

## **Australian Rail Track Corporation**

Maitland to Minimbah Third Track Project Submissions Report including Preferred Project Report

September 2010

H8R-REP-S2G-ENV-0019-0



Appendix C Terrestrial Fauna Study Appendix C - Terrestrial Fauna Study







## Appendix C Terrestrial Fauna Study

## SUPPLEMENTARY TERRESTRIAL FAUNA IMPACT ASSESSMENT

## FOR THE PROPOSED THIRD RAILWAY TRACK BETWEEN MAITLAND AND MINIMBAH



SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

Prepared for HUNTER 8 ALLIANCE



**ECOTONE ECOLOGICAL CONSULTANTS** Pty Ltd 39 Platt Street, Waratah NSW 2298 phone: (02) 4968 4901 fax: (02) 4968 4960 web: www.ecotoneconsultants.com.au

EEC PROJECT No. 0759GHD

July 2010

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This supplementary Fauna Impact Assessment report has been undertaken by Ecotone Ecological Consultants Pty Ltd for the Hunter 8 Alliance on behalf of the Australian Rail Track Corporation (ARTC) for the Maitland to Minimbah third track project (referred to as 'the Project'). This report has been prepared as a supplementary report addressing additional investigation areas and should be read in conjunction with the final Terrestrial Fauna Impact Assessment report prepared by Ecotone Ecological Consultants dated December 2009.

The additional areas are the result of proposed project modifications and are detailed in chapter 5 of the Submissions Report. The modifications result in revisions to the construction impact zone that are described in Section 7.8 and shown in Figure 7.1 of the Environmental Assessment.

Eight threatened species in the schedules of the TSC Act (squirrel glider, grey-crowned babbler, eastern freetail-bat, eastern bent-wing bat, grey-headed flying fox, varied sittella, large-footed myotis and speckled warbler) and one species listed in the schedules of the EPBC Act (grey-headed flying fox), were identified in the additional investigation areas during supplementary field surveys.

Potential habitat for a further threatened species, (freckled duck) listed on the TSC Act was identified within the investigation area. Many of the above nine species have previously been assessed in the final Terrestrial Fauna Impact Assessment report dated December 2009. As 8 of the above species were recorded during field surveys in the additional investigation areas they have been re-assessed under the EP&A Act and the EPBC Act. While the grey-headed flying fox was previously assessed in the final Terrestrial Fauna Impact Assessment report dated December 2009, this was prior to the introduction of the Draft National Grey-headed Flying Fox Recovery Plan (DECCW 2009). In this supplementary report consideration was given to the assessment of foraging habitat critical to the survival of the grey-headed flying fox and consistency with the actions of the recovery plan.

Fauna Assessments under Part 3A of the EP&A Act and fauna assessments under the EPBC Act found that the proposed Project modifications would result in the removal of foraging habitat for many of the threatened woodland species identified. Foraging habitat critical to the survival of the grey-headed flying fox would also be removed. However given the lineal and patchy nature of the vegetation clearing and the formation of mitigation measures such as the Compensatory Habitat Strategy, the project would be unlikely to significantly impact on any threatened and/or migratory fauna species listed on the TSC Act and/or the EPBC Act. These results are consistent with the final Terrestrial Fauna Impact Assessment report dated December 2009.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Investigation Area	1
1.2 Report Objectives	
1.3 Report Structure and Terminology	3
2.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENT	9
2.1 General Description of the Study Area	
3.0 DATABASE SEARCHES AND LITERATURE REVIEW	10
3.1 Database Searches	
3.1.1 Review of Threatened and/or Migratory Species within the Study Locality	
3.1.2 Threatened Fauna Species on the TSC Act recorded within the Study Locality	
3.1.3 Threatened and Migratory Species predicted to occur in the Study Locality by the EPBC	
Matters Search Tool	
3.1.4 Endangered Fauna Populations	
3.1.5 Critical Habitat 3.1.6 EPBC Act Protected Matters Report	
3.2 Summary of Local Threatened and/or Migratory Species	
4.0 METHODOLOGY	
4.1 Survey Site Selection	
4.2 Targeted survey sites 4.3 Survey Effort	
4.3.1 Bird Surveys	
4.3.2 Diurnal Reptile and Amphibian Searches	
4.3.3 Nocturnal Reptile and Amphibian Searches	
4.3.4 Walking Spotlight Survey	
4.3.5 Nocturnal Call Playback	
4.3.6 Micro-bat Survey	
4.4 Fauna Survey Limitations	
4.4.1 Weather Conditions	
4.4.2 Survey Timing 4.4.3 Level of Survey Effort	
4.4.5 Level of Sulvey Effort	
5.0 RESULTS	
<ul><li>5.1 Threatened Fauna Species</li><li>5.2 Migratory Species</li></ul>	
5.2 Migratory Species	
5.4 Fauna Habitat Features	
5.4.1 Open Forest Vegetation	
5.4.2 Open Pasture Paddocks	
5.4.3 Farm Dams	
5.4.4 Drainage Culverts and Bridges	
6.0 IMPACT ASSESSMENT	46
6.1 Determination of Local Threatened Fauna as Subject Species	46
6.2 Threatened and/or Migratory Species to be assessed	
6.3 Overview of Potential Impacts Associated with the Project	
6.3.1 Environmental Risk and Impact Assessment.	
6.3.2 Vegetation Removal	
<ul><li>6.3.3 Construction and Operational Potential Impacts</li><li>6.4 NSW State Legislative Requirements</li></ul>	
6.5 Environment Protection & Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)	
6.5.1 Key Threatening Processes	
6.5.2 EPBC Significance Test Conclusion	67
6.6 Impacts on Non-Listed Species and Communities	
7.0 MITIGATION MEASURES	60
8.0 CONCLUSIONS	
8.1 Key Findings	

