M2 Upgrade project environmental assessment

Prepared by

AECOM

File: Ref: M2U-REP-30-00-EN002A-01

May 2010

RTA/Pub. 10.136

ISBN 978-1-921766-10-7

Contact

Craig Niles Associate Director, Design + Planning AECOM L8, 17 York Street, Sydney 2000 Tel: +61 412 155 955 craig.niles@aecom.com

Table of Contents

State	ement of	Validity	I
Exec	utive Sur	nmary	II
1.	Intro	duction	
	1.1	The project	
	1.2	Overview	
	1.3	Project delivery	2
	1.4	Project cost and funding	2
	1.5	M2 Motorway precincts	6
	1.6	Structure of this report	8
2.	Strat	egic justification and project need	
	2.1	Transport infrastructure and services	
	2.2	NSW Government plans and strategies	
	2.3	Identification of project objectives	
	2.4	Transport infrastructure and services	
3.	Proje	ect alternatives	
	3.1	Alternatives to the project	
	3.2	Motorway widening options	
4.	Plann	ning and statutory requirements	
	4.1	Approval framework	
	4.2	Other legislation	
5.	Com	munity and stakeholder engagement	
	5.1	Community and stakeholder engagement overview	47
	5.2	Key consultation activities and outcomes	49
	5.3	Summary of issues raised during consultation	49
	5.4	Future and ongoing consultation	59
6.	Proje	ect description	61
	6.1	Project overview	61
	6.2	Route alignment and key features	
	6.3	Key design elements	
	6.4	Ancillary operational components	
	6.5	Staged opening	
	6.6	Design process	
7.	Cons	truction methodology and staging	105
	7.1	Construction strategy	
	7.2	Construction phases and activities	
	7.3	Construction methodology overview	

	7.4	Construction program and traffic management	111
	7.5	Construction plant and machinery	
	7.6	Workforce and working hours	
	7.7	Resource consumption	
	7.8	Construction compound sites	117
8.	Enviro	nmental risk analysis	
	8.1	Overview	
	8.2	Risk analysis	
	8.3	Findings	
9.	Assess	ment of key issues	
	9.1	Operational traffic and transport	
	9.2	Construction traffic and transport impacts	
	9.3	Operational noise and vibration	
	9.4	Construction noise and vibration	
	9.5	Ecology	
	9.6	Urban design and visual assessment	
	9.7	Aboriginal cultural heritage	
	9.8	Construction surface water management and soils	
	9.9	Non-Aboriginal heritage	
10.	Other	environmental issues	
	10.1	Operational surface water management	
	10.2	Groundwater management	
	10.3	Contamination	
	10.4	Socio-economic impact assessment	
	10.5	Land use and property	
	10.6	Air quality	
	10.7	Construction lighting impacts	
	10.8	Waste minimisation and management	401
	10.9	Hazards and risks	407
	10.10	Climate change	
	10.11	Cumulative impacts	
11.	Draft s	statement of commitments	
	11.1	Overview	
	11.2	Draft commitments	
12.	Conclu	usion	
	12.1	Strategic justification	
	12.2	Project justification	
	12.3	Objects of the EP&A Act	441
	12.4	Ecologically Sustainable Development	
	12.5	Conclusion	

13.	Referen	ces 4	47
14.	Glossar	y of terms and abbreviations4	52
Append	ix A	Ministerial Declaration	A
Append	ix B	Director-General's Requirements	В
Append	ix C	Director-General's Requirements Checklist	C
Append	ix D	Consultation summary	D
Append	ix E	Road User Cost Benefit Analysis	E
Append	ix F	Construction Environmental Management Framework	F
Append	ix G	EPBC Act referral decision	G

List of technical papers

- 1 Traffic and transport impact assessment
- 2 Noise and vibration assessment
- 3 Flora and fauna assessment
- 4 Urban design and visual assessment
- 5 Aboriginal heritage assessment
- 6 Surface water assessment
- 7 Non-Aboriginal heritage assessment

List of Figures

Figure 1	M2 Motorway locality map	4
Figure 2	M2 Upgrade project overview	5
Figure 3	Alternative for upgrading the local road network	26
Figure 4	The approval process under Part 3A of the EP&A Act	45
Figure 5	Proposed M2 Motorway alignment and extent of works	67
Figure 6	Typical cut cross section	75
Figure 7	Typical Norfolk Tunnel cross section	76
Figure 8	Typical fill cross section	77
Figure 9	Windsor Road ramp configuration	80
Figure 10	Christie Road / Herring Road ramp configuration	81
Figure 11	Typical Super-T bridge structure	84
Figure 12	Typical overbridge structure	90
Figure 13	Indicative construction program	108
Figure 14	Hourly traffic profile of the M2 Motorway	135
Figure 15	Heavy vehicle volumes 2004 – 2008	141
Figure 16	Sydney strategic bus corridor network	142
Figure 17	M2 Motorway bus services and surrounding rail network	145
Figure 18	Public transport mode share journey to work by origin	146
Figure 19	Public transport mode share journey to work by destination	147
Figure 20	Location of screen lines	149
Figure 21	Travel time comparison routes	154
Figure 22	Existing cycle facilities adjacent to the M2 Motorway	156
Figure 23	Redistribution of traffic with M2 Upgrade project	169
Figure 24	Eastbound travel route – Norwest Boulevard and Windsor Road	177
Figure 25	Westbound travel route – Lane Cove and Macquarie Park	178
Figure 26	Eastbound travel route – Lane Cove and Macquarie Park	179
Figure 27	Potential temporary bicycle route	195
Figure 28	Typical noise wall relocation phasing	231
Figure 29	Vegetation communities of the study area	

