22nd July 2010 N:\11433\Worddocs\Authorities\July2010,L01-DOP11433.doc

Major Projects Assessment, Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

ATTENTION: ANNA BRADLEY

Dear Anna,

RE: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, MACHINERY, MANUFACTURE AND MAINTENANCE PROJECT, LOT 32 DP 1014864 - MASONITE ROAD, HEATHERBRAE

I refer to the recent notification by NSW Department of Planning. I act for CABP Group Pty Ltd who owns a large amount of industrial land to the east and to the south of the proposed development site.

While CABP Group Pty Ltd fully support the proposed development and the likely benefits that it may bring to Port Stephens LGA, I would like to bring a few important points to your attention.

As the representative of CABP Group I have, over the past number of years, had a project team working on a significant industrial subdivision on CAPB land, and have been working in close consultation with both Port Stephens Council and the RTA. Since lodging a Development Application in 2006 for a 205 lot industrial subdivision CABP were forced to make significant changes including the loss of industrial lots because of the RTA proposed extension to F3 Freeway.

On 15th September 2009 Port Stephens Council issued consent for a 142 lot industrial subdivision.

Subsequent to Council's approval, the RTA revised the width of the required F3 road reserve which has resulted in the movement of the boundary between F3 and our subdivision easterly by approximately 110m. We are currently in the process of finalising a Section 96 Application to Port Stephens Council to rationalise the subdivision boundaries. We are also in the process of negotiating with the RTA representatives in respect to a DA condition requiring an upgrade to the Masonite Road/Camfield Drive roundabout to two lane circulation and approach capacity. Through significant analysis we

have proved that the traffic generated by our subdivision does not require this two-lane roundabout and that the existing one lane roundabout is sufficient (attached).

I am concerned that the proposed Sandvik development has not considered the base traffic flow as well as the traffic generated by our approved subdivision. I believe that the accumulated total of existing and our potential traffic should be the baseline for their traffic assessment.

Appendix K of the Environmental Assessment Report include minutes of the Hunter Regional Development Committee dated 13th August 2009. I note with interest that these minutes do not reference the CABP 142 lot subdivision proposal of which Council and the RTA would have been aware of. I would expect both the Council and RTA as the responsible road authorities should have made Sandvik aware of our Development Application, the associated traffic generation and the requirement for the Sandvik development to consider the associated traffic generation.

The RTA has been further consulted by Sandvik as part of the preparation of the 2010 Environmental Assessment Report (pp 30). It is difficult to understand why the RTA would have only advised that the previous comments made by the Hunter Region Development Committee (13th August 2009) will be taken into consideration, without specific reference to CAPB Group approved 142 lot industrial subdivision, approved on the 15th September 2009.

CABP Group Pty Ltd specifically requests that the Department of Planning ensure that the traffic analysis baseline volumes used by Sandvik's consultants include the CABP Group subdivision. Most importantly if the RTA and Council insist on the 2 lane roundabout and approach capacity upgrade we request that Sandvik be made to share the not insignificant cost.

Yours faithfully,

PAUL MICHAEL CHAIR & CEO CABP PTY LTD



TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT & SAFETY CONSULTANTS

10 Haig Street Belmont NSW 2280 PH. (02) 4945 5688 Fax (02) 4945 5686 Mob. 0418 419 190 E-mail: tp.keating@hunterlink.net.au

TRAFFIC REVIEW STATEMENT

PROPOSED INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

343 & 470 Masonite Road HEATHERBRAE

July 2010

ADW JOHNSON (For The Applicant)

Port Stephens Council Local Government Area

Prepared by Terry Keating Director TPK & Associates Pty Ltd

PROPOSED INDUSTRIAL SUBDIVISION

TRAFFIC REVIEW

INTRODUCTION

TPK & Associates Pty Ltd (TPK) has been commissioned by ADW Johnson with regard to a revised lot layout and modelling review for the subject development; the project proposal for an Industrial Subdivision is retained however the number of lots is to change from the original development layout.

TPK has made reference to:

- The Terms of Development Consent for the project.
- Traffic Studies by Caldwell Consulting to support the project.
- RTA and associated correspondence related to the development assessment process in particular RTA's letter dated 30th April 2008 (Reference 362DA17;1 – 07/2389, 07/2600)
- Outcome of recent June 2010 discussions between RTA (D Young) & ADW (H Williams)

Mr. Terry Keating, Director, TPK undertook the review modelling and preparation of this report. He has over 40 years experience in the road safety and traffic management profession, including the assessment of traffic generating developments.