8.3 Conclusio	n	70
9.0 REFERENC	CES	72
APPENDIX 1.	FAUNA RECORDED WITHIN THE MINIMBAH THIRD TRACK STUDY AREA	74
APPENDIX 2.	EPBC PROTECTED MATTERS REPORT	77
APPENDIX 3.	PROJECT PERSONNEL AND RELEVANT LICENCES	85

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Description of the Investigation area, Study Area and Locality	9
Table 2.	NSW Atlas of Wildlife Threatened Species Records in the Study Locality	
Table 3.	Threatened and Migratory Fauna Species predicted to occur in the study lo	cality under EPBC
	modelling	
Table 4.	Table Summary of Potentially Relevant Matters under the EPBC Act 1999	
Table 5.	Regionally Important Habitat Areas along the Investigation Area	
Table 6.	Targeted Survey Sites	
Table 7.	Survey Effort	
Table 8.	Threatened and/or Migratory Species identified during Field Surveys	
Table 9.	Habitat Description of Survey Sites	
Table 10.	An Assessment of the likelihood of 60 Threatened and/or Migratory Fauna	Species and two
	Endangered Fauna Populations occurring within the Investigation area	
Table 11.	Threatened and or Migratory Species to be Assessed	
Table 12.	Areas of Vegetation Communities that will be Removed or Modified	
Table 13.	Assessment of Potential Impact on Species Listed Under the EPBC ACT 1999.	65

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Location of the Investigation Area	2
Figure 2.	The Investigation Area	4
Figure 3.	Survey Sites	22
U	Survey Effort and Threatened Species Locations	
	~	- '

### **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

Chainage	The chainage at a location along a rail line is the distance of that point in relation to Sydney (NSW only) based on 0.000 kilometres being located at the end of Central No. 1 Platform.	
Culvert	A totally enclosed drain under a road or railway.	
Cumulative impact	The sum on the environment resulting from the successive effects of several different impacts.	
Cut	An excavation for constructing below the natural ground level.	
Cut batters	The side slopes of cuttings.	
Director-General's Requirements	Requirements for an environmental assessment issued by the Director-General of the NSW Department of Planning in accordance with the Environment Planning and Assessment Act 1979.	
Erosion	A natural process where wind or water detaches a soil particle and provides energy to move the particle.	
Fauna	The animals of a given region or period, taken collectively.	
Flora	Plants of a particular region that make up the vegetation of a site.	
Fill	Earth used to construct an embankment.	
Groundwater	Subsurface water stored in pores of soil or rocks.	
Hunter 8 Alliance	Hunter 8 Alliance, which has been formed to deliver a new third track and ancillary infrastructure between Maitland and Minimbah.	
Key threatening process	A process specified in Schedule 3 of the NSW Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 that adversely affects threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or could cause those that are not threatened to become so.	
Level crossing	A crossing provided at grade across the railway corridor.	
Mitigation	Reduction in severity.	
Option	A concept design alternative developed for consideration.	
Overbridge	Where a road or pedestrian footway is situated over the railway line.	
Proponent	Australian Rail Track Corporation (ARTC).	
Rail corridor	The area of land dedicated to the ARTC between Maitland and Minimbah.	
Scats	Animal droppings.	
Site compound	Area enclosing construction machinery, stockpiles and site offices usually adjacent to construction sites.	
Spoil	Excess of rock and/or earth material resulting from construction activities.	
Study area	The Study Area for this project is defined as the <i>investigation area</i> shown in Figure 2.	
Threatened species, populations and ecological communities	Species, populations and ecological communities specified in Schedules 1, 1A and 2 of the NSW Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995.	
Underbridge	Where a road or pedestrian underpass is situated under the railway line.	

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This supplementary terrestrial fauna impact assessment report has been undertaken by Ecotone Ecological Consultants Pty Ltd as part of the Hunter 8 Alliance on behalf of the Australian Rail Track Corporation (ARTC) for the Maitland to Minimbah third track project (referred to as 'the Project'). This report has been prepared to supplement the Terrestrial Fauna Impact Assessment prepared by Ecotone Ecological Consultants dated December 2009.

This report has been prepared to assess how proposed modifications to the design, construction and operation of the Project may amend or increase the potential ecological impacts identified in the Environmental Assessment, and develop any additional mitigation measures required to address such amended or increased potential impacts.

### **1.1 Investigation Area**

The investigation area for this assessment is a linear corridor which follows the route of the Main Northern Railway and is shown in **Figure 1**. The investigation area captures the footprint of disturbance for the third track and other associated works, including construction compounds, haul roads and spoil disposal areas.

### **1.2 Report Objectives**

The general objectives of this assessment are to:

- Provide a supplementary literature search of all possible threatened or migratory species that are known to occur or likely to occur on the investigation area.
- Undertake supplementary or additional field surveys to:
  - Survey representative habitat types.
  - Record the fauna species present within the dominant vegetation communities present.
  - Survey for threatened and migratory species that may be present (following the literature review).
- Identify the potential impacts of construction and operation of the Project for any threatened species or populations that occur or could be likely to occur in the investigation area.
- Assess the potential impacts of construction and operation of the Project on the fauna of the investigation area by application of the provisions of the relevant NSW and Commonwealth legislation.
- Assess whether or not the Project meets the 'improve or maintain' principle of the Part 3A assessment.
- Provide discussion on measures to manage potential impacts and effects of the proposal, using the principles of "avoid, minimise and mitigate" in that order of preference.





