The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North  
Major Projects Assessment  
Dept of Planning  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney, NSW 2001  
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Blacks Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

**Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.**
All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

**Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.**
These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent. All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an integrated implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings. Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

**Koala Plan of Management (KPoM)**
Although the KPoM has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPoM. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site.

There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified Littoral Rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPoM does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 – 9.9.

**General Points**

The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of Littoral Rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

**Signature**

[Signature]

**Printed Name**

[Printed Name]

**Address**

3 Comlen St Upper Coomera

**Date**

22-01-12
Attention:
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to object to this Stage 1 application for the following reasons:-

1. The Director General requires that information in the Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) not be misleading. However, there are too many inconsistencies, inadequate explanations and variances with the EAR 2011. There must either be a new EAR or an amended application.

2. Cudgen Lake (a State Significant Coastal Lake), Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek system (nominated marine protected areas) and Blacks Creek need an Ecological Health Study (EHS) urgently before any earthworks drainage enters the Cudgen Marine System. There needs to be a Sustainability Assessment and Management Strategy prepared. There needs to be a referral to UNESCO for the impacts of this development on World Heritage values of the Caldera’s coastal lowlands which are integral food sources in winter. Cudgen waterways provide significant feeding and breeding habitat for many water bird species including threatened species. Tweed already has the highest concentration of threatened species in Australia which is why resumption of Kings Forest should be seriously considered.

3. The development footprint of this project is too large considering the high level of biodiversity, threatened species and endangered ecological communities at risk. The community needs till at least end of February to understand the issues and there needs to be public consultation by both the developer and state planning.

4. The Cudgen Paddock and eastern side were assessed by NSW Dept Environment officers in the 2005 zoning, council staff and councillors for the Concept Plan 2009 and other ecologists who all agreed this was the minimum area needed to maintain biodiversity. A golf course encourages feral species, further threatening native species in Cudgen Nature Reserve. No development is the best plan.

5. The Koala Plan of Management (KPM) fails to protect the remaining 144 coastal koalas, already on the brink of extinction (Koala Habitat Study 2011). It does not ensure adequate connectivity and safe passage of koalas, proving that the land should never have been rezoned. There needs to be a full enquiry into the failure to back zone recommended by the Woodward Investigation of 2005.

Locking koalas out is a barrier to their movement on the site, contrary to the Concept KPM. There is no proof that cattle grids deter dogs. Who will bear the expense of fence maintenance? There is no such thing as a koala-friendly dog and one bite will kill a koala. The scent of a dog disturbs native species. The current KPM does not prohibit dog breeds, numbers or require dusk-to-dawn housing. It relies on the residents to enforce the KPM when there should be an on-site environmental compliance officer from DEH or council.

If this development was Koala Beach style (no dogs, no visitor dogs, speed bumps every 100m, 40km speed limit) with speed cameras, electronic speed recorders, underpasses/overpasses and exclusion fencing near roads. no koala trees felled, new koala tree plantings beginning immediately, no roads intersecting koala corridors or environmental protection zones and the golf course used no neurotoxic,
carcinogenic organophosphates, it would be better. Unless all dogs are banned from the site and the roads are koala-friendly, there is no point planting koala trees throughout, only to entice them to their deaths. Developer needs to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection and a rate levy to maintain it. Because this application is impacting matters of National Environmental Significance (NES), the EAR needs to be revised.

6. This application should be deferred until the government rules on the developer’s recent unauthorized recent clearing and draining of parts of Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to Kings Forest.

7. There are inadequate details in the Drainage Plan of Management for Precinct 5 in the event of heavy stormwater events. There needs to be a main drainage system for bulk earthworks immediately constructed. Blacks Creek needs a marine study of the ecosystem and water quality. A new Drainage POM is needed in order to improve the water quality of Blacks Creek now that the main use has changed from agriculture to residential development.

8. Kings Forest cattle dip site (containing unsafe levels of asbestos/arsenic) must be remediated before bulk earthworks begin at Precinct 11. Contaminated material must be removed from Kings Forest site.

9. Existing agricultural land use rights should no longer apply once earthwork activity begins for residential/commercial use.

10. Treatment of acid sulphate soils and stormwater drainage water quality needs to be monitored 6-monthly, particularly in the receiving waters of Black’s Creek. The results should be publically available.

11. The Water Sensitive Cities project (WSC) embraces stormwater harvesting, flood management, recycling and reuse programs, rainwater harvesting and water use efficiency programs. If Kings Forest adopted the National Water Initiative’s WSC strategies it would save Tweed Shire from having to build a dam at Byrill Creek, impacting the 45 threatened species of fauna there.

12. Environmental Management Plans are lacking description of species proposed for regeneration and revegetation. Will there be independent monitoring? What quantity, type, location, timing and quality of compensatory plantings will be done and by whom. Re-establishing native vegetation in areas of cut could make the soil hostile to plant growth and hydrology. There needs to be funding into perpetuity by the developer for ecological maintenance with a bond placed. There are no new details in the Weed Management Plan. There needs to be a survey of weed cover undertaken now and results published for public view prior to approval.

13. Regarding dedication of land to NPWS, who supervises and pays for rehabilitation works? Regarding dedication of land for Environmental Protection areas to council, will this take place prior to construction or prior to the end of construction?

14. Ecological buffer zones need to be more than 50m and should not serve human use as well (bike/walking trails etc). There needs to be an analysis of impacts in buffer zones due to land changes.

Signature

Printed Name

Address

Date 22/1/12.
The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Blacks Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

**Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.**
All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

**Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.**
These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent. All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an integrated implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings.

Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

**Koala Plan of Management (KPoM)**
Although the KPoM has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPoM. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east-west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site.
There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified Littoral Rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPoM does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 - 9.9.

General Points

The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of Littoral Rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

Signature

Printed Name

Address

Date 22-1-12
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Blacks Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.
All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.
These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent. All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an integrated implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings. Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

Koala Plan of Management (KPmO)
Although the KPmO has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
The west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPoM. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site. There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified Littoral Rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPoM does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 – 9.9.

General Points

The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of Littoral Rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

Signature

Printed Name  URNA SHANNAN

Address  214/ Tweed Coast Road

Date  22/1/19
SUBMISSION

Re: 08_0194 Stage 1 Project Application - Kings Forest, Kingscliff

To:

Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001


Dear Sir

I would like to make the following comments on the Kings Forest Stage 1 Plan.

