FINAL REPORT



LONG BAY FORENSIC AND PRISON HOSPITALS

Supplementary Stormwater Report

Prepared for:

Multiplex Constructions Pty Ltd 1 Kent Street Sydney NSW 2000

Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Pty Ltd A.C.N. 100 868 979 Level 2 400 Kent Street Sydney NSW 2000 Tel: (02) 9699 3088 Fax: (02) 9319 7508 Project no:

2495

Revision: 02 Date of Issue 7 Nov., 2008

Copyright

© Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Pty Ltd 2008

This document is subject to copyright. Use or copying of this document in whole or part without the written permission of Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Pty Ltd constitutes an infringement of copyright.

Disclaimer

Information in this document is current as of the date of issue. While all professional care has been undertaken in preparing the document, Meinhardt accepts no liability for loss or damages incurred as a result of reliance placed upon its content.

The mention of any company, product or process in this report does not constitute or imply endorsement by Meinhardt.



WASTE WISE IS A PROGRAM OF ECORECYCLE VICTORIA

Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Pty Ltd is a Waste Wise office.

This report is printed on 50% recycled content paper.

Document status

Rev.	Document	Project Engineer(s) /	Reviewer	Ар	proved for issue	
no.	purpose	Scientist(s)	neviewer	Approved by	Signature	Date
00	Prelim Report	Phil Diversi				
01	Prelim Report	Phil Diversi				
02	Final Report	Phil Diversi				

Same-----

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		INTRODUCTION	. 1
	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	GENERAL PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SCOPE OF THE ANALYSIS BACKGROUND	1 1
2		TECHNICAL INVESTIGATION	. 2
	2.1 2.2	CHOICE OF MODEL CATCHMENT DEFINITION	
3		DRAINAGE SYSTEM	. 2
4		HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC MODELLING	. 4
5		CONCLUSIONS	. 4
6		REFERENCES	. 5
7		APPENDICES	. 6
	APPENI APPENI	DIX A – CATCHMENT PLAN DIX B – LINK – NODE DIAGRAM DIX C – RAFTS MODEL RESULTS DIX D – AERIAL PHOTO OF SITE	9 11

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Meinhardt were commissioned by Mulitplex to undertake the detailed design of the Forensic and Prison Hospitals at Long Bay Correctional Centre which includes stormwater systems required for the proposed facility.

1.2 Proposed Development

The proposed development includes the construction of a Forensic and Prison Hospital within the Long Bay Correctional Facility (LBCC). The LBCC facility comprise about 22 hectares and is located in Malabar and bounded by Anzac Parade, Austral Street, Calga Avenue and Bilga Crescent as shown in Figure 1. The site is generally located on the crest of a hill which falls to each of its boundaries.



Figure 1 – Site locality Plan

The proposed Forensic and Prison Hospital developments are located on the western and southern boundaries respectively as shown in Figure 1.

1.3 Scope of the analysis

This report summarises the hydrological analysis undertaken to determine the affect of the as built works and subsequent flows from the site as well as analysing different combinations of future works which are required under the site masterplan prepared by the Department of Commerce.

1.4 Background

A previous stormwater management plan and design was prepared by the Department of Commerce (DOC) for Long Bay Correctional Centre (LBCC). The design proposed a suitable stormwater management regime for the proposed new development at LBCC to satisfy Randwick Council's Development Application (DA) requirement.

The report prepared by DOC assessed the stormwater discharges generated from the correctional complex site for the existing condition which recommended a suitable detention system to manage the stormwater runoff to cater for the new

2 TECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

2.1 Choice of Model

Given that the original modelling was undertaken in RAFTS by DOC it was agreed that DOC would revise their model based on the revised concept design prepared by Multiplex and Meinhardt.

RAFTS is a non-linear runoff routing model used extensively throughout Australasia and South East Asia. RAFTS is has been shown to work well on catchments ranging in size from a few square metres to 1000's of square kilometres of both urban and rural nature. RAFTS can model up to 2000 different nodes and each node can have any size subcatchment attached as well as a storage basin.

