Anonymous Submission 1:
I object to the bulk earthwork and land clearing. Our local community is special due to its flora and fauna but it will be lost in this area due to massive habitat destruction. Every day driving past this site I worry about the future of our wetland eco system and animal habitats as over a hundred logs are piled high beside the development area. An independent organisation such as the National Parks And Wildlife Service should be asked to establish small parks in this development area to allow for the new 4,500 homes for humans and still provide homes for the native wildlife also. Improve this proposal to benefit the life-cycle of children growing up in the area to see the growth and movement of native Australian plants and animals.

Anonymous Submission 2:
I would like to object to the whole Kings Forest project. Local people should have a say when it comes to new HUGE development of the sort. How can the small Tweed Coast community keep growing at this rate? Over the past decades, projects have burgeoned everywhere, the foreshore was ripped to build Casuarina and then Salt. Those are massive developments and now only a few years later, Mr Kelly thinks that it is appropriate to approve a development of 4500 dwellings !?????? I am outraged with his decision and the dirty tricks played by the wealthy and greedy Mr Ell and his Leda Group.

Our roads and services are not adequate, our bush and beaches are already under so much pressure. Local people have had enough of the relentless push for development. This must stop. Community consultation at both local and state level need to be conducted. We must debate our population target for the sake of our future. Our environment cannot sustain such flogging indefinitely.

Thank you.

Anonymous Submission 3:
I object to the Kings Forest Development Proposal for the following reasons;

It fails to provide adequate protection for the dwindling Koala population and other fauna within it’s borders.
It is too dense with houses, which will not be self sufficient in water or power.
It will place enormous pressure on what remains of the natural coastal ecosystem.
It is all about a big developer making big money, at any cost to the environment and the community.

If there must be a development, then I suggest the following;

The Golf Course should become a wildlife park, which would provide employment and ongoing interest for tourists.
Like Koala Beach, all domestic cats and dogs should be banned.
The development should be self sufficient in water, with tanks and recycling mandatory.
Cycleways should be included. Lots sizes should be larger, to provide room for shade trees and gardens for native birds and other wildlife to inhabit.
The number of dwellings should be halved

Anonymous Submission 4:
I submit there is to much development in the proposal and not enough green space and natural habitat. Everything is maximised for the developer except the natural environment.
Anonymous Submission 5:

Because of its size and impact on the delicate coastal flora and fauna, including koalas and their food trees, this subdivision should exclude ownership of domestic animals, namely cats and dogs.

Also in line with Tweed Shire Council guidelines, this subdivision should include provisions for storm water harvesting for use as grey water.

Anonymous Submission 6:

I believe the development will be detrimental and damaging to local environment— it will force wildlife i.e. koalas, bandicoots, wallabies, echidna, potteroos, and reptiles etc into agricultural areas where too many already reside due to habitat loss. It's already impossible to establish small crops and orchid without expensive tree-guarding and heavy fencing, due to the already large numbers of animals that venture into agricultural land as much of their native habitat has already been cleared. The concentration of animals i.e. wallabies and bandicoots in particular are causing excessive cultivation of the soil(erosion) and browsing (they eat everything). And finally, the site where the development is proposed is flood-prone i.e June 30th 2005 flooding of the exact area.