G32214471\GIS\Maps\Deliverables\PPREcotoneSpecialist Report\PPR\_FSR\_Locality\_20100818\_A.mxd © 2009. While GHD has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tot or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitability in any particular purpose.

Data Source: Geoscience Australia: Topography - 2003. Created by: fmackay, msmiljkovski, tmorton

### **1.3 Report Structure and Terminology**

The environmental studies have been conducted in three stages:

- 1. A desktop review of available literature pertaining to the investigation area and surrounding locality.
- 2. A field survey of the investigation area.
- 3. Assessment of impact on fauna in accordance with the relevant NSW and Commonwealth legislation.

Within this report, reference is given to the relevant sections of the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act); NSW *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995* (TSC Act); *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NP&W Act); *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act); and subsequent amendments to these. Specific consideration is given to Part 3A of the EP&A Act.

For this report:

- The *study locality* is the area of land within ten kilometres (either side) of the 30 kilometre section of the proposed railway line (**Figure 1**).
- The *study area* consists of the investigation area plus the immediately surrounding land and watercourses that could potentially be affected, directly or indirectly by the Project.
- The *investigation area* is defined as the investigation area shown on Figure 2.



0 95 190 380 570 760 Metres Map Projection: Transverse Mercator Horizontal Datum: Australian Geodetic Datum 1966 Grid: Integrated Survey Grid, Zone 56-1





Submissions Report Terrestrial Fauna Impact Assessment

**Revised Project Plan** 

ΙA Date August 2010

Figure 2a

G:\22\14471\GIS\Maps\Deliverables\PPR\EcotoneSpecialist Report\PPR\_FSR\_StudyArea\_20100818A.mxd

Level 3, GHD Tower, 24 Honeysuckle Drive, Newcastle NSW 2300 T61 2 4979 9999 F61 2 4979 9988 E ntlmail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com.au © 2009. While GHD has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.



1:25,000 (at A4) 0 95 190 380 570 760 Metres Map Projection: Transverse Mercator Horizontal Datum: Australian Geodetic Datum 1966 Grid: Integrated Survey Grid, Zone 56-1 LEGEND ---- Existing Railway Revised Investigation Area Watercourse Watercourse Area



Maitland to Minimbah Third Track Submissions Report Terrestrial Fauna Impact Assessment

**Revised Project Plan** 

Job Number | 22-14471 Revision ΙA Date August 2010

Figure 2b

G:\22\14471\GIS\Maps\Deliverables\PPR\EcotoneSpecialist Report\PPR FSR StudyArea 20100818A.mxd

Level 3, GHD Tower, 24 Honeysuckle Drive, Newcastle NSW 2300 T 61 2 4979 9999 F 61 2 4979 9988 E ntlmail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com.au © 2009. While GHD has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, bases, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurst, incomplete or unsultable in any way and for any reason.



G:\22\14471\GIS\Maps\Deliverables\PPR\EcotoneSpecialist Report\PPR\_FSR\_StudyArea\_20100818A.mxd

Level 3, GHD Tower, 24 Honeysuckle Drive, Newcastle NSW 2300 T61 2 4979 9999 F 61 2 4979 9988 E ntlmail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com.au © 2009. While GHD has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.



1:25,000 (at A4) 0 95 190 380 570 760 Metres Map Projection: Transverse Mercator Horizontal Datum: Australian Geodetic Datum 1966 Grid: Integrated Survey Grid, Zone 56-1





Maitland to Minimbah Third Track Submissions Report Terrestrial Fauna Impact Assessment

**Revised Project Plan** 

Job Number | 22-14471 Revision ΙA Date August 2010

Figure 2d

G:\22\14471\GIS\Maps\Deliverables\PPR\EcotoneSpecialist Report\PPR\_FSR\_StudyArea\_20100818A.mxd

Level 3, GHD Tower, 24 Honeysuckle Drive, Newcastle NSW 2300 T61 2 4979 9999 F 61 2 4979 9988 E ntlmail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com.au © 2009. While GHD has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.



G\2214471(ISIMaps)Deliverables\PPREcotoneSpecialist Report\PPR\_FSR\_StudyArea\_20100818A.mxd © 2009. While GHD has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, FUGRO make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, incompleteness or suitability for any particular purpose. The support of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitability of any particular purpose. The support of the product being inaccurate, incompleteness or support of the product being inaccurate.

### 2.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

### 2.1 General Description of the Study Area

A broad description of the prominent natural and developed features of the study area and study locality is provided in **Table 1**.

