

DOC19/1078371 11 December 2019

Mr Navdeep Singh Shergill Social and Infrastructure Assessments Department of Planning, Industry and Environment GPO Box 39, Sydney NSW 2001

Dear Mr Singh Shergill

## Lindfield Learning Village (SSD 8114) EPA Comment on Response to Submissions (Phases 2 and 3)

I am writing to you in reply to the invitation to the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) to provide comment on the Response to Submissions (RtS) for Phases 2 and 3 of the Lindfield Learning Village project.

The EPA has reviewed relevant RtS documents provided by the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) and makes the following comments regarding **noise and vibration**.

- The Phase 2a Acoustic Review of Additional Numbers, prepared by White Noise Acoustics, (dated 20.11.19) does not reference or acknowledge the Lindfield Learning Village Response to EPA Queries document, prepared by Acoustic Logic (dated 13.08.18). The Response document contains additional noise monitoring as well as an assessment of additional noise sources not included in the original Noise Impact Assessment (NIA), prepared by Acoustic Logic (dated 08.06.17). As a result, the White Noise Acoustics document does not include the most up to date noise logging results. Subsequently the noise goals set throughout the report are higher than they would be if the most recent noise logging was utilised. The EPA advises that all assessments of noise impacts, including derivation of project specific noise levels, should be undertaken with reference to the most recent noise logging data in Lindfield Learning Village Response to EPA Queries document, prepared by Acoustic Logic (dated 13.08.18).
- The Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) prepared by Acoustic Logic (dated 08.06.17), regarding this
  portion of the development does not contain any predicted noise or vibration levels from the
  construction stage. Although the numerical values themselves are not the best indicator of
  construction impact on the receivers, they do inform the noise mitigation and management
  practices required on site. The EPA requires a quantitative assessment to be undertaken in
  accordance with Section 4.5 of the Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009)
  ICNG.
- Further to the above, it is noted that an assessment of mechanical plant noise has not been
  included in the NIA, as the report states that the early design stage of the project does not allow
  for it. The EPA notes that it is important to select and, if appropriate, design mechanical plant to
  achieve noise levels of no greater than the background (RBL) noise level + 5 dB and should form
  part of the development consent as Condition B40.

• The EPA notes that there are noise sources associated with the development that do not comply with the nominated criteria. Noise impacts from the loop road for drop off and pick up is predicted to exceed the NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW, 2011) and Industrial Noise Policy (EPA, 2000) (as referenced in the NIA) requirements for a development of this type. However, there is no discussion of reasonable and feasible mitigation measures. Rather, the report seeks to minimise the acoustic impact of development by referring to other common noise levels of similar magnitude, such as "normal human conversation". The EPA advises that where exceedances of the Industrial Noise Policy and NSW Road Noise Policy are expected, consideration of all reasonable and feasible mitigation must be included in the assessment. Significant exceedances may require the upgrading of the nearby dwellings and this should also be considered.

Should you require clarification of any of the above please contact Anna Timbrell on 9274 6345 or email anna.timbrell@epa.nsw.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

**SARAH THOMSON** 

food themon

Unit Head, Metropolitan Infrastructure Environment Protection Authority