

# Maitland to Minimbah Third Track Project Submissions Report Including Preferred Project Report September 2010







# **Australian Rail Track Corporation**

Maitland to Minimbah Third Track Project Submissions Report including Preferred Project Report

September 2010

H8R-REP-S2G-ENV-0019-0



#### GHD

Level 3 GHD Tower 24 Honeysuckle Drive Newcastle NSW 2300 PO Box 5403 Hunter Region Mail Centre NSW 2310 T: (02) 4979 9999 F: (02) 4979 9988 E: ntlmail@ghd.com.au

#### © GHD 2010

This report has been prepared by GHD (pursuant to a contract between the members of the Hunter 8 Alliance) for use by the Hunter 8 Alliance, comprising GHD, John Holland Pty Ltd and ARTC. It has been prepared on the basis of specific instructions and/or information provided by one or more members of the Hunter 8 Alliance. This report is intended for the sole use of the Hunter 8 Alliance. The contents and conclusion of this report cannot be relied upon by any third party.

This report should not be altered, amended or abbreviated, issued in part or issued incomplete in any way without prior checking and approval by GHD.

| Rev<br>No. | Date       | Revision<br>Description | Prepared | Rev      | iewed     | Appr    | oved      |
|------------|------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|
|            |            |                         |          | Name     | Signature | Name    | Signature |
| 0          | 01/09/2010 | Original                | V Owen   | S Taylor | E-R-      | D Chubb | Offile.   |
|            |            |                         |          |          |           |         |           |
|            |            |                         |          |          |           |         |           |
|            |            |                         |          |          |           |         |           |

#### **Document Status**



# Contents

| Par | t A   | Introduction  | 1  |
|-----|-------|---|----|
| 1.  | Intro | oduction  | 2  |
|     | 1.1   | Overview  | 2  |
|     | 1.2   | Purpose of this Report                                    | 2  |
|     | 1.3   | Need for the Project                                      | 4  |
|     | 1.4   | Key Features of the Project                               | 4  |
|     | 1.5   | Staging of the Project                                    | 4  |
|     | 1.6   | Other Project Changes                                     | 5  |
|     | 1.7   | Overview of Potential Impacts and Benefits of the Project | 5  |
|     | 1.8   | The Assessment and Determination Process                  | 9  |
| 2.  | Exhi  | bition and Consultation                                   | 10 |
|     | 2.1   | Pre-exhibition Consultation                               | 10 |
|     | 2.2   | Consultation during the Public Exhibition Period          | 11 |
|     | 2.3   | Exhibition Period Consultation                            | 12 |
|     | 2.4   | Future Consultation                                       | 13 |
| Par | t B   | Submissions   | 14 |
| 3.  | Con   | sideration of Submissions                                 | 15 |
|     | 3.1   | Number of Submissions Received                            | 15 |
|     | 3.2   | Submission Issues   | 15 |
|     | 3.3   | Analysis Process  | 15 |
| 4.  | Res   | ponse to Submission Issues                                | 18 |
|     | 4.1   | Noise and Vibration                                       | 18 |
|     | 4.2   | Land Use  | 30 |
|     | 4.3   | Air Quality   | 32 |
|     | 4.4   | Community Consultation                                    | 35 |
|     | 4.5   | Traffic and Access  | 36 |
|     | 4.6   | Social  | 38 |
|     | 4.7   | Design  | 40 |
|     | 4.8   | Surface Water   | 43 |
|     | 4.9   | Construction  | 44 |
|     | 4.10  | Ecology   | 47 |