Figure 30	Threatened flora and fauna species in the study area	. 264
Figure 31	Approximate locations of Epacris purpurascens var. purpurascens	. 277
Figure 32	Contextual analysis showing location of five precincts along M2 Motorway	. 293
Figure 33	Visual elements along the M2 Motorway	. 294
Figure 34	Visual perspective of M2 looking westbound near Beecroft Road	. 319
Figure 35	Visual perspective of M2 looking eastbound near Windsor Road	. 320
Figure 36	Visual perspective of M2 looking west bound near Kent Street	. 321
Figure 37	Cross-sections of M2 Motorway near the new Windsor Road ramps	. 324
Figure 38	Long section of groundwater depth along M2 Motorway	. 363
Figure 39	Travel to work in the M2 Motorway study area	. 371
Figure 40	Location of private properties that require permanent land acquisition	. 384
Figure 41	Location of substratum property acquisition	. 385
Figure 42	GHG emission scopes	. 415
Figure 43	Cumulative GHG emissions savings including construction	. 418

List of Tables

Table 1	Report structure – volume one environmental assessment	8
Table 2	Report structure – volume two technical papers	9
Table 3	Key consultation activities	49
Table 4	Agency issues	50
Table 5	Local government issues	51
Table 6	Community and stakeholder issues	54
Table 7	Engineering design parameters	
Table 8	Noise walls – location and associated works	
Table 9	Cableway upgrade locations	
Table 10	Existing utilities and services potentially affected by the project	100
Table 11	Summary of construction elements and activities	106
Table 12	Location and impact on pedestrian access during construction period	110
Table 13	Indicative construction compound locations	119
Table 14	Environmental risk categories	124
Table 15	Environmental risk analysis – summary table	126
Table 16	Eastbound M2 workday flows (2009)	136
Table 17	Westbound M2 workday flows (2009)	136
Table 18	Total M2 workday flows (2009)	136
Table 19	Theoretical mid-block lane capacities	138
Table 20	M2 Motorway eastbound hourly capacity and observed level of service	138
Table 21	M2 Motorway westbound hourly capacity and observed level of service	139
Table 22	M2 Motorway heavy vehicle proportions 2003 -2009	140
Table 23	Bus routes with connections to the Northern Rail Line	144
Table 24	Performance based on screenline points	150
Table 25	Intersection performance measures	152
Table 26	Intersection performance levels	152
Table 27	Existing travel times and speeds	155
Table 28	Accident rates comparison (2008)	155
Table 29	Forecast annual road network vehicle kilometres travelled	159

Table 30	Future annual road network vehicle hours travelled	. 159
Table 31	Future annual road network annual average vehicle speeds	. 160
Table 32	Forecast M2 Motorway eastbound daily traffic volumes	. 160
Table 33	Forecast M2 Motorway westbound daily traffic volumes	. 161
Table 34	Forecast M2 Motorway eastbound peak hour traffic volumes	. 161
Table 35	Forecast M2 Motorway westbound peak hour traffic volumes	. 162
Table 36	Forecast M2 Motorway eastbound peak hour level of service scenarios	. 162
Table 37	Forecast M2 Motorway westbound peak hour level of service scenarios	. 163
Table 38	Forecast bus travel times on M2 Motorway	. 165
Table 39	Bus routes 611 and 740 comparison with other public transport services	. 166
Table 40	Forecast local road traffic volumes (Daily)	. 170
Table 41	Forecast local road traffic volumes (2011)	. 171
Table 42	Forecast local road traffic volumes (2021)	. 172
Table 43	Forecast local road impact comparison	. 173
Table 44	Forecast intersection performances	. 175
Table 45	M2 Upgrade project travel time comparisons	. 176
Table 46	Forecast traffic volumes on Windsor Road and Herring/Christie Road ramps	. 180
Table 47	Work zones and work sites	. 184
Table 48	Worksite access arrangements	. 185
Table 49	Local road accessed during construction	. 185
Table 50	Motorway and local lane occupancies	. 186
Table 51	Norfolk Tunnel lane utilisation	. 187
Table 52	Forecast traffic generated by compound	. 188
Table 53	Works at adjacent road network	. 190
Table 54	Potential pedestrian access impacts	. 190
Table 55	DECCW operational traffic noise criteria	. 197
Table 56	NSW Industrial Noise Policy amenity criteria	. 200
Table 57	Summary of unattended noise logging – road traffic noise indices	. 201
Table 58	Future traffic figures (M2 Motorway carriageway)	. 203
Table 59	Future traffic figures (M2 Motorway access ramps)	. 204
Table 60	Future traffic figures (intersecting roads)	. 204

