

Hugh & Susan Webb  
1129 Edith Road  
OBERON NSW 2787

[hrrspwebb@harboursat.com.au](mailto:hrrspwebb@harboursat.com.au)

(02) 6335 6337  
0427 259 633 (Hugh)

20 January 2011

Attn; Kane Winwood  
Senior Planner  
Mining & Industry Projects  
**Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.**

RE: Submission Oberon White Granite Quarry Environmental Assessment.

Further to the Mr Peter Jamieson Director of Umwelt Australia Pty Ltd on our behalf we would like to add some more concerns as adjoining landholders, which after our meeting January 12<sup>th</sup> 2011 you will be aware of.

- 1) The intersection Duckmaloi Road & Ferndale Road. Already has been one fatal car accident Refer Oberon Review Thursday July 9 2009. This intersection is not suitable for loaded trucks to be turning East from Ferndale Road, across west bound lane.
- 2) Land Devaluation (42 landholders in a 2km radius). A number of landholders outside this 2km radius are exposed to the existing quarry on the Southern & South Eastern Side, by visual, noise & dust. Reference Photos from McKeons Creek Road.
- 3) Deliberate & misleading information provided in the EA submission.
  - I) HR & SP Webb DA has not been rejected by Council
  - II) Not mentioning Oberon Quarries (Road Base & Concrete Aggregate) + Austen Quarries – Hartley (Hardrock)
  - III) Offers to purchase Lot 12 – do not exist apart from an expression of interest letter written 14 October 2008 (attached). There has been verbal expressions of interest for Mudjee Stone to have the first offer to purchase if Lot 12 came on the market. Mudjee Stone had every opportunity to purchase Lot 12 along with Lot 11, 7 & 2 when it was all on the market in 2004 owned by Luss Pty Ltd marketed by Raine & Horne Oberon.
- 4) Have all the Director General Requirements 18 September 2007 been addressed?

- 5) Operations already outside the Land & Environment Court ruling (court consent 10652 of 2004). Mudgee Stone has been fined by the Oberon Council for trucking operation of material before the haul road was completed, use of Rock Hammer. The stockpiling of material outside the Quarry footprint has been questioned by the State Member of Parliament (Mr Gerard Martin) Bathurst.
- 6) After Mr Kane Winwood met with the proponents onsite 13<sup>th</sup> January 2011. Is the quarry operating within the Current Land & Environment Court ruling?
- 7) Where is the benefit to existing Community?
- 8) Who will be responsible for the monitoring of the operation should the development of state significance go ahead?

In Conclusion, we would like Mudgee Stone to provide evidence of the need for the quarry as it exists under a 25,000 Tonne/year licence & for the proposed 250,000 Tonne/year licence which has already had & will have continuing negative effects on surrounding landholders.

Regards

Hugh & Susan Webb