

25 November 2020

Mike Ryan Erilyan 1/27 Hotham Parade Artarmon NSW 2064 mryan@erilyan.com.au

Dear Mike,

Re: Response to Heritage NSW letter regarding Aboriginal heritage assessment for SSD-01059 Northside Hospital, Gosford.

HNSW correspondence

I refer to the letter addressed to DPIE from Heritage NSW (HNSW) of 30th October 2020. The letter regarded the sufficiency of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR): Northside Private Hospital Gosford* (Artefact 9 September 2020). HNSW stated that they are of the view that the document does not meet the SEARs for the project.

the consultation completed for the project does not currently satisfy the project SEARs by failing to provide adequate evidence to demonstrate how Stages 2 and 3 of the consultation requirements have been met. HNSW recommends that additional information be supplied, clearly articulating how all Stages of the Consultation requirements have been addressed by the proponent.

This letter outlines how the project SEARs have been met and provides the additional information requested by HNSW in regard to meeting the requirements of stages 2 and 3 of the Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents (DECCW 2010).

Addressing the SEARs

The project SEARs (SSD - 01059) state:

Prepare an aboriginal archaeology report in accordance with the relevant Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) guidelines. Should any aboriginal heritage items be impacted by the proposed development, an Aboriginal Heritage Cultural Assessment must be submitted.

Artefact prepared an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Assessment of the site in July 2019 in response to the SEARs. The assessment included consultation with the Darkinjung Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC) and concluded that the site has been heavily disturbed and did not contain any Aboriginal objects, areas of archaeological potential or cultural values (as informed by the LALC). As such

Artefact recommended no further Aboriginal archaeological assessment or consultation was required and that an ACHAR was not considered necessary under the SEARs as Aboriginal heritage items were not to be impacted by the project.

A review of the SSD-01059 EIS documents by DPIE BCD followed by a letter received on 20 March 2020 recommend that although Aboriginal heritage values were not identified an ACHAR should be prepared for the site and consultation undertaken in adherence to the Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents (DECCW 2010).

Artefact was subsequently directed by Erilyan to provide an ACHAR and undertake Aboriginal consultation for the project. This process was completed and reported in the final ACHAR (Artefact September 2020).

Sufficiency of Stages 2 and 3 consultation

Stages 2 and 3 of consultation as outlined in the Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents (DECCW 2010) was undertaken and is evidenced in the ACHAR.

The aim of Stage 2 consultation is to "..to provide registered Aboriginal parties with information about the scope of the proposed project and the proposed cultural heritage assessment process." (Section 4.2 of the guidelines).

Stage 3 of the guidelines aims to "facilitate a process whereby registered Aboriginal parties can: (a) contribute to culturally appropriate information gathering and the research methodology (b) provide information that will enable the cultural significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places on the proposed project area to be determined (c) have input into the development of any cultural heritage management options (Section 4,3 of the Guidelines).

A table addressing how each requirement of Stage 2 and 3 was met by the project and evidenced in the ACHAR is included below.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you require any additional information in regard to this advice.

Kind Regards,

Dr Sandra Wallace

Managing Director

Artefact Heritage

Sandra.wallace@artefact.net.au

allace

02 9518 8411



| Reference | Requirements and guidelines reference section | How requirement was met | Where evidenced in the ACHAR |
|-----------|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Section | The proponent must initiate arrangements for presenting | A letter was sent to all RAPs on 13th July outlining all | ACHAR Section 3.0 |
| 4.2.1 | the proposed project information to the registered | project information as required. Due to the nature and | |
| (Stage 2) | Aboriginal parties (from Stage 1). | scale of the project and given no Aboriginal heritage | Consultation letter |
| | | values has been identified in earlier stages a face to | 13 th July |
| | | face meeting was not scheduled. | |
| Section | The presentation of proposed project information should | | |
| 4.2.2 | provide the opportunity for: | | |
| (Stage 2) | | | |
| | a) the proponent to present the proposal, outline project | The letter provided to RAPs on 13 th July provided | Consultation letter |
| | details relevant to the nature, scope, methodology, and | information on the location and scope of the project as | 13 th July – Section |
| | environmental and other impacts | well as the results of the Aboriginal archaeological | 1.1 and Section 1.2 |
| | | assessment. The letter noted no Aboriginal heritage | |
| | | values has been identified and therefore no impacts to | |
| | | archaeology were expected. A methodology for the | |
| | | ACHAR preparation and consultation was provided. | |
| | b) the proponent to outline the impact assessment process | The assessment process was included in the | Consultation letter |
| | including the input points into the investigation and | consultation letter of July 13 th . The letter invited RAPs | 13th July – Section |
| | assessment activities | to comment on the assessment methodology and | 1.3 |
| | | provide suggestions. No suggestions were received, | |

