





Planning Proposal

Summer Hill Flour Mill Precinct

Smith and Edward Streets, Summer Hill

16 February 2010



OVERVIEW

- EG Funds Management owners of the Allied Mills site
- The Site
- The Precinct
- Background
- The Proposal
- Development Principles
- The Rezoning
- New Approach to Rezoning Applications (Planning Proposal)
- Consultation Strategy
- Summary of Key Points
- Final Comments / Questions



EG FUNDS MANAGEMENT – THE PROPONENT

- Started as an advisory practice in 2000, specialising in assisting clients with land use change.
- 2001 EG Funds Management established as a property funds manager.
- Company manages five (5) property portfolios from within Australia.
- Managing Director Adam Geha.
- EG Funds Management purchased the site from Allied Mills in September 2007.
- EG is a signatory to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment.







THE SITE



THE PRECINCT

- The Planning Proposal lodged applies to:
 - o Allied Mills site including small portion in Marrickville Council
 - o Remaining industrial zoned land on corner Edward Street and Old Canterbury Road
 - o Sites fronting Old Canterbury Road
- Referred to as Summer Hill Four Mill Precinct.
- Comprises total area of 3.4ha.
- EG does not own the entire precinct.



- Appointed Project Team:
 - Architecture & Urban Design Planning Heritage Infrastructure Economic, Demographic & Social Factors Flora and Fauna Environmental Geotechnical Consultation
- Hassell SJB Planning AHMS, Godden Mackay Logan & John Graham Associates Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Daly Research Systems Travers Environmental Aargus Australia Brink & Associates Urban Concepts

- Undertaken preliminary studies.
- Made detailed submission to the Draft Inner West Subregional Strategy 18 months ago.
- Met with Government Authorities, DoP, Ashfield Council and Marrickville Council.
- Discussions with Metro Transport and Greenway.



THE PROPOSAL





01____THE SITE + INITIAL OBSERVATIONS 02___PRELIMINARY DESIGN PRINCIPLES









SUSTAINABILITY ____heritage ____public access and open space ___transport and traffic ____built form

HERITAGE

___recognise ___preserve and adapt

_incorporate

























PUBLIC ACCESS AND OPEN SPACE ____open the site up to more public/community uses ____connect to Smith Street and Lewisham ___create a public domain that links with the site ____increase green open space and visibility ___provide public access to 'Greenway'





TRANSPORT AND TRAFFIC

Pr.

___train

light rail (potential)

1

- ___bus
 - __cycle
 - _pedestrian
 - _private vehicle







THE GREENWAY – RECREATION, ECOLOGICAL AND MOVEMENT CORRIDOR

THE GREENWAY - POTENTIAL



BUILT FORM

- ____integrating with surrounding locality
- respect existing uses and scale
- ____mixed use



BUILT FORM

- ____energy, water and resource efficiency
- ____rating systems
- ____carbon neutral master plan, restorative development



02___PRELIMINARY DESIGN PRINCIPLES

DIVERSE AUTHENTIC SUSTAINABLE

A PLACE TO LIVE




APLACE FOR COMMUNITY FLOUR MUNCO

79

1

Z-50

「湯

SC

an in

部論

11

in in

m

MILLERS

田田田

-

11

T

2









Lower scale development. Typically 2-4 storeys complementary to the immediate context of Old Canterbury Road and Edward Street.

Medium scale development. Typically 4-6 storeys Complementary to the scale of existing mill buildings and former factories

Higher scale development. Typically 6-10 storeys Adjacent to Greenway and in a less sensitive context

The GreenWay (incorporating light rail, walk/cycle paths, bush regeneration)

The silos currently the site's tallest structures (typically 12-14 storeys), and the "Mungo Scott" building (7 storeys) will remain as landmarks of the site

Existing buildings retained





















- Change in zoning to a mixed use zone B4 Mixed Use zone.
- Purpose to facilitate redevelopment of the Allied Mills site for mixed use purpose and encourage reuse of the heritage building on site.
- Height and building envelopes to be determined by further urban design analysis.
- Identification of heritage items.



NEW APPROACH TO LEP'S – THE PROCESS

- Process changed July 2009.
- Planning Proposal prepared by relevant or sponsored by planning authority (Council, DG or JRPP).
- A Planning Proposal is the first step in preparing a new LEP or an amendment to an LEP.
- A six staged process.



NEW APPROACH TO LEP'S – THE PROCESS

Flowchart

| Initial Review | Planning Proposal Council considers Planning Proposal Planning Proposal forwarded to DOP LEP Review Panel | Further studies / revision |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| Gateway Determination | | |
| Studies & Review | Conduct further studies and review Planning Proposal Reviewed by DOP |] |
| Consultation | Consultation 24 days / 28 days State & Commonwealth Public hearing Workshops Information days | |
| Final Consideration & Recommendation | Council considers outcome of consultation – proceed/not proceed Final Planning Proposal assessed by DOP DOP arranges legal drafting | |
| Plan made | LEP gazetted | |

| Initial Review | Planning Proposal Council considers Planning Proposal Planning Proposal forwarded to DOP LEP Review Panel |
|----------------|--|
|----------------|--|



| Gateway Determination | DOP Gateway recommendation Gateway determination - Proceed / not to proceed - Studies - Consultation - Timeframe |
|-----------------------|---|
|-----------------------|---|



Studies & Review

Conduct further studies and review Planning Proposal Reviewed by DOP



| Consultation | Consultation - 14 days / 28 days - State & Commonwealth - Public hearing - Workshops - Information days |
|--------------|--|
|--------------|--|



| Final Consideration & Recommendation | Council considers outcome of consultation – proceed/not proceed Final Planning Proposal assessed by DOP DOP arranges legal drafting |
|---|---|
| | |



| Plan Made | LEP gazetted |
|-----------|--------------|
| | |



CONSULTATION STRATEGY

• Two (2) stages – coinciding with critical path of the Planning Proposal

Stage 1

- Stage 1 would include:
 - o Freecall 1800 information line.
 - o Project email address.
 - o Project website.
 - o Community newsletter.
 - o Media display advertising.
 - o Community Attitude survey.
 - o Councillor briefing and site tour.
 - o Community Information Day on site.
 - o Stakeholder briefing and site tour.
 - o Report back to Council for consideration with plans.



Stage 2 – Formal Exhibition

- o Community Reference Group
- o Formal exhibition
- o Documents on website
- o Information or drop in days
- Liaison with Marrickville Council.



SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS

- Opportunity to create vibrant mixed use precinct.
- Facilitate urban renewal.
- Ensure planning framework consistency.
- Reinforce maximisation existing rail station and potential light rail catchments.
- Reinforce Greenway Masterplan.
- Promote heritage conservation and reuse.
- Minimise land use conflicts.
- Facilitate housing diversity.
- Increase public space.



FINAL COMMENT / QUESTIONS

- Commence consultation to gauge community support and report back to Council.
- Council support to proceed with the Planning Proposal B4 Mixed Use zone.
- The project will deliver:
 - o Remove landuse conflict and provide an appropriate interface with residential
 - o Significant urban renewal opportunity
 - o Consistency with the McGill Street Precinct
 - o Resolution of the inconsistent planning approach between sub regions
 - o Reinforce light rail and Greenway opportunities
 - o Maximise use of public infrastructure and investment
 - o Increase housing diversity and choice
 - o Support viability of existing centres
- Questions.