RAFTS uses the Laurensen non-linear runoff routing procedure to develop a stormwater runoff hydrograph from either an actual event (recorded rainfall time series) or a design storm utilising Intensity-Frequency-Duration (IFD) data together with dimensionless storm temporal patterns as well as standard AR&R 1987 data. Three loss models may be employed to generate excess rainfall. They are (1) Initial/Continuing, (2) Initial/Proportional and (3) the ARBM water balance model. A reservoir (pond) routing module allows routing of inflow hydrographs through a user-defined storage using the level pool routing procedure and allows modelling of hydraulically interconnected basins and on-site detention facilities.

Three levels of hydraulic routing are possible including simple Manning's based lagging in pipes and channels, the Muskingum-Cunge procedure to route hydrographs through channel or river reaches or the hydrographs may be transferred to the other Hydro- Dynamic simulation models.

2.2 Catchment Definition

Based on the previous analysis and modelling completed in 2006 the same catchment boundaries and data was retained in the model without modification as shown in Appendix A.

3 DRAINAGE SYSTEM

In order to determine the affect of the built detention basins on flows discharging from the site, five (5) models and options where analysed which assessed different combinations of works being undertaken. These options were analysed in RAFTS by modifying the original RAFTS models number 6 developed in 2006 which formed the basis for the detail design of the project works. This recent analysis involved deleting and adding basins and proposed pipelines within the model.

Works which have not been completed to date include:

- OSD4 basin in the carpark area near the entrance to the site, and
- The stormwater diversion pipeline which collects stormwater runoff and pipe flows from the catchment 1B located inside the prison complex and which is proposed to drain to OSD3.

Modelling has been undertaken to establish the hydraulic capacity achieved by the completed stormwater drainage system compared to requirements under the "On Site Detention Statement" dated 19 May 2006. The analysis was undertaken for he following scenarios:

Scenario 1 – Involved model 8 which evaluated the built basins without OSD4 and the stormwater diversion to OSD3. This represents the site drainage system now completed.

Scenario 2 – Involved model 11 which evaluated the built basins plus part of the stormwater diversion works (collecting about 30% of the catchment 1B) but without OSD4 and the remaining stormwater works in catchment 1B.

Scenario 3 – Involved model 10 which evaluated the built basins plus part of the stormwater diversion works (collecting about 60% of the catchment 1B) but without OSD4 and the remaining stormwater works in catchment 1B.

Scenario 4 - Involved model 9 which evaluated the built basins plus 100% of the stormwater diversion system to OSD3 but without OSD4 basin.

4 HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC MODELLING

The proposed system was modelled with DOC on 23 October 2008 at their office and using RAFTS model and data as shown in the Link-Node diagram in Appendix B.

The modelled comprised the 10 subcatchments and seven (7) OSD basins modelled previously without alteration to the model data.

Based on RCC's stormwater requirements the Permissible Site Discharge (PSD) for the Bilga Ave outlet is 0.55m³/s and for the Anzac Pde outlet is and 2.1m³/s.

Based on the previous modelling undertaken in 2006 the discharge proposed by the proposed works for the entire site is 1.69m3/s at Anzac Pde and 0.52m3/s at Bilga Avenue.

Results from the current stormwater model are summarised in Appendix C and are based on observed results recorded and limited review of the RAFTS model data by Meinhardt as the model and data was not available for detailed review.

Analysis of this data indicates that scenario 1 will achieve a 53% reduction in the predeveloped uncontrolled flows from the site for the 100 year ARI event. This reduced post developed flow for the 100 year ARI is about the equivalent to the 10 year ARI predeveloped flow. Scenario 5 will achieve a 79% reduction of predeveloped uncontrolled flows from the site for the 100 year ARI event. This reduced post developed flow for the 100 year ARI is about the equivalent to the 12 year ARI predeveloped flow.

5 CONCLUSIONS

As a result of the modelling undertaken by DOC and Meinhardt it can be seen that a significant reduction in sites flows discharging from site has been achieved.

6 **REFERENCES**

- 1. "Randwick City Council, Private Stormwater Code", Randwick City Council, City Engineer's Department, October 1992.
- 2. "Long Bay Correctional Complex, Stormwater Management Concept Plan (Updated report)", NSW Department of Commerce, August 2004
- 3. "Australian Rainfall and Runoff, A Guide to Flood Estimation", The Institution of Engineers, Australia, 1997
- 4. "Long Bay Correctional Centre, Forensic and Prison Hospitals, Stormwater System Report" Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Pty Ltd, Revision 01, dated 17 November 2006.