| Reference | Requirements and guidelines reference section | How requirement was met | Where evidenced in the ACHAR |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| | c) the proponent to specify critical timelines and | The consultation letter of 13th July provided information | Consultation letter |
| | milestones for the completion of assessment activities and | on the project stage, as the ACHAR was not done at | 13 th July – Section |
| | delivery of reports | the EIS preparation stage (due to the wording of the | 1.1 |
| | | SEARs and later clarification by DPIE). The letter also | |
| | | provided information on date of response required. | |
| | (d) the proponent and registered Aboriginal parties to | Clarification in the letter was provided on the role of | Consultation letter |
| | clearly define agreed roles, functions and responsibilities | RAPs as knowledge holders and consultation as a | 13 th July – |
| | | collaborative process. | introduction |
| | | | |
| | (e) the registered Aboriginal parties to identify, raise and | The RAPs were invited to identify, raise and discuss | Consultation letter |
| | discuss their cultural concerns, perspectives and | their cultural concerns, perspectives and assessment | 13 th July – |
| | assessment requirements (if any). | requirements in the introduction to the consultation | introduction |
| | | letter of 13 th July. | |
| | | | |
| Section | The proponent should record or document that the | A consultation log was kept in which all | ACHAR Appendix 1 |
| 4.2.3 | proposed project information has been presented. This | correspondence, outgoing and incoming was | |
| (Stage 2) | record or documentation should include any agreed | registered. No issues were raised so additional | |
| | outcomes, and any contentious issues that may require | resolution on the project information was not required. | |
| | further discussion to establish mutual resolution (where | The consultation log was provided to RAPs as part of | |
| | applicable). The proponent should provide a copy of this | the ACHAR review. | |
| | record or documentation to registered Aboriginal parties. | | |

| Reference | Requirements and guidelines reference section | How requirement was met | Where evidenced in the ACHAR |
|-----------|--|--|------------------------------|
| Section | Depending on the nature, scale and complexity of the | As the ACHAR assessment had not identified any | ACHAR Section 6.4 |
| 4.2.4 | proponent's project, it may be reasonable and necessary | Aboriginal heritage values (including cultural and | and Section 7 |
| (Stage 2) | for the proponent to: | archaeological), and the project was within highly | |
| | (a) conduct additional project information sessions to | disturbed land it was not necessary to undertake | |
| | ensure that all necessary information about the project is | additional steps as per section 4.2.4 | |
| | provided and enable registered Aboriginal parties to | | |
| | provide information about the cultural significance of | | |
| | Aboriginal object(s) and/or place(s) that may be present on | | |
| | the proposed project area | | |
| | (b) create the opportunity for registered Aboriginal parties | | |
| | to visit the project site. | | |
| Section | The proponent must present and/or provide the proposed | The proposed methodology was provided in Section | Consultation letter |
| 4.3.1 | methodology(s) for the cultural heritage assessment to the | 1.3 of the letter sent to all RAPs on 13 th July 2020 | 13 th July 2020 |
| (Stage 3) | registered Aboriginal parties. | | (Section 1.3) |
| Section | The registered Aboriginal parties must be given the | RAPs were given the opportunity to respond within 28 | ACHAR section 3.2 |
| 4.3.2 | opportunity to review and provide feedback to the | days. The ACHAR was sent out to review on the 11 | Appendix 1 |
| (Stage 3) | proponent within a minimum of 28 days of the proponent | August for review once the methodology consultation | Consultation letter |
| | providing the methodology. | period had been completed. | 13 th July 2020 |
| | The review should identify any protocols that the | Responses supporting the ACHAR methodology where | |
| | registered Aboriginal parties wish to be adopted into the information gathering process and assessment | received from Widescope, A1 Indigenous Services and | |