Client	THE HUNTER 8 ALLIANCE on behalf of ARTC.				
Location	The proposed third track would commence in Farley, approximately				
	two kilometres west of Maitland, at approximate chainage 194.500 kilometres				
	and would run adjacent to the Main Northern Railway for approximately				
	30 kilometres concluding at Minimbah at approximate chainage				
	224.220 kilometres.				
	9132 Cessnock and 9232 Newcastle 1:100 000 map sheets				
LGA	Maitland, Cessnock and Singleton				
Bioregion	Sydney Basin				
Botanical Subregion	North Coast				
Local Catchment	Hunter-Central Rivers				
Management Authority					
Elevation	Fifteen metres ASL in the east to 110 metres ASL near Allandale, to 65 metres				
	ASL at the western end of the investigation area.				
Investigation Area	The investigation area is approximately 30 kilometres long and between 50				
Description	and 200 metres wide along most parts. The study area is a mix of cleared				
	areas, areas of scattered tree cover, areas of remnant woodland vegetation,				
	residences and local townships.				
Current Land Use	The investigation area comprises several land use types. The majority of the				
	study area is agricultural grazing land, either cleared or containing areas of				
	remnant woodland and/or riparian vegetation. Several rural residences occur				
	within the study area, as do parts of the townships of Branxton, Greta, Farley and Maitland.				
Watercourses, Drainage	The following creek lines occur within the study area				
and Catchment	•				
and Catchinent	<ul> <li>Stony Creek</li> <li>Anvil Creek</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Sawyers Creek</li> <li>Black Creek</li> </ul>				
	• Sweetwater Creek				
	• Jump-up Creek				
	All of the above creek lines flow directly or indirectly into the Hunter River.				
Significant Ecological	Creek lines along the investigation area are significant riparian habitat areas				
Features	which provide linkages throughout the landscape for many species. Several				
	medium and large remnant woodland blocks occur along or adjacent to the				
	investigation area and provide significant habitat areas for species within the				
	region.				

### 3.0 DATABASE SEARCHES AND LITERATURE REVIEW

### **3.1 Database Searches**

### 3.1.1 Review of Threatened and/or Migratory Species within the Study Locality

A review was undertaken of the documented records of the locations of threatened fauna species within the study locality. A 10 kilometre radius search area was undertaken over the entire investigation area. Threatened species records were accessed from the DECCW Atlas of NSW Wildlife Database for the Cessnock (9132) and Newcastle (9232) 1: 100,000 map sheets (updated to June 2010). Threatened and migratory species protected under the EPBC Act likely to occur within the study locality were determined from a Protected Matters Database search (June 2010).

### 3.1.2 Threatened Fauna Species on the TSC Act recorded within the Study Locality

A total of 64 threatened and or migratory terrestrial fauna species listed on the TSC Act have previously been recorded within the study locality on the Atlas of NSW Wildlife Database (**Table 2**).

- 6 species (green and golden bell frog, black-necked stork, swift parrot, little tern, painted snipe and regent honeyeater) are listed as endangered on the TSC Act
- 44 species are listed as vulnerable on the TSC Act.
- 24 species are also listed on the EPBC Act,
  - 2 as endangered (swift parrot and spotted-tailed quoll),
  - o 1 as endangered and migratory (regent honeyeater) and
  - 4 as vulnerable (green and golden bell frog, grey-headed flying-fox, painted snipe and large-eared pied bat).
  - o 16 as migratory species.

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status (TSC)	Status (EPBC)	Earliest/Latest Records	Number of Records 10km	Number of Records 2km
		Amph	ibians			
Heleioporus australiacus	Giant Burrowing Frog	V	-	2009	1	1
Litoria aurea	Green and Golden Bell Frog	Е	V	1976-2008	10	7
Litoria littlejohni	Littlejohn's Tree Frog	V	-	1970	2	2
		Bi	rds			
Anseranas semipalmata	Magpie Goose	V	-	1978	1	-
Callocephalon fimbriatum	Gang-gang Cockatoo	V	-	2004-2005	3	-
Calyptorhynchus lathami	Glossy Black- Cockatoo	V	-	1977-2001	3	1
Circus assimilis	Spotted Harrier	V	-	1983	2	2
Climacteris picumnus	Brown Treecreeper	V	-	2003-2005	6	-
Climacteris picumnus victoriae	Brown Treecreeper (eastern subspecies)	V	-	2008	1	1
Daphoenositta chrysoptera	Varied Sittella	V	-	2004-2010	8	2
Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus	Black-necked Stork	Е	-	1987-2004	10	3
Epthianura albifrons	White-fronted Chat	V	-	1995	1	-
Glossopsitta pusilla	Little Lorikeet	V	-	2002-2009	18	5
Grantiella picta	Painted Honeyeater	V	-	1977	1	-
Hieraaetus morphnoides	Little Eagle	V	-	2000	1	-
Irediparra gallinacea	Comb-crested Jacana	V	-	1990	1	-
Ixobrychus flavicollis	Black Bittern	V	-	2000-2005	2	-
Lathamus discolor	Swift Parrot	Е	Е	2000-2009	8	-
Lophoictinia isura	Square-tailed Kite	V	-	2006	1	-
Melanodryas cucullata	Hooded Robin	V	-	1986	1	-
Melithreptus gularis gularis	Black-chinned Honeyeater (eastern subspecies)	V	-	2005	4	-
Neophema pulchella	Turquoise Parrot	V	-	2006	1	-
Ninox connivens	Barking Owl	V	-	1977-2005	2	-
Ninox strenua	Powerful Owl	V	-	1977-2004	7	2
Oxyura australis	Blue-billed Duck	V	-	1987-2005	6	4
Pandion haliaetus	Osprey	V	М	1992	1	-
Petroica boodang	Scarlet Robin	V	-	1986-2005	6	-
Petroica phoenicea	Flame Robin	V	-	2007	1	-
Pomatostomus temporalis temporalis	Grey-crowned Babbler (eastern	V	-	1988-2009	94	40