Table 61	New residential developments in proximity to M2 Motorway	. 207
Table 62	ENMM indicative noise reduction criteria	. 208
Table 63	Assessment of existing schools	. 209
Table 64	Assessment of places of worship	. 211
Table 65	Assessment of areas of active recreation	. 211
Table 66	Assessment of hospitals	. 212
Table 67	Maximum noise level assessment	. 214
Table 68	Year 2021 exceedances and residual architectural property treatments	. 218
Table 69	Noise at residences using quantitative assessment	. 223
Table 70	Construction noise management levels – non-residential sensitive land uses	. 224
Table 71	DECCW road traffic noise criteria	. 224
Table 72	Summary of unattended noise logging – construction noise indices	. 225
Table 73	Construction activities and typical equipment involved	. 227
Table 74	Construction noise predictions – daytime	. 232
Table 75	Construction noise predictions – evening	. 234
Table 76	Construction noise predictions – night time	. 236
Table 77	Construction noise predictions – sleep disturbance	. 239
Table 78	Potential noise impacts arising from tunnel works	. 245
Table 79	Blue Gum High Forest canopy species	. 261
Table 80	Flora survey data within the M2 corridor	. 263
Table 81	Threatened flora species with potential to occur in the M2 corridor	. 265
Table 82	Potential threatened fauna species and populations in the M2 corridor	. 268
Table 83	Classification of waterway crossings within the study area	. 270
Table 84	Fish species considered likely to occur within the study area	. 270
Table 85	Direct impacts on vegetation	. 273
Table 86	Vegetation clearing within the M2 corridor	. 274
Table 87	Precinct 1 visual impact assessment summary	. 297
Table 88	Precinct 1 site compounds – temporary construction activities	. 300
Table 89	Precinct 2 visual impact assessment summary	. 301
Table 90	Precinct 2 site compounds – temporary construction activities	. 304
Table 91	Precinct 3 visual impact assessment summary	. 305

Table 92	Precinct 3 site compounds – temporary construction activities	307
Table 93	Precinct 4 visual impact assessment summary	308
Table 94	Precinct 4 site compounds – temporary construction activities	311
Table 95	Precinct 5 visual impact assessment summary	312
Table 96	Precinct 5 site compounds – temporary construction activities	315
Table 97	Summary of archaeological site types within the study area	329
Table 98	Sites of non-Aboriginal heritage	344
Table 99	Statement of Heritage Impact for Item H-12	347
Table 100	Statement of Heritage Impact Item H-16	348
Table 101	Statement of Heritage Impact for Item H-04	350
Table 102	Hydraulic impacts – 1 in 100 year ARI event	356
Table 103	Estimated catchment pollutant loads pre and post M2 Upgrade project	357
Table 104	Water quality treatment train effectiveness	358
Table 105	Groundwater mitigation and management measures	366
Table 106	Summary of construction impacts and mitigation measures	374
Table 107	Summary of operation impacts and mitigation measures	375
Table 108	Permanent partial land acquisition requirements	382
Table 109	Leases required at this time	383
Table 110	Implementation timetable for vehicle emission standards in Australia	386
Table 111	Euro emission standards for passenger cars (Category M1), g/VKT	387
Table 112	Air quality impact assessment criteria specified by DECCW	389
Table 113	Project ambient air quality goals	390
Table 114	Ambient air quality environment	390
Table 115	Modelling assessment assumptions	392
Table 116	Potential lighting impacts at construction compounds	398
Table 117	Potential waste generated during construction	402
Table 118	List of dangerous goods stored by the project	408
Table 119	Construction to GHG emission sources	416
Table 120	Operational GHG emission sources	417
Table 121	Operational GHG emissions for each GHG scope in 2013	418
Table 122	Climate change projections	419

Table 123	Transport infrastructure risk summary	421
Table 124	Proposals in the vicinity of the project	425
Table 125	Draft Statement of Commitments	430
Table 126	Objects of the EP&A Act and relevance to the project	441

Statement of Validity

Prepared under Part 3A of the E	nvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979	
Name:	Louisa Rebec	Craig Niles
	Bachelor of Science (Hons) Applied Human and Physical Geography Masters of Environmental Law	Bachelor of Planning (Hons)
	AECOM	AECOM
	Level 8	Level 8
	17 York Street	17 York Street
	Sydney NSW 2000	Sydney NSW 2000
In respect of:	M2 Motorway Upgrade – environmental ass	essment
Applicant name:	NSW Roads and Traffic Authority	
Applicant address:	Level 9, 101 Miller Street	
	North Sydney	
	NSW 2060	
Proposed development:	The NSW Roads and Traffic Authority proposes to widen the M2 Motorway between North Ryde and Baulkham Hills, Sydney. The project would comprise widening and/or provision of a third lane along sections of the eastbound and westbound carriageways between Windsor Road and Lane Cove Road. Design features of the project include construction of new on- and off-ramps at Windsor Road, a new off-ramp at Herring Road, a new on- ramp at Christie Road and local road upgrades to Windsor, Talavera and Christie Roads as well as upgrades to the M2 Motorway Intelligent Transport System.	
Land to be developed:	Land generally required for the design refinement, construction and operation of the proposed development (refer to Figure 5).	
Environmental assessment:	An environmental assessment is attached, which addresses all matters in accordance with Part 3A of the <i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act</i> 1979.	
Declaration	I certify that I have prepared the contents of this environmental assessment in accordance with the Director-General's Requirements (DGRs) dated 6 April 2009, to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in the environmental assessment is not false or misleading.	
Signature:	Varije Rebec	enviter.
Name:	Louisa Rebec	Craig Niles
Date:	10 May 2010	10 May 2010

Executive Summary

What is proposed?