|      | 4.11       | Operation                                       | 50  |
|------|------------|---|-----|
|      | 4.12       | Environmental Management                        | 52  |
|      | 4.13       | Non-Indigenous Heritage                         | 53  |
|      | 4.14       | Visual  | 55  |
|      | 4.15       | Aboriginal Heritage                             | 57  |
|      | 4.16       | Groundwater                                     | 58  |
|      | 4.17       | Cumulative Impacts                              | 60  |
|      | 4.18       | Other Issues                                    | 60  |
| Part | С          | Preferred Project                               | 63  |
| 5.   | Pref       | erred Project                                   | 64  |
|      | 5.1        | The Revised Project                             | 64  |
|      | 5.2        | Project Modifications                           | 65  |
|      | 5.3        | Property Acquisition and Private Infrastructure | 75  |
|      | 5.4        | Earthworks                                      | 76  |
|      | 5.5        | Drainage  | 80  |
|      | 5.6        | Construction Compounds                          | 81  |
|      | 5.7        | Traffic and Access                              | 82  |
|      | 5.8        | Vegetation Clearance                            | 82  |
|      | 5.9        | Construction Staging                            | 82  |
|      | 5.10       | Operation                                       | 93  |
|      | 5.11       | Biodiversity Offsetting                         | 93  |
| 6.   | Envi       | ronmental Assessment                            | 95  |
|      | 6.1        | Flora and Aquatic Ecology                       | 95  |
|      | 6.2        | Fauna   | 116 |
|      | 6.3        | Aboriginal Heritage                             | 133 |
|      | 6.4        | Non-Indigenous Heritage                         | 135 |
|      | 6.5        | Land Use  | 137 |
|      | 6.6        | Traffic and Access                              | 152 |
|      | 6.7        | Noise and Vibration                             | 163 |
|      | 6.8        | Contamination                                   | 200 |
| 7.   | State      | ement of Commitments                            | 208 |
| 8.   | Conclusion |   | 237 |



### Table Index

| Table 1-1  | Overview of Potential Project Impacts and Key  | 0   |
|------------|--|-----|
| <b>T</b>   | Management Commitments   | 6   |
| Table 2-1  | Community Consultation History   | 10  |
| Table 3-1  | Summary of Issues from Non-Government<br>Submissions                                     | 16  |
| Table 3-2  | Summary of Issues from Government Submissions  | 16  |
| Table 5-1  | Major Project Elements   | 64  |
| Table 5-2  | Proposed Project Changes   | 66  |
| Table 5-3  | Summary of Proposed Property Acquisition   | 76  |
| Table 5-4  | Details of Dams Impacted by the Project  | 76  |
| Table 5-5  | Potential Blasting Locations   | 78  |
| Table 5-6  | Potential Spoil Area Locations   | 79  |
| Table 5-7  | Potential Screening Mound Locations  | 79  |
| Table 5-8  | Potential Borrow Pit Locations   | 80  |
| Table 5-9  | Proposed Project Phasing   | 82  |
| Table 5-10 | Secondary Construction Compound Locations  | 85  |
| Table 5-11 | Proposed Intersection Treatment Measures   | 86  |
| Table 5-12 | Affected Receivers and Proposed Attenuation– Up Side                                     | 89  |
| Table 5-13 | Affected Receivers and Proposed Attenuation – Down Side                                  | 90  |
| Table 5-14 | Potential Noise Attenuation Options  | 91  |
| Table 5-15 | Project Vegetation Clearance and Estimated<br>Biodiversity Offsetting Requirements       | 94  |
| Table 6-1  | Proposed Vegetation Clearance  | 112 |
| Table 6-2  | Proposed Vegetation Clearance: Endangered<br>Ecological Communities and Threatened Flora | 116 |
| Table 6-3  | Threatened and/or Migratory Species identified   |     |
|            | during Field Surveys   | 119 |
| Table 6-4  | Summary of Proposed Property Acquisition   | 138 |
| Table 6-5  | Details of Dams Impacted by the Project  | 151 |
| Table 6-6  | Compound locations and access roads  | 154 |
| Table 6-7  | Environmental Assessment Compound Locations<br>and Proposed Changes                      | 157 |
| Table 6-8  | Phasing of Proposed Construction Compounds   | 157 |
| Table 6-9  | Phase 1 Construction Traffic Impact on Intersection<br>Capacity                          | 158 |



