

Environmental Assessment

MP 06_0095 Mod3

Modification to existing development consent for additional treatment technologies

at

**40 Christie Street, St Marys
(Lot 431 DP 854814)**

prepared for

Tox Free Australia Pty Ltd

1st May 2016



ABN: 65 036 846 897 ACN: 142 184 941

Level 1, 140 King Street

Newcastle NSW 2300

M: 0402 302537

E: shay@pepconsulting.com.au

W: www.pepconsulting.com.au

REVISION

PROJECT: MP 06_0095 Mod3, 40 Christie St, St Mary's

Modification to existing development consent for additional treatment technologies

Revision	Issue	Date
Final Draft	Lodge with DP&E	12.8.15
Rev 1	Update lodged with DP&E	21.12.15
Rev 2	Updated PHA	1.5.16

Disclaimer: This document is the property of PEP Consulting. This document and the information contained in it are solely for the use of the authorised recipient and this document may not be used, copied or reproduced in whole or part for any purpose other than that for which it was supplied by PEP Consulting. The information in this report is considered accurate at the date of issue with regard to the current statutory framework and conditions of the site. Original documentation should be sighted to confirm the currency of information contained herein.

Authorised for use by:



Signed:

Shay Riley-Lewis

B.Env.Sc (Env Mgt), GDURP, CPP, MPIA

Principal Environmental Planner

PEP Consulting

Date: 1/5/16

Contents

	Page Number
1. Introduction	5
1.1 Background	5
1.2 Purpose of this report	5
1.3 Site Description	5
2. Proposed Modifications	8
2.1 Treatment processes	8
2.1.1 Acid Alkaline neutralisation	8
2.1.2 Chemical Immobilisation and Stabilisation (CIS)	9
2.1.3 Solidification Bins	9
2.2 Operating Hours	13
2.3 Storage Limits	14
3. Statutory Context.....	16
3.1 Compliance with current approvals	16
3.1.1 Part 3A	16
3.1.2 Environment Protection Licence	18
3.2 Other relevant legislation	18
4. Environmental Impact Assessment	22
4.1 Hazards	22
4.2 Waste	24
4.2.1 Incoming Waste	24
4.2.2 Outgoing waste	24
4.3 Noise	25
4.4 Traffic	25
4.5 Air Emissions	26
4.6 Water	26
5. Statement of Commitments	27
6. Summary and conclusion	28
7. Certification	28

List of tables

Table 2-1 Waste Proposed for Storage / Transfer / Solidification Treatment	13
Table 2-2 Waste storage limits	14
Table 3-1: Compliance with Schedule 3 Specific Environmental Conditions of Part 3A Project Approval 06_0095	16
Table 3-2: Other Relevant Legislation	18
Table 4-1 Hazardous Storage Risk Screening	22
Table 4-2: Additional Incoming Waste Volumes	24
Table 4-3 Additional Outgoing Waste Volumes	24
Table 5-1: Proposed Mitigation Measures	27

List of figures

Figure 1.1 Site Location	6
Figure 1.2 Site Locality	6
Figure 1.3 Land Use Zoning	7
Figure 2.1 Site Aerial	10
Figure 2.2 Site Layout	11
Figure 2.3 Acid Alkali Neutralisation Process Flow Diagram	12

List of appendices

Appendix A Department of Planning and Environment Correspondence

Appendix B Department of Planning and Environment Hazard Audit (20 August 2014)

Appendix C Preliminary Hazard Analysis

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

The Toxfree Chemical Waste Storage and Treatment Facility was approved by the then Minister for Planning on 22 December 2006 (06_0095) under Section 75J of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act)*. The facility was approved to receive, store, treat and transfer a range of dangerous and hazardous goods from a variety of industry, domestic and commercial sources. The facility was considered a Major Project in accordance with Schedule 1, Group 9, Section 27(5) of the then *State Environmental Planning Policy (Major Projects) 2005*, and was determined by the Department of Planning (DoP) on behalf of the Minister under Part 3A of the *EP&A Act*.

An Environment Protection Licence (no:12628) under the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* was issued for the facility by the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) for ‘waste activities’ and ‘waste processing (non-thermal treatment)’.

Two subsequent modifications have been approved to the project under Section 75W of the *EP&A Act*. Modification 1 (dated 6 July 2007) approved changes to site layout, gas detection systems and types of dangerous goods stored onsite. Modification 2 (dated 3 March 2010) approved the use of additional treatment technologies including chemical immobilization and solidification (CIS), oil filter crushing, aerosol crushing, container cleaning fluorescent tube crushing and secure product destruction.