The Roads and Traffic Authority (RTA) of NSW proposes to upgrade the M2 Motorway from Windsor Road, Baulkham Hills, to Lane Cove Road, North Ryde. The M2 Upgrade project would extend over 14.5 kilometres in length and involves construction works including:

- Widening and/or provision of a third lane along sections of the eastbound and westbound carriageways between Windsor Road and Lane Cove Road.
- Provision of new on and off-ramps at Windsor Road, a new on-ramp at Christie Road and a new off-ramp at Herring Road.
- Widening and provision of a third lane eastbound and westbound in the Norfolk Tunnel.
- Restoration of the westbound breakdown lane and provision of 3.5 metre wide traffic lanes between Lane Cove Road and Beecroft Road.
- Removal of the Beecroft Road bus on and off-ramp.
- Upgrade to the intersection of the M2 Motorway and Windsor Road, and the Christie Road and Herring Road intersections with Talavera Road.
- Upgrade to the M2 Motorway Intelligent Transport System.

The project also includes the operation of the upgraded M2 Motorway.

The Minister for Planning declared, by Ministerial Order, that the M2 Upgrade project is a project to which Part 3A of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act) applies and the project is critical infrastructure. The RTA has requested that the declarations be amended to better reflect the proposed project. These are currently being amended. This environmental assessment considers the project as described by the amended declarations.

Further description of the project is provided in Chapter 6 of the environmental assessment.

Why is it needed?

The M2 Upgrade project provides essential improvements to a key link in the Sydney Orbital Motorway network which would support the significant growth planned in Sydney's north west and the 'global arc'. At present the performance of the M2 Motorway is, especially during peak periods, of concern to many users. Users pay a toll to use the M2 Motorway and subsequently they have an expectation that travel times should be lower and feel that they may not be obtaining value for money.

The project is consistent with the goals and objectives described in key NSW Government strategy documents, including the State Plan and Metropolitan Strategy. The project would provide:

- Improved accessibility for cars, freight vehicles, public transport and bicycles.
- Improved capacity and efficiency of existing commuter, commercial, freight and road-based public transport infrastructure.
- Reduced congestion during peak periods.

For more details on the need for the project refer to Chapter 2.

How would it satisfy this need?

The project objectives were designed to facilitate outcomes that satisfy the strategic need for the project. The objectives are to:

- Support the NSW Government's State Plan, Metropolitan Strategy, Urban Transport Statement and State Infrastructure Strategy.
- Enhance the strategic road network in Sydney's north west to support economic growth.
- Improve access and accessibility between key residential, employment and educational precincts in Sydney's north west.
- Improve travel times by reducing congestion during peak periods for the benefit of local and regional traffic that has limited opportunity to travel outside peak periods.
- Improve safety and amenity for road users and surrounding communities.
- Provide value for money to the community.
- Minimise environmental and social impacts during construction and operation.

The project objectives are detailed further in Section 2.3.

To achieve the project objectives, the M2 Upgrade project aims to:

- Improve the reliability, safety and efficiency of the M2 corridor.
- Reduce congestion along the M2 Motorway.
- Improve and retain accessibility to local arterial roads.
- Minimise environmental effects and manage potential adverse effects appropriately.
- Enhance potential benefits to community and stakeholders in the short and long-term.
- Manage potential adverse impacts on the community.

The preferred design, which is assessed in this report, was selected following an assessment of various alternatives due to its ability to meet the design criteria, the project objectives, and ultimately, the strategic need for the project.

What alternatives were considered?

The alternatives to the M2 Upgrade project that were identified and considered as part of the development of the project include the following:

- Do nothing No upgrade to the M2 Motorway.
- Line marking Line marking to provide additional lanes within the existing carriageways of the M2 Motorway.
- Local arterial road upgrade Widening of the existing sub-arterial and arterial road network in the vicinity of the M2 Motorway.
- Provision of public transport Increase provision for public transport within the M2 Motorway catchment.
- Widening of the M2 Motorway to provide additional lane capacity current project (preferred).

For more details of the alternatives considered as part of this assessment and the reasons why the preferred alternative was selected, refer to Chapter 3. The preferred option is described in detail in Chapter 6.

What are the likely consequences of the project?

The M2 Motorway provides accessibility and capacity for commuter, commercial, freight and road-based public transport. The M2 Upgrade project would enhance the road networks to Sydney's north west, providing an essential service to new growth areas and improving travel times in the morning and afternoon peaks. The project would also provide new access points to M2 Motorway in the west and at Macquarie Park, relieve traffic pressure on local roads, improve bus and cycle travel times and improve road safety.