- Golf course should use no toxic organophosphate pesticides – instead use only organic non-toxic pesticides.
- wildlife corridors need to connect and not be fragmented
- need overpasses for wildlife on roads, not just underpasses, including exclusion fencing
- road signage must advise motorists to slow down for wildlife
- speed bumps to ensure maximum speed at 50kph
- water-saving initiatives to be in place (20,000L water tanks, stormwater harvesting, dual water reticulation, water recycling). This town must be self-sufficient or it will push TSC to build a dam at Byrrill Creek where other koala colonies and 45 threatened species currently live
- all dogs must be banned no matter what size, age or breed - there is no such thing as a ‘koala-friendly dog’
- visitors with dogs or cats also prohibited
- no koala habitat or food tree is to be felled, no matter where it is
- Koala Beach style plan
- Developer to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection is enforced
- Rate levy to maintain Management Committee
- an URGENT and THOROUGH investigation into claims of illegal clearing adjacent to Kings Forest must be made and, if found to be true, be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

Sincerely

(Signed) [Signature]
Name: [Signature]
Address: 1/175 marina park, Kingscliff

154
SUBMISSION

Re: 08_0194 Stage 1 Project Application - Kings Forest, Kingscliff

To:

Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001


Dear Sir

I would like to make the following comments on the Kings Forest Stage 1 Plan.

- Golf course should use no toxic organophosphate pesticides – instead use only organic non-toxic pesticides.
- wildlife corridors need to connect and not be fragmented
- need overpasses for wildlife on roads, not just underpasses, including exclusion fencing
- road signage must advise motorists to slow down for wildlife
- speed bumps to ensure maximum speed at 50kph
- water-saving initiatives to be in place (20,000L water tanks, stormwater harvesting, dual water reticulation, water recycling). This town must be self-sufficient or it will push TSC to build a dam at Byrill Creek where other koala colonies and 45 threatened species currently live
- all dogs must be banned no matter what size, age or breed - there is no such thing as a 'koala-friendly dog'
- visitors with dogs or cats also prohibited
- no koala habitat or food tree is to be felled, no matter where it is
- Koala Beach style plan
- Developer to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection is enforced
- Rate levy to maintain Management Committee
- an URGENT and THOROUGH investigation into claims of illegal clearing adjacent to Kings Forest must be made and, if found to be true, be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

Sincerely

(Signed)

Name:

Address:
The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Black Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.

All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.

These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent. All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an integrated implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings. Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

Koala Plan of Management (KPoM)

Although the KPoM has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPoM. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site.
There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified Littoral Rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPoM does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 – 9.9.

General Points
The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of Littoral Rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

Signature

Printed Name

Address

Date

21/1/2012

Dane Peche

40 Beachamber Dr. Byron Bay
Attention:
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to object to this Stage 1 application for the following reasons:-

1. The Director General requires that information in the Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) not be misleading. However, there are too many inconsistencies, inadequate explanations and variances with the EAR 2011. There must either be a new EAR or an amended application.

2. Cudgen Lake (a State Significant Coastal Lake), Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek system (nominated marine protected areas) and Blacks Creek need an Ecological Health Study (EHS) urgently before any earthworks drainage enters the Cudgen Marine System. There needs to be a Sustainability Assessment and Management Strategy prepared. There needs to be a referral to UNESCO for the impacts of this development on World Heritage values of the Caldera’s coastal lowlands which are integral food sources in winter. Cudgen waterways provide significant feeding and breeding habitat for many water bird species including threatened species. Tweed already has the highest concentration of threatened species in Australia which is why resumption of Kings Forest should be seriously considered.

3. The development footprint of this project is too large considering the high level of biodiversity, threatened species and endangered ecological communities at risk. The community needs till at least end of February to understand the issues and there needs to be public consultation by both the developer and state planning.

4. The Cudgen Paddock and eastern side were assessed by NSW Dept Environment officers in the 2005 zoning, council staff and councillors for the Concept Plan 2009 and other ecologists who all agreed this was the minimum area needed to maintain biodiversity. A golf course encourages feral species, further threatening native species in Cudgen Nature Reserve. No development is the best plan.

5. The Koala Plan of Management (K Pom) fails to protect the remaining 144 coastal koalas, already on the brink of extinction (Koala Habitat Study 2011). It does not ensure adequate connectivity and safe passage of koalas, proving that the land should never have been rezoned. There needs to be a full enquiry into the failure to back zone recommended by the Woodward Investigation of 2005.

Locking koalas out is a barrier to their movement on the site, contrary to the Concept K Pom. There is no proof that cattle grids deter dogs. Who will bear the expense of fence maintenance? There is no such thing as a koala-friendly dog and one bite will kill a koala. The scent of a dog disturbs native species. The current K Pom does not prohibit dog breeds, numbers or require dusk-to-dawn housing. It relies on the residents to enforce the K Pom when there should be an on-site environmental compliance officer from DEH or council.

If this development was Koala Beach style (no dogs, no visitor dogs, speed bumps every 100m, 40km speed limit) with speed cameras, electronic speed recorders, underpasses/overpasses and exclusion fencing near roads. no koala trees felled, new koala tree plantings beginning immediately, no roads intersecting koala corridors or environmental protection zones and the golf course used no neurotoxic,
cancerogenic organophosphates, it would be better. Unless all dogs are banned from the site and the roads are koala-friendly, there is no point planting koala trees throughout, only to entice them to their deaths. Developer needs to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection and a rate levy to maintain it. Because this application is impacting matters of National Environmental Significance (NES), the EAR needs to be revised.

6. This application should be deferred until the government rules on the developer’s recent unauthorized recent clearing and draining of parts of Budgen Nature Reserve adjacent to Kings Forest.

7. There are inadequate details in the Drainage Plan of Management for Precinct 5 in the event of heavy stormwater events. There needs to be a main drainage system for bulk earthworks immediately constructed. Blacks Creek needs a marine study of the ecosystem and water quality. A new Drainage POM is needed in order to improve the water quality of Blacks Creek now that the main use has changed from agriculture to residential development.

8. Kings Forest cattle dip site (containing unsafe levels of asbestos/arsenic) must be remediated before bulk earthworks begin at Precinct 11. Contaminated material must be removed from Kings Forest site.

9. Existing agricultural land use rights should no longer apply once earthwork activity begins for residential/commercial use.

10. Treatment of acid sulphate soils and stormwater drainage water quality needs to be monitored 6-monthly, particularly in the receiving waters of Black’s Creek. The results should be publically available.

11. The Water Sensitive Cities project (WSC) embraces stormwater harvesting, flood management, recycling and reuse programs, rainwater harvesting and water use efficiency programs. If Kings Forest adopted the National Water Initiative’s WSC strategies it would save Tweed Shire from having to build a dam at Bynrill Creek, impacting the 45 threatened species of fauna there.

12. Environmental Management Plans are lacking description of species proposed for regeneration and revegetation. Will there be independent monitoring? What quantity, type, location, timing and quality of compensatory plantings will be done and by whom. Re-establishing native vegetation in areas of cut could make the soil hostile to plant growth and hydrology. There needs to be funding into perpetuity by the developer for ecological maintenance with a bond placed. There are no new details in the Weed Management Plan. There needs to be a survey of weed cover undertaken now and results published for public view prior to approval.