1.2 Purpose of this report

The purpose of this Environmental Assessment (EA) is to document the assessment of the environmental impacts of the proposed modification to the Toxfree Resource Recovery Facility Project.

Only the impacts on the environment likely to occur by reason of the proposed changes to the project are described and assessed in this report. The remaining project specifications, impacts and mitigation measures remain consistent with the Environmental Assessments for the original application and subsequent modifications.

This report responds to advice received from the Department of Planning and Environment dated 8th January 2015 and 2nd September 2015 (refer Appendix A) for modification of the existing Part 3A project application 06_0095 (file no: 9042384) pursuant to Section 75W of the *EP&A Act*.

This report also supports an application to the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) for variation to licence number 12628 for modification to the approved scheduled activities “Waste storage” and “Waste processing (non-thermal treatment)”.

1.3 Site Description

The existing facility is located within the established St Mary’s industrial estate on Christie Street (refer Figure 1.1). The site is approximately 7km east of the Nepean Hospital, 650m east of the Wianamatta Creek, approximately 650m to the nearest residence and surrounded by other industrial facilities.




Figure 1.1 Site Location



Figure 1.2 Site Locality




Figure 1.3 Land Use Zoning

2. Proposed Modifications

The modification proposed with this Modification 3 application are:

- establishment of an Acid/Alkaline Neutralisation treatment system
- expand the scope of treatment capability of the existing CIS process to handle a variety of waste streams already approved to process (subject to immobilisation permits).
- use of a solidification treatment process for selected liquid/sludge/solid, non-dangerous good, hazardous wastes streams.
- extension of operating hours
- increase in storage limits of existing approved wastes

Detail on each of these modifications is provided below.

The site layout showing the work areas and dangerous goods storage is shown in [Figure 2.1](#) overleaf.

Schedule 3 Condition 13a) of the current Project Approval 06_0095 as modified, is requested to be removed. The requirement for biennial emergency drills to be undertaken in liaison with the NSW Fire Brigade was an added measure when the Dunheved Fire Station was located adjacent to the site. The Fire Station is no longer located adjacent the site and therefore the Fire Brigades involvement in emergency drills is no longer necessary.

2.1 Treatment processes

2.1.1 Acid Alkaline neutralisation

The Acid Alkali Neutralisation (AAN) treatment system aims to consolidate packaged wastes currently received at the site.

Containers of acid or alkalis are received from schools, laboratories, universities and households via EPA/Council collections. Acids received typically include sulphuric acid, hydrochloric acid and acidic based cleaners. Alkalis include lime, caustic soda and sodium hydroxide. Currently, containers are received, packed onto pallets and transported to the Toxfree Links Road facility where they are decanted into IBCs and pumped out and transported to a third party for processing.

The AAN combines corrosive liquids to create a neutral solution. The process flow diagram is shown in [Figure 2.2](#) below.

AAN Process

Small containers (<5L) of liquid acid or alkali are decanted into IBCs and transported by forklift from the storage area to the AAN. The AAN Mixing Tank is prefilled with 500L of consolidated alkali waste. Acid is then slowly added to the caustic solution. From the eductor, the acid/alkali mix is pumped into a 1,500L AAN Mixing Tank in batches of 1,000L. Tank includes a mechanical mixer and is covered. Heat from neutralisation reactions is removed through refrigerated cooling system. pH is monitored by a probe with alarms. pH and temperature will be continuously monitored on the AAN Mixing Tank and gas scrubber. The tank will auto-dose with caustic if the pH drops below 7. More acid or alkali will be

added as required to achieve the desired pH of between 7 and 9.5. Once the pH stabilises within the acceptable range, the contents is pumped to a standby IBC to settle for 1 day. Following settlement, the liquid is pumped off by vacuum tanker and transported offsite to an appropriately licenced facility. Settled solids are drained from the IBC and tested for contaminants and an appropriate CIS treatment formulated.

The AAN plant will be fitted with a fume extraction system discharging approximately 2m³/minute of air. A caustic scrubbing system will be utilised to scrub the air extracted from around the decanting station and above the neutralisation tank. The caustic scrubber spray tower will use 18 to 22% sodium hydroxide solution. The countercurrent flow assumes a toxic stripping efficiency of 50%. Exothermic reactions (if encountered) removed through refrigerated cooling system. The caustic scrubber exhaust will discharge at least three metres above the roof height to limit building downwash effects. Ducting transition will be fitted on to the exhaust to increase efflux velocity to 10m/s in accordance with best practice.

2.1.2 Chemical Immobilisation and Stabilisation (CIS)

The Christie Street facility is approved for CIS as described in the approved Part 3A Modification 2. This modification proposes CIS treatment of T120 photo chemicals, as well as the existing waste codes approved, rather than the approved storage.