TRAFFIC GENERATION

The Industrial Subdivision had an original layout that proposed 142 lots designed to adjoin the future road reserve for the F3 extension as known at that time. Revision of the F3 road reserve boundaries has driven the redesign of the Industrial Estate which will now generate a proposed 147 lots

The adopted traffic generation rate agreed between RTA and Caldwell Consulting for assessment of the approved layout was a typical peak generation of 5.9 trips per lot.

For 147 lots the peak hour traffic generation will be 867 trips; maintaining consistency with the previous Caldwell Consulting analysis the following distributions are adopted:

- 85% of Estate traffic will generate to/from Pacific Highway; that equates to 737 trips
- The AM Peak (not in Caldwell Consulting analysis) is split 70% inward; equating to a 516:221 trip
 ratio
- The PM Peak is split 60% outward; equating to a 442:295 ratio
- Caldwell was not clear on route distribution; TPK has distributed 20% of the total trips to/from Camfield Drive equating to the following Masonite Road : Camfield Drive ratios:
 - o AM Peak 413:103 & 177:44
 - o PM Peak 354:88 & 236:59

ANALYSIS

<u>Overview</u>

TPK utilise the intersection-modelling program SIDRA to review intersection performance. The outcomes of the model include:

- Level of Service
- Average Delay
- 95% back of queue length

The term Level of Service (LoS) is one output parameter of the SIDRA model; it provides an insight into "operating conditions" of the intersection and each approach. The output range is indicated in the range LoS A to LoS F where A indicates good operating conditions reducing to F where other forms of control may need to be considered.

The intersection of Masonite Rd & Camfield Drive has been modelled for the following scenarios

- Existing AM Peak
- Existing PM Peak
- Existing AM Peak plus Development
- Existing PM Peak plus Development
- Existing AM Peak plus Development plus a Growth Sensitivity volume increase.

The intersection has been recounted in 2010; See Appendix A

The SIDRA geometric layout utilised for the modelling is shown at Figure 1.

FIGURE 1 - SIDRA GEOMATRIC LAYOUT



SIDRA OUTCOMES

Existing AM Peak

The SIDRA Movement Summary T1 discloses that for this scenario the LOS is in the range A-B for all approaches and the queue on Masonite Road back towards the highway did not exceed the equivalent of 1 vehicle.

T1 – MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: MAS & CAM

MASONITE RD & CAMFIELD DRV, HEATHERBRAE – AM Peak Existing Traffic 2010 Roundabout

Move	mentiP	erformanc	e - Vehic	lles					ne al la		
Mey dE) Tung	Demand	HV 5	legi Salin	Avereige	Levelor	95% Back	of Queue	Риор. В	flective	Averaige
		Flow			Dellay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Quarted St	op Rate	Special
		veh/h	96	V/0;	Sec		veh	m		per veh	kim/h
South:	SERVIC	EROAD		6816106260			1. S. M. S. M.	69 - 61 - 60 - 66 - 6			
1	L	46	6.8	0.049	7.1	LOS A	0.2	1.8	0.25	0.53	49.5
2	Т	2	50.0	0.049	7.6	LOS A	0.2	1.8	0.25	0.45	50.1
3	R	11	10.0	0.049	11.9	LOS B	0.2	1.8	0.25	0.73	45.9
Approa	a en a construir e de la construir e d	59	8.9	0.049	8.0	LOS B	0.2	1.8	0.25	0.56	48.8
East: N	IASONIT	FE ROAD	26 Februaria († 1937) Maria								
4	L	18	5.9	0.121	7.0	LOS A	0.6	4.7	0.22	0.56	49.9
5	Ţ	125	15.1	0.121	6.4	LOS A	0.6	4.7	0.22	0.47	50.6
6	R	2	50.0	0.124	13.2	LOS B	0.6	4.7	0.22	0.83	46.3
Approa	ch	145	14.5	0.121	6.6	LOS B	0.6	4.7	0.22	0.49	50.4
North: (CAMFIE										
7	L	2	50.0	0.026	9.2	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.31	0.54	49.0
8	Т	6	50.0	0.026	7.9	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.31	0.46	49.5
9	R	19	5.6	0.026	12.2	LOS B	0.1	1.0	0.31	0.70	45.6
Approa		27	19.2	0.026	11.0	LOS B	0.1	1.0	0.31	0.63	46.7
West: N	IASONI	TE RD (FRC	M HWY)								
10	L	34	9.4	0.160	6.8	LOS A	0.8	6.5	0.07	0.53	50.6
11	Т	124	12.7	0.160	5.9	LOS A	0.8	6.5	0.07	0.43	51.6
12	R	79	10.7	0.159	11.5	LOS B	0.8	6.5	0.07	0.80	46.4
Approa	ch	237	11.6	0.160	7.9	LOS B	0.8	6.5	0.07	0.56	49.5
All Veh	icles	468	12.6	0.160	7.7	LOS A	0.8	6.5	0.15	0.55	49.5