| Table 6-10 | Phase 2 Construction Traffic Impact on Intersection Capacity  | 158 |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table 6-11 | Construction Traffic Impact                                   | 160 |
| Table 6-12 | Proposed Intersection Treatments                              | 162 |
| Table 6-13 | Noise Catchment Areas – Up Side                               | 164 |
| Table 6-14 | Noise Catchment Areas – Down Side                             | 164 |
| Table 6-15 | Proposed Blasting Locations                                   | 165 |
| Table 6-16 | 2012 Scenarios - Summary of Results at Monitoring Locations   | 193 |
| Table 6-17 | 2022 Model - Summary of Results at Monitoring Locations       | 194 |
| Table 6-18 | Affected Receivers Per Noise Catchment Areas –<br>Up Side     | 195 |
| Table 6-19 | Affected Receivers per Noise Catchment Areas –<br>Down Side   | 196 |
| Table 6-20 | Affected Receivers Per Noise Catchment Areas –<br>Lmax Levels | 198 |
| Table 6-21 | Sources of Potential Contamination                            | 204 |
| Table 7-1  | Statement of Commitments                                      | 208 |
|            |   |     |

## Figure Index

| Figure 1.1 | Regional Location                             | 3   |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 5.1 | Proposed Project Construction Phases          | 69  |
| Figure 5.2 | Revised Project Location                      | 70  |
| Figure 5.3 | Typical Cross Section – Fill                  | 77  |
| Figure 5.4 | Typical Cross Section – Cut                   | 78  |
| Figure 5.5 | Typical Drainage Arrangement Cross Section    | 81  |
| Figure 6.1 | Vegetation Mapping and Revised Project        |     |
|            | Construction Impact Zone                      | 98  |
| Figure 6.2 | Survey Effort and Threatened Species          | 120 |
| Figure 6.3 | Property Impacts and Significant Areas        | 139 |
| Figure 6.4 | Local Roads Network and Compounds for Phase 1 | 155 |
| Figure 6.5 | Local Roads Network and Compounds for Phase 2 | 156 |
| Figure 6.6 | Revised Construction Impact Zones             | 166 |
| Figure 6.7 | Revised Blasting Impact Zone                  | 188 |



### Appendices

- A Environmental Assessment Exhibition Submission Issues
- B Terrestrial Flora and Aquatic Ecological Study
- C Terrestrial Fauna Study
- D Traffic Study
- E Noise and Vibration Study
- F Preliminary Contamination Study
- G Revised Project Drawings





Part A - Introduction





Part A Introduction



## 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Overview

A number of rail infrastructure improvements to the Hunter Valley Rail Network have been proposed by Australian Rail Track Corporation (ARTC) to address the projected forecast increase in freight throughput at the Port of Newcastle. One of the key improvement projects is a proposed third track adjacent to the existing Main Northern Railway between Maitland and Whittingham, known as the Maitland to Whittingham Third Track Project. The Maitland to Whittingham Third Track Project was announced as part of the Government's Nation Building package in November 2008.

The first stage of the Maitland to Whittingham Third Track Project consists of the construction of the third track between Whittingham and Minimbah (known as the Minimbah Bank Third Track Project). This project is now complete. The second stage of the Maitland to Whittingham Third Track Project consists of the construction of the third track between Maitland and Minimbah (the Project).

The Project commences in Farley approximately two kilometres west of Maitland Station and continues through the local government areas of Maitland, Cessnock and Singleton for approximately 30 kilometres, concluding at Minimbah. Figure 1.1 shows the regional location of the Project.

An Environmental Assessment of the Project was prepared to seek Project Approval from the Minister for Planning under Part 3A of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act* 1979 (EP&A Act) and for that purpose, to demonstrate that the Director-General's Environmental Assessment Requirements had been satisfied. The Environmental Assessment was publically exhibited for a period of 30 days between 9 June 2010 and 12 July 2010 in accordance with Section 75H(3) of the EP&A Act. During this period, submissions were invited from anyone with an interest in the Project, including members of the community and government stakeholders (refer Chapter 2). These submissions have been considered and are addressed in the Submissions Report (this report).