Consistent with the current CIS approval, samples would be taken of the treated material and sent to a NATA accredited laboratory for Quality Control (QC) testing in accordance with NSW EPA (2008) *Waste Classification Guidelines and specific and generic Immobilisation Approvals*. Any batch failing these QA tests would be reprocessed by crushing, and then re-fixed. If the treated waste meets QC criteria upon curing and testing it is proposed that the immobilised waste be disposed of as General Solid or Restricted Solid waste to a suitably licensed landfill. Treatment of photo chemicals with the CIS process is expected to generate only a minor increase in solid waste to landfill.

2.1.3 Solidification Bins

Selected liquid/sludge/solid, non-dangerous good, hazardous wastes streams will be blended into 'spadeable' restricted solids waste in accordance with EPA Waste Guidelines. Wastes proposed for the solidification process are listed in Table 2.1 below.

Currently many wastes (refer Table 2.1) are approved to be treated by the CIS process, however this process for some waste products increases the cost to waste producers for appropriate disposal. Selected non-dangerous goods can be adequately neutralised to 'restricted solid waste' for disposal at an appropriately licenced landfill at a fraction of the cost of disposal as 'liquid waste' or 'solid waste' following CIS treatment.

Solid waste products already received at the facility such as out of specification vermiculite (absorbent), cement, soda ash, mulch or dust would be added to the liquid waste and mixed with an excavator in a 15m³ bin. The bin would be self-bunded and fitted with a weather-proof rollout tarp. These solids are currently received at the facility for secure disposal. Should there be insufficient quantity available onsite, additional could be purchased.

Wastes would be tested before and after mixing at the onsite laboratory in accordance with EPA Waste Classification Guidelines. Treated wastes would be loaded into a storage bin and transported by hook truck to Sita's Kemps Creek Industrial Landfill for disposal.

It is anticipated the process would generate approximately 40 tonne per day of 'Restricted Solid Waste' that would otherwise have been generated by the approved CIS treatment process.



Figure 2.1 Site Aerial




Figure 2.2 Site Layout




Figure 2.3 Acid Alkali Neutralisation Process Flow Diagram

Table 2-1 Waste Proposed for Storage / Transfer / Solidification Treatment

Waste Code	Waste Description	Current treatment
D300	Non toxic salts	Storage / CIS / transfer
F100	Waste from the production, formulation and use of inks, dyes, pigments, paints, lacquers and varnish	Storage / CIS / transfer
F110	Waste from the production, formulation and use of resin, latex, plasticisers, glues and adhesives	Storage / CIS / transfer
J120	Waste oil/water, hydrocarbons/water mixtures or emulsions	Storage / CIS / transfer
K120	Food Waste	Not currently approved for receipt
K130	Sewerage Waste	Not currently approved for receipt
M250	Surface active agents (surfactants), containing principally organic constituents	Storage / CIS / transfer
N120	Soils contaminated with a controlled waste	Storage / CIS / transfer
N140	Fire wash-waters	Not currently approved for receipt
N190	Filter cake	Storage / CIS / transfer
N205	Industrial waste treatment/disposal residues	Storage / CIS / transfer
Z130	Inert sludges or slurries	Not currently approved for receipt
Z140	Non-Controlled liquid waste	Not currently approved for receipt

2.2 Operating Hours

The facility is currently approved to operate 6am to 6pm all days. It is requested to modify operating hours to 5am to 10pm all days. Access to the site outside these hours may be required on occasion to collect equipment in emergency call outs.

The proposed hours will allow two staffing shifts to be established. No heavy vehicles will access or depart the site between 6pm and 6am (except for in emergency situations), thereby minimising any noise impacts.

These extended hours are not considered likely to have any offsite effects.

2.3 Storage Limits

To facilitate operation of the proposed acid alkali neutralisation plant, storage quantities of acid and alkali require increasing. The proposed increased storage limits correspond with the Dangerous Goods Licencing criteria as shown in Table 2.2 below.

Table 2-2 Waste storage limits

Dangerous good	Maximum storage quantity currently approved ¹	Proposed maximum quantity	Exceed SEPP33 Threshold ²
Class 2.1	500kg	15 tonne	✓
Class 2.2	Not currently approved	5 tonne	X
Class 2.3	Not currently approved	100kg	X
Class 3	92,000L	92,000L	✓ ³
Class 4.1	10kg	3 tonne	X
Class 4.2	10kg	3 tonne	✓
Class 4.3	10kg	1 tonne	✓
Class 5.1	200kg	5 tonne	✓
Class 5.2	200kg	1 tonne	X
Class 6.1	10.5 tonne	25 tonne	✓ ³
Class 6.2	Not currently approved	5 tonne	✓
Class 8 - Acidic	5,000 L	35,000 L	✓ ³
Class 8 – Basic	5,000 L	25,000 L	✓ ³
Class 9	500kg	25 tonne	N/A
C2 - Combustible Liquids	2,000L	5,000L	✓ ³
Cyanide (Toxic Liquid Organic NOS)	9,900L	10,000L	✓ ³

¹ Project Approval 06_0095 as modified on 3rd March 2010.