| Reference | Requirements and guidelines reference section | How requirement was met | Where evidenced in the ACHAR |
|-----------|---|---|------------------------------|
| | methodology and any matters such as issues/areas of cultural significance that might affect, inform or refine the assessment methodology. Comments should be provided in writing, or may be sought verbally by the proponent and accurately recorded. | Amanda Hickey. Darkingung LALC had provided comment during the original assessment preparation. | |
| Section | As part of this consultation, the proponent must also seek | The consultation letter of 14th July specifically | Consultation letter |
| 4.3.3 | cultural information from registered Aboriginal parties to | requested information on Aboriginal objects and places | 14 th July 2020 |
| (Stage 3) | identify: (a) whether there are any Aboriginal objects of cultural value to Aboriginal people in the area of the proposed project (b) whether there are any places of cultural value to Aboriginal people in the area of the proposed project (whether they are Aboriginal places declared under s.84 of the NPW Act or not). This will include places of social, spiritual and cultural value, historic places with cultural significance, | including places of cultural value. | (introduction) |
| Section | Some information obtained from registered Aboriginal | No information obtained was identified as sensitive | n/a |
| 4.3.4 | parties may be sensitive or have restricted public access. | | |
| (Stage 3) | The proponent must, in consultation with registered Aboriginal parties, develop and implement appropriate protocols for sourcing and holding cultural information. In some cases the sensitive information may be provided to | | |



| Reference | Requirements and guidelines reference section | How requirement was met | Where evidenced in the ACHAR |
|-----------|---|---|------------------------------|
| | the proponent by an individual and the proponent should | | |
| | not share that information with all registered Aboriginal | | |
| | parties or others without the express permission of the | | |
| | individual. | | |
| | | | |
| Section | Information obtained in 4.3.4 is used to understand the | No Aboriginal objects or places were identified within | ACHAR Section 6.4, |
| 4.3.5 | context and values of Aboriginal object(s) and/or place(s) | the project site. A significance assessment was | Section 7.3, Section |
| (Stage 3) | located on the proposed project site. This information must | prepared as part of the ACHAR. | 8.0 and Section 11. |
| | be integrated with the scientific (archaeological) | As no Aboriginal archaeological or cultural values were | |
| | assessment of significance. Together the context, values, | identified management measures were limited to | |
| | and scientific assessment provide the basis for assessing | unexpected finds procedure. | |
| | Aboriginal heritage values and recommending | An AHIP is not required under SSD approvals. | |
| | management options. The information collected by the | | |
| | proponent during the consultation process must be used | | |
| | only to inform decision making for any application for an | | |
| | AHIP, unless the registered Aboriginal parties agree | | |
| | otherwise. | | |
| | The proponent must seek the views of registered | No Aboriginal heritage values were identified in the | ACHAR Section 6.4 |
| | Aboriginal parties on potential management options. | archaeological assessment of during consultation in | and Section 7 |
| | Management options will include ways to avoid or mitigate | preparation of the ACHAR. RAPs did not identify any | |
| | harm and/or conserve known Aboriginal object(s) and/or | cultural values. Management options were therefore | |
| | place(s). Management options should consider how | limited to an unexpected find policy. No comment from | |

| Reference | Requirements and guidelines reference section | How requirement was met | Where evidenced in the ACHAR |
|-----------|---|---|------------------------------|
| | Aboriginal people can continue their association with identified Aboriginal heritage values. | RAPs were received on this suggested management measure during ACHAR review. | |
| | The proponent must document all feedback received in Stage 3 from registered Aboriginal parties in the final cultural heritage assessment report. This must include copies of any submissions received and the proponents response to the issues raised. In some cases this may require an acknowledgment of sensitive information and a list of Aboriginal people who should be contacted for permission to receive further details. | The consultation log and copies of all correspondence are included in Appendix 1 of the final ACHAR. No sensitive information was raised. | ACHAR - Appendix 1 |