#### 1.2 Purpose of this Report

This Submissions Report documents and considers the submissions received on the Environmental Assessment and outlines Hunter8's response to them, as required under Section 75H(6) of the EP&A Act. The Submissions Report also provides an overview of the Environmental Assessment (refer to Section 1.7), consultation activities undertaken during the preparation and public exhibition of the Environmental Assessment (refer to Chapter 2), a summary of the key non-government and government issues raised (refer to Chapter 3), the response to the issues raised in these submissions (refer to Chapter 4) as well as details of additional investigations and project modifications that have been made since the exhibition of the Environmental Assessment (refer to Chapters 5 and 6, respectively). This Submissions Report also documents a revised Statement of Commitments which reflects the key issues raised in the submissions received.





G/22/14471/GIS/Maps/Deliverables/PPR/PPR\_Regional\_Plan\_20100712.mxd Level 3, GHD Tower, 24 Honeysuckle Drive, Newcastle NSW 2300 T 61 2 4979 9999 F 61 2 4979 9988 Entimail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com.au © 2009. While GHD has taken care to ensure the accuracy of this product, GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. GHD and GEOSCIENCE AUSTRALIA cannot accept liability of any kind (whether in contract, tot or otherwise) for any expenses, losses dyamages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred as a result of the product being inaccurate, incomplete or unsultable in any way and for any reason. Data Source: GeoScience Australia: 250k Topo Data - Series 3. Created by: msmilikovski, tmorton, fmackay



#### 1.3 Need for the Project

Industry forecasts predict that the demand for the transport of coal by rail will increase substantially in the future. The existing track between Minimbah and Maitland is built on a relatively poor quality embankment formation. With an increase in forecast traffic volume there is a strong prospect that the existing formation will require a significant increase in maintenance frequency and intensity (ARTC 2009). The frequent need for on-track maintenance results in loss of capacity for coal trains and disruptions to CityRail passenger services. These maintenance delays are expected to increase as coal tonnages increase, creating more delays and causing greater loss in capacity in the movement of coal and other freight.

The Project is therefore essential to increase rail reliability and secure future capacity between the Hunter Valley and the Port of Newcastle. In addition to the construction of the third track, the construction and upgrade of stations as part of the Project would improve the accessibility and functionality of these stations.

#### 1.4 Key Features of the Project

The Project would involve the construction of a third track adjacent to the existing Main Northern Railway as well as construction and/ or modification of major infrastructure along the Main Northern Railway. The major elements of the Project include:

- Approximately 30 kilometres of new track including turnouts.
- Major cut and fill earthworks along the route and other minor earthworks.
- Drainage works including amendments to culverts for cross drainage, central and cess track drainage and the re-alignment of a creek.
- Demolition of one existing rail overbridge and modification of one existing rail overbridge.
- Construction of eight new rail underbridges and demolition of one existing rail underbridge.
- Modification of three existing railway stations.
- Widening of the rail corridor through property acquisition.

Chapter 5 describes the Project including proposed modifications to design, construction and operation of the Project from that described and assessed in the Environmental Assessment. These modifications are outlined in Sections 1.5 and 1.6. Chapter 6 addresses the potential environmental impacts and any additional environmental mitigation measures to address these proposed modifications.

#### 1.5 Staging of the Project

The key modification to the Project is the proposed staging of construction, as displayed in Figure 5.2. This would include the following:

Phase 1

- Construction of the third track (and associated earthworks, infrastructure and construction support facilities) between chainages and 216.340 kilometres and 224.200 kilometres, and between chainages 196.100 kilometres and 209.840 kilometres.
- Installation of rail turnouts at the following chainages:



- 216.340 kilometres (Branxton).
- 209.840 kilometres (Greta).
- 196.100 kilometres (Farley).