Existing PM Peak

The SIDRA Movement Summary T2 discloses that for this scenario the LOS is in the range A-B for all approaches and the queue on Masonite Road back towards the highway did not exceed the equivalent of 1 vehicle.

T2 – MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: MAS & CAM

MASONITE RD & CAMFIELD DRV, HEATHERBRAE – PM Peak Existing Traffic 2010 Roundabout

		enformance	e - Vehic	les		- 01					
Mev ID	Tum	Demend Flow	HV ID	leg Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Charles March Proved	fiective op Rate	Average Speed
l. and		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		per veh	km/h
South:	SERVIC	E ROAD			动动动动员			80 AST AN AST AST	1.120.020.030.020.03		
1	L	74	1.4	0.078	7.3	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.35	0.57	48.9
2	Т	1	0.0	0.081	6.4	LOS A	0.4	2.9	0.35	0.50	49.3
3	R	18	0.0	0.078	12.0	LOS B	0.4	2.9	0.35	0.74	45.7
Approa	ch	93	1.1	0.078	8.2	LOS B	0.4	2.9	0.35	0.60	48.2
East: M	IASONIT	'E ROAD									
4	L	18	23.5	0.201	7.7	LOS A	1.1	8.1	0.23	0.58	49.9
5	Т	242	8.3	0.201	6.2	LOS A	1.1	8.1	0.23	0.48	50.5
6	R	1	0.0	0.211	11.5	LOS B	1.1	8.1	0.23	0.84	46.4
Approa	n as an a start start and a start and	261	9.3	0.201	6.3	LOS B	1.1	8.1	0.23	0.49	50.5
North: C	CAMFIEL	D DRIVE	0.0200000000		la nge sige leg as				SS 52 85 00 8		en (1977) (557-1907)
7	L	6	0.0	0.030	7.0	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.28	0.52	49.2
8	Т	4	0.0	0.030	6.1	LOS A	0.1	1.0	0.28	0.45	49.7
9	R	26	4.0	0.030	11.9	LOS B	0.1	1.0	0.28	0.69	45.6
Approa	ومروحة والمتحد المتراجع المراجع	37	2.9	0.030	10.4	LOS B	0.1	1.0	0.28	0.63	46.6
West: N	ASONI	re RD (FRC	OM HWY)						den de la composición		
10	L	17	6.3	0.137	6.7	LOS A	0.7	5.6	0.08	0.52	50.5
11	Т	106	9.9	0.137	5.9	LOS A	0.7	5.6	0.08	0.42	51.5
12	R	82	7.7	0.137	11.4	LOS B	0.7	5.6	0.08	0.79	46.4
Approa		205	8.7	0.137	8.1	LOS B	0.7	5.6	0.08	0.58	49.2
All Vehi	icles	596	7.4	0.211	7.5	LOS A	1.1	8.1	0.20	0.55	49.4

Existing AM Peak plus Development

The SIDRA Movement Summary T3 discloses that for this scenario the LOS is in the range A-B for all approaches and the queue on Masonite Road back towards the highway was less than 26m

T3 – MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: MAS & CAM

MASONITE RD & CAMFIELD DRV, HEATHERBRAE – AM Peak Existing Traffic 2010 plus Full Development Roundabout

