Lot 2, Lenore Drive, Erskine Park Clarifications Given to Submissions Received through Public Notification Period $6^{\rm th}$ May 2016



1. Penrith City Council (3" May 2016)'Large expanses of wall (eastern end of southern elevation) are still proposed at the street frontage which should be broken up with by the use of additional architectural treatments, building articulation, fenestration and a variety of external finishes in terms of both colour and type of material used'Walls of the east and south elevation are currently propo- visually broken down by the application of concrete dadd colour bond panels in different rhythm and colours. In an graphic dots are applied to the South Eastern corner of th to give identity and its corporate presence. Logos are cor any further application of colours, materials or patterns or result in 'visual clutter'.'The proposed modifications reduce the prominence of the office component of the building. The presentation of the office building component was considered to be a key aspect of the development. The revised proposal is considered to be of significantly less architectural merit and its design does little to minimise perceived scale and mass. Although the development is located within an industrial estate the architectural design is import not to prevent monotonous building forms resulting from poor design of walls or rooflines'Metal screening of same colour of the warehouse structure installed to ensure the sprinkler tank visually integrates v warehouse façade to become an architectural feature.'All front fencing shall be located behind the landscape setback and not along the front boundary, be a maximum height of 2.1mWe note the requirement. Future fencing will be located within the landscape setback.	o and dditional, he façade ncerned could scale of oresents a isual with the ogistics.
'Large expanses of wall (eastern end of southern elevation) are still proposed at the street frontage which should be broken up with by the use of additional architectural treatments, building articulation, fenestration and a variety of external finishes in terms of both colour and type of material used'Walls of the east and south elevation are currently propo- visually broken down by the application of concrete dadd colour bond panels in different rhythm and colours. In a graphic dots are applied to the South Eastern corner of th to give identity and its corporate presence. Logos are cor any further application of colours, materials or patterns or result in 'visual clutter'.'The proposed modifications reduce the prominence of the office component of the building. The presentation of the office genponent was considered to be a key aspect of the development. The revised proposal is considered to be of significantly less architectural merit and its design does little to minimise perceived scale and mass. Although the development is located within an industrial estate the architectural design is import to prevent monotonous building forms resulting from poor design of walls or rooflines'The reduction in office height lessens the overwhelming the structure itself. The single storey office component p transition to 10m warehouse structure behind. Logos are confident that this is in keeping and consistent adjacent developments like TNT and Rand Refrigerated L loage are colour of the warehouse structure. the front setback or be visible from public places. These requirements should be integrated with the building and landscaping design'Metal screening of same colour of the warehouse structural feature.'All front fencing shall be located behind the landscape setback and not along the front boundary, be a maximum height of 2.1mW	o and dditional, he façade ncerned could scale of oresents a isual with the ogistics.
component of the building. The presentation of the office building component was considered to be a key aspect of the development. The revised proposal is considered to be of significantly less architectural merit and its design does little to minimise perceived scale and mass. Although the development is located within an industrial estate the architectural design is important to prevent monotonous building forms resulting from poor design of walls or rooflines'the structure itself. The single storey office component p more human scale and the 5m parapet height acts as a w transition to 10m warehouse structure behind. Logos are confident that this is in keeping and consistent adjacent developments like TNT and Rand Refrigerated L logos are colour of the warehouse structure installed to ensure the sprinkler tank visually integrates v warehouse façade to become an architectural feature.'The modification will increase the visual prominence of the servicing requirements for the buildings such as the sprinkler tank. These aspects of the development should not be located within the front setback or be visible from public places. These requirements should be integrated with the building and landscaping design'Metal screening of same colour of the warehouse structure installed to ensure the sprinkler tank visually integrates v warehouse façade to become an architectural feature.'All front fencing shall be located behind the landscape setback and not along the front boundary, be a maximum height of 2.1mWe note the requirement. Future fencing will be located within the landscape setback.	with the ogistics.
servicing requirements for the buildings such as the sprinkler tank. These aspects of the development should not be located within the front setback or be visible from public places. These requirements should be integrated with the building and landscaping design' 'All front fencing shall be located behind the landscape setback and not along the front boundary, be a maximum height of 2.1m 'All set the requirement. Future fencing will be located within the landscape setback.	
and not along the front boundary, be a maximum height of 2.1m within the landscape setback.	
and of an 'open' nature. Such fencing should be black powder coat steel palisade fencing similar to that existing though the estate'	behind /
2. Department of Planning & Environment (3 rd May 2016)	
(The proposed amendments to the office building result in a significant alteration of the architectural treatment of the office building. The Department does not object to the reduction in height of the office building. However, recommends that further thought be given to its architectural treatment to ensure that the overall appearance / architectural presence of the building is not eroded'Similar response to Penrith Council; the reduction in offic lessens the overwhelming scale of the structure itself. Th storey office component presents a more human scale at parapet height acts as a visual transition to 10m wareho storucture behind. Logos are confident that this is in keeping and consistent adjacent developments like TNT and Rand Refrigerated L Please refer to section 1.5 of the report that was submit S75W application: "This application: "This application is for an amendment to SSD 08_0016 MOD3] stormwater proposal''An updated Statement of Commitments document is required indicating, for the avoidance of doubt, what words have been deleted. The deleted words should be shown as bold and struck out'Similar response to Penrith Council; the reduction in offic lessens the overwhelming scale of the structure itself. Th storey office component presents a more human scale at parapet height acts as a visual transition to 10m wareho storey office components like TNT and Rand Refrigerated L STSW application: "This application is for an amendment to SSD 08_0016 MOD3] stormwater proposal''An updated Statement of Commitments document is required indicating, for the avoidance of doubt, what words have been deleted. The deleted words should be shown as bold and struck out'Updated Statement of Commitments document also atta email (sent 6 th May 2016)	ne single nd the 5m use with the ogistics. ted with hod1. The to the rk layout he minor ever the k and ter
3. Environment Protection Authority No issues	
4. Transgrid No issues	
5. RMS No issues	