7 APPENDICES

gerej

Sec.

APPENDIX A – Catchment Plan



FINAL

ų,

APPENDIX B – Link – Node Diagram



FINAL

APPENDIX C – RAFTS Model results

11-1-10	Look ale a As built but as AODO OW all sevelses and	2004
Model 8	 Includes As built but not OSD3 SW diversion or (15114
NOUGI O		JUD-

Catchment	Predevelo	ped flows	The second s	velopment (m3/s)	Post Devel. OSD3 Vol used
Storm Event	A	В	A	В	(m3)
Q100	12.00	3.00	5.70	0.52	*
Q20	7.60	2.20	4.47	0.49	*
Q5	4.70	1.30	3.33	0.48	*
Q1	2.00	*	*	*	*

Model 9 - Includes As Built + OSD3 SW diversion but not OSD4

Catchment	Predeveloped flows		Post- development flows (m3/s)		Post Devel. OSD3 Vol used
Storm Event	A	В	А	В	(m3)
Q100	12.00	3.00	2.55	0.52	4881
Q20	7.60	2.20	2.03	0.49	3239
Q5	4.70	1.30	1.55	0.48	2050
Q1	2.00	*	*	*	*

Model 10	- Includes As built, part OSD3 SW diversion (ie 60%
	catchment) but excludes OSD4 and Katingal

Catchment	Predeveloped flows		Post- development flows (m3/s)		Post Devel. OSD3 Vol used
Storm Event	A	В	А	В	(m3)
Q100	12.00	3.00	3.74	0.52	2997
Q20	7.60	2.20	2.93	0.49	1962
Q5	4.70	1.30	2.21	0.48	1208
Q1	2.00	*	*	*	*

Model 11 - Includes As built, part OSD3 SW diversion (ie 30% catchment) but excludes OSD4, and Katingal

Catchment	Predeveloped flows		Post- development flows (m3/s)		Post Devel. OSD3 Vol used
Storm Event	A	В	А	В	(m3)
Q100	12.00	3.00	4.16	0.52	2303
Q20	7.60	2.20	3.26	0.49	1493
Q5	4.70	1.30	2.45	0.48	899
Q1	2.00	*	*	*	*

* denotes data not recorded / noted

A = Catchment draining to Anzac Pde

B = Catchment drainng to Bilga Ave

	Catchment	C	atchment a	reas controll	ed
Catchment	area (ha)	Run 8	Run 9	Run 10	Run 11
PH	5.18	5.18	5.18	5.18	5.18
SPC	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.46	1.46
Subtotal	6.64	6.64	6.64	6.64	6.64
3	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
2	2.19	2.19	2.19	2.19	2.19
FH	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.25	2.25
1B	6.15		6.15	3.69	1.84
1C	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90
4	5.76		-	-	1.50
1C-2	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
A7	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
Subtotal	21.31	9.40	15.55	13.09	11.25
Total	27.95	16.05	00.10		
, otai	21.90	16.05	22.19	19.73	17.89
% Catchmer	t controlled	57%	79%	71%	64%

Page 13

TABLE C.3.1 - Ranking of catchment areas controlled

Scenario	Model No.	% catch't controlled
4	9	79%
3	10	71%
2	11	64%
1	8	57%



TABLE C.3.2 - Ranking of Post Devel. Flows

Scenario	Model No.	Q100 flows	Q20 flows	Q5 flows	% reduction of flows (1)
1	8	5.70	4.47	3.33	53%
2	11	4.16	3.26	2.45	65%
3	10	3.74	2.93	2.21	69%
4	9	2.55	2.03	1.55	79%



Note: (1) flows are based on a reduction of the 100 year ARI predeveveloped flows.

Page 14

OSD 4 BASIN DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS

Storage (m3)
0.00
326.20
651.20

Weir height = RL 32.0m Weir Length = 10.0m



Stage (m)	Discharge (m3/s)
31.20	0.000
31.30	0.082
31.40	0.293
31.50	0.514
31.60	0.526
31.70	0.623
31.80	0.682
31.90	0.737
32.00	0.788
32.10	1.159
32.20	1.394



Page 15

APPENDIX D – Aerial Photo of site

Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Pty Ltd Revision 02





Meinhardt Infrastructure & Environment Pty Ltd Revision 02

Page 1

FINAL