The proposed upgrade would result in some adverse impacts in the long-term, including:

- Increased traffic on local roads leading to new access ramps.
- Impacts to visual amenity as a result of modified or new noise walls and vegetation removal.
- Noise impacts to some residents and businesses resulting from increased traffic on M2 Motorway.
- Loss of approximately 21 hectares of vegetation (including 10 hectares of native and 11 hectares of exotic vegetation). Direct impact to one threatened flora species and loss of habitat for significant fauna species.
- Potential impact to three Aboriginal cultural heritage sites including an artefact of low significance, a site potentially affected by sedimentation and potential vibration impacts to a rock shelter.
- Property acquisition including partial acquisition of seven privately owned residential properties and full acquisition of a number of properties owned by public authorities.

There would also be impacts during construction of the M2 Upgrade project. Many of these impacts would be temporary, but some (like impacts on property and biodiversity) would be longer term or permanent. Some minor cumulative impacts with other developments proposed within the area may be experienced if they occur at a similar time to the M2 Upgrade project. Impacts of the project are detailed in Chapter 9 and Chapter 10.

How will the likely consequences be managed?

This environmental assessment assesses the likely consequences of the proposed upgrade. As part of this assessment, measures to mitigate or manage each likely impact have been proposed. The mitigation measures developed for the proposed upgrade aim to remove or minimise potential impacts through design in the first instance. However, where a potential impact is unable to be mitigated through design, management measures are outlined.

The environmental, social and economic impacts and measures identified to minimise those impacts are discussed in Chapter 9, Chapter 10 and Chapter 11 of this environmental assessment.

How can I comment on the project and/or the environmental assessment?

The NSW Department of Planning has made the environmental assessment publicly available for a minimum period of 30 days. The RTA will also be conducting community information sessions during the exhibition period. The project telephone information line and email enquiries facility would be available throughout the exhibition period, being 1800 196 266 and <u>enquiries@hillsm2upgrade.com.au</u> respectively.

Any person may make a written submission to the Director-General of the Department of Planning during the exhibition period. Submissions should be made to:

Director, Major Infrastructure Assessments Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

1. Introduction

1.1 The project

The Roads and Traffic Authority (RTA) of NSW proposes to upgrade 14.5 kilometres of the M2 Motorway from Windsor Road, Baulkham Hills, to Lane Cove Road, North Ryde. The M2 Upgrade project would include construction works including widening and provision of a third lane along sections of the eastbound and westbound carriageways between Windsor Road and Lane Cove Road and provision of new on/off-ramps. The project also involves the operation of the upgraded M2 Motorway with an Upgrade of the Intelligent Transport System.

The project would include:

- Widening and/or provision of a third lane along sections of the eastbound and westbound carriageways between Windsor Road and Lane Cove Road.
- Provision of new on/off ramps at Windsor Road, Christie Road and Herring Road.
- Widening and/or provision of a third lane eastbound and westbound in the Norfolk Tunnel.
- Restoration of the westbound breakdown lane from Lane Cove Road to Beecroft Road.
- Removal of the Beecroft Road bus on/off ramp.
- Upgrade of the M2 Motorway/Windsor Road, Christie Road/Talavera Road and Herring Road/Talavera Road intersections.
- Upgrades to M2 Motorway Intelligent Transport System.

1.2 Overview

The existing M2 Motorway is a four lane dual carriageway motorway, with bus lanes in certain sections that extends 21 kilometres from the intersection of Abbott Road/Old Windsor Road at West Baulkham Hills, to Lane Cove Tunnel (refer to Figure 1).

Operation of the M2 Motorway is covered by the M2 Motorway Project Deed. Under this deed The Hills Motorway Limited (Hills M2) operates, maintains and repairs the M2 Motorway on behalf of the RTA. The RTA has leased the necessary land to Hills M2 (M2 Motorway operator) to facilitate this and has granted Hills M2 the right to collect tolls for the use of the M2 Motorway. These arrangements continue until expiry of the M2 Motorway Project Deed in 2042.

The M2 Motorway plays a key role in Sydney's Orbital network, linking Sydney's north west to the lower north shore and Sydney's CBD. The M2 Motorway was a priority section of the Orbital route identified in the Department of Main Roads publication *Roads 2000* (1987), which included a strategic plan for Sydney's road needs to the year 2000. Upon opening in 1997, the M2 Motorway provided much needed accessibility and capacity for commuter, commercial, freight and road-based public transport, thereby reducing travel times and peak hour congestion.

The NSW Government's *Urban Transport Statement* (November 2006) identifies the efficient movement of people and goods in and around Sydney as a key transport objective and identifies the M2 Motorway as a key part of the Macquarie Park to Port Botany Economic corridor. The proposed upgrade would relieve current congestion, thereby facilitating more efficient movement of people and goods and would also be consistent with potential future development of an M2 Motorway to F3 Freeway connection.

