## **APPENDIX B:**

## PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO DEVELOPMENT DESIGN CONTROLS

Item	Description of proposed changes (deletions shown	Reason / comment
	with <del>bold strikethrough</del> and additions shown in bold italics)	
3.5	Landscape and Drainage Network	Changes consistent with Blacktown
		Industrial DCP which applies to
	b) <b>25m</b> 15m wide landscape setbacks to Great	adjacent industrial areas and
	Western Highway <del>and Brabham Drive</del>	Huntingwood East Draft Precinct
	c) <b>40m</b> 20m wide vegetated buffer to the M4	Plan – both precincts front Great Western Highway and the M4
	provides acoustic and visual separation	Motorway therefore this modification
		would create a consistent
		presentation to each regional road
4.1.1	Subdivision Layout (Controls):	Changes consistent with Blacktown
		Industrial DCP and Huntingwood
	f) <b>40m 20m</b> wide vegetation buffer is to be	East Draft Precinct Plan and
	provided to the M4 Motorway <i>measured from the</i>	consistent with adjacent industrial
	site boundary	development and therefore this would
		create a consistent presentation to each regional road
4.1.2	Minimum Lot sizes and dimensions:	Smaller lots may be required for
		utilities and services
	a) Industrial use lots are to have a minimum land	
	area of 4,000sqm	
	b) Industrial use lots are to have a minimum width	
	at the building line of 45m	
4.6.1	General Design Principles (Controls):	To provide acknowledgement that
		compliance with development
	The identified development controls may not	controls is not always possible, or
	be the only means of achieving the identified	warranted, as long as the intent of
	objectives. Individual applications may	the objectives is achieved
	request a variation to any control, provided	Depending on the final design
	that it can be demonstrated that the applicable design objective will still be achieved. Any	Depending on the final design scheme it will not always be possible
	application for a variation will be accompanied	to prevent any loading and servicing
	by written documentation outlining the reasons	from fronting the internal streets
	for the variation and demonstrating that the	within the estates – accordingly this
	objective has been achieved.	should be assessed on the merits of the individual proposal.
	b) No loading docks are to be located facing the	
	Parklands, Great Western Highway, and should	
	be discouraged from fronting the Eco median	
	Road or collector roads	
	c) Parking areas and service loading areas are	
	to be located behind the building line	
4.6.2	Frontage Development	Modification to ensure that

e) Where an allotment has its primary street frontage to a collector street a minimum of 60% of the primary building façade at ground level is to be activated by the inclusion of offices, showrooms, building entry ways and the like located to face the street

f) Where an allotment has its primary street frontage to a local street or has a frontage to the Parklands or the Park Edge Road, a minimum of 40% of the primary building façade at ground level is to be activated by the inclusion of offices, showrooms, building entryways and the like, located to face the street.

e) Building elevations with frontage to a street must present a building form of significant architectural and design merit. For instance, the primary building façade at ground level should be activated by the inclusion of offices, showrooms, building entry ways and the like, or other elevational design treatments to modulate and articulate the facade

g) Loading docks, loading areas and external storage areas are not permitted along Parklands or park edge road frontages, *unless adequately screened by landscaping and/or otherwise integrated with the design composition of building elevations, to the satisfaction of the Director Conoral*  development control does not dicate Changes to frontage development to not require numerical restrictions on the proportion of office vs warehouse space but rather to simply require a high quality of presentation to the street which is activated as much as possible. The changes are consistent with other Industrial Area DCPS such as the Erskine Park Employment Area DCP, Penrith Council

Director-General 4.6.3 Site Cover: Changes to site cover are consistent with Huntingwood East Draft Precinct a) A maximum site coverage of 60% 65% Plan. Higher site cover enables including awnings greater efficiency of land use and greater employment opportunities. **Setback Controls:** Setback controls to be consistent a) Buildings are to be setback: with: 25m 20m from Great Western Highway of which 15m must be utilised for landscaping; Blacktown Industrial DCP 2006: 20m building setback from the 20m from the site boundary of M4 Motorway of street alignment of a road zoned which 20m must be utilised for landscaping. 5(b) 10m of the street alignment of a 10m from the alignment of other roads of which all road zoned 5(c) or an industrial collector road, e.g. Huntingwood 7.5m) is to be utilised for landscaping. Drive 7.5m of the street alignment of **Other Design Controls:** any other road e) The use of metal and tilt up cladding is Huntingwood East Draft Precinct discouraged on front elevations, unless it can be Plan:

	satisfactorily demonstrated that it forms part of the architectural design solution in association with masonry, glass and other high quality materials. Where a side or rear façade is visible from the public domain, the use of metal and tilt up cladding must only comprise 50% of that wall's cladding material.	<ul> <li>20m from the Great Western Hingway of Which 10m must be utilised for landscaping;</li> <li>20m from the M4 Motorway Corridor of which 10m must be utilised for landscaping; and 7.5m from other road of which all is to be used for landscaping</li> </ul>
4.6.5	Parking (Controls): b) Car parking is to be located behind the required minimum front <i>landscape</i> setback area Car Parking Rate Table:	Specific development car parking needs may necessitate a departure from the stipulated controls, the proposed amendment is consistent with the Huntingwood East Draft Precinct Plan
	The approval authority may consider a variation to the parking rate for developments if the applicant can justify the variation with a traffic study on the basis of type of use, type of employment and number of employees	
4.6.6	Loading and Servicing (Controls): a) Vehicular access, manoeuvring and loading areas are to be separated from car parking areas where possible.	Smaller unit developments may require sharing of car parking and loading and serving areas if supported by a traffic assessment and does not result in
4.6.9	Private Domain Signage (Controls): b) Freestanding <i>advertising</i> signs are not permitted on frontages facing the Great Western Highway or the M4 Motorway. Signage is limited to fascia signs only identifying the business located on the site.	To clarify the exact nature of the sign not permitted
4.6.10	Fences and Walls (Controls): b) <i>In general no fencing other than a low</i> feature wall may be erected on any site at the entry driveway.	Tenant security requirements often make these requirements difficult to achieve due to lease boundaries requiring security fencing. There are no controls regarding fencing in the Blacktown Industrial DCP 2006