13. Regarding dedication of land to NPWS, who supervises and pays for rehabilitation works? Regarding dedication of land for Environmental Protection areas to council, will this take place prior to construction or prior to the end of construction?

14. Ecological buffer zones need to be more than 50m and should not serve human use as well (bike/walking trails etc). There needs to be an analysis of impacts in buffer zones due to land changes.

Signature

Nicola Doyle

Printed Name: Nicola Doyle

Address: 43 Byangum Rd, Hillgrove

Date: 18.1.12
SUBMISSION

Re: 08_0194 Stage 1 Project Application - Kings Forest, Kingscliff

To:
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001
plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au


Dear Sir/Madam

I would like to make the following comments on the Kings Forest Stage 1 Plan.

- Golf course should use no toxic organophosphate pesticides – instead use only organic non-toxic pesticides – Cudgen Paddock needs to be dedicated to Cudgen Nature Reserve
- wildlife corridors need to connect and not be fragmented
- overpasses for wildlife on roads, not just underpasses, including exclusion fencing
- road signage must advise motorists to slow down for wildlife
- speed bumps to ensure maximum speed at 40kph, placed every 100m
- water-saving initiatives to be in place (20,000L water tanks, stormwater harvesting, dual water reticulation, water recycling). This town must be self-sufficient or it will force TSC to build a dam at Byrill Creek impacting koala colonies and 45 threatened species
- all dogs must be banned no matter what size, age or breed - there is no such thing as a ‘koala-friendly dog’
- dogs or cats travelling with visitors to Kings Forest must also be prohibited
- no koala habitat or food tree is to be felled, no matter where it is
- Koala Beach style plan
- Developer to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection is enforced
- Rate levy to maintain Management Committee
- An URGENT and THOROUGH investigation into claims of illegal clearing adjacent to Kings Forest must be made and, if found to be true, be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

Sincerely

Andrew Fuller

(Signed)

Name:
Address: 8 Redwood ST, Marsden
Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Blacks Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.
All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.
These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent.
All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an integrated implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings.
Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

Koala Plan of Management (KPoM)
Although the KPoM has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
The west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPnP. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site.

There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified Littoral Rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPnP does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 – 9.9.

General Points

The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of Littoral Rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

Signature

Printed Name

Address

Date
SUBMISSION

Re: 08_0194 Stage 1 Project Application - Kings Forest, Kingscliff

To:

Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001
plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au


Dear Sir/Madam

I would like to make the following comments on the Kings Forest Stage 1 Plan.

- Golf course should use no toxic organophosphate pesticides – instead use only organic non-toxic pesticides – Cudgen Paddock needs to be dedicated to Cudgen Nature Reserve
- wildlife corridors need to connect and not be fragmented
- overpasses for wildlife on roads, not just underpasses, including exclusion fencing
- road signage must advise motorists to slow down for wildlife
- speed bumps to ensure maximum speed at 40kph, placed every 100m
- water-saving initiatives to be in place (20,000L water tanks, stormwater harvesting, dual water reticulation, water recycling). This town must be self-sufficient or it will force TSC to build a dam at Byrrill Creek impacting koala colonies and 45 threatened species
- all dogs must be banned no matter what size, age or breed - there is no such thing as a 'koala-friendly dog'
- dogs or cats travelling with visitors to Kings Forest must also be prohibited
- no koala habitat or food tree is to be felled, no matter where it is
- Koala Beach style plan
- Developer to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection is enforced
- Rate levy to maintain Management Committee
- An URGENT and THOROUGH investigation into claims of illegal clearing adjacent to Kings Forest must be made and, if found to be true, be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

Sincerely

(Signed)

Name: [Signature]
Address: [Address]
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Blacks Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.
All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.
These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent. All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an Integrated Implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings. Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

Koala Plan of Management (KPoM)
Although the KPoM has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPoM. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site.
There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified Littoral Rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPoM does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 – 9.9.

General Points

The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of Littoral Rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

Signature  

John Astro

Printed Name  

John Astro

Address  

6 Diper Drive Tweed Heads

Date  

22-1-2012
Attention:
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to object to this Stage 1 application for the following reasons:-

1. The Director General requires that information in the Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) not be misleading. However, there are too many inconsistencies, inadequate explanations and variances with the EAR 2011. There must either be a new EAR or an amended application.

2. Cudgen Lake (a State Significant Coastal Lake), Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek system (nominated marine protected areas) and Blacks Creek need an Ecological Health Study (EHS) urgently before any earthworks drainage enters the Cudgen Marine System. There needs to be a Sustainability Assessment and Management Strategy prepared. There needs to be a referral to UNESCO for the impacts of this development on World Heritage values of the Caldera’s coastal lowlands which are integral food sources in winter. Cudgen waterways provide significant feeding and breeding habitat for many water bird species including threatened species. Tweed already has the highest concentration of threatened species in Australia which is why resumption of Kings Forest should be seriously considered.

3. The development footprint of this project is too large considering the high level of biodiversity, threatened species and endangered ecological communities at risk. The community needs till at least end of February to understand the issues and there needs to be public consultation by both the developer and state planning.

4. The Cudgen Paddock and eastern side were assessed by NSW Dept Environment officers in the 2005 zoning, council staff and councillors for the Concept Plan 2009 and other ecologists who all agreed this was the minimum area needed to maintain biodiversity. A golf course encourages feral species, further threatening native species in Cudgen Nature Reserve. No development is the best plan.

5. The Koala Plan of Management (KPM) fails to protect the remaining 144 coastal koalas, already on the brink of extinction (Koala Habitat Study 2011). It does not ensure adequate connectivity and safe passage of koalas, proving that the land should never have been rezoned. There needs to be a full enquiry into the failure to back zone recommended by the Woodward Investigation of 2005.

Locking koalas is out is a barrier to their movement on the site, contrary to the Concept KPM. There is no proof that cattle grids deter dogs. Who will bear the expense of fence maintenance? There is no such thing as a koala-friendly dog and one bite will kill a koala. The scent of a dog disturbs native species. The current KPM does not prohibit dog breeds, numbers or require dusk-to-dawn housing. It relies on the residents to enforce the KPM when there should be an on-site environmental compliance officer from DEH or council.

If this development was Koala Beach style (no dogs, no visitor dogs, speed bumps every 100m, 40km speed limit) with speed cameras, electronic speed recorders, underpasses/overpasses and exclusion fencing near roads. no koala trees felled, new koala tree plantings beginning immediately, no roads intersecting koala corridors or environmental protection zones and the golf course used no neurotoxic,
carcinogenic organophosphates, it would be better. Unless all dogs are banned from the site and the roads are koala-friendly, there is no point planting koala trees throughout, only to entice them to their deaths. Developer needs to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection and a rate levy to maintain it. Because this application is impacting matters of National Environmental Significance (NES), the EAR needs to be revised.