Since the M2 Motorway opened to traffic in 1997, land use density has increased within M2 Motorway catchment, particularly in Sydney's north west. The proposed upgrade is a result of feedback received from motorists, businesses, public transport providers, councils, cyclists and the community about the need to improve travel times and provide greater accessibility for the M2 Motorway users.

1.3 Project delivery

The RTA is the proponent for the proposed M2 Upgrade. The M2 Upgrade project would be delivered by Hills M2 who has engaged Leighton Contractors Pty Ltd (LCPL) under a 'design and construct' contract.

AECOM have been appointed to prepare this environmental assessment, which has been prepared in accordance with Part 3A of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act* 1979 (EP&A Act). The RTA has reviewed and approved this environmental assessment.

The project application was submitted to the Department of Planning on 27 February 2009 and consultation with the community and other stakeholders was commenced. A summary of the project was presented on the Hills M2 website as information for community and stakeholder groups.

Development of the concept design and the environmental assessment process involved an analysis of various strategic project alternatives and an assessment of design options, which were investigated in selecting the preferred project concept for the M2 Upgrade project. Refinement and further development of the project concept design has been carried out concurrently with the environmental assessment process to minimise impacts where possible and to consider ecologically sustainable development principles within the design. Figure 2 provides an overview of the M2 Upgrade project.

1.4 Project cost and funding

The M2 Upgrade project is estimated to cost in the vicinity of \$550 million and there would be ongoing additional maintenance costs associated with the project. It would be funded by the M2 Motorway operator (Hills M2) and the funds would be recouped from tolls imposed on the M2 Motorway over the concession period. Funds required by the M2 Motorway operator for the upfront capital expenditure for upgrading the M2 Motorway would typically be obtained from borrowings or issuing shares to investors. These funding mechanisms would require the M2 Motorway operator to achieve a return on investment to investors or pay back the loan with interest payments.

The project would attract additional traffic to the M2 Motorway and hence increase toll revenue. The provision of new tolled ramps at Baulkham Hills and Macquarie Park would also increase revenue. The final toll amount is yet to be determined, however, indicative tolls (Class 2 vehicles – including GST) proposed for the new ramps are in the order of \$1.70 at Windsor Road and \$2.40 at Herring Road and Christie Road. However, the increase in toll revenue from the above would not be sufficient to fund the full capital costs of the project, at current toll levels within the current concession period.

In light of this, the following potential funding alternatives considered included:

- Funding the shortfall by Government.
- A one off toll increase when the M2 Upgrade project is opened.
- An extension of the toll collection/concession period.

The NSW Government has agreed to a combination of funding sources, involving a one off toll increase upon opening of the M2 Upgrade project as well as an extension of the toll collection concession

period. The announcement of the signing of the 'In Principle Agreement' in October 2009 indicated that the M2 Upgrade project "would be funded by Transurban, with the NSW Government contributing by extending the toll concession by 4 years and allowing for a one-off increase in the toll of around 8 percent on completion of the works".

Based on a Road User Cost Benefit Analysis (RUCBA) undertaken for the M2 Upgrade project (refer to Appendix E), the economic worth of the project case relative to the base case has been estimated to be:

- Net present value of \$1.2 billion.
- A benefit cost ratio value of 3.4.

Based on either measure, it is economically worthwhile to proceed with the project. It is noted that a benefit cost ratio of more than two is considered to be a favourable outcome and economically worthwhile. A series of sensitivity tests were carried out by varying the discount rate, construction costs, benefits and diminishing travel time savings. Under these conditions, the benefit cost ratio varies between 2.3 and 4.9 and the net present value between \$629 million and \$2.1billion.

By adding substantial capacity to an existing and congested motorway, the project provides economic benefits from reduced travel time in return for low additional travel costs. In addition, capital costs are relatively low in comparison to construction of a new link. These factors generate a high benefit cost ratio.

The proposed tolls for the new Herring Road (east facing) ramps were determined based on existing toll rates for the section east of Beecroft Road. These rates reflect the level of congestion on the alternative route for this segment of the corridor. The proposed tolls for the new Windsor Road (west facing) ramps are approximately 30 percent lower than the Pennant Hills Road tolls. These toll rates were determined with the objective of minimising adverse traffic impacts on longer motorway trips and off-motorway arterial routes.



M2 Motorway Precinct limit River / Waterway Park / Open space Chainage (metres) 8000 LGA boundary



Source: MapData, 2010



Not to scale

Figure 2 - Proposed M2 Upgrade



Source: MapData, 2010; Transurban, 2010

1.5 M2 Motorway precincts

For the purposes of this environmental assessment, the M2 Motorway is divided into five precincts, based on the key defining physical and operational characteristics (refer Figure 1). The M2 Motorway precincts and their key landscape attributes are as follows:

- Precinct 1 Abbott Road to Windsor Road.
- Precinct 2 Windsor Road to Pennant Hills Road.
- Precinct 3 Pennant Hills Road to Beecroft Road.
- Precinct 4 Beecroft Road to Terrys Creek (including Norfolk Tunnel).
- Precinct 5 Terrys Creek to Lane Cove Tunnel.

The following subsections describe each of the five precinct areas.

