

Travis Lythall

From: Travis Lythall
Sent: Monday, 7 December 2020 5:42 PM
To: 'Prity Cleary'
Cc: 'Suzie Hendry'; 'Gayatri Sawe'; 'Vicki van Dijk'
Subject: RE: SSD 9472 - Sikh Grammar School - Request for Additional information
Attachments: RFI Request for Additional Information_04122020_035605_.pdf; PT18022r02 - Proposed Sikh Grammar School Rouse Hill CTMP_Final_V3a.pdf; PT18022DA01 Model (1).pdf

Hi Prity,

In relation to the attached RFI, please see below comprising formal comments provided by Positive Traffic. As a general note, Positive Traffic confirm that locations of trucks and vehicle movements by stages would be subject to a separate Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP). A Preliminary CTMP was previously prepared (and submitted); however, detailed information cannot be provided until the Detailed Design phase prior to the issue of the relevant Construction Certificate. Notwithstanding, the comments are noted as follows:

1. Swept Paths

- (a) *Swept paths are to be submitted for the largest anticipated vehicle (i.e. heavy-rigid vehicles or alternate size as proposed) that would access the site during construction and operation.*

There would be numerous locations over time where construction vehicles would enter / exit the site and this would require input / planning of the builder at the time for each stage, for which could be included within the finalised CTMP as a post-approval requirement.

- (b) *Swept paths are to be also submitted demonstrating a waste collection vehicle can enter and exit the site in forward direction for the purpose of waste collection from the designated waste collection points within the site.*

Swept paths for the garbage truck collection were previously provided and have been attached for consistency and completeness. The car parking area was sized accordingly with respect to the attach path shown in "Model 1".

2. Construction Vehicle Movement

Provide details of anticipated peak hour and daily construction (including excavation) vehicle movements to and from the site, for each of the construction stages. This should include the approximate number of trucks that would likely travel on the surround road network during the various construction phases.

Without input from a builder and detailed design of each stage it is not possible to provide specific numbers of truck movements generated by excavation / bringing of materials in etc. during this masterplan stage. As each stage would be subject to a further Detailed Design element prior to the issue of the relevant Construction Certificate, a CTMP would inform the relevant construction vehicle movements. The routes of travel were covered in the attached preliminary CTMP with all the information known included.

3. Staging Plan

It is noted that throughout all the submitted documents (EIS report, RTS report and supplementary RTS) the construction timeframe is stated as 10 years. However, the staging plans in the Architectural Design Report

(pages 59- 69 in Appendix 4 of the RTS) reflects 24-year timeframe. These staging plans are to be revised to reflect a 10-year construction timeframe so it's consistent with the submitted documents.

It is noted, that the EIS reported on a 10-year construction program as a result of the staged construction; however, it is confirmed that the ultimate built form of the Site would not be realised until a 24-year timeframe. The staging plans are to remain as submitted.

Additionally, Efficient Living have been appointed to undertake the preparation of BASIX Certificate in relation to the Staff Accommodation proposed on-site. We have included their letter of engagement until the formal BASIX Certificate is provided so as to not delay the finalisation of the overall assessment.

Could you also please confirm when we can expect to receive the Draft Conditions of Consent for review?

I trust this closes out DPIEs queries and should you wish to discuss further, please do not hesitate to reach out to me.

Regards, Travis.

Travis Lythall
Senior Town Planner



A National Town Planning Consultancy

SYDNEY | NEWCASTLE | GOLD COAST | BRISBANE

T 02 9929 6974 | M 0447 493 097 | L [LinkedIn profile](#)

E tlythall@willowtp.com.au | W www.willowtreepanning.com.au

P Suite 4, Level 7, 100 Walker Street, North Sydney

ISO 9001:2015 Certificate number: 54 146 035 707 – Q



This e-mail and attachments (if any) is intended only for the addressee(s) and is subject to copyright. This email contains information which may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please advise the sender by return email, do not use or disclose the contents and delete the message and any attachments from your system. Unless specifically stated, this email does not constitute formal advice or commitment by the sender

From: Prity Cleary <Prity.Cleary@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, 7 December 2020 9:16 AM
To: Travis Lythall <tlythall@willowtp.com.au>
Subject: SSD 9472 - Sikh Grammar School - Request for Additional information

Good morning Travis,

Please find attached a request for additional information letter for the above SSD. I'll call you later today to discuss the requested items over the phone.

Also please note we're still waiting on Council's comments thus we haven't provided the first draft of conditions to you for review yet.

Kind regards,

Prity Cleary

Senior Planner

Social and Infrastructure Assessments

Infrastructure Assessments

4 Parramatta Square, 12 Darcy Street | Locked Bag 5022 | Parramatta NSW 2124

T 02 8289 6795 E Prity.Cleary@planning.nsw.gov.au



Planning,
Industry &
Environment



Subscribe to our [newsletter](#)



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.