## Table 2.NSW Atlas of Wildlife Threatened Species Records in the Study Locality.

	subspecies)					
Pyrrholaemus	Speckled Warbler	v	-	1981-2008	17	8
saggitatus	Painted Snipe	·		1901 2000	17	0
Rostratula benghalensis australis	(Australian subspecies)	Е	V	1992	2	-
Sterna albifrons	Little Tern	Е	М	1983	1	-
Stictonetta naevosa	Freckled Duck	v	-	1983-1985	4	-
Tyto novaehollandiae	Masked Owl	V	-	1970	2	2
Xanthomyza phrygia	Regent Honeyeater	E P,CE	Е, М	1977-2009	5	-
Merops ornatus	Rainbow Bee-eater	-	М	1986-2009	10	3
Numenius minutus	Little Curlew	-	М	1985-1991	2	-
Tringa glareola	Wood Sandpiper	-	М	1985-1986	3	-
Tringa nebularia	Common Greenshank	-	М	1986-1990	4	-
Tringa stagnatilis	Marsh Sandpiper	-	М	1986-1992	4	-
Calidris acuminata	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper	-	М	1983-1996	22	-
Calidris ferruginea	Curlew Sandpiper	-	М	1983	19	-
Calidris melanotos	Pectoral Sandpiper	-	М	1982-1986	4	-
Calidris ruficollis	Red-necked Stint	-	М	1983-1996	3	-
Chlidonipterusas leuco	White-winged Black Tern	-	М	1988	1	-
Cuculus saturatus	Himalayan Cuckoo	-	М	1986-1992	2	-
Gallinago hardwickii	Latham's Snipe	-	М	2005-2009	2	1
Haliaeetus leucogaster	White-bellied Sea- Eagle	-	М	1986-2009	12	3
Hirundapus caudacutus	White-throated Needletail	-	М	1993-2005	5	3
		Mam	mals			
Chalinolobus dwyeri	Large-eared Pied Bat	V	V	2005-2007	2	-
Dasyurus maculatus	Spotted-tailed Quoll	V	Е	1980-2006	15	5
Falsistrellus tasmaniensis	Eastern False Pipistrelle	V	-	2002-2009	5	1
Miniopterus australis	Little Bentwing-bat	v	-	1994-2009	27	6
Miniopterus schreibersii oceanensis	Eastern Bentwing-bat	V	-	1994-2009	57	17
Mormopterus norfolkensis	Eastern Freetail-bat	V	-	1994-2009	37	10
Myotis macropus	Southern Myotis	V	-	1994-2009	16	5
Petaurus australis	Yellow-bellied Glider	V	-	2003-2005	2	-
Petaurus norfolcensis	Squirrel Glider	V	-	1998-2009	54	21
Phascogale tapoatafa	Brush-tailed Phascogale	V	-	1994-2009	9	-
Phascolarctos cinereus	Koala	V	-	1970-2009	13	2
Pteropus poliocephalus	Grey-headed Flying- fox	V	V	1999-2010	44	9
Saccolaimus flaviventris	Yellow-bellied Sheathtail-bat	V	-	2002-2007	4	1

Scoteanax rueppellii	Greater Broad-nosed Bat	V	-	1999-2009	13	5
Vespadelus troughtoni	Eastern Cave Bat	V	-	2006-2008	5	2

<u>Notes:</u> V = Vulnerable, E = Endangered, M = Migratory, P (CE/E/V) = Preliminary listing (Critically Endangered/Endangered/Vulnerable)These records are based on information supplied by the Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water, andmay contain errors or omissions.

An assessment of the likelihood of each of the species listed in **Table 2** occurring within the study area will be undertaken in **Section 6.1**.

# **3.1.3** Threatened and Migratory Species predicted to occur in the Study Locality by the EPBC Act Protected Matters Search Tool

The EPBC Protected Matters Search Tool was accessed in June 2010 via the Australian Government Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA) website to generate a list of species protected under the EPBC Act that may occur in or nearby to the study locality (10kilometre radius). 24 Potentially relevant species are listed in **Table 3** below.

Scientific Name	Status EPBC					
	Birds					
Anthochaera Phrygia Xanthomyza phrygia	Regent Honeyeater	Endangered & Migratory				
Lathamus discolor	Swift Parrot	Endangered				
Rostratula australis	Australian Painted Snipe	Endangered				
Haliaeetus leucogaster	White-bellied Sea-Eagle	Migratory				
Hirundapus caudacutus	White-throated Needle tail	Migratory				
Merops ornatus	Rainbow Bee-eater	Migratory				
Monarcha melanopsis	Black-faced Monarch	Migratory				
Monarcha trivirgatus	Spectacled Monarch	Migratory				
Myiagra cyanoleuca	Satin Flycatcher	Migratory				
Rhipidura rufifrons	Rufous Fantail	Migratory				
Ardea alba	Great Egret, White Egret	Migratory				
Ardea ibis	Cattle Egret	Migratory				
Gallinago hardwickii	Latham's Snipe, Japanese Snipe	Migratory				
Rostratula benghalensis s. lat.	Painted Snipe	Migratory				
Apus pacificus	Fork-tailed Swift	Migratory				
	Amphibians					
Litoria aurea	Green and Golden Bell Frog	Vulnerable				
Mixophyes balbus	Stuttering Frog	Vulnerable				
Mixophyes iteratus	Southern Barred Frog, Giant Barred Frog	Endangered				
	Mammals					
Chalinolobus dwyeri	Large-eared Pied Bat, Large Pied Bat	Vulnerable				