² Exceeds screening thresholds of Table 1 of NSW Planning *Hazardous and Offensive Development Application Guidelines – Applying SEPP33*.

³ Pre-existing threshold exceedance.

Table 2.2 identifies the maximum storage capacity for certain dangerous good classes exceed the relevant screening threshold. Therefore, based on the SEPP 33 screening criteria, this development is classified as potentially hazardous and therefore a PHA has been prepared to demonstrate that the development will not significantly increase the overall risks associated with the operation of the site. This is addressed in Section 4.1 and [Appendix C](#).

3. Statutory Context

3.1 Compliance with current approvals

3.1.1 Part 3A

The Toxfree Chemical Waste Storage and Treatment Facility was approved by the then Minister for Planning on 22 December 2006 (06_0095) under Section 75J of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act)*. The facility was approved to receive, store, treat and transfer a range of dangerous and hazardous goods from a variety of industry, domestic and commercial sources. The facility was considered a Major Project in accordance with Schedule 1, Group 9, Section 27(5) of the then *State Environmental Planning Policy (Major Projects) 2005*, and was determined by the then Department of Planning (DoP) on behalf of the Minister under Part 3A of the *EP&A Act*.

Two subsequent modifications have been approved to the project under Section 75W of the *EP&A Act*. Modification 1 (dated 6 July 2007) approved changes to site layout, gas detection systems and types of dangerous goods stored onsite. Modification 2 (dated 3 March 2010) approved the use of addition treatment technologies including chemical immobilization and solidification (CIS), oil filter crushing, aerosol crushing, container cleaning fluorescent tube crushing and secure product destruction.

Whilst this proposed modification will not significantly alter the development from that described in the original EA (Peter J Ramsay & Associates, 2006) and as modified, an application to modify the existing major project approval 06_0095 is required pursuant to Section 75W of the *EP&A Act*.

A recent Hazard Audit conducted by the DP&E confirmed Condition 5 of Schedule 4 of Project Approval 06_0095 have been addressed (Ref: 13/04286 dated 20th August 2014). A copy of the DP&E confirmation is provided in Appendix B.

Review of the proposal's compliance with the existing approval is described below in Table 3.1.

Table 3-1: Compliance with Schedule 3 Specific Environmental Conditions of Part 3A Project Approval 06_0095

Condition No.	Relevant Condition of Approval	Compliance
1-3	General environmental management	The proposed modifications will operate under the existing site waste screening, handling and testing procedures in accordance with the EPL 12628. Regular monitoring will continue to be reported to the EPA in accordance with the EPL.
4	Type and amount of waste (dangerous goods class, packaging group)	The proposal is consistent with the type of wastes currently approved for storage. The proposal comprises an increase in the storage quantities of acids and alkalis. The proposed increased quantities remain consistent with the overall scale and nature of the approved major project.

Condition No.	Relevant Condition of Approval	Compliance
5-6	Air quality	No additional environmental impacts would result from the proposed modification. Air discharge from the AAN process will be constantly monitored with automatic sensors. It is not anticipated any air discharges will occur.
7-8	Noise	Minor increase in noise may result from the proposed extended operating hours. Any operational noise is expected to be minor and not likely to affect the industrial neighbours. There are no sensitive receivers within close proximity to the site that would be affected by the increased operating hours.
9	Water quality	No additional environmental impacts would result from the proposed modification.
10-13	Safety and Risk Management	<p>Additional waste types proposed to be received do not constitute a change to the type of dangerous goods currently received and stored onsite and therefore would not alter the hazard analysis and fire safety assessments previously prepared for the site. The current environmental management measures are considered appropriate for the process.</p> <p>The proposed treatment would reduce the risks associated with hazardous waste transport, by converting the waste before leaving the site. This results in an improved human safety and environmental outcome.</p> <p>The project as proposed to be modified would still comply with relevant risk criteria and consequently would not pose a risk to surrounding properties.</p> <p>Section 4.1 further details the hazard assessment.</p>

3.1.2 Environment Protection Licence

Toxfree's operations are considered to be a scheduled activity under Schedule 1 Waste Facilities 1(a). An Environment Protection Licence (no:12628) under the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* was issued for the facility by the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) for 'waste storage' and 'waste processing (non-thermal treatment)'.

