

20 September 2021

Department of Planning, Industry & Environment 4 Parramatta Square, 12 Darcy Street Parramatta NSW 2150

Attn:

Nathan Stringer Senior Planning Officer Social and Infrastructure Assessments

Dear Nathan

RE: Sutherland Hospital Operating Theatre Upgrade (SSD-11099584)

The following information is provided in response to additional correspondence regarding a Request for Additional Information for the above SSD Application, dated 10 September 2021 and additional requests from 16 September 2021.

Item	Request (DPIE email dated 16 th September 2021)	Project Response (16.09.2021)
1 – Existing RFI	 Please confirm that the only alterations to the revised submitted EIS are those required to clarify the number of trees to be removed, and that no other amendments have been made. Please ensure that the tree removal and protection plan contains a number, revision number, title and date. Please also ensure that the EIS is updated to clarify and confirm the number of external signage panels proposed, as the document incorrectly states that no signage is proposed as part of the development. Please submit your response to the minor RFI issued via email on 16 September 2021 (re: external signage 	 We confirm that the only alterations to the revised submitted EIS are those required to clarify the number of trees to be removed, no other amendments were made to the EIS Revision E issued on 13 September 2021. EIS Update to Rev F responds only to the points as noted in items 2 (Additional Request) and 3 (Signage) below. Please see attached drawing reference TSHOTC-TSP-001 Rev 1 with Title Block incorporated. Addressed below. Noted



2- Additional Request 3 – Signage	quantity) as part of the revised package under this major RFI. Both RFIs can then be closed out together. Please clarify and confirm the number of external signage panels proposed. Within the wayfinding strategy: • four external signs are listed within the quantities schedule (1 external entry ID, and 3 external entry notices) • five external signs are shown on the plans, including a hospital roundel in addition to the four listed above • detailed drawings have been provided for five external signs. Please also revise the EIS to note that	Please find attached updated Attachment C - Wayfinding Strategy Rev H. We confirm the only amendment to previous submission as the listing of the documented crest drawing EX.04C to the schedule on Page G.
o orginage	signage is proposed - the latest EIS submitted in response to the recent RFI states that the proposal does not incorporate signage. Please respond to this minor RFI within the same package as the RFI requested on 10 September 2021.	amendments have been made than response to the RFI in the following sections; - Section 3.7 - Section 3.9 - Section 6.2
4 – Dangerous Goods	"It appears that the Applicant has undertaken a preliminary risk screening based on the total dangerous goods storage quantities for the existing and proposed operations at the hospital. As it is unclear whether the proposed SSD and the associated Dangerous Goods (DGs) handling and storage location are separated from the existing operation, a PHA may or may not have been required. As such, the following clarifications are sought: 1. Provide an updated Table 1 of the "SEPP 33	Appendix T of the EIS provides the project Preliminary Hazard Analysis. This assessment concluded that the existing cryogenic/liquid oxygen storage tanks and compressed oxygen cylinders will exceed the screening threshold of SEPP 33. Therefore, a detailed qualitative analysis was conducted to determine the risk to off-site populations. It was concluded that the cryogenic oxygen tanks and compressed oxygen cylinders while exceeding the Applying SEPP 33 threshold quantities, do not pose a



Requirements" report
(Appendix S of the EIS) to
report both the existing DGs
storage quantities at the
hospital, and the proposed
DG storage quantities
associated with this SSD; and

2. Confirmation that the scope application does not require relocation of any existing storage of DGs, that are above the "Applying SEPP33" thresholds."

significant off-site risk to the surrounding residential areas.

In response to the clarifications;

- 1. An updated Table 1 of the "SEPP 33 Requirements" report is not required as the table outlies the existing quantity's on campus. There is no proposal to increase as the existing site infrastructure has sufficient capacity to accommodate the increase under this SSD.
- 2. We confirm the scope of this application does not require relocation of any existing storage of DGs, that are above the "Applying SEPP33" thresholds."

Should you have any queries regarding the above, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on 0403 977 113.

Yours sincerely

Nicholas Dowman

Senior Planning Advisor