# Table 3. Threatened and Migratory Fauna Species predicted to occur in the study locality under EPBC modelling

Dasyurus maculatus maculatus (SE mainland population)	Spot-tailed Quoll, Spotted-tail Quoll, (southeastern mainland population)	Endangered
Petrogale penicillata	Brush-tailed Rock-wallaby	Vulnerable
Potorous tridactylus tridactylus	Long-nosed Potoroo (SE mainland)	Vulnerable
Pseudomys oralis	Hastings River Mouse	Endangered
Pteropus poliocephalus	Grey-headed Flying-fox	Vulnerable

### **3.1.4 Endangered Fauna Populations**

No endangered fauna populations have been recorded in the NSW Wildlife Atlas in the study locality.

### **3.1.5** Critical Habitat

No areas of critical habitat were identified within the study locality following a search of the Atlas of NSW Wildlife Database.

### **3.1.6 EPBC Act Protected Matters Report**

The EPBC Protected Matters Search Tool is accessed via the Australian Government Department of the Environment Water Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA) website and generates a list of matters protected under the EPBC Act that may occur in or nearby the search area. Potentially relevant matters are listed in Table 4. The full protected matters report can be seen in Appendix 2.

Protected Matter	Details	Potentially Relevant
World Heritage Properties	None	No
National Heritage Places	None	No
Wetlands of International Significance (Ramsar sites)	One: Hunter Estuary Wetlands	Yes
Threatened Ecological Communities	1, White Box-Yellow Box-Blakely's Red Gum Grassy Woodland and Derived Native Grassland	Yes
<b>Commonwealth Marine Areas</b>	N/A	No
Commonwealth Lands	Six - Commonwealth Lands identified within the study locality do not occur in the vicinity of the study area.	Yes
Commonwealth Heritage Places	-	No
Places on the Register of the	42	No
National Estate		
Listed Marine Species	13	No
Whales and Other Cetaceans	N/A	No
Critical Habitats	None	Yes
<b>Commonwealth Reserves</b>	None	Yes
State and Territory Reserves	1, Werakata National Park, NSW	
Other Commonwealth Reserves	None	Yes
<b>Regional Forest Agreements</b>	1, Lower North East NSW RFA, NSW	Yes

### Table 4. Table Summary of Potentially Relevant Matters under the EPBC Act 1999

### 3.2 Summary of Local Threatened and/or Migratory Species

From a literature review and database searches, the following 75 threatened and/or migratory species have previously been identified or are predicted to occur within the study locality:

0	Giant Burrowing Frog	0	Green and Golden Bell Frog	0	Littlejohn's Tree Frog
0	Magpie Goose	0	Gang-gang Cockatoo	0	Glossy Black-Cockatoo
0	Spotted Harrier	0	Brown Treecreeper	0	Brown Treecreeper (eastern subspecies)
0	Varied Sittella	0	Black-necked Stork	0	White-fronted Chat
0	Little Lorikeet	0	Painted Honeyeater	0	Little Eagle
0	Comb-crested Jacana	0	Black Bittern	0	Swift Parrot
0	Square-tailed Kite	0	Hooded Robin	0	Black-chinned Honeyeater (eastern subspecies)
0	Turquoise Parrot	0	Barking Owl	0	Powerful Owl
0	Blue-billed Duck	0	Osprey	0	Scarlet Robin
0	Flame Robin	0	Grey-crowned Babbler (eastern subspecies)	0	Speckled Warbler
0	Painted Snipe (Australian subspecies)	0	Little Tern	0	Freckled Duck
0	Masked Owl	0	Regent Honeyeater	0	Rainbow Bee-eater
0	Little Curlew	0	Wood Sandpiper	0	Common Greenshank
0	Marsh Sandpiper	0	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper	0	Curlew Sandpiper
0	Pectoral Sandpiper	0	Red-necked Stint	0	White-winged Black Tern
0	Himalayan Cuckoo	0	Latham's Snipe	0	White-bellied Sea-Eagle
0	White-throated Needletail	0	Large-eared Pied Bat	0	Spotted-tailed Quoll
0	Eastern False Pipistrelle	0	Little Bentwing-bat	0	Eastern Bentwing-bat
0	Eastern Freetail-bat	0	Southern Myotis	0	Yellow-bellied Glider
0	Squirrel Glider	0	Brush-tailed Phascogale	0	Koala
0	Grey-headed Flying-fox	0	Yellow-bellied Sheathtail-bat	0	Greater Broad-nosed Bat
0	Eastern Cave Bat	0	Great egret	0	Cattle egret
0	Spotted-tailed quoll	0	Stuttering Frog	0	Giant barred frog
0	Long-nose potoroo	0	Brush tailed rock wallaby	0	Hastings river mouse

o Spectacled monarch o Black faced monarch o Satin flycatcher

An assessment of the current likelihood of each of these threatened and/or migratory species occurring within the investigation area will be undertaken in **Section 6.1**.

### 4.0 METHODOLOGY

The survey results presented in this report are supplementary to those that were completed in 2009 for the Terrestrial Fauna Impact Assessment dated December 2009. Assessment areas covered in this report were chosen for their apparent likely habitat in respect to the modified project footprint.