The proposed modification does not alter or affect the classification of the scheduled activity, environmental impact or compliance with the conditions of the licence. The proposal requires modification of the existing licence under Section 58 of the POEO Act to include additional wastes and treatment method to condition L3.1. Specific modifications proposed are provided in Table 2.1 above.

Jeevan Jacobs of the NSW EPA, advised on 9th January 2015 (pers comms) that the proposal is considered likely to be of low impact and does not require any specific assessment requirements to accompany the requested modification to the EPL. An application for licence variation has been lodged with EPA concurrent with the submission of this modification.

3.2 Other relevant legislation

Implications of the proposed modification on other relevant legislation are shown in Table 3.2 below.

Table 3-2: Other Relevant Legislation

Legislation	Requirements for the proposal
<i>Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) (NSW)</i>	<p>The existing Toxfree plant was approved as a Major Project under the former Part 3A of the EPA&A Act pursuant to Schedule 1 of the <i>Major Development SEPP</i>. The proposed modification will be assessed under Section 75W of the EP&A Act.</p> <p>Under Part 3A, environmental planning instruments (other than SEPPs) do not apply to an approved project.</p>
<i>Penrith Local Environmental Plan 2010</i>	<p>The Penrith LEP 2010 prohibits offensive or hazardous industries from being developed in areas zoned IN1 General Industrial. A PHA prepared in accordance with SEPP 33 demonstrates that the proposed modifications do not qualify the Toxfree facility as hazardous or offensive and is therefore not a prohibited development under this LEP (refer Appendix C).</p> <p>Schedule 5 of the PLEP2010 identifies the Dunheved Fire Station as a heritage item. This item is over 80m from the site and would not be affected by the proposed modifications.</p>

Legislation	Requirements for the proposal
<i>Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (PoEO Act) (NSW)</i>	<p>Toxfree's operations are considered to be a scheduled activity under Schedule 1 Waste Facilities 1(a). The proposal requires modification of the existing licence under Section 58 of the POEO Act to include additional wastes and treatment method to condition L5.1 (refer Table 2.1 above).</p> <p>Where no general immobilisation approval exists, application would be made to the EPA for a 'specific immobilisation approval' in accordance with Clause 50 of the <i>Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2005</i>.</p>
<i>Heritage Act 1977 (NSW)</i>	<p>Schedule 5 of the PLEP2010 identifies the Dunheved Fire Station as a heritage item. This item is over 80m from the site and would not be affected by the proposed modifications.</p> <p>Therefore assessment and approval under this Act is not required.</p>
<i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 (NSW)</i>	<p>The proposal is unlikely to disturb any Aboriginal objects of listed threatened species.</p> <p>Therefore permit under this Act is not required.</p>
<i>Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (NSW)</i>	<p>The site is not considered likely to contain suitable habitat for any listed threatened species or community.</p> <p>Therefore a permit under this Act is not required.</p>
<i>Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 (NSW)</i>	<p>It is considered unlikely the site would contain contamination which poses a significant risk of harm to human health or the environment.</p> <p>Therefore there is no requirement to notify the EPA and investigate and remediate land pursuant to this Act.</p>
<i>Roads Act 1993 (NSW)</i>	<p>The proposal does not involve any works affecting public roads and therefore does not require consent from the road authority.</p>
<i>Water Management Act 2000 (NSW)</i>	<p>The proposal does not involve any water use, water management works, drainage or flood works, controlled activities or aquifer interference.</p> <p>Therefore a permit under this Act is not required.</p>
<i>Rural Fires Act 1997</i>	<p>The proposed development is not within 'bushfire prone' land and therefore a permit under Section 100B of the Act is not required.</p>