Move	memti	Performanc	e – Vehit	les							
Maviti) Tarn	Demand	HV E	eg Sain	Average	Lavel of	95% Back	of Quence	Prop	Effective	Average
		Flow			Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued S	top Rate	Speed
		veh/h	%	V/C	Sec		veh	m		per veh	
South:	SERVI	CE ROAD							e astronomica (meno	eng para	
1	L	46	6.8	0.057	8.1	LOS A	0.3	2.3	0.43	0.60	48.4
2	T	2	50.0	0.057	8.5	LOS A	0.3	2.3	0.43	0.56	48.7
3	R	11	10.0	0.057	12.9	LOS B	0.3	2.3	0.43	0.75	45.5
Approa	ch	59	8.9	0.057	9.0	LOS B	0.3	2.3	0.43	0.62	47.8
East: N	IASON	TE ROAD	Market Market			2. <i>Maria</i> 1493	NUSOUTING (S)	des des transfer des services	nels of all all a		
4	L	18	5.9	0.275	7.3	LOS A	1.7	12.9	0.32	0.59	49.4
5	Т	312	12.2	0.275	6.6	LOS A	1.7	12.9	0.32	0.51	49.9
6	R	2	50.0	0.263	13.4	LOS B	1.7	12.9	0.32	0.85	46.3
Арргоа	ch	332	12.1	0.275	6.7	LOS B	1.7	12.9	0.32	0.52	49.8
North: (CAMFIE	ELD DRIVE									
7	L	2	50.0	0.092	11.5	LOS B	0.5	4.2	0.57	0.73	47.0
8	Т	6	50.0	0.093	10.2	LOS B	0.5	4.2	0.57	0.68	47.2
9	R	69	7.6	0.092	14.5	LOS B	0.5	4.2	0.57	0.77	43.8
Арргоа	ch	78	12.2	0.092	14.1	LOS B	0.5	4.2	0.57	0.76	44.1
West: N	ASON	ITE RD (FRO	DM HWY)	的的的现实			0.0040.0020	18) (b) (b) (b) (b)			
10	L	34	9.4	0.426	6.8	LOS A	3.3	24.9	0.10	0.55	50.5
11	Т	559	10.5	0.428	5.9	LOS A	3.3	24.9	0.10	0.44	51.4
12	R	79	10.7	0.427	11.5	LOS B	3.3	24.9	0.10	0.84	46.4
Approa	ch	672	10.5	0.428	6.6	LOS B	3.3	24.9	0.10	0.49	50.7
All Vehi	cles	1140	11.0	0.428	7.2	LOS A	3.3	24.9	0.22	0.53	49.8

Existing PM Peak plus Development

The SIDRA Movement Summary T4 discloses that for this scenario the LOS is in the range A-B for all approaches and the queue on Masonite Road back towards the highway was less than 20m.

T4 – MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: MAS & CAM

MASONITE RD & CAMFIELD DRV, HEATHERBRAE – PM Peak Existing Traffic 2010 plus Full Development Roundabout

Move	meni P	antormanie	e) - Vehite	lles							
ស៊ីសេក 13	Turn	Demand	HV E	eg Saln	Aveneigie	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Frop.	Effective	Average
		Flow			Delay	Stervice	Vehicles	Distance	Queued S	iop Raire	Siperaid
		veh/h	%	V/C	Sec		veh	m		per veh	km/h
South:	SERVIC	E ROAD	0.866666644	Magazon (m.	h da tê din k	1991 (Apr 511 (B	100.000 81023	想 的 前 的 前	11.20160125.11		
1	L	74	1.4	0.114	9.9	LOS A	0.8	5.7	0.67	0.73	47.0
2	Т	1	0.0	0.117	9.0	LOS A	0.8	5.7	0.67	0.70	46.9
3	R	18	0.0	0.115	14.6	LOS B	0.8	5.7	0.67	0.82	43.9
Approa	ch	93	1.1	0.114	10.8	LOS B	0.8	5.7	0.67	0.75	46.3
East: N	IASONIT	'E ROAD									
4	L	18	23.5	0.511	8.5	LOS A	4.1	31.1	0.47	0.66	48.7
5	Т	615	9.2	0.518	7.0	LOS A	4.1	31.1	0.47	0.57	48.9
6	R	1	0.0	0.526	12.3	LOS B	4.1	31.1	0.47	0.82	46.2
Approa	the property of the second second second	634	9.6	0.518	7.0	LOS B	4.1	31.1	0.47	0.58	48.9
North: (CAMFIE	D DRIVE		とないないない			age standed a	Certain ann an Air			0/0/10/22/055
7	L	6	0.0	0.126	8.2	LOS A	0.7	5.3	0.47	0.62	47.8
8	T	4	0.0	0.128	7.3	LOS A	0.7	5.3	0.47	0.57	48.0
9	R	119	8.0	0.126	13.2	LOS B	0.7	5.3	0.47	0.74	44.8
Approa	ch	129	7.3	0.126	12.8	LOS B	0.7	5.3	0.47	0.73	45.0
West: N	ASONI	TE RD (FRC	M HWY)				an a				
10	L	75	9.9	0.329	6.8	LOS A	2.4	18.5	0,11	0.54	50.4
11	Т	355	9.8	0.330	5.9	LOS A	2.4	18.5	0.11	0.44	51.3
12	R	82	7.7	0.330	11.4	LOS B	2.4	18.5	0.11	0.82	46,4
Approa	ch	512	9.5	0.330	6.9	LOS B	2.4	18.5	0.11	0.51	50.3
All Vehi	icles	1367	8.8	0.526	7.8	LOS A	4,1	31,1	0.35	0.58	48,8