#### Phase 2

 Construction of the third track (and associated earthworks, infrastructure and construction support facilities) between chainages 209.840 kilometres and 216.340 kilometres (Branxton to Greta), and chainages 194.500 kilometres and 196.100 kilometres (Farley to Telarah).

#### 1.6 Other Project Changes

In addition to the staging of construction, there are several other proposed modifications to the Project as described in Chapter 7 of the Environmental Assessment. These modifications are as follows:

- Amendments to the earthworks design required for the third track, including modification to the design of the Down side access track.
- A reduction in the required property acquisition resulting from the amendments to the earthworks design.
- Additional potential spoil disposal areas and the addition of potential sources of appropriate track construction material adjacent to the rail corridor (known as borrow pits).
- Alternative and proposed new locations for construction compounds (primary and secondary) and associated changes to traffic access and management.

#### 1.7 Overview of Potential Impacts and Benefits of the Project

The key potential construction and operational impacts that would occur if the Project was to proceed would include:

- Flora and Fauna.
- Aboriginal Heritage.
- Non-Indigenous Heritage.
- Land Use.
- Traffic and Access.
- Air Quality.
- Noise and Vibration.
- Surface Water.

These impacts are discussed in detail in Part C of the Environmental Assessment. A summary of the key potential environmental impacts noted above and proposed measures to mitigate these impacts are provided in Table 1-1. These have been updated (where relevant) to reflect the modifications to the Project design as described in Chapter 5 of this report. Potential benefits that are expected to be associated with the Project are also summarised in Table 1-1



It is expected that the impacts identified in Table 1-1 would be manageable, given the commitments to environmental protection and management made by the Hunter 8 Alliance and ARTC (refer to Chapter 7 of this report).

| Table 1-1 | Overview of Potential Project Impacts and Key Management Commitments   |
|-----------|--|
|           | overview of totential troject impacts and ney management ooninintments |

| Key Issue               | Identified Key Potential Impact/<br>Benefit   | Key Management Commitments   |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Flora and Fauna         | Clearance of native vegetation,<br>including threatened flora species<br>and potential threatened fauna<br>species habitat. | <ul> <li>Minimising the area of vegetation clearance and retain mature trees where possible.</li> <li>Development and implementation of a Revegetation Plan.</li> <li>Preparation and implementation of a</li> </ul> |
|                         |   | Biodiversity Offsetting Strategy.  |
| Aboriginal Heritage     | Disturbance of Aboriginal heritage relics.  | <ul> <li>Work with Aboriginal stakeholder groups<br/>to appropriately manage Aboriginal<br/>heritage relics.</li> </ul>  |
|                         |   | <ul> <li>Avoid disturbance of Aboriginal heritage<br/>relics wherever possible.</li> </ul>   |
|                         |   | <ul> <li>Provision of cultural and heritage<br/>awareness training to relevant<br/>construction personnel.</li> </ul>  |
|                         |   | <ul> <li>Preparation and implementation of an<br/>Aboriginal Heritage Management Plan.</li> </ul>  |
| Non-Indigenous Heritage | Disturbance of non-indigenous heritage relics.  | <ul> <li>Avoid or minimise disturbance of non-<br/>indigenous heritage items wherever<br/>possible.</li> </ul>   |
|                         |   | <ul> <li>Archival recording of any non-indigenous<br/>heritage item where disturbance is<br/>required.</li> </ul>  |
|                         |   | <ul> <li>Preparation and implementation of a<br/>Non-Indigenous Heritage Management<br/>Plan.</li> </ul>   |
|                         | Disturbance of a natural heritage location (Allandale Area).  | • Earthworks and blasting activities within<br>the Allandale Area to be designed to<br>allow for retrieval of fossiliferous<br>materials by palaeontologists and other<br>interested parties.                        |
| Land Use                | Property acquisition required to accommodate the third track.   | <ul> <li>Acquisition process in accordance with<br/>the Land Acquisition (Just Terms<br/>Compensation) Act 1991.</li> </ul>  |
|                         | Impacts on land uses adjoining the corridor during construction.  | <ul> <li>Development of specific management<br/>measures in coordination with the<br/>landholder.</li> </ul>   |
|                         |   | <ul> <li>Implementation of a Construction<br/>Environmental Management Plan to<br/>minimise environmental impacts on<br/>adjoining landholders.</li> </ul>   |



