

# Meeting Minutes

## Fort Street Public School (FSPS)

### State Significant Development (SSD) Application

Date 25/08/2020 Time 12.00 – 1.00pm Teams Meeting

#### Attendees

Pres.	Apol.	Name	Position	Company	Ref
		Title			
<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Dan Herbertson	Senior Project Director	SINSW	SINSW-DH
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Karissa Kendall	Project Director (Chair)	SINSW	SINSW-KK
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alix Carpenter	Director, Statutory Planning	SINSW	SINSW-AC
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	David Lewis	Principal Statutory Planner	SINSW	SINSW-DL
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jason Maslen	Team Leader, School Infrastructure Assessments	NSW DPIE	DPIE-JM
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jenny Chu	Planning Officer, Social and Infrastructure Assessments	NSW DPIE	DPIE-JC
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Chris Crick	Director	RP	RP-CC
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Justine Newby	Senior Project Manager	RP	RP-JN
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Kate Tudehope	Associate Director	Ethos Urban	EU-KT
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Elizabeth Carpenter	Managing Principal	FJMT	FJMT-EC
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Daniel Bourke	Associate	FJMT	FJMT-DB
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Richard Tripolone	Landscape Architect	FJMT	FJMT-RT
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Jon Davis	Project Director	Lend Lease	LL-JD
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tasmin Trickett	Project Manager	Lend Lease	LL-TT
<input type="checkbox"/>	X	Glenn Bird	Arborist	Birds Trees	BT-GB

Item	Action	Date
1.0 Introductions.		
1.1 SINSW-KK introduced all meeting attendees.	Note	
2.0 Direction SINSW will be taking in relation to Council's request for additional tree retention.		
2.1 DPIE-JM outlined the expectations of NSW Government and the Executive Director of DPIE in relation to tree retention and tree replacement canopy cover. The expectations are as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Tree retention and tree replacement canopy cover is becoming a stronger objective for NSW Government. Tree retention will need to be seriously considered by the applicant and, where it is not possible, then it needs to be clearly demonstrated with good reasoning to DPIE as to why it is not possible.</li> </ul>	Note	

<p>II. EU-KT noted that tree retention has been paramount in the design of the FSPS Project. Overall, the proposed development will result in a net increase in trees on the site.</p>	<p>Note</p>	
<p><b>2.2 Tree Management: Tree 2</b></p> <p>I. FJMT-DB discussed the architectural background for Tree 2 (Brush box tree). The design is seeking to create a larger, more level and safer playing area for the students. The retention of Tree 2 would result in a considerable reduction in the amount of seating able to be provided, thereby limiting the functionality of the space.</p> <p>II. FJMT-RT discussed the landscape context for Tree 2 and noted the following: Tree 2 has quite a lean on it, it is located in the timber decking play area, and as it is low it will be difficult to build over it and will not have sufficient room to grow.</p>	<p>Note</p> <p>Note</p>	
<p><b>2.3 Tree Management: Tree 7</b></p> <p>I. FJMT-DB discussed the architectural background for Tree 7 (Banksia tree). The canopy of Tree 7 is 7m in diameter, which falls within the colonnade area and new buildings. The colonnade is an important east-west pedestrian link and entry path within the school that is configured for high frequency.</p> <p>II. FJMT-DB noted that the retention of Tree 7 would require a change to the shape of the building and also require an alteration of the colonnade ground surface to a permeable surface which is not appropriate for high foot traffic. Therefore, given the impracticalities of retaining Tree 7 and its lack of landscape or environmental significance, Tree 7 is proposed for removal.</p> <p>III. FJMT-RT discussed the landscape context for Tree 7 and noted the following: Tree 7 is near the end of its life, is on a lean and is in close proximity to the heritage wall. The landscape design is proposing Banksia trees in other locations on the site as a way to offset the removal of Tree 7.</p>	<p>Note</p> <p>Note</p> <p>Note</p>	
<p><b>2.4 Tree Management: Tree 16</b></p> <p>I. FJMT-DB discussed the architectural background for Tree 16 (Jacaranda tree). Tree 16 is in close proximity to Building F and the building will encroach in to the TPZ, affecting the tree's viability.</p> <p>II. FJMT-RT discussed the landscape context for Tree 16 and noted the following: Tree 16 overhangs the Cahill Expressway, presenting a safety risk for children as well as road users; the form of Tree 16 does not lend itself to radial bars or spikes to discourage children from climbing on the tree and is therefore a climbing risk for children.</p> <p>III. FJMT-RT noted that there is an option to retain Tree 16 but significant pruning and sculpting of the tree would need to occur to keep it off the fence.</p>	<p>Note</p> <p>Note</p> <p>Note</p>	
<p><b>2.5 Tree Management: Trees 2, 7 and 16</b></p> <p>I. DPIE-JM noted the design challenges and clear reasons as to why Trees 2 and 7 cannot be retained, and may make conditions for Tree 2 regarding detailed root mapping. This will be dependent on the City of Sydney's responses in relation to extending services into Tree 2's TPZ and on-site detention tank.</p> <p>II. DPIE-JM noted that while there are challenges with Tree 16, it may be viable to retain the tree. DPIE's preference is to keep Tree 16 but not have it conditioned to the existing location due to the fencing</p>	<p>Note</p> <p>Note</p>	

200825 FSPS SSDA\_DPIE Meeting\_Minutes