1.5.1 Precinct 1 – Abbott Road to Windsor Road

The Abbott Road to Windsor Road section of the M2 Motorway falls within The Hills Shire. It extends for four kilometres from the intersection of the M2 Motorway, Abbott Road and Old Windsor Road. At the western end the M2 Motorway links with the M7 Motorway and east-facing ramps connect M2 Motorway to the local road network at Old Windsor Road/Abbott Road. There are currently no west-facing ramps at Windsor Road. The M2 Motorway comprises two carriageways, each carriageway consisting of a breakdown lane and two traffic lanes. In this precinct the carriageways are separated by grassed or concrete barrier medians. Cyclists are able to utilise the breakdown lanes. Bus stops are located along the M2 Motorway in this precinct with buses using the breakdown lane.

1.5.2 Precinct 2 – Windsor Road to Pennant Hills Road

The Windsor Road to Pennant Hills Road (Cumberland Highway) section is located within The Hills Shire. The section extends for five kilometres and is characterised by two carriageways, each one comprising a breakdown lane, two traffic lanes, plus a bus lane in each direction. The carriageways are separated by a concrete barrier median. Cyclists are able to utilise the breakdown lanes. Toll collection points are located on the west facing ramps at Pennant Hills Road. East facing ramps at Windsor Road provide access to and from this precinct and Windsor Road. West facing ramps at Pennant Hills Road provide access to and from this precinct and Pennant Hills Road.

1.5.3 Precinct 3 – Pennant Hills Road to Beecroft Road

The Pennant Hills to Beecroft Road precinct is the central section of the M2 corridor and extends for three kilometres. It is situated within Hornsby Shire. This section is characterised by two carriageways, each one comprising a breakdown lane, two traffic lanes plus a bus lane in each direction. The carriageways are separated by a concrete barrier median (although at Devlins Creek bridge the bridges are separated so there are two median barriers). Cyclists are able to utilise the breakdown lanes. The temporary cycleway detour from the westbound carriageway near Lane Cove Road connects to the westbound breakdown lane near Beecroft Road. The east facing ramps at Pennant Hills Road provide access to and from the M2 Motorway in this precinct. The bus only ramp near Beecroft Road allows bus access to and from the M2 Motorway from Epping (via an underpass at the main northern railway). Eastbound to southbound busses on the M2 Motorway can also access Beecroft Road.

1.5.4 Precinct 4 – Beecroft Road to Terrys Creek (including Norfolk Tunnel)

This precinct is located within Hornsby Shire and extends from Beecroft Road to Terrys Creek. In the eastbound direction, this precinct features a breakdown lane and two traffic lanes. In the westbound direction, this precinct features three traffic lanes and no breakdown lane. The third lane was marked by removing the breakdown lane as part of an interim widening scheme implemented in 2007. The three lanes merge to two lanes immediately past the western tunnel portal. Eastbound cyclists are able to use the shoulder through this precinct. However, a temporary off-Motorway cycle path is provided for westbound cyclists, as there is no shoulder to use in this direction.

The Norfolk Tunnel (sometimes referred to as the Epping Tunnel) is 460 metres in length and consists of two tunnel tubes separated by a wall of rock. There are two traffic lanes (plus breakdown lane) in the eastbound tube and three traffic lanes westbound (without breakdown lane) in the westbound tube. The tunnel is a large cut into the sandstone bedrock. Surrounding the tunnel is predominantly detached residential dwellings, dispersed with parklands. Directly above Norfolk Tunnel, there is a small cluster of detached dwellings and Epping Oval.

1.5.5 Precinct 5 – Terrys Creek to Lane Cove Tunnel

Precinct 5 falls within the City of Ryde and stretches from Terrys Creek to the eastern extent of the M2 Motorway at the start of the Lane Cove Tunnel.

Terrys Creek to Herring Road

The Terrys Creek to Herring Road section of the M2 Motorway is approximately 2.5 kilometres in length and is characterised by two carriageways, the eastbound comprising a breakdown lane with two traffic lanes and westbound comprising three traffic lanes without breakdown lane. The carriageways are separated by a concrete barrier.

The M2 Motorway Toll Plaza is located adjacent to the Macquarie University site, between Culloden Road and Christie Road. The westbound cycleway in this section is by way of temporary westbound detour, along Talavera Road.

The west facing off ramp at Christie Road and overpass is located approximately 500 metres east of the M2 Motorway Toll Plaza. Traffic travelling westbound cannot access the M2 Motorway from Christie Road. Westbound traffic access M2 Motorway via the west facing ramp at Herring Road, which joins the M2 Motorway just east of the Christie Road local road crossing.

Herring Road to Lane Cove Road

Herring Road to Lane Cove Road is approximately 1.3 kilometres in length consisting of two carriageways. The eastbound consists of a breakdown lane with two traffic lanes and the westbound three traffic lanes (without a breakdown lane). The carriageways are separated by a concrete barrier.

West facing on- and off-ramps provide access to and from the M2 Motorway and Lane Cove Road. Lane Cove Road is a three lane dual carriageway major arterial road that crosses the M2 Motorway by a double span overbridge. The corridor in this section is dominated by large scale commercial buildings and low to medium density residential development. Shrimptons Creek crosses the M2 Motorway approximately 400 metres east of Christie Road.