6. This application should be deferred until the government rules on the developer's recent unauthorized recent clearing and draining of parts of Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to Kings Forest.

7. There are inadequate details in the Drainage Plan of Management for Precinct 5 in the event of heavy stormwater events. There needs to be a main drainage system for bulk earthworks immediately constructed. Blacks Creek needs a marine study of the ecosystem and water quality. A new Drainage POM is needed in order to improve the water quality of Blacks Creek now that the main use has changed from agriculture to residential development.

8. Kings Forest cattle dip site (containing unsafe levels of asbestos/arsenic) must be remediated before bulk earthworks begin at Precinct 11. Contaminated material must be removed from Kings Forest site.

9. Existing agricultural land use rights should no longer apply once earthwork activity begins for residential/commercial use.

10. Treatment of acid sulphate soils and stormwater drainage water quality needs to be monitored 6-monthly, particularly in the receiving waters of Black's Creek. The results should be publically available.

11. The Water Sensitive Cities project (WSC) embraces stormwater harvesting, flood management, recycling and reuse programs, rainwater harvesting and water use efficiency programs. If Kings Forest adopted the National Water Initiative’s WSC strategies it would save Tweed Shire from having to build a dam at Byrril Creek, impacting the 45 threatened species of fauna there.

12. Environmental Management Plans are lacking description of species proposed for regeneration and revegetation. Will there be independent monitoring? What quantity, type, location, timing and quality of compensatory plantings will be done and by whom. Re-establishing native vegetation in areas of cut could make the soil hostile to plant growth and hydrology. There needs to be funding into perpetuity by the developer for ecological maintenance with a bond placed. There are no new details in the Weed Management Plan. There needs to be a survey of weed cover undertaken now and results published for public view prior to approval.

13. Regarding dedication of land to NPWS, who supervises and pays for rehabilitation works? Regarding dedication of land for Environmental Protection areas to council, will this take place prior to construction or prior to the end of construction?

14. Ecological buffer zones need to be more than 50m and should not serve human use as well (bike/walking trails etc). There needs to be an analysis of impacts in buffer zones due to land changes.

Signature

Printed Name

Address

Date
Attention:
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to object to this Stage 1 application for the following reasons:-

1. The Director General requires that information in the Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) not be misleading. However, there are too many inconsistencies, inadequate explanations and variances with the EAR 2011. There must either be a new EAR or an amended application.

2. Cudgen Lake (a State Significant Coastal Lake), Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek system (nominated marine protected areas) and Blacks Creek need an Ecological Health Study (EHS) urgently before any earthworks drainage enters the Cudgen Marine System. There needs to be a Sustainability Assessment and Management Strategy prepared. There needs to be a referral to UNESCO for the impacts of this development on World Heritage values of the Caldera’s coastal lowlands which are integral food sources in winter. Cudgen waterways provide significant feeding and breeding habitat for many water bird species including threatened species. Tweed already has the highest concentration of threatened species in Australia which is why resumption of Kings Forest should be seriously considered.

3. The development footprint of this project is too large considering the high level of biodiversity, threatened species and endangered ecological communities at risk. The community needs till at least end of February to understand the issues and there needs to be public consultation by both the developer and state planning.

4. The Cudgen Paddock and eastern side were assessed by NSW Dept Environment officers in the 2005 zoning, council staff and councillors for the Concept Plan 2009 and other ecologists who all agreed this was the minimum area needed to maintain biodiversity. A golf course encourages feral species, further threatening native species in Cudgen Nature Reserve. No development is the best plan.

5. The Koala Plan of Management (KPM) fails to protect the remaining 144 coastal koalas, already on the brink of extinction (Koala Habitat Study 2011). It does not ensure adequate connectivity and safe passage of koalas, proving that the land should never have been rezoned. There needs to be a full enquiry into the failure to back zone recommended by the Woodward Investigation of 2005.

Locking koalas is out is a barrier to their movement on the site, contrary to the Concept KPM. There is no proof that cattle grids deter dogs. Who will bear the expense of fence maintenance? There is no such thing as a koala-friendly dog and one bite will kill a koala. The scent of a dog disturbs native species. The current KPM does not prohibit dog breeds, numbers or require dusk-to-dawn housing. It relies on the residents to enforce the KPM when there should be an on-site environmental compliance officer from DEH or council.

If this development was Koala Beach style (no dogs, no visitor dogs, speed bumps every 100m, 40km speed limit) with speed cameras, electronic speed recorders, underpasses/overpasses and exclusion fencing near roads, no koala trees felled, new koala tree plantings beginning immediately, no roads intersecting koala corridors or environmental protection zones and the golf course used no neurotoxic,
carcinogenic organophosphates, it would be better. Unless all dogs are banned from the site and the roads are koala-friendly, there is no point planting koala trees throughout, only to entice them to their deaths. Developer needs to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection and a rate levy to maintain it. Because this application is impacting matters of National Environmental Significance (NES), the EAR needs to be revised.

6. This application should be deferred until the government rules on the developer’s recent unauthorized recent clearing and draining of parts of Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to Kings Forest.

7. There are inadequate details in the Drainage Plan of Management for Precinct 5 in the event of heavy stormwater events. There needs to be a main drainage system for bulk earthworks immediately constructed. Blacks Creek needs a marine study of the ecosystem and water quality. A new Drainage POM is needed in order to improve the water quality of Blacks Creek now that the main use has changed from agriculture to residential development.

8. Kings Forest cattle dip site (containing unsafe levels of asbestos/arsenic) must be remediated before bulk earthworks begin at Precinct 11. Contaminated material must be removed from Kings Forest site.

9. Existing agricultural land use rights should no longer apply once earthwork activity begins for residential/commercial use.

10. Treatment of acid sulphate soils and stormwater drainage water quality needs to be monitored 6-monthly, particularly in the receiving waters of Black’s Creek. The results should be publically available.

11. The Water Sensitive Cities project (WSC) embraces stormwater harvesting, flood management, recycling and reuse programs, rainwater harvesting and water use efficiency programs. If Kings Forest adopted the National Water Initiative’s WSC strategies it would save Tweed Shire from having to build a dam at Byrril Creek, impacting the 45 threatened species of fauna there.

12. Environmental Management Plans are lacking description of species proposed for regeneration and revegetation. Will there be independent monitoring? What quantity, type, location, timing and quality of compensatory plantings will be done and by whom. Re-establishing native vegetation in areas of cut could make the soil hostile to plant growth and hydrology. There needs to be funding into perpetuity by the developer for ecological maintenance with a bond placed. There are no new details in the Weed Management Plan. There needs to be a survey of weed cover undertaken now and results published for public view prior to approval.

