

Level 5, 9 Castlereagh Street Sydney, NSW, 2000

Johnstaff Projects (NSW) Pty Ltd

T: +61 2 8256 0500 F: +61 2 8256 0501 W: johnstaff.com.au

ABN 75 137 728 959

15 March 2022

Department of Planning and Environment

Attention: David Gibson

Team Leader, Social Infrastructure Social & Infrastructure Assessments

Subject: St John of God Richmond Hospital Redevelopment (SSD-10394)

Request for Information (RFI-37865572)

Dear David,

The following responses, as part of the request for additional information dated 04/03/2022, are provide as requested by the Department of Planning and Environment:

SJG Richmond Hospital Redevelopment			
Request for Information			
No.	DPIE Request for information	Proponent Response	
1.	Clarify the full-time equivalent construction jobs	The full-time equivalent construction jobs have been derived from the Landcom jobs calculator as provided by DPIE. The number of construction jobs is closely related to the size of construction project. Landcom indicates that 2 construction jobs per \$1 million enables the calculation. Based on the projects Capital Investment Value this equates to 98 full-time equivalent construction jobs	
2.	Provide a cover letter prepared by a qualified specialist, correcting the original BDAR by clarifying: • the tree species within the Shale sandstone transition forest TEC being sought for removal – currently a Forest Red Gum is listed within the BDAR for removal but is not listed on the most recent tree removal plans provided. • the BDAR does not note the removal of a narrow-leaved ironbark which is identified on the tree removal plans, please clarify	Through miscommunication there remained some ambiguity with regards to the BDAR & removal of tree information provided to DPIE. Further consultation with the biodiversity consultant and arborist has provided clarity into a particular tree species to remain and be removed within the projects site. Appendix A is a letter from Cumberland Ecology confirming tree species for removal and retention. This is also consolidated with the arborist tree removal data. Appendix B is the coordinated tree removal plan.	

3.	Provide the height exceedances of pavilions 2 and	The maximum height of the Residence
	3 in metres – no updated Clause 4.6 necessary.	Pavilion roof ridge has not changed
		and therefore the exceedance breach
		to Pavilion 2 & 3 remain unchanged.
		Pavilion 4 was rotated and now sits on
		existing land that is not as steep. The
		rotation of Pavilion 4 results in the
		exceedance breach of 2.1m (rounded)
		This is identical to the exceedance
		breach occurring at Pavilion 3 being
		2.1m.

Please don't hesitate to contact the undersigned should you wish to discuss in further detail.

Yours sincerely,

Damian Gibson

Senior Project Manager