Legislation	Requirements for the proposal
<i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Commonwealth)</i>	<p>The proposal will not impact on any matters of National Environmental Significance (NES) or on Commonwealth land.</p> <p>Therefore a referral to the Commonwealth Minister for the Environment is not required.</p>
<i>Occupational Health and Safety Act 2000 (OHS Act) and regulations</i>	<p>Toxfree have developed procedures for the safe handling, storage and transport of the waste chemicals at their facilities in line with their AS/NZS 4801:2001 OHS Management System accreditation. These procedures are implemented at the St Marys facility to ensure that it complies with the OHS Act.</p>
<i>Road and Rail Transport (Dangerous Goods) Act 1997 (RRT (DG) Act).</i>	<p>Toxfree have written procedures for the segregation, packaging, handling and transportation of hazardous wastes and waste classified under the ADGC to ensure compliance with the RRT (DG) Act at all times.</p> <p>The proposed additional onsite treatment would reduce the amount of hazardous materials and dangerous goods being transported.</p>
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy (Major Development) 2005 (Major Development SEPP)</i>	<p>The waste treatment facility is an approved Major Project in accordance with repealed Schedule 1, Group 9, Section 27(5) of the SEPP.</p> <p>An approval under Part 3A of the EP&A Act has been obtained and requires modification under Section 75W of the EP&A Act to accommodate the proposed operational changes.</p>
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy 33 – Hazardous and Offensive Development (SEPP 33)</i>	<p>The proposed development is classified as potentially hazardous with respect to the storage and handling of dangerous goods and requires a preliminary hazardous assessment (PHA) be prepared.</p> <p>The PHA concluded the proposal will not significantly increase the risks involved in the operations at the site (refer Section 4.1 and Appendix C).</p>
<i>State Environmental Planning Policy 55 – Remediation of Land (SEPP 55)</i>	<p>SEPP 55 states that land must not be developed if it is unsuitable for a proposed use due to contamination. The environmental assessments on the site undertaken by DP between 1997 and 2000 show that the site is suitable for an ongoing commercial/industrial land use.</p>

Legislation	Requirements for the proposal
<i>Sydney REP No. 20 – Hawkesbury – Nepean River (No. 2 – 1997) (SREP 20)</i>	The site falls within the boundaries defined in the SREP 20 map. The SREP 20 controls developments in this area that have the potential to impact on the river environment. Given the closest natural water body to Toxfree's site is South Wianamatta Creek located approximately 1.5 km to the south-west of the site, the modified development is not expected to impact on the river environment and therefore SREP 20 is not considered further.

The proposed modification does not change the existing permissibility or control status of the development.

4. Environmental Impact Assessment

Only the impacts on the environment likely to occur by reason of the proposed changes to the project are described and assessed in this report. The remaining project specifications, impacts and mitigation measures remain consistent with the original EA and subsequent EA's prepared for MOD1 and 2.

4.1 Hazards

A following section is a summary of the Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) prepared for the proposal and provided in full in [Appendix C](#).

4.1.1 Risk Screening

Table 4-1 Hazardous Storage Risk Screening

Dangerous good	Maximum storage quantity currently approved ¹	Proposed maximum quantity	Exceed SEPP33 Threshold ²
Class 2.1	500kg	15 tonne	✓
Class 2.2	Not currently approved	5 tonne	X
Class 2.3	Not currently approved	100 kg	X
Class 3	92,000L	92,000L	✓ ³
Class 4.1	10kg	3 tonne	X
Class 4.2	10kg	3 tonne	✓
Class 4.3	10kg	1 tonne	✓
Class 5.1	200kg	5 tonne	✓
Class 5.2	200kg	1 tonne	X
Class 6.1	10.5 tonne	25 tonne	✓ ³
Class 6.2	Not currently approved	5 tonne	✓
Class 8 - Acidic	5,000 L	35,000 L	✓ ³
Class 8 – Basic	5,000 L	25,000 L	✓ ³
Class 9	500kg	25 tonne	N/A
C2 - Combustible Liquids	2,000L	5,000L	✓ ³
Cyanide (Toxic Liquid Organic NOS)	9,900L	10,000L	✓ ³

¹ Project Approval 06_0095 as modified on 3rd March 2010.

² Exceeds screening thresholds of Table 1 of NSW Planning *Hazardous and Offensive Development Application Guidelines – Applying SEPP33*.

³ Pre-existing threshold exceedance.

Table 4.1 identifies the maximum storage capacity for certain dangerous good classes exceed the relevant screening threshold. Therefore, based on the SEPP 33 screening criteria, this development is classified as potentially hazardous and therefore a PHA is required.

Based on the SEPP 33 screening criteria described above, this development is classified as potentially hazardous and therefore a Level 2 Semi-Quantitative Risk Assessment has been prepared to demonstrate that the development will not significantly increase the overall risks associated with the operation of the site (refer [Appendix C](#)).

4.1.2 Risk Assessment

An updated PHA was prepared by Advitech in November 2009 to support Modification 2, to assess the additional hazards introduced by the CIS process and the increased storage of Class 8 dangerous goods. The assessment demonstrates that the development will not significantly increase the overall risks associated with the operation of the site (refer [Appendix C](#)).