13. Regarding dedication of land to NPWS, who supervises and pays for rehabilitation works? Regarding dedication of land for Environmental Protection areas to council, will this take place prior to construction or prior to the end of construction?

14. Ecological buffer zones need to be more than 50m and should not serve human use as well (bike/walking trails etc). There needs to be an analysis of impacts in buffer zones due to land changes.

Signature Jack Fisher
Printed Name Jack Fisher
Address 2 Sandpiper Crescent Coffs Harbour
Date 22/1/12
Attention:
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to object to this Stage 1 application for the following reasons:-

1. The Director General requires that information in the Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) not be misleading. However, there are too many inconsistencies, inadequate explanations and variances with the EAR 2011. There must either be a new EAR or an amended application.

2. Cudgen Lake (a State Significant Coastal Lake), Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek system (nominated marine protected areas) and Blacks Creek need an Ecological Health Study (EHS) urgently before any earthworks drainage enters the Cudgen Marine System. There needs to be a Sustainability Assessment and Management Strategy prepared. There needs to be a referral to UNESCO for the impacts of this development on World Heritage values of the Caldera’s coastal lowlands which are integral food sources in winter. Cudgen waterways provide significant feeding and breeding habitat for many water bird species including threatened species. Tweed already has the highest concentration of threatened species in Australia which is why resumption of Kings Forest should be seriously considered.

3. The development footprint of this project is too large considering the high level of biodiversity, threatened species and endangered ecological communities at risk. The community needs till at least end of February to understand the issues and there needs to be public consultation by both the developer and state planning.

4. The Cudgen Paddock and eastern side were assessed by NSW Dept Environment officers in the 2005 zoning, council staff and councillors for the Concept Plan 2009 and other ecologists who all agreed this was the minimum area needed to maintain biodiversity. A golf course encourages feral species, further threatening native species in Cudgen Nature Reserve. No development is the best plan.

5. The Koala Plan of Management (KPOM) fails to protect the remaining 144 coastal koalas, already on the brink of extinction (Koala Habitat Study 2011). It does not ensure adequate connectivity and safe passage of koalas, proving that the land should never have been rezoned. There needs to be a full enquiry into the failure to back zone recommended by the Woodward Investigation of 2005.

Locking koalas out is a barrier to their movement on the site, contrary to the Concept KPOM. There is no proof that cattle grids deter dogs. Who will bear the expense of fance maintenance? There is no such thing as a koala-friendly dog and one bite will kill a koala. The scent of a dog disturbs native species. The current KPOM does not prohibit dog breeds, numbers or require dusk-to-dawn housing. It relies on the residents to enforce the KPOM when there should be an on-site environmental compliance officer from DEH or council.

If this development was Koala Beach style (no dogs, no visitor dogs, speed bumps every 100m, 40km speed limit) with speed cameras, electronic speed recorders, underpasses/overpasses and exclusion fencing near roads, no koala trees felled, new koala tree plantings beginning immediately, no roads intersecting koala corridors or environmental protection zones and the golf course used no neurotoxic,
carcinogenic organophosphates, it would be better. Unless all dogs are banned from the site and the roads are koala-friendly, there is no point planting koala trees throughout, only to entice them to their deaths. Developer needs to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection and a rate levy to maintain it. Because this application is impacting matters of National Environmental Significance (NES), the EAR needs to be revised.

6. This application should be deferred until the government rules on the developer’s recent unauthorized recent clearing and draining of parts of Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to Kings Forest.

7. There are inadequate details in the Drainage Plan of Management for Precinct 5 in the event of heavy stormwater events. There needs to be a main drainage system for bulk earthworks immediately constructed. Blacks Creek needs a marine study of the ecosystem and water quality. A new Drainage POM is needed in order to improve the water quality of Blacks Creek now that the main use has changed from agriculture to residential development.

8. Kings Forest cattle dip site (containing unsafe levels of asbestos/arsenic) must be remediated before bulk earthworks begin at Precinct 11. Contaminated material must be removed from Kings Forest site.

9. Existing agricultural land use rights should no longer apply once earthwork activity begins for residential/commercial use.

10. Treatment of acid sulphate soils and stormwater drainage water quality needs to be monitored 6-monthly, particularly in the receiving waters of Black’s Creek. The results should be publically available.

11. The Water Sensitive Cities project (WSC) embraces stormwater harvesting, flood management, recycling and reuse programs, rainwater harvesting and water use efficiency programs. If Kings Forest adopted the National Water Initiative’s WSC strategies it would save Tweed Shire from having to build a dam at Byrill Creek, impacting the 45 threatened species of fauna there.

12. Environmental Management Plans are lacking description of species proposed for regeneration and revegetation. Will there be independent monitoring? What quantity, type, location, timing and quality of compensatory plantings will be done and by whom. Re-establishing native vegetation in areas of cut could make the soil hostile to plant growth and hydrology. There needs to be funding into perpetuity by the developer for ecological maintenance with a bond placed. There are no new details in the Weed Management Plan. There needs to be a survey of weed cover undertaken now and results published for public view prior to approval.

13. Regarding dedication of land to NPWS, who supervises and pays for rehabilitation works? Regarding dedication of land for Environmental Protection areas to council, will this take place prior to construction or prior to the end of construction?

14. Ecological buffer zones need to be more than 50m and should not serve human use as well (bike/walking trails etc). There needs to be an analysis of impacts in buffer zones due to land changes.

Signature: Ethan Gray

Printed Name: Ethan Gray

Address: 4A Peter St, Birming

Date 22/11/2017
The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Blacks Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.
All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.
These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent. All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an integrated implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings. Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

Koala Plan of Management (KPoM)
Although the KPoM has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPoM. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site.
There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified Littoral Rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPoM does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 – 9.9.

**General Points**

The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of Littoral Rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

**Signature**

**Printed Name** Brianna Brady

**Address** 6 Vaucluse Place

**Date** 22/1/12
Attention:
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to object to this Stage 1 application for the following reasons:-

1. The Director General requires that information in the Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) not be misleading. However, there are too many inconsistencies, inadequate explanations and variances with the EAR 2011. There must either be a new EAR or an amended application.

2. Cudgen Lake (a State Significant Coastal Lake), Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek system (nominated marine protected areas) and Blacks Creek need an Ecological Health Study (EHS) urgently before any earthworks drainage enters the Cudgen Marine System. There needs to be a Sustainability Assessment and Management Strategy prepared. There needs to be a referral to UNESCO for the impacts of this development on World Heritage values of the Caldera's coastal lowlands which are integral food sources in winter. Cudgen waterways provide significant feeding and breeding habitat for many water bird species including threatened species. Tweed already has the highest concentration of threatened species in Australia which is why resumption of Kings Forest should be seriously considered.

3. The development footprint of this project is too large considering the high level of biodiversity, threatened species and endangered ecological communities at risk. The community needs till at least end of February to understand the issues and there needs to be public consultation by both the developer and state planning.

