec's Air Quality tests does little to abate my concerns regarding air pollution.

Noise Pollution

Industrial noise, especially night-time noise is already a major concern which impacts me. Incitec's EIS noise monitoring of the site was conducted when Orica's ammonia plant was not even in operation and proved that Orica is not meeting acceptable noise levels.

Furthermore, Incitec in their EIS, argue that "it is appropriate to relax the recommended levels for suburban areas by 5db".

Here are some extracts taken from different sections of Incitec's EIS on Noise.

"As the existing level of industrial noise exceeds noise amenity criteria recommended by the EPA's Industrial Noise Policy (INP) by a significant margin, alternate operational noise criteria has been nominated for the Project.

"Whilst the appropriate zoning in Stockton is recognised as suburban, considering the adjoining industrial zoning it must be noted that a suburban/industrial interface exists. The Industrial Noise Policy, does not provide recommended industrial noise levels for suburban/industrial interfaces and therefore it is considered appropriate to relax the recommended levels for suburban areas by 5db.

"Given that IPL and Orica are the only two operators that could materially influence industrial noise, it's proposed the adjacent sites assume an equal responsibility in achieving the nominated levels."

As a resident personally affected by noise from Orica and PWCS, I find the assertion of Stockton being an 'interface' suburb offensive and the idea for government to 'relax' noise limits completely absurd.

How can industry be trusted when Orica are well above night-time noise limits and Incitec are requesting special considerations?

Inadequate consultation

Many residents from Stockton, Carrington, Tighes Hill and Mayfield have been left in the dark on this project. Letter drops and one information session two months after the Orica disaster is not proper consultation for a project of such magnitude and widespread impacts.

Incitec's own 'community perception' survey conducted in April 2012, identified less than a third of residents were unaware of the Project. Another example of poor consultation is residents that live within the outer rim of a 5 km radius have been excluded in any communication material. Suburbs such as Cooks Hill, Newcastle West and East, The Hill and Hamilton South have received no information regarding the proposal.

Now, Incitec has declared a two year delay in making any decision on the Project. This may please IPL's investors; however it prolongs resident uncertainty, stymies local investment and provides Incitec with an extended period to lobby Government ministers.

Impacting house prices

Incitec's EIS fails to address my concern that a second ammonium nitrate plant may impact house prices. If Incitec's development is approved, the risk profile increases for all suburbs close to Kooragang and it's highly likely that the value of properties may decrease. Downward pressure on properties would be a direct result from fewer new families moving into areas like Stockton and a reputational stigma for suburbs closest to two ammonium nitrate plants.

Incitec's EIS does not acknowledge this issue, nor does it address who would be responsible if property values were lowered by their Project.

Traffic Impacts

Traffic is already a major problem as a result of industrial activity on Kooragang Island. Incitec's EIS does nothing to mitigate future traffic problems during construction and its operational phase.

In addition to congestion, the extra diesel truck movements will add to dangerous carcinogenic fine particles and nitrous oxides levels.

Employment and economic impacts in Newcastle and Lower Hunter

If operational, Incitec's plant will employ just 60 people, many of whom will be transfers from the company's Moorabah ammonium nitrate plant. Considering the risk and impacts the plant brings to tens of thousands of people, 60 jobs are not commensurate with the more obvious and insidious impacts the plant will bring.

Furthermore, Incitec have stated that rising construction costs and a failing coal price has forced a two year delay in making a decision on this Project. These outside economic force s impact the viability and longevity of the plant and should be included in EIS.

Polluting the hunter river

As a keen fisherman and swimmer, it's concerning that Incitec will be handed yet "another license to pollute" the Hunter River. If Incitec truly want to build a world-class plant then their EIS should reflect a plant with no effluent into the Hunter River. The river is an important recreational estuary for thousands of fisherman, not to mention that Kooragang is an international regonised RAMSAR wetland. Excessive industrial development with a licenses to pollute the river close to a RAMSAR area is not common sense planning, nor does it position the Hunter River in a positive light to tourists.

As a submission maker, I can confirm that I have not made a political donation totaling \$1000 or more in the past 2 years.

Yours Sincerely,

Claire Charles

Andrew Parker

April Parker

36 Cre bert st

Mayfield

2304

IP Address: d58-106-165-131.sbr800.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 58.106.165.131

Submission: Online Submission from Claire Charles (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_diary&id=43209

Submission for Job: #4986 SSD-4986, Incitec Ammonium Nitrate Manufacturing Facility Project

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_job&id=4986

Site: #2546 Incitec, Kooragang Island

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_site&id=2546

Claire Charles

E : clairecharles1964@hotmail.com

Powered by [AffinityLive](#): Work. Smarter.