| Key Issue          | Identified Key Potential Impact/<br>Benefit                       | Key Management Commitments  |
|--------------------|---|---|
|                    | Impacts on farm dams and other infrastructure.                    | <ul> <li>Provision of appropriate compensation to<br/>affected landholders.</li> </ul>  |
|                    |   | <ul> <li>Consult with the NSW Office of Water<br/>regarding licensing requirements for<br/>amended or relocated dams.</li> </ul>  |
| Traffic and Access | Potential construction vehicle impacts on traffic and road safety | <ul> <li>Preparation and implementation of a<br/>Construction Traffic management Plan<br/>and Traffic Control Plans.</li> </ul>   |
|                    |   | <ul> <li>Provision of traffic controls at<br/>intersections of the New England<br/>Highway to be used by construction<br/>traffic.</li> </ul>                                       |
| Air Quality        | Potential construction air quality impacts (dust).                | <ul> <li>Real time dust and weather monitoring to<br/>allow for immediate and appropriate<br/>response.</li> </ul>  |
|                    |   | <ul> <li>Minimising exposure of soils and<br/>stockpiles, and disturbance of exposed<br/>soils.</li> </ul>  |
|                    |   | <ul> <li>Designation of haul roads which would be<br/>managed (surface material, speed limits<br/>and water spraying) to minimise dust<br/>generation.</li> </ul>                   |
|                    |   | <ul> <li>Wetting and/ or covering of dirt, sand, soil<br/>or other loose materials being<br/>transported to and from the construction<br/>site.</li> </ul>                          |
|                    | Potential operational air quality impacts (dust and emissions).   | <ul> <li>Implementation of the ARTC Pollution<br/>Reduction Program for reduction of coal<br/>dust emissions for locomotive loads<br/>(currently under review by DECCW).</li> </ul> |
|                    |   | <ul> <li>Railway verges and other exposed<br/>surfaces would be revegetated or<br/>covered with cobbles or coarse gravel<br/>where possible.</li> </ul>                             |
|                    |   | Where practicable and space available,<br>consideration to maintaining or<br>establishing a stand of trees or other<br>vegetation on properties adjacent to the<br>Project.         |



| Key Issue           | Identified Key Potential Impact/<br>Benefit   | Key Management Commitments   |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Noise and Vibration | Potential construction noise and vibration impacts  | Implementation of standard construction hours.   |
|                     |   | Any works proposed outside these<br>standard construction hours would be<br>consistent with relevant Environment<br>Protection Licence conditions.   |
|                     |   | <ul> <li>Preparation and implementation of a<br/>Construction Noise and Vibration<br/>Management Plan.</li> </ul>  |
|                     |   | <ul> <li>Preparation and implementation of Noise<br/>and Vibration Impact Statements for<br/>discreet key areas and/ or activities.</li> </ul>   |
|                     |   | Noise and vibration monitoring to be<br>undertaken for activities within 30 metres<br>of a residence or in response to a noise<br>and/ or vibration complaint.   |
|                     | Potential operational noise and vibration impacts.  | <ul> <li>Provision of noise attenuation (noise<br/>barrier or architectural treatment) where<br/>IGANRIP is predicted to be triggered.</li> </ul>  |
|                     |   | Detailed vibration monitoring at<br>potentially affected residences prior to<br>third track operations to confirm vibration<br>levels and develop appropriate<br>management measures in consultation<br>with the landholder.       |
| Surface Water       | Impacts on water quality from<br>erosion and sediment loss and<br>inadequate management of<br>construction materials. | Preparation and implementation of a<br>Spoil and Fill Management Plan, that<br>includes measures to minimise erosion<br>and sediment loss, provide sediment<br>control and minimise impacts on the<br>quality of receiving waters. |
|                     |   | <ul> <li>Preparation and implementation of a<br/>surface water quality monitoring program<br/>prior to and throughout construction.</li> </ul>   |
|                     | Impacts of the Project on hydrology and existing drainage infrastructure.   | <ul> <li>Project drainage has been designed to<br/>minimise changes to hydrology.</li> </ul>   |