A further update PHA was prepared by Advitech in December 2015 to assess the impacts of the AAN process in response to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment letter to Toxfree dated 2nd September 2015 (refer [Appendix A](#)). The PHA (provided in [Appendix C](#)) addresses the requirements of the DP&E as below:

- Estimate the risks from the proposed modification, the existing site and the overall site;
- Identify the hazards, arising out of the proposed modification and the existing site as well as any external hazards (i.e. natural hazards) to determine the potential for off-site impacts (refer to Appendix I of PHA);
- Provide more detailed information on the AAN process and equipment (refer to Section 1.3 of PHA);
- Critically review the chemical reaction and the chemical products (including any intermediates) related to the AAN and establish the probability of formation of toxic gases (refer to Section 6 of PHA);
- Evaluate the probability of a failure of the proposed scrubber and estimate the potential impacts (refer to Section 6.4 Appendix II, and Appendix IV of PHA);
- Details of the gases which would be treated within the proposed scrubbing system and potential air emissions following treatment (refer to Section 6.1.4, and Section 6.4 of PHA); and
- Demonstrate the proposed development complies with the criteria set out in Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper HIPAP No 4 - Risk Criteria for Land Use Safety Planning (refer to Section 6.2.2, Section 6.3.2 and Section 6.4 of PHA).

The PHA concludes that the proposed AAN treatment process will not significantly increase the overall risks involved in the operations at the site.

4.2 Waste

4.2.1 Incoming Waste

The site currently receives approximately 3800 tonnes of solid and of liquid waste for storage and transfer per year. The proposed modification to operations would not result in a significant increase in volumes of all wastes received, but rather provide an improved economic return and environmental benefit by treating more wastes onsite prior to transfer offsite. The proposed increased volume of wastes to be received is shown in Table 4.2 below.

In addition to those currently approved, two additional waste types are proposed to be received at the site to be treated using the proposed solidification treatment processes and two waste types to be stored and transferred (refer Table 4.2).

Table 4-2: Additional Incoming Waste Volumes

Waste Code	Waste Description	Treatment Process	Volume
K120	Food Waste	Storage / Transfer	5,000 tonnes (at any one time)
K130	Sewerage Waste	Storage / Transfer	5,000 tonnes (at any one time)
Z130 ^a	Inert sludges or slurries	Solidification	40,000L/day
Z140 ^a	Non-controlled liquid waste	Solidification	40,000L/day
N140 ^b	Fire wash-waters	Solidification / CIS	When required in certain situations (i.e. emergency fire)
B100	Acids	AAN	35,000 L
C100	Bases	AAN	25,000 L
A130 / T100	Cyanide	AAN	5,000 kgs

^a Waste type in addition to those currently approved for storage.

^b Volumes not specifying frequency will be based on maximum limit on-site at any one time.

4.2.2 Outgoing waste

The proposed modified treatment processes would produce the following additional wastes.

Table 4-3 Additional Outgoing Waste Volumes

Waste Type	Waste Volumes ¹
Acid Alkaline Plant	
Non-hazardous liquid waste	10,000L / day
Non-hazardous non-liquid waste (Sludge)	5,000L / day
CIS Plant	

Waste Type	Waste Volumes ¹
General solid waste	20 tonnes / day
Solidification Bins²	
Restricted solid waste	40 tonnes / day

¹ In addition to volumes currently generated.

² Waste generated from the solidification process would otherwise be generated by the approved CIS treatment process.

General solid waste would be transported to a licenced landfill.

All CIS and Solidification treated wastes would undergo the required treatment, curing and validation processes as required by the relevant EPA Immobilisation Approval prior to offsite disposal. Treated materials would be stored onsite until confirmation of treatment success and waste classification. Treated waste would then be disposed of at a suitably licenced landfill. Solidification treated waste would likely be transported to Sita's Kemps Creek Landfill as 'restricted solid waste' in accordance with NSW EPA Waste Classification Guidelines. It is anticipated this modified process will generate approximately 17,500 tonnes per year of 'restricted solid waste' in lieu of 'general solid waste' from the CIS process.

All wastes leaving the site would be assessed and tracked to the appropriate disposal facility in accordance with Toxfree's current tracking procedures.

4.3 Noise

The additional AAN treatment process involves the use of a forklift and pump within the existing shed. The process will not result in any demonstrable noise impacts.

The solidification process will involve an excavator for loading and mixing.

The proposed modification is only expected to increase truck movements by approximately 10 per week at the site, which is considered a minimal noise impact for an industrial area.

Therefore, considering that the proposed site is located within the St Marys industrial area and the closest residential area is approximately 600m to the east of the site, noise and vibration impacts from the proposed modification is not expected to be significant. As such, no adverse impacts on the community are expected from noise emissions.

4.4 Traffic

Toxfree's current operations generate approximately 44 truck movements per week transporting waste chemicals and approximately 60 vehicle movements per week from employees driving to and from the site. The facility is accessed via Christie Street and includes the provision of 40 car parking spaces.