The level of survey and methods undertaken were designed using the Department of Environment and Conservation (now Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water [DECCW]) threatened biodiversity survey and assessment guidelines (DEC, 2004). The methods employed were tailored to the habitat types and site characteristics of the investigation area. Due to the long linear nature of the investigation area, intensive trapping survey was not undertaken and was considered unlikely to add additional threatened species not detected by non-trapping techniques. A targeted fauna survey (non-trapping) and habitat assessment was selected as the most appropriate and feasible method to identify the species occurring within the investigation area.

#### **4.1 Survey Site Selection**

Key habitat areas were selected using aerial photograph interpretation of the subject locality. Key habitat areas are those areas that provide the highest quality habitat within the area of study.

Twenty one sites were selected for assessment from aerial photograph interpretation (**Figure 3**)Survey Sites. Each of these potential sites was assessed in the field for habitat features and an appropriate survey effort was adopted.

Aerial photograph interpretation identified the important forest or riparian areas supplementary to those along the investigation area (**Table 5**).

Site	General Area	Habitat	Coordinates MGA (GDA 94)		
No			Easting	Northing	
<b>S</b> 1	Rutherford Wollombi rd	Small disturbed site, ex stockpile area. Cleared with scattered trees.	361593	6378019	
S2	Rutherford Wollombi rd	Disturbed site, small patch of remnant red gum open forest woodland and spotted gum iron bark open forest.	360869	6378093	
<b>S</b> 3	Rutherford, Kyle St Industrial Area	Remnant woodland behind industrial estate. Spotted gum iron bark open forest.	359388	6378333	
<b>S</b> 4	New England Hwy Station Lane	Predominately cleared, grazed area, some scattered spotted gum iron bark forest persists.	352963	6378072	
S5a	New England Hwy Allendale Rd	Woodland ranging from spotted gum iron bark to with some swamp oak riparian and remnant red gum open forest. Dense to patchy with cleared paddocks.	351148	6379009	
S5b	New England Hwy Allendale Rd	NO ACCESS	351093	6378986	
<b>S</b> 6	New England Hwy Allendale Rd	LIMITED ACCESS, dense woodland.	350519	6380139	
S7a	East of Greta, Access via John St	Swamp oak riparian forest ranging from dense to patchy.	349777	6380864	
S7b	Other side of tracks from S7a	LIMITED ACCESS, small patch of woodland.	349717	6380787	
<b>S</b> 8	East of Greta, Access via John St	Swamp oak riparian forest ranging from dense to patchy.	349351	6381253	
S9	Other side of tracks	LIMITED ACCESS, Patchy woodland surrounded by	349162	6381318	

### Table 5. Regionally Important Habitat Areas along the Investigation Area

	from S8	pasture.		
<b>S</b> 10	East of Greta, Access via John St	Swamp oak riparian forest ranging from dense to patchy.	349150	6381519
<b>S</b> 11	Southern side of Northern Railway Greta, Mansfield St	Small patch of red gum open forest.	348478	6381946
S12	Greta New England Hwy	NO ACCESS	348718	6382330
S13	Greta New England Hwy	NO ACCESS	348745	6382612
S14	South of Branxton off Wine Country Drive	Open spotted gum iron bark forest.	344945	6384874
S15	Branxton South of Branxton off Wine Country Drive	Cleared pasture for agriculture with some remnant spotted gum open forest.	345421	6384704
S16	New England Hwy approximately 1.2km east of Branxton	Predominately open pasture with some dams, small remnant spotted gum iron bark forest.	344066	6385312
S17a		NO ACCESS	342462	6385271
S17b		NO ACCESS	342428	6384970
S18	New England Hwy - Hermitage lane	Open pasture.	338218	6385633
<b>S</b> 19	New England Hwy - Hermitage lane	Open pasture.	338130	6385632
S20	New England Hwy - Hermitage lane	Small patch of red gum open forest.	338137	6385216
S21	New England Hwy - Hermitage lane	NO ACCESS	338133	6385372

Access restrictions prevented the sampling of habitat areas S5b, S6, S7b, S9, S12, S13 S15, S17a, S17b and S21 (**Table 5**). Habitat areas S1, S18, S19, and S20 were not considered as potential sampling sites due to being predominately cleared or due to lack of potential habitat. Sites S2, S3, S4, S5a, S7a, S8, S9, S10, S11, S14, and S16 were considered to have good representative vegetation and no accessibility issues.

### 4.2 Targeted survey sites

From the above assessment 10 sites were identified for targeted survey (Table 6) & (Figure 3).

### Table 6.Targeted Survey Sites

Site	General Area	Habitat	Coordinates GDA		
No	General Area	Habitat	Easting	Northing	
S2	Rutherford Wollombi rd	Disturbed site, small patch of remnant red gum open forest woodland and spotted gum iron bark open forest.	360869	6378093	
<b>S</b> 3	Rutherford, Kyle St Industrial Area	Remnant woodland behind industrial estate. Spotted gum iron bark open forest.	359388	6378333	
S4	Southern side of Northern Rail line, Old North Rd Station Lane	Predominately cleared, grazed area, some scattered spotted gum iron bark forest persists.	352963	6378072	
S5a	Allendale Rd, Allandale	Woodland ranging from spotted gum iron bark to with some swamp oak riparian and remnant red gum open forest.	351148	6379009	