#### **1.8** The Assessment and Determination Process

The Project has been assessed and will be determined in accordance with Part 3A of the EP&A Act. Following the lodgement of this Submissions Report, the Department will, on behalf of the Minister, review the Environmental Assessment, all submissions received and the Submissions Report. Once the Department has completed its assessment, a draft assessment report will be prepared for the consideration of the Director-General. This report may include recommended Conditions of Approval for the project.

The assessment report will then be submitted to the Minister for formal determination. The Minister may then approve the project with any appropriate Conditions imposed, or may also choose to refuse the project.

Immediately following the Minister's determination, copies of the determination and assessment report will be published on the Department of Planning's website.



## 2. Exhibition and Consultation

This section documents the consultation that was undertaken by the Hunter 8 Alliance during the preparation and public exhibition of the Environmental Assessment. This section also provides details of the consultation that would be undertaken by the Hunter 8 Alliance if project approval is granted.

#### 2.1 Pre-exhibition Consultation

The objective of this consultation phase was to identify stakeholder and community issues to be addressed in the Environmental Assessment and to inform stakeholders about the Project. Consultation was undertaken with government agencies, members of the community and other stakeholders during the preparation of the Environmental Assessment as summarised in Table 2-1.

| Date                    | Activity   | Location  |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| Ongoing from early 2009 | Website describing the Minimbah Bank<br>Third Track and the Maitland to<br>Minimbah Third Track Projects | www.hunter8alliance.com.au (promoted heavily in other consultation materials)   |
|                         | 1800 number – project info line  | 1800 216 317<br>(promoted heavily in other consultation<br>materials)   |
|                         | Project email address  | communityinput@hunter8.com.au   |
|                         | Discussions with residents, arising from calls and emails  | Usually at the homes of residents, and often with representatives of the Property Team.   |
| June 2009               | Advertisements regarding the Project   | Maitland Mercury<br>Singleton Argus<br>Newcastle Herald   |
| October 2009            | Newsletter   | To all on the Hunter 8 Alliance stakeholder<br>database, which at that the time was<br>primarily landholders along the line, and<br>other interested parties. |
|                         | Advertisements about the Community<br>Drop In Days   | Maitland Mercury<br>Singleton Argus<br>Newcastle Herald<br>Hunter Valley News   |
| 12 October 2009         | Community Drop In Day  | Greta   |
| 14 October 2009         | Community Drop In Day  | Maitland  |

#### Table 2-1 Community Consultation History



| Date                      | Activity   | Location   |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| November 2009             | Advertisement regarding the<br>Environmental Assessment application<br>notification. | Maitland Mercury<br>Singleton Argus<br>Newcastle Herald<br>Hunter Valley News  |
| November/December<br>2009 | Advertisements about 1 December<br>Community Drop In Day.                            | Maitland Mercury<br>Singleton Argus<br>Newcastle Herald<br>Hunter Valley News  |
| 1 December 2009           | Community Drop In Day  | Greta  |
| January 2010              | Newsletter   | To all on Hunter 8 Alliance stakeholder<br>(now larger) database, plus to half of Greta<br>and Rutherford, and to all of Allandale,<br>Farley, Telarah, Harpers Hill, Lochinvar,<br>Whittingham, Belford and Branxton. |
| June 2010                 | Advertisements about Environmental<br>Assessment Community Drop In Day               | Maitland Mercury<br>Singleton Argus<br>Newcastle Herald<br>Hunter Valley News  |
| June 2010                 | Newsletter   | To all on Hunter 8 Alliance stakeholder<br>(now larger) database, plus to half of Greta<br>and Rutherford, and to all of Allandale,<br>Farley, Telarah, Harpers Hill, Lochinvar,<br>Whittingham, Belford and Branxton. |
| 16 June 2010              | Environmental Assessment Community<br>Drop In Day                                    | Greta  |
| 17 June 2010              | Environmental Assessment Community<br>Drop In Day                                    | Maitland   |

#### 2.2 Consultation during the Public Exhibition Period

The Environmental Assessment was placed on public exhibition for a period of 30 days between 9 June and 12 July 2010. The exhibition activities and consultation undertaken during the exhibition period are summarised in the following sections.