The proposed modification would require approximately 5 additional truck movements each week of incoming wastes and solidification reagents. In addition, the proposal would have 5 truck movements each week of outgoing wastes and chemicals making a total of 10

additional truck movements each week. Minor additional traffic would also result during establishment of the new treatment processes including installation AAN.

No additional staffing is required for the operation of the new processes. However, the extended operating hours will allow for an additional staffing shift. Staffing of the afternoon shift will respond to the required volume of waste to be treated and will remain equal or less than the day shift.

Therefore traffic movements due to the proposed modification are not expected to significantly impact on local traffic flows.

4.5 Air Emissions

Toxfree's current operations have the potential to generate air emissions including odour as a result of the storage of waste chemicals. Volatile chemical species of Class 3, Class 6 and Class 8 Dangerous Goods are currently captured and treated prior to discharge into the atmosphere. The capacity of the existing treatment systems is sufficient to accommodate the additional dangerous goods storage volumes.

The AAN plant will be fitted with an extraction system discharging approximately 2m³/minute of air. The gas scrubber system will comprise a sodium hydroxide spray tower chemical scrubbing system to remove toxic gases that are potentially created during AAN neutralisation and pre-consolidation. The scrubbing system will be utilised to scrub the air extracted from around the decanting station and above the neutralisation tank. Detailed process chemistry is discussed in Section 6.1 of the PHA (refer [Appendix C](#)).

Dust generated from the chemical mixing and solidification is expected to be minor. Solidification mixing will occur within a 15m³ high-sided bin to contain the mixture and minimise exposure to wind. Once mixed and tested, the waste would be loaded into a self-bunded, contained storage bin. Bin mixing would not occur during excessively windy conditions.

4.6 Water

The AAN process would require the use of approximately 500L per day of water for container rinsing and washout. Approximately 5,000L/day of rinsate would be sampled and sent off site for processing at a licenced facility.

5. Statement of Commitments

The proposed modified treatment processes would adopt all environmental management measures associated with the approved project as detailed in the:

- Project Application 06-0095;
- *Environmental Assessment for a Major Project Proposed Resource Recovery Facility 40 Christie Street, St Marys, New South Wales* (Peter J Ramsay and Associates; 2006a)
- Final Statement of Commitments in the Submissions Report;
- Modification application titled *Request of Modification for Chemsal Pty Ltd's Chemical Waste Storage and Treatment Facility* (Peter J Ramsay and Associates; 2007);
- Conditions of approval 06-0095 MOD 1 dated 22 December 2006;
- Modification application titled *Environmental Assessment Chemical Immobilisation and Solidification Process Part 3A Modification Request (Project Application: 06_0095)* (Entech Industries; 2009); and
- Conditions of approval 06-0095 MOD 2 dated 3 March 2010.

The environmental management measures provided in Table 5.1 are proposed in addition to the above.

Table 5-1: Proposed Mitigation Measures

Proposed Mitigation Measures	EA Section
Installation of air scrubber in the Acid Alkali Neutralisation (AAN) treatment system.	2.1.1
Use of self-bunded bin for solidification mixing process.	2.1.3
Minimal heavy vehicle access between 6pm and 6am.	2.2
No mixing in the solidification bins during excessively windy conditions.	4.5
AAN plant will comprise: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Refrigerated cooling system b) Caustic scrubber exhaust will discharge at least three metres above the roof height to limit building downwash effects c) Ducting transition will be fitted on to the exhaust to increase efflux velocity to 10m/s in accordance with best practice 	2.1.1

6. Summary and conclusion

The proposed treatment of selected hazardous wastes at the existing Toxfree facility would not result in any additional environmental or safety impact and is considered consistent with the current planning approval.

The proposal is considered a minor amendment to the existing approvals because of the following:

- Additional waste types to be received would not increase the site hazards or risks.
- No additional environmental impacts would result from the proposed modification.
- Current environmental management measures are considered appropriate for the process.

The approval modifications as proposed would allow for an improved environmental outcome by reducing the amount of hazardous waste transported off the site.

The project, as described in this SEE, is not likely to have a significant effect on the environment.

7. Certification

This EA provides a true and fair review of the proposed activity in relation to its likely effects on the environment. It addresses to the fullest extent possible, on the basis of and subject to supplied information and the defined scope of work, all matters affecting or likely to affect the environment as a result of the proposed activity.

Name: Shay Riley-Lewis

Signed:



Designation: Director, Principal Environmental Planner

Date: 1st May 2016

Appendix A

Department of Planning and Environment
Correspondence

Appendix B

Department of Planning and Environment Hazard Audit
(20 August 2014)

Appendix C

Preliminary Hazard Analyses
(2009 and 2016)