4. The Cudgen Paddock and eastern side were assessed by NSW Dept Environment officers in the 2005 zoning, council staff and councillors for the Concept Plan 2009 and other ecologists who all agreed: this was the minimum area needed to maintain biodiversity. A golf course encourages feral species, further threatening native species in Cudgen Nature Reserve. No development is the best plan.

5. The Koala Plan of Management (KPM) fails to protect the remaining 144 coastal koalas, already on the brink of extinction (Koala Habitat Study 2011). It does not ensure adequate connectivity and safe passage of koalas, proving that the land should never have been zoned. There needs to be a full enquiry into the failure to back zone recommended by the Woodward Investigation of 2005.

Locking koalas is out is a barrier to their movement on the site, contrary to the Concept KPOm. There is no proof that cattle grids deter dogs. Who will bear the expense of fence maintenance? There is no such thing as a koala-friendly dog and one bite will kill a koala. The scent of a dog disturbs native species. The current KPOm does not prohibit dog breeds, numbers or require dusk-to-dawn housing. It relies on the residents to enforce the KPOm when there should be an on-site environmental compliance officer from DEH or council.

If this development was Koala Beach style (no dogs, no visitor dogs, speed bumps every 100m, 40km speed limit) with speed cameras, electronic speed recorders, underpasses/overpasses and exclusion fencing near roads. no koala trees felled, new koala tree plantings beginning immediately, no roads intersecting koala corridors or environmental protection zones and the golf course used no neurotoxic,
carcinogenic organophosphates, it would be better. Unless all dogs are banned from the site and the roads are koala-friendly, there is no point planting koala trees throughout, only to entice them to their deaths. Developer needs to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection and a rate levy to maintain it. Because this application is impacting matters of National Environmental Significance (NES), the EAR needs to be revised.

6. This application should be deferred until the government rules on the developer’s recent unauthorized recent clearing and draining of parts of Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to Kings Forest.

7. There are inadequate details in the Drainage Plan of Management for Precinct 5 in the event of heavy stormwater events. There needs to be a main drainage system for bulk earthworks immediately constructed. Blacks Creek needs a marine study of the ecosystem and water quality. A new Drainage POM is needed in order to improve the water quality of Blacks Creek now that the main use has changed from agriculture to residential development.

8. Kings Forest cattle dip site (containing unsafe levels of asbestos/arsenic) must be remediated before bulk earthworks begin at Precinct 11. Contaminated material must be removed from Kings Forest site.

9. Existing agricultural land use rights should no longer apply once earthwork activity begins for residential/commercial use.

10. Treatment of acid sulphate soils and stormwater drainage water quality needs to be monitored 6-monthly, particularly in the receiving waters of Black’s Creek. The results should be publically available.

11. The Water Sensitive Cities project (WSC) embraces stormwater harvesting, flood management, recycling and reuse programs, rainwater harvesting and water use efficiency programs. If Kings Forest adopted the National Water Initiative’s WSC strategies it would save Tweed Shire from having to build a dam at Byrill Creek, impacting the 45 threatened species of fauna there.

12. Environmental Management Plans are lacking description of species proposed for regeneration and revegetation. Will there be independent monitoring? What quantity, type, location, timing and quality of compensatory plantings will be done and by whom. Re-establishing native vegetation in areas of cut could make the soil hostile to plant growth and hydrology. There needs to be funding into perpetuity by the developer for ecological maintenance with a bond placed. There are no new details in the Weed Management Plan. There needs to be a survey of weed cover undertaken now and results published for public view prior to approval.

13. Regarding dedication of land to NPWS, who supervises and pays for rehabilitation works? Regarding dedication of land for Environmental Protection areas to council, will this take place prior to construction or prior to the end of construction?

14. Ecological buffer zones need to be more than 50m and should not serve human use as well (bike/walking trails etc). There needs to be an analysis of impacts in buffer zones due to land changes.

Signature

Printed Name

Address

Date
Attention:
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to object to this Stage 1 application for the following reasons:-

1. The Director General requires that information in the Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) not be misleading. However, there are too many inconsistencies, inadequate explanations and variances with the EAR 2011. There must either be a new EAR or an amended application.

2. Cudgen Lake (a State Significant Coastal Lake), Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek system (nominated marine protected areas) and Blacks Creek need an Ecological Health Study (EHS) urgently before any earthworks drainage enters the Cudgen Marine System. There needs to be a Sustainability Assessment and Management Strategy prepared. There needs to be a referral to UNESCO for the impacts of this development on World Heritage values of the Caldera’s coastal lowlands which are integral food sources in winter. Cudgen waterways provide significant feeding and breeding habitat for many water bird species including threatened species. Tweed already has the highest concentration of threatened species in Australia which is why resumption of Kings Forest should be seriously considered.

3. The development footprint of this project is too large considering the high level of biodiversity, threatened species and endangered ecological communities at risk. The community needs till at least end of February to understand the issues and there needs to be public consultation by both the developer and state planning.

4. The Cudgen Paddock and eastern side were assessed by NSW Dept Environment officers in the 2005 zoning, council staff and councillors for the Concept Plan 2009 and other ecologists who all agreed this was the minimum area needed to maintain biodiversity. A golf course encourages feral species, further threatening native species in Cudgen Nature Reserve. No development is the best plan.

5. The Koala Plan of Management (KPM) fails to protect the remaining 144 coastal koalas, already on the brink of extinction (Koala Habitat Study 2011). It does not ensure adequate connectivity and safe passage of koalas, proving that the land should never have been rezoned. There needs to be a full enquiry into the failure to back zone recommended by the Woodward Investigation of 2005.

Looking koalas out is a barrier to their movement on the site, contrary to the Concept KPM. There is no proof that cattle grids deter dogs. Who will bear the expense of fence maintenance? There is no such thing as a koala-friendly dog and one bite will kill a koala. The scent of a dog disturbs native species. The current KPM does not prohibit dog breeds, numbers or require dusk-to-dawn housing. It relies on the residents to enforce the KPM when there should be an on-site environmental compliance officer from DEH or council.

If this development was Koala Beach style (no dogs, no visitor dogs, speed bumps every 100m, 40km speed limit) with speed cameras, electronic speed recorders, underpasses/overpasses and exclusion fencing near roads, no koala trees felled, new koala tree plantings beginning immediately, no roads intersecting koala corridors or environmental protection zones and the golf course used no neurotoxic,
carcinogenic organophosphates, it would be better. Unless all dogs are banned from the site and the roads are koala-friendly, there is no point planting koala trees throughout, only to entice them to their deaths. Developer needs to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection and a rate levy to maintain it. Because this application is impacting matters of National Environmental Significance (NES), the EAR needs to be revised.