#### 2.2.1 Exhibition Venues

The Environmental Assessment was placed on exhibition from 9 June 2010 to 12 July 2010 at the following locations:

- Department of Planning, Information Centre, Bridge Street, Sydney.
- Nature Conservation Council of NSW, Kent Street, Sydney.
- Singleton Council Administration Centre, corner of Queen Street and Civic Avenue, Singleton.



- Singleton Library, Queen Street, Singleton.
- Cessnock City Council Administration Centre, Vincent Street Cessnock.
- Cessnock Library, Vincent Street Cessnock.
- Maitland City Council Administration Centre, High Street Maitland.
- Rutherford Library, Arthur Street Rutherford.
- Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA), John Gorton Building, King Edward Terrace, Parkes ACT.

It was also available for review on the Department of Planning website and via a link from the Hunter 8 Alliance website and the DEWHA website.

All submissions were received by the Department of Planning and were provided to the Hunter 8 Alliance. Each submission that was received was allocated a unique identification number in chronological order. Late submissions were accepted by the Department of Planning up until 10 days after the close of the exhibition period.

Chapters 3 and 4 analyse and address the issues raised in these submissions. Details of the submissions and the issues raised are included in Appendix A.

#### 2.2.2 Advertisements

Advertisements outlining the key details of the Project and exhibition of the Environmental Assessment were placed by the Department of Planning in the following local and metropolitan newspapers as listed below:

- Sydney Morning Herald.
- Newcastle Herald.
- The Australian.
- Maitland Mercury.
- Singleton Argus.
- Cessnock Advertiser.

These advertisements were placed by the Department of Planning prior to and again during the exhibition period. The advertisements requested submissions on the Environmental Assessment from anyone with an interest in the Project, including stakeholders and members of the community.

#### 2.3 Exhibition Period Consultation

During the Environmental Assessment exhibition period, the Hunter 8 Alliance undertook the following community consultation activities:

A Newsletter was issued to approximately 6000 residents surrounding the Project and other interested parties advising them of the Environmental Assessment exhibition, the proposed exhibition period, exhibition locations and how to make submissions to the Department of Planning.



- Community Drop In Days were held at:
  - Old Greta Courthouse, High Street (New England Highway) Greta on 15 June 2010.
  - Maitland CWA Hall, Church Street Maitland on 17 June 2010.

Representatives of the Environmental, Community Consultation, Design, Construction and Operation Teams from the Hunter 8 Alliance were available to discuss the Project and questions raised by the community. Information was provided informing attendees how formal submissions could be made to the Department of Planning.

#### 2.4 Future Consultation

Should the Project be approved, the Hunter 8 Alliance would continue to consult with community members, government agencies and other stakeholders during the detailed design and construction phases of the Project. The Hunter 8 Alliance commitment to ongoing stakeholder consultation is reflected in the Statement of Commitments (refer to Chapter 7).

Prior to construction, a Consultation Strategy would be developed, which would include details on the following:

- Identification of stakeholders to be consulted as part of the Strategy.
- Procedures and processes for regularly informing the local community and local and regional road network users on construction progress and environmental management.
- Procedures and processes for the community and stakeholders can provide feedback to the Hunter 8 Alliance on the environmental performance of the construction activities.
- Procedures and processes for providing responses to any community and stakeholder feedback on the environmental performance of the construction activities.
- Procedures and processes for resolving any issues that arise between parties regarding environmental management and delivery of the Project.