

Mr Mark Hutcheson Operations Planning Support Manager Benedict Recycling Pty Ltd 11 Narabang Way Belrose NSW 2085

08/06/2021

Dear Mr Hutcheson

## Mayfield West Waste Facility-Mod-1 (SSD-7698-Mod-1) Request for additional information

I refer to the assessment for the Mayfield West Waste Facility Modification 1 (SSD-7698-Mod-1). Please provide additional information to effectively address the Department's issues noted in Attachment 1. Please also address the issues raised by agencies available on the Department's website:

https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/major-projects/project/41656

Unfortunately, Hunter Water and Fire and Rescue NSW were not able to provide their comments at the time of writing. These will be forwarded once received.

Please provide the information, or notify us that the information will not be provided, by Tuesday 29 June 2021. If you cannot meet this deadline, please provide and commit to an alternative timeframe for providing this information.

If you have any questions, please contact Katelyn Symington, on (02) 8275 1216 or at katelyn.symington@planning.nsw.gov.au.

Yours sincerely,

Pamela Morales Principal Planner Industry Assessments

Enclosed/Attached:

Attachment 1: Department's Comments on Modification Report

# Attachment 1 Department's Comments on Modification Report

Section 1.2 Existing Approvals

• Condition A30 of the consent SSD 7698 requires the Applicant to modify DA2015/0291 to remove the recycling facility from the development consent. Please provide evidence that consent DA2015/0291 has been modified.

## Section 5.2 Air quality

- The previous assumption was based on 25% of the total heavy waste processing and stockpiling area (26,800m<sup>2</sup>) having wind erosion potential at any one time. Wind erosion was one of the highest contributors to air quality criteria based on the revised air quality assessment (Appendix G of the Applicant's RtS for SSD 7698). The description provided under section 5.2.2 does not align with the revised air quality assessment.
- The figures provided in tables under section 5.2.2 of the modification report do not match Table 1 of the Appendix G of the Applicant's RtS for SSD 7698 and it is not clear how the 'MOD with increased wind erosion area' figures have been calculated and the tables appear to show more than 50% increase in annual concentrations from those predicted in the revised air quality assessment.
- There is no assessment of cumulative or incremental air quality impacts against the relevant criteria.
- Please provide an assessment of air quality impacts that demonstrates there would be minimal environmental impacts through the addition of external storage areas and include any management and mitigation measures proposed.

## Section 5.5 Surface water

- The proposed new areas were not included in the updated site water balance provided with the RtS for the original SSD. The updated site Water Balance looked at Area 1 and Area 2 only. Please update the Water Balance to include the new areas proposed for inclusion within the site boundary for SSD 7698.
- The soil and water management report for the RtS includes the main source of sediments in runoff is from Areas 1 and 2 and does not consider the new areas. What materials are proposed to be stockpiled in the additional heavy materials processing area and are any of these likely to be considered potentially contaminating?
- Figure 8 shows the existing water management system for Area 1 and 2 only. The new proposed Areas would be within the Area 3 catchment in the soil and water management report. Please update the existing soil and water management report to include the new areas proposed for inclusion within the site boundary for SSD 7698.
- Please provide an updated assessment of water quality impacts and a site water balance including the new areas.

Section 5.8 Traffic

- Confirm the Applicant intends to remove the current wall to accommodate the swept path as shown on Figure 11: Light and heavy vehicle swept paths for the proposed areas.
- Provide additional details on how traffic movements would be managed to reduce conflicts between FEL and light and heavy vehicle traffic in the proposed new areas e.g. what traffic protocols are in place to align with the modifications objective to improve safety of light vehicles. Will FEL be able to operate while hand unloading is taking place?

### Management and Mitigation Measures

• If additional management and mitigation measures are proposed these should be included in an updated list of management and mitigation measures, see Appendix B of consent for SSD 7698.