

Mr Sam Coles
Borg Manufacturing Pty Limited
2 Wella Way
SOMERSBY NSW 2250

19 August 2021

Dear Mr Coles

St Marys Resource Recovery Facility (Borg) (SSD-10474)
Request for additional information

I refer to Response to Submissions report submitted for the St Marys Resource Recovery Facility (SSD-10474). The Department is requesting that you provide additional information.

You are requested to respond to all issues raised by public authorities in their review of the RtS. These are available on the Department's website at <https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/major-projects/project/37091>. Please note that the Department is still awaiting advice from some agencies and any further advice will be forwarded to you once received.

In addition, you are requested to address the matters identified by the Department in **Attachment 1**. Further comments may also be provided following review of the outstanding agency advice.

Please provide the information, or notify us that the information will not be provided, by 2 September 2021. If you cannot meet this deadline, please provide and commit to an alternative timeframe for providing this information.

If you have any questions, please contact David Schwebel on 9274 6400 or via email at david.schwebel@planning.nsw.gov.au.

Yours sincerely,



William Hodgkinson
Team Leader
Industry Assessments

Attachment 1

1. Please clarify whether the 1,700 tonnes of waste metals collected when processing the timber waste (identified in Section 5 of the RtS Report) are counted as part of the 100,000 tonnes of wood/timber waste to be brought to site as part of the maximum throughput of 150,000 tonnes per annum.
2. The tip and spread area for wood waste shown on the updated plans overlaps the wood waste storage area. This conflicts with Standard 1.2 of the 'Standards for managing construction waste in NSW' (EPA 2019). Please clarify that the tip and spread area can be appropriately located, whilst also maintaining necessary waste storage capacity.
3. Please provide the dimensions of the plasterboard waste storage bay to demonstrate how the 456m³ volume, identified in Table 3.6 of the updated Waste Management Plan, is achieved.
4. Section 6.4 of the Non-conforming Waste Procedure identifies that non-conforming waste may be moved to a bunded area prior to removal. Please clarify the location of this area on the plans.
5. In Appendix A of the RtS Report, Comment 21 states that the development would generate 46 trucks per day, while in Comment 23 it is stated that there has been a reduction of 2 trucks to 44 trucks per day. Please clarify this discrepancy.
6. The Department notes that all metal waste to be received is identified as being brought to site via heavy rigid vehicles (Comment 21 in Appendix A). Given this waste is to be delivered directly to site by customers, please provide additional justification that all metal waste will be delivered only via heavy rigid vehicles.
7. While it is noted that an easement is proposed to accommodate the existing OSD tanks on the adjoining property, consideration should be given to undertaking a boundary adjustment to rectify this issue and ensure that all parts of the facility are located on the one property.
8. The Department notes that the swept path analysis (Appendix G of the RtS) shows that 19m articulated vehicles require the whole driveway width for the property when entering and exiting the site, and therefore only one vehicle could enter or exit the site at one time.

Please provide further details on how the movement of vehicles in/out of the site will be managed to ensure safe and efficient access to the site.

While it is acknowledged that the adjoining property at 21 Dunheved Circuit is under the same ownership and 'currently vacant', further consideration is required with regard to any necessary measures to ensure the safe operation of heavy vehicles concurrently on both properties, particularly trucks accessing the rear of 21 Dunheved Circuit.