Existing AM Peak plus Development plus Growth Sensitivity

This scenario is for the AM Peak with Development traffic plus some sensitivity testing given the importance of protecting The Pacific Highway. TPK added traffic to both Camfield Drive and the Service Road to model growth on those movements; the relevant car movement volumes were doubled.

The SIDRA Movement Summary T5 discloses that for this scenario the LOS is in the range A-B for all approaches and the queue on Masonite Road back towards the highway was less than 33m

T5 – MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: MAS & CAM

MASONITE RD & CAMFIELD DRV, HEATHERBRAE – AM Peak Existing Traffic 2010 plus Full Development PLUS Growth to Camfield Drive & Service Road. Roundabout

Movier	menter	onsmohe	e - Vehio	lles							
Mov ID	Turn	Demand	HW E	leig Siette	Average	Leveloi	95% Bejok	of Queue	Photo	Bifeelive	Average
		Flow			Delay	Services	Venireles	Distance	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	lop Rette	Spicicle
		veh/h	- %	v/c	5000		veh	m		per veh	kmih
South:	SERVIC	E ROAD			alasishidu ab		a ngana) na masa				
1	L	89	3.5	0.110	8.3	LOS A	0.7	4.8	0.49	0.64	48.1
2	Т	3	33.3	0.109	8.3	LOS A	0.7	4.8	0.49	0.61	48.2
3	R	20	5.3	0.110	13.0	LOS B	0.7	4.8	0.49	0.78	45.3
Approa	ch	113	4.7	0.110	9.1	LOS B	0.7	4.8	0.49	0.66	47.5
East: M	IASONIT	'E ROAD									
4	L	18	5.9	0.309	8.0	LOS A	2.0	15.3	0.45	0.65	48.8
5	Т	312	12.2	0.310	7.3	LOS A	2.0	15.3	0.45	0.59	49.0
6	R	3	33.3	0.316	13.6	LOS B	2.0	15.3	0.45	0.87	46.0
Approa	en de la companya de	333	12.0	0.310	7.4	LOS B	2.0	15.3	0.45	0.60	49.0
North: (CAMFIEI	_D DRIVE			动动动动		ander Alexander des	Alternation (de la	0.60.08/09/08/0		(6)(6)(3)(3)(3)
7	L	3	33.3	0.175	11.5	LOS B	1.2	8.5	0.65	0.78	46.3
8	Ţ	9	33.3	0.175	10.3	LOS B	1.2	8.5	0.65	0.74	46.6
9	R	134	3.9	0.175	15.0	LOS B	1.2	8.5	0.65	0.81	43.3
Approa		146	6.5	0.175	14.6	LOS B	1.2	8.5	0.65	0.81	43.5
West: N	IASONI	re RD (FRC	OM HWY)								
10	L	64	4.9	0.498	6.7	LOS A	4.3	32.2	0.16	0.53	50.2
11	Т	559	10.5	0.498	6.0	LOS A	4.3	32.2	0.16	0.43	51.0
12	R	149	5.6	0.498	11.4	LOS B	4.3	32.2	0.16	0.80	46.3
Approa	ch	773	9.1	0.498	7.1	LOS B	4.3	32.2	0.16	0.51	49.9
All Vehi	icles	1364	9.2	0.498	8.1	LOS A	4.3	32.2	0.31	0.58	48.7

CONCLUDING STATEMENT

TPK has concluded that:

- The quantum of the increase from 142 to 147 lots is insignificant in terms of the Estates potential traffic generations and does not impact on the Caldwell Report as the final two estate stages were not assessed in his report.
- Additional SIDRA analysis has been completed by TPK for the full estate traffic generations maintaining many of the Caldwell assumptions for consistency.
- The additional analysis has disclosed that the Masonite Road & Camfield Drive roundabout will continue to operate at suitable performance levels through to full development.
- The additional analysis indicates that the single lane roundabout will not generate queues back onto The Pacific Highway.

Prepared by

T Keating

Director, TPK & Associates

APPENDIX A TRAFFIC DATA