6. This application should be deferred until the government rules on the developer's recent unauthorized recent clearing and draining of parts of Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to Kings Forest.

7. There are inadequate details in the Drainage Plan of Management for Precinct 5 in the event of heavy stormwater events. There needs to be a main drainage system for bulk earthworks immediately constructed. Blacks Creek needs a marine study of the ecosystem and water quality. A new Drainage POM is needed in order to improve the water quality of Blacks Creek now that the main use has changed from agriculture to residential development.

8. Kings Forest cattle dip site (containing unsafe levels of asbestos/arsenic) must be remediated before bulk earthworks begin at Precinct 11. Contaminated material must be removed from Kings Forest site.

9. Existing agricultural land use rights should no longer apply once earthwork activity begins for residential/commercial use.

10. Treatment of acid sulphate soils and stormwater drainage water quality needs to be monitored 6-monthly, particularly in the receiving waters of Black's Creek. The results should be publically available.

11. The Water Sensitive Cities project (WSC) embraces stormwater harvesting, flood management, recycling and reuse programs, rainwater harvesting and water use efficiency programs. If Kings Forest adopted the National Water Initiative’s WSC strategies it would save Tweed Shire from having to build a dam at Byrill Creek, impacting the 45 threatened species of fauna there.

12. Environmental Management Plans are lacking description of species proposed for regeneration and revegetation. Will there be independent monitoring? What quantity, type, location, timing and quality of compensatory plantings will be done and by whom. Re-establishing native vegetation in areas of cut could make the soil hostile to plant growth and hydrology. There needs to be funding into perpetuity by the developer for ecological maintenance with a bond placed. There are no new details in the Weed Management Plan. There needs to be a survey of weed cover undertaken now and results published for public view prior to approval.

13. Regarding dedication of land to NPWS, who supervises and pays for rehabilitation works? Regarding dedication of land for Environmental Protection areas to council, will this take place prior to construction or prior to the end of construction?

14. Ecological buffer zones need to be more than 50m and should not serve human use as well (bike/walking trails etc). There needs to be an analysis of impacts in buffer zones due to land changes.

Signature

Printed Name Joellee Mcgrath

Address 40 Chubb Street One mile

Date 20/1/12
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Blacks Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.
All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.
These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent. All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an integrated implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings. Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

Koala Plan of Management (KPOM)
Although the KPOM has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPoM. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site. There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified Littoral Rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPoM does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 – 9.9.

General Points

The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of Littoral Rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

Signature [Signature]

Printed Name Tanya Glynnakis

Address 16 Sea View Dr Kingscliff

Date 27/1/12
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Blacks Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.
All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.
These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent. All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an integrated implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings. Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

Koala Plan of Management (KPoM)
Although the KPoM has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPOM. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site.

There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified littoral rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPOM does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 – 9.9.

**General Points**

The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of littoral rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

**Signature**

**Printed Name** MAX JAMES O'NEILL

**Address** 51 PHILLIP STREET CHINDERAH NSW

**Date** 21st January 2013
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

The proposed development includes or is located adjacent to land of high environmental significance including Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek, Koala habitat, State Protected Wetlands (SEPP 14), Endangered Ecological Communities and habitat of Threatened flora and fauna.

The massive scale of the development requires that Environmental Assessment (EA) undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) takes into full consideration all aspects of impacts on the environment. The DoP needs to ensure that the community has full confidence that the development will have minimal impact on native flora, fauna, plant communities and waterways prior to approval of Stage 1.

The trust of the community has already been breached by recent clearing of Melaleuca forest adjacent to Blacks Creek and dredging of the creek within Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to the development site. This application should be deferred until there is a court decision on the unauthorised clearing and draining of Blacks Creek.

I object to the Stage 1 application on the following grounds;

Staging of the dedication of environmental protection land to Tweed Shire Council or OEH throughout the project DGR 2.4.
All environmental protection lands to be dedicated and transferred to Tweed Shire Council and OEH in the early stages of the project. It is essential that these lands are transferred in their current condition prior to commencement of earthworks which could cause damage to native vegetation and function of wetlands. Alternatively should the dedications be staged there should be strict conditions and a bond to ensure that there is no clearing or degradation of these areas. There is insufficient detail on the dedication of land to Council and OEH.

Long term management and maintenance of environmental areas and open space DGR 2.5. and Updates of various management plans DGR 9.4.
These two points have not been adequately addressed by the proponent. All EA and recommendations included in the Koala, vegetation, weed, landscaping and buffer management plans should be consistent and there should be no duplication or overlap. There should be an integrated implementation table (work schedules, timing and costing) included for all related works. Planting and restoration areas should be in suitable locations and habitats, e.g. heath has very specific habitat requirements. There should be clear guidelines for the proponent to implement management of the areas over a minimum 5 year period. Tweed Shire Council and OEH should be involved in the planning of the implementation and maintenance schedules and costings. Measurable Performance and Completion Criteria requires review and must ensure that the proponent achieves successful outcomes within given timeframes.

Koala Plan of Management (KPoM)
Although the KPoM has been revised is still does not adequately ensure the long term protection of Koalas. The fencing of housing enclaves provides some protection from dogs and vehicles but also brings into question numerous other issues such as ability of dogs to move across grids, maintenance of fencing, barrier to movement and entrapment. The east-
west corridor has been deferred to a later stage whereas it should be identified and included in the KPoM. Current road design, proposed golf course provisions and lack of east west corridor do not provide for adequate protection of Koalas. Koalas currently move through the majority of the site.
There are inconsistencies with other management plans particularly related to the planting of the Koala food trees. Plantings should not be in heathland restoration areas, within the identified Littoral Rainforest and APZs. The PoM should include a detailed implementation table as per previous point. Dogs ownership should be prohibited. The KPoM does not sufficiently address DGRs 9.5 – 9.9.

General Points

The Bushfire Risk Management Plan to include ecological considerations. The Asset Protection Zones should not be included within Ecological Buffers.

Removal of Littoral Rainforest which is a state and federally listed Endangered Ecological Community.

The proponent requests ongoing maintenance of Blacks Creek within the site which includes removal of sediment. Drainage of the site relies on the flow of Blacks Creek from Kings Forest through Cudgen Nature Reserve to Cudgen Creek. Sections of the creek are within state protected wetlands. Adequate drainage through Blacks Creek is required in time of flood but the EA has not provided details of recommended cross sections.

Monitoring of the success of all plantings and restoration should be undertaken by an independent consultant. An independent environmental officer should be employed throughout the project to ensure compliance with relevant conditions of consent. Both positions to be funded by the proponent through Tweed Shire Council.

Insufficient details of proposed earthworks with likely adverse effects on hydrology and native plant communities. There are proposed cuts of up to 2m adjacent to existing native vegetation.

