

7 December 2011 Our ref: RJC:LR/07224B

NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure 23-33 Bridge St SYDNEY NSW 2000

Attention: Mr Chris King email chris.king@planning.nsw.gov.au

Dear Chris.

re: Response to submissions - SCG Stage 2: Modification 2

Thank you for forwarding to us (on 29 November 2011) the two submissions received by the Department of Planning on the Modification 2.

Our comments are as follows.

1. Submission made by the Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) division of the NSW Transport, dated 23 November 2011

The matters required to be incorporated by RMS in the conditions of consent relate to pedestrian safety and construction management. As these matters are already required to be addressed by Conditions B6, B6.1 and B6.2, no additional or different conditions need to be imposed.

2. Submission made by the conservation Team in the Heritage Branch, Environment and Heritage, Policy and Programs Group in the Office of Environment and Heritage as delegate of the NSW Heritage Council, dated 21 November 2011

In relation to the Heritage Branch's recommended conditions, we note that these are generally consistent with the recommendations in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared by Godden Mackay Logan and with the heritage elements in the Statement of Commitments (in some cases being clarifications).

In relation to the Heritage Branch's comments on the Statement of Commitments, we respond as follows:-

Point A of 8.6.1

The request that the "Heritage Council endorsement will be sought to carry out a lesser level of recording for other elements of the site prior to demolitions" is unnecessary, as there are



well-established guidelines for archival recording and therefore Heritage Council involvement will not be needed.

Point C of 8.6.1

The Heritage Office's request that the Statement of Commitments be amended to include the words "Heritage Council guidance will be sought in the design phases" is very open-ended. We anticipate that the intent of what is sought could be covered off by one single submission of the documents for Heritage Council review and we suggest that the words should reflect same.

Point B of 8.6.5

The reference to Condition 5 in the Heritage Office's submission should (we assume) be to Condition 6. In our opinion, the consent and Statement of Commitments is already adequate in this regard. It is already anticipated that an Archaeological Research Design Methodology will be prepared (setting out the principles for the excavation), followed by a watching brief during excavation of the specific area nominated in the CMP as having possible archaeological significance.

We trust that these comments assist. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if any clarification of our comments on the two submissions is required.

Yours faithfully,

BBC Consulting Planners

Robert Chambers Director

Email bob.chambers@bbcplanners.com.au