Lane Cove Road to Lane Cove Tunnel

Lane Cove Road to Lane Cove Tunnel is approximately 2.6 kilometres in length and is characterised by two carriageways, each comprising a breakdown lane and two traffic lanes. The carriageways are separated by a concrete barrier. The M2 Motorway ends approximately 100 metres west of the bridge crossing the Lane Cove River and connects directly to the Lane Cove Tunnel.

West facing on- and off-ramps provide access to and from M2 Motorway at Delhi Road. The road shoulder is used in the eastbound direction by cyclists. Cyclists in the westbound direction are directed off the M2 Motorway in this section to the temporary cycle detour route, as the shoulder has been taken up to provide three lanes from Lane Cove Road through until after the Norfolk Tunnel. At its easternmost extremity, the M2 Motorway crosses over the Lane Cove River via a bridge. Pages Creek runs along the western edge of Epping Road, parallel to the M2 Motorway, and flows into Lane Cove River.

1.6 Structure of this report

Table 1(Volume One) and Table 2 (Volume Two) summarise the structure and content of this environmental assessment.

Section	Content		
Volume one – environmental assessment			
Chapter 1: Introduction	Provides a broad overview of the project and a general description of the study area.		
Chapter 2: Strategic justification and project need	Establishes the need for the project and describes project objectives.		
Chapter 3: Project alternatives	Provides a detailed description and assessment of the strategic alternatives and design options considered for the project.		
Chapter 4: Planning and statutory requirements	Outlines the approval process and statutory requirements for the project.		
Chapter 5: Community and stakeholder engagement	Outlines stakeholder consultation undertaken during project development and preparation of the environmental assessment.		
Chapter 6: Project description	Provides a detailed description of M2 Motorway alignment, design elements, construction methodologies and related ancillary facilities.		
Chapter 7: Construction and staging	Provides an overview of the potential construction methods to be employed, including ancillary facilities, equipment, compound sites and an indicative construction and opening staging program.		
Chapter 8: Environmental risk analysis	Provides an analysis that confirms the nominated key issues and identifies additional key issues.		
Chapter 9: Assessment of key issues	Provides an assessment and analysis of the key environmental issues and impacts and provides appropriate management measures.		
Chapter 10: Other environmental issues	Provides an assessment and analysis of other environmental issues and impacts and provides appropriate management measures.		
Chapter 11: Draft Statement of Commitments	Describes measures to manage and mitigate major impacts identified by the environmental assessment.		
Chapter 12: Conclusion	Outlines the justification for proceeding with the proposed upgrade considering the project objectives, suitability of the site, public interest, the principles of ecological sustainable development and objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.		

Table 1 Report structure – volume one environmental assessmer	Table 1	Report structure – volume one environmental assessment
---	---------	--

Section	Content
Chapter 13: References	Provides a list of published documents referred to in the environmental assessment.
Chapter 14: Glossary and abbreviations	Provides an explanation of terms and abbreviations used in the environmental assessment.
Appendices	
Appendix A Minister's Declaration	A copy of the Orders gazetted to declare the project a major project and then a critical infrastructure project.
Appendix B Director-General's Requirements	A copy of the Director-General's Requirements (DGRs) issued by the Department of Planning.
Appendix C Director-General's Requirements Checklist	Cross-reference to where in the environmental assessment each issue in the DGRs is addressed.
Appendix D Consultation summary	Provides a summary of consultation activities.
Appendix E Road User Cost Benefit Analysis	A copy of the Road User Cost Benefit Analysis for the M2 Upgrade project.
Appendix F Construction Environmental Management Framework	Provides an overview of the content of the Construction Environmental Management Plan to be formulated to manage construction.
Appendix G EPBC Act referral decision	A copy of the decision by the Commonwealth Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts that the M2 Upgrade project is not a controlled action under the <i>Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> .

Table 2 Report structure – volume two technical papers

Section	Content		
Volume two – technical papers			
Technical paper 1	Transport and traffic (Transurban)		
Technical paper 2	Noise and vibration (Heggies Pty Ltd)		
Technical paper 3	Flora and fauna (AECOM Australia Pty Ltd)		
Technical paper 4	Urban design, visual and landscape (HBO+EMTB and Tract)		
Technical paper 5	Aboriginal heritage (AECOM Australia Pty Ltd)		
Technical paper 6	Water management (AECOM Australia Pty Ltd)		
Technical paper 7a	Non-Aboriginal heritage (HBO+EMTB Heritage Pty Ltd)		
Technical paper 7b	Statement of Heritage Impact (AECOM Australia Pty Ltd)		

Other studies prepared to inform this environmental assessment include:

- Groundwater (Coffey Environment)
- Contamination (Coffey Environment)
- Socio-economic (AECOM Australia Pty Ltd)
- Air quality (operational and construction) (Heggies Pty Ltd)
- Lighting (Heggies Pty Ltd)
- Sustainability (AECOM Australia Pty Ltd)

Technical papers and other studies prepared for the environmental assessment can be located at <u>www.rta.nsw.gov.au</u>.