

Expert Arboricultural planning, advice and care since 1998

Arborist Reports, Landscape Design, Flora and Fauna Surveys,
Biodiversity and Ecological Impact Assessments &
Bushfire Protection Assessment Services

10 October 2019

JDH Architects 44 Little Oxford Street Darlinghurst NSW 2010

To whom it may concern,

TREE IMPACT STATEMENT: St Francis Catholic College 130-160 Jardine Drive, Edmondson Park NSW

This letter has been commissioned by JDH Architects to discuss the extent of impact of a proposed development near Trees 21, 22, 23 (*Eucalyptus moluccana*) and 30, 31 (*Corymbia maculata*) at 130-160 Jardine Drive, Edmondson Park. The following documents have been cited in preparation of this statement:

- Arboricultural Impact Appraisal and Method Statement by Naturally Trees dated 23 May 2018;
- Survey Plan, Dwg No. 211008-TS01 (Issue A), by Land Team dated 22 January 2018;
- Scope of Works Plan, Dwg No. A-000 (Revision 2), by JDH Architects dated 30 September 2019:
- Development Consent, Application No. SSD 8832, by Minister for Planning dated 12 February 2019;
- Construction Certificate, Certificate No. CCE-2/2019, by Liverpool City Council dated 10 May 2019; and
- Approved Plans for Poziers Road, Ref. No. 16170E1, Sheet 1 to 8 (Issue E), by JMD dated 1 March 2019.

The SSD 8832 Development Consent's items A14 and A15 include the following conditions:

Landscaping

- A14. Tree 31 Spotted Gum (Corymbia maculata) as identified in the Arborist Report prepared by Naturally Trees, dated 23 May 2018 must be retained and incorporated into the landscaping plans for the site.
- A15. An appropriately qualified arborist must be engaged to undertake an evaluation of the potential to retain the Eucalyptus moluccana trees numbered 21, 22, 23 and the Corymbia maculata tree numbered 30 within the Arboricultural Assessment undertaken by Naturally Trees dated 23 May 2018. A report documenting findings must be submitted to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary prior to the lodgement of any future applications. Where the trees cannot be retained without significant design changes or any other justified reason to remove the trees, justification must be included.



I understand that the subject site forms a small part of a much larger subdivision including roads, footpaths, services and new dwellings that abut the subject site boundaries. Works have been active within the adjoining properties since 2016.

Based on review of the issued plans, I can confirm that Trees 21, 22, 23 and 30 will be directly or indirectly impacted by the approved road and footpath development.

Tree 31 could be successfully retained without any adverse effects if appropriate protective measures are properly specified and controlled through a detailed arboricultural method statement. The location of this tree, its tree protection zone and tree protection fencing should be illustrated on ALL plans to aid in its protection and long-term retention.

In conclusion I see no realistic way to successfully retain Trees 21, 22, 23 and 30 under the approved works, within and adjacent to the subject site. The subject trees are very susceptible to construction impacts and changes in environmental conditions including, but not limited to the following: removal of existing vegetation, altered water tables due to level variations, ground disturbance from existing and previous construction activity and belowground services.

For further information regarding the subject trees, please contact Naturally Trees.

Yours sincerely

Andrew Scales

Dip. Horticulture / Arboriculture

Mobile: 0417 250 420