Signature  

Printed Name  LETIA M REAGY  

Address  148 MARINE PARADE KINGSCATIE NSW 2487  

Date  23-01-2012
SUBMISSION

Re: 08_0194 Stage 1 Project Application - Kings Forest, Kingscliff

To:
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001


Dear Sir

I would like to make the following comments on the Kings Forest Stage 1 Plan.

- Golf course should use no toxic organophosphate pesticides – instead use only organic non-toxic pesticides.
- wildlife corridors need to connect and not be fragmented
- need overpasses for wildlife on roads, not just underpasses, including exclusion fencing
- road signage must advise motorists to slow down for wildlife
- speed bumps to ensure maximum speed at 50kph
- water-saving initiatives to be in place (20,000L water tanks, stormwater harvesting, dual water reticulation, water recycling). This town must be self-sufficient or it will push TSC to build a dam at Byrrill Creek where other koala colonies and 45 threatened species currently live
- all dogs must be banned no matter what size, age or breed - there is no such thing as a ‘koala-friendly dog’
- visitors with dogs or cats also prohibited
- no koala habitat or food tree is to be felled, no matter where it is
- Koala Beach style plan
- Developer to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection is enforced
- Rate levy to maintain Management Committee
- an URGENT and THOROUGH investigation into claims of illegal clearing adjacent to Kings Forest must be made and, if found to be true, be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

Sincerely

(Signed) Lorelle Gilbert
Name: Lorelle Gilbert
Address: 1/6 Cawarra St. Ballina NSW 2478
Attention:
The Director Metropolitan and Regional Projects North
Major Projects Assessment
Dept of Planning
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

Re: KINGS FOREST Stage 1 Subdivision and Bulk Earthworks - Application No. 08_0194

Dear Sir/Madam

I hereby wish to object to this Stage 1 application for the following reasons:-

1. The Director General requires that information in the Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) not be misleading. However, there are too many inconsistencies, inadequate explanations and variances with the EAR 2011. There must either be a new EAR or an amended application.

2. Cudgen Lake (a State Significant Coastal Lake), Cudgen Nature Reserve, Cudgen Creek system (nominated marine protected areas) and Blacks Creek need an Ecological Health Study (EHS) urgently before any earthworks drainage enters the Cudgen Marine System. There needs to be a Sustainability Assessment and Management Strategy prepared. There needs to be a referral to UNESCO for the impacts of this development on World Heritage values of the Caldera's coastal lowlands which are integral food sources in winter. Cudgen waterways provide significant feeding and breeding habitat for many water bird species including threatened species. Tweed already has the highest concentration of threatened species in Australia which is why resumption of Kings Forest should be seriously considered.

3. The development footprint of this project is too large considering the high level of biodiversity, threatened species and endangered ecological communities at risk. The community needs to till at least end of February to understand the issues and there needs to be public consultation by both the developer and state planning.

4. The Cudgen Paddock and eastern side were assessed by NSW Dept Environment officers in the 2005 zoning, council staff and councillors for the Concept Plan 2009 and other ecologists who all agreed this was the minimum area needed to maintain biodiversity. A golf course encourages feral species, further threatening native species in Cudgen Nature Reserve. No development is the best plan.

5. The Koala Plan of Management (KPM) fails to protect the remaining 144 coastal koalas, already on the brink of extinction (Koala Habitat Study 2011). It does not ensure adequate connectivity and safe passage of koalas, proving that the land should never have been rezoned. There needs to be a full enquiry into the failure to back zone recommended by the Woodward Investigation of 2005.

Locking koalas out is a barrier to their movement on the site, contrary to the Concept KPM. There is no proof that cattle grids deter dogs. Who will bear the expense of fence maintenance? There is no such thing as a koala-friendly dog and one bite will kill a koala. The scent of a dog disturbs native species. The current KPM does not prohibit dog breeds, numbers or require dusk-to-dawn housing. It relies on the residents to enforce the KPM when there should be an on-site environmental compliance officer from DEH or council.

If this development was Koala Beach style (no dogs, no visitor dogs, speed bumps every 100m, 40km speed limit) with speed cameras, electronic speed recorders, underpasses/overpasses and exclusion fencing near roads, no koala trees felled, new koala tree plantings beginning immediately, no roads intersecting koala corridors or environmental protection zones and the golf course used no neurotoxic,
carcinogenic organophosphates, it would be better. Unless all dogs are banned from the site and the roads are koala-friendly, there is no point planting koala trees throughout, only to entice them to their deaths. Developer needs to set aside funds to establish a Management Committee to ensure koala protection and a rate levy to maintain it. Because this application is impacting matters of National Environmental Significance (NES), the EAR needs to be revised.

6. This application should be deferred until the government rules on the developer’s recent unauthorized recent clearing and draining of parts of Cudgen Nature Reserve adjacent to Kings Forest.

7. There are inadequate details in the Drainage Plan of Management for Precinct 5 in the event of heavy stormwater events. There needs to be a main drainage system for bulk earthworks immediately constructed. Blacks Creek needs a marine study of the ecosystem and water quality. A new Drainage POM is needed in order to improve the water quality of Blacks Creek now that the main use has changed from agriculture to residential development.

8. Kings Forest cattle dip site (containing unsafe levels of asbestos/arsenic) must be remediated before bulk earthworks begin at Precinct 11. Contaminated material must be removed from Kings Forest site.

9. Existing agricultural land use rights should no longer apply once earthwork activity begins for residential/commercial use.

10. Treatment of acid sulphate soils and stormwater drainage water quality needs to be monitored 6-monthly, particularly in the receiving waters of Black’s Creek. The results should be publically available.

11. The Water Sensitive Cities project (WSC) embraces stormwater harvesting, flood management, recycling and reuse programs, rainwater harvesting and water use efficiency programs. If Kings Forest adopted the National Water Initiative’s WSC strategies it would save Tweed Shire from having to build a dam at Byrrill Creek, impacting the 45 threatened species of fauna there.

12. Environmental Management Plans are lacking description of species proposed for regeneration and revegetation. Will there be independent monitoring? What quantity, type, location, timing and quality of compensatory plantings will be done and by whom. Re-establishing native vegetation in areas of cut could make the soil hostile to plant growth and hydrology. There needs to be funding into perpetuity by the developer for ecological maintenance with a bond placed. There are no new details in the Weed Management Plan. There needs to be a survey of weed cover undertaken now and results published for public view prior to approval.

13. Regarding dedication of land to NPWS, who supervises and pays for rehabilitation works? Regarding dedication of land for Environmental Protection areas to council, will this take place prior to construction or prior to the end of construction?

14. Ecological buffer zones need to be more than 50m and should not serve human use as well (bike/walking trails etc). There needs to be an analysis of impacts in buffer zones due to land changes.

Signature

Printed Name: G. M. McPhee

Address: 310 ROULANDS CRK 20 UKI

Date: 23-1-12