		Dense to patchy with cleared paddocks.		
S7a	East of Greta, Access via John St	Swamp oak riparian forest ranging from dense to patchy.	349777	6380864
<b>S</b> 8	East of Greta, Access via John St	Swamp oak riparian forest ranging from dense to patchy.	349351	6381253
S10	East of Greta, Access via John St	Swamp oak riparian forest ranging from dense to patchy.	349150	6381519
<b>S</b> 11	Southern side of Northern Railway Greta, Mansfield St	Small patch of red gum open forest.	348478	6381946
S14	South of Branxton off Wine Country Drive	Open spotted gum iron bark forest.	344945	6384874
S16	New England Hwy approximately 1.2km east of Branxton	Predominately open pasture with some dams, small remnant spotted gum iron bark forest.	344066	6385312

### 4.3 Survey Effort

Targeted fauna surveys were undertaken at the 10 sites identified in Table 6 and Figure 3. All 10 sites in Table 6 were visited on a minimum of two occasions, for day and night surveys, except site S11 that was only visited during the day. Survey effort included 40 minute 2 hectare bird surveys, spotlighting, nocturnal owl and mammal call playback, and Anabat micro-bat survey (**Figure 4**). Reptile and amphibian searches were restricted to opportunistic searches due to the cool weather.

Sumon Mothod (IInc)	Site No										
Survey Method (Hrs)	<b>S2</b>	<b>S</b> 3	<b>S4</b>	S5a	S7a	<b>S8</b>	S10	S11	<b>S14</b>	<b>S16</b>	<b>Total Hrs</b>
Bird Surveys	20	20	20	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	5.6
Dira Bai (0)8	min	min	min	min	min	min	min	min	min	min	5.0
Opportunistic	35	55	30	50	20	20	20	40	1hr	20	5.8
Opportunistic	min	min	min	min	min	min	min	min	1111	min	5.0
Diurnal Reptile and	15	1hr	1hr	30	_			_	1hr	30	4.25
Amphibian Surveys	min	1111	1111	min	-	-	-	-	1111	n min	4.23
Nocturnal Reptile and Amphibian Surveys	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walking Spotlight Surveys	2hr	2hr	2hr	2hr	2hr	2hr	2hr	-	2hr	2hr	18
Opportunistic	-	30 min	-	30 min	-	-	-	-	-	30 min	1.5
Owl and Mammal Call Playback	0	1hr	1hr	-	1hr	-	-	-	1hr	-	4
Anabat Survey	1hr	4hr	1hr	1.5hr	4hr	1hr	1hr	-	3hr	3.5hr	20

### Table 7.Survey Effort

### 4.3.1 Bird Surveys

Each bird survey comprised of at least 20 minutes of survey (**Table 7**) completed by one observer in a 2 hectare area, or as a 40 minute survey with 2 observers or as opportunistic surveys if the site was determined to be less than 2 hectares. Birds recorded outside of the 20 minute survey were recorded as opportunistic. Birds were identified using binoculars and from characteristic calls. One

bird survey was completed at each of the survey sites. Bird sightings were also recorded opportunistically during all other survey activities.

### 4.3.2 Diurnal Reptile and Amphibian Searches

Diurnal reptile and amphibian searches were undertaken during late morning and mid to late afternoon. Each survey comprised at least one person hour of survey (**Table 7**) completed by two observers for 30 minutes or one observer for an hour. Habitat features investigated during diurnal reptile and amphibian searches included fallen timber, rocks, tree trunks, leaf litter and grassland areas.

### 4.3.3 Nocturnal Reptile and Amphibian Searches

Nocturnal reptile and amphibian searches were undertaken during early evening. Nocturnal reptile and amphibian searches were restricted to terrestrial habitats at some sites due to a lack of water sources. All other nocturnal reptile and amphibian searches were undertaken at and around farm dams and watercourses. Each terrestrial survey comprised one person hour of survey completed by two observers. Nocturnal reptile and amphibian searches were undertaken with hand-held torches and head lamps.

### 4.3.4 Walking Spotlight Survey

Walking spotlight surveys were undertaken between dusk and 11 pm. Each survey comprised, at a minimum, a single person hour of survey (two observers). Walking spotlight surveys were undertaken with hand held Lightforce spotlights (50 Watt). One walking spotlight survey was completed at each of the open and riparian woodland survey sites (**Table 7**).

### **4.3.5 Nocturnal Call Playback**

Call playback for owl species was undertaken at dusk at S3, S4, S7a and S14 survey sites (**Table 7**). The nocturnal calls of the following species were played using a TOA megaphone (model ER-409, 15W rated output):

- Bush stone-curlew
- Masked owl
- Barking owl
- Powerful owl
- Sooty owl

After listening for five minutes during dusk, the calls of the above species were broadcast for approximately four minutes each and were separated by a listening period of four minutes. At the end of each four minute listening period a brief spotlighting scan was made of surrounding trees for owls that may have approached silently. The calls were broadcast in the order shown above. At the completion of the final species call a listening period of five minutes was undertaken and followed by a final scan of the surrounding trees. Call playback surveys were not undertaken at the remaining 7 sites due to their proximity to homes or the size of the site was considered to be too small.

### 4.3.6 Micro-bat Survey

Anabat micro-bat detectors and recorders (hereafter referred to as 'Anabat detectors') were used to record the ultrasonic calls of micro-bats. Anabat detectors (in weather proof cases) were positioned

in suitable foraging corridors or around water bodies such as dams. All Anabat detectors began recording at dusk and recorded calls for the period that they were set up on the site. A hand held Anabat detector was also used during spotlighting activities. Anabat files were analysed in-house by Ecotone Ecological Consultants personnel with extensive Anabat file analysis experience.