

Caddey Searl & Jarman

Consulting Surveyors and Property Valuers

Bega Office

10 Canning Street, (PO Box 259) Bega NSW 2550 Ph: (02)6492 2933 Fax (02)6492 2934

Email: csjbega@csj.com.au

Merimbula Office:

60 Main Street , (PO Box 488) Merimbula NSW 2548 Ph: (02)6495 1044 Fax: (02)6495 3070

Email: csjmerim@csj.com.au

Bermagui Branch

2/4 Wallaga Street, Bermagui NSW 2546 Ph (02) 6493 4197 (Wednesday Only)

PARTNERS

J. Langford, AAPI (Val) C. Ferguson, AAPI (Val & Econ)

Members: Network Property Group



M. Collins MIS (Aust)
D. Bothamley MIS (Aust)



<u>Associates</u>

A. Jarman, MIS (Aust) C. Maxted, MIS (Aust) R. Garnock, FAPI Our Reference: 61530

Your Reference: MP06-0195

15 April 2010

NSW Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

RE: MODIFICATION OF DEVELOPMENT CONSENT MP06-0195

JELLAT PASTORAL CO., WALLAGOOT LANE

Further to discussions with Jane Flanagan of the department, on behalf of our client Jellat Pastoral Company, we formally apply to modify the subject consent as detailed below. There are <u>no</u> proposed changes to the number or layout of the lots.

The nature of the modification is to more clearly define which stage of the development each restriction applies to. e.g. some of the proposed lots are well clear of the 1 in 100 year floodline but are still mentioned as requiring the floodline to be delineated. Also 2 of the proposed lots have existing dwellings but there is the requirement for building envelopes to be provided.

We would like have those conditions, particularly within Part E4, separated out to their relevant stages so as to avoid any potential ambiguity arising in the future, particularly given that it may be some considerable time before all stages are completed and the people involved in the development application (owners, consultants, planners) may not be around.

Particular items we propose to be modified are:-

1. E4(3)(i). Lot F has an existing dwelling and entry direct on to Wallagoot Lane. Are we to assume that the existing entry as shown on the aerial photo forming part of the approved plan is acceptable?

- **2.** E4(3)(ii). Lot F has an existing dwelling with an associated existing effluent disposal system and should not be subject to this restriction.
- **3.** E4(3)(iii). Given that there are existing dwellings on both Lots B & F, this restriction should not apply to these lots.
- **4.** E4(3)(iv). This requirement is better expressed as a Positive Covenant under the Conveyancing Act, and not as a Restriction on Use.
- **5. E4(3)(v).** Firstly this restriction should only apply to Lots A, D & F. Lots B, C & E are well above the 1 in 100 year flood level. See "Site Analysis" plan dated 5th June 2008 which formed part of the original application which depicts the approximate flood level.

Secondly, this restriction if read literally covers all buildings, including farm sheds. I believe it should only apply to "habitable dwellings".

6. E5. Reference should be made to Section 4.1.3 of *Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006* and not Section 4.2.7.

Find attached a *Request to modify a major project* form, together with a cheque in the amount of \$750 being the fee as advised.

Yours faithfully Caddey Searl and Jarman

